

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
New Zealand Defence Force	S147.024	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Amend	It is not clear if the district-wide rules apply in isolation or if the zone rules apply also. If there is an activity addressed in district-wide rules but not identified in zone rules it could default to non-complying?	Clarify the application of the various rules so it is clear that where a district-wide rule applies, then there is no need to refer to the zone rules.
Greenbriar Ltd.	S128.032	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Support	Appropriate noise standards are necessary to manage effects of activities	No amendments required, subject to below as a consequence of relief for New Vale Special Purpose Zone.
Southland District Council	S146.068	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Support	The submitter supports this section.	None specified.
Fire and Emergency NZ	S124.032	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Support in part	With regards to Note 2: Fire and Emergency supports the exemption of any warning device, siren or fixed plant used by emergency services and volunteer fire brigade sirens as sirens play a crucial role in facilitating a prompt emergency response. However, Fire and Emergency, are seeking further exemptions for activities at emergency service facilities noise associated with fire appliance movements to and from site and the use of generators and mobile equipment for emergency response or emergency response training.	Amendment sought: 1. Any warning device, siren or fixed plant used by emergency services during an emergency response or for routine testing and maintenance, including noise from helicopter take off and landings during an emergency response. This exemption does not apply to the noise generated at permanent emergency services depots or bases, such as ~~fire stations~~ , police stations or the base for emergency aircraft. Add the following: 12. Activities at emergency service facilities associated with emergency response and emergency response training. 13.Noise associated with fire appliance movements to / from site. 14.The use of generators and mobile equipment (including vehicles) for emergency purposes, including testing and maintenance not exceeding 48 hours in duration, where they are operated by emergency services or lifeline utilities.
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.134	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Support	NCFF supports Note 2: #9 which provides for an exemption for agriculture, horticulture and pastoral farming activities. However, it is suggested that audible bird scaring device’ is included here, and a corresponding definition included.	Amend NOISE-Note 2 #9: 9. Noise from vehicles, machinery or equipment undertaking agricultural, horticultural and pastoral activities including noise from stock, other outdoor animals and working dogs, and audible bird scaring devices but excluding noise from stationary processing activities.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.098	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Support	KiwiRail supports Note 2 which states that noise from trains on rail lines, including at railway yards, sidings, stations and level crossings warning devices. Are not subject to the Rules and Standards of the district plan	Retaine NOISE Note 2 as proposed.
Kevin Robertson	S115.135	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Support	NCFF supports Note 2: #9 which provides for an exemption for agriculture, horticulture and pastoral farming activities. However, it is suggested that audible bird scaring device’ is included here, and a corresponding definition included.	Amend NOISE-Note 2 #9: 9. Noise from vehicles, machinery or equipment undertaking agricultural, horticultural and pastoral activities including noise from stock, other outdoor animals and working dogs, and audible bird scaring devices but excluding noise from stationary processing activities.
Ronald Crispin	S60.137	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Support	NCFF supports Note 2: #9 which provides for an exemption for agriculture, horticulture and pastoral farming activities. However, it is suggested that audible bird scaring device’ is included here, and a corresponding definition included.	Amend NOISE-Note 2 #9: 9. Noise from vehicles, machinery or equipment undertaking agricultural, horticultural and pastoral activities including noise from stock, other outdoor animals and working dogs, and audible bird scaring devices but excluding noise from stationary processing activities.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.146	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Support	NCFF supports Note 2: #9 which provides for an exemption for agriculture, horticulture and pastoral farming activities. However, it is suggested that audible bird scaring device’ is included here, and a corresponding definition included.	Amend NOISE-Note 2 #9: 9. Noise from vehicles, machinery or equipment undertaking agricultural, horticultural and pastoral activities including noise from stock, other outdoor animals and working dogs, and audible bird scaring devices but excluding noise from stationary processing activities.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.147	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Support	NCFF supports Note 2: #9 which provides for an exemption for agriculture, horticulture and pastoral farming activities. However, it is suggested that audible bird scaring device’ is	Amend NOISE-Note 2 #9: 9. Noise from vehicles, machinery or equipment undertaking agricultural, horticultural and pastoral activities including noise from stock, other outdoor animals and working dogs, and audible bird scaring devices but excluding noise from stationary processing activities.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					included here, and a corresponding definition included.	
John Baynes	S68.255	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Support	NCFF supports Note 2: #9 which provides for an exemption for agriculture, horticulture and pastoral farming activities. However, it is suggested that audible bird scaring device’ is included here, and a corresponding definition included.	Amend NOISE-Note 2 #9: 9. Noise from vehicles, machinery or equipment undertaking agricultural, horticultural and pastoral activities including noise from stock, other outdoor animals and working dogs, and audible bird scaring devices but excluding noise from stationary processing activities.
Robina Johnston	S140.007	NOISE – Noise	NOISE - General	Not Stated	Variance needs to be allowed for terrain elevation and reflecting noise. The Hokonui’s & Kaiwera ranges needs consideration as activity can cause stress due to reflection of noise and levels of noise amplifying. Considers noise from existing industrial and commercial activities is a problem and can have stress and health effects.	Consider the effects of terrain reflecting and amplifying noise.
Silver Fern Farms	S40.052	NOISE – Noise	NOISE- Overview	Support	The “Overview” section adequately outlines the context of this chapter. Reference to controlling the potential for reverse sensitivity effects is supported.	Retain as notified
PowerNet Limited	S95.025	NOISE – Noise	NOISE- Overview	Support in part	PowerNet seeks that noise generated by the use of a mobile substation be exempt from having to comply with Noise Standard NOISE-S1 because noise generated by the use of the mobile substation is temporary.	Amend Note 2 of the Noise Chapter as follows: 11. Noise generated by the use of a mobile substation.
Silver Fern Farms	S40.053	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	Agrees with the recognition given to noise generating activities provided by this objective.	Retain as notified
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	S156.002	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	Supports an objective that recognizes and provides for activities that generate noise compatible with the purpose and character of the zone, General Rural Zone should be recognised as not being a 'quiet' environment.	Retain the objective
New Zealand Helicopter Association	S63.006	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	Supports an objective that recognizes and provides for activities that generate noise compatible with the purpose and character of the zone. This is particularly relevant to the multiple zones and needs to recognize that it is not a ‘quiet’ environment.	Retain
Ballance Agri-Nutrients	S87.001	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	Ballance supports this objective.	Retain the objective
New Zealand Defence Force	S147.009	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	It is appropriate that noise generating activities are compatible with the purpose and character of each zone.	Retain objective as notified.
Alliance Group Limited	S121.071	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	Alliance agrees with the recognition given to noise generating activities provided by this objective.	Retain as notified
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.131	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	This objective identifies that within different zones, different levels of noise will be appropriate.	Retain NOISE-O1 as notified.
Ravensdown Limited	S28.003	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	The submitter and NZAAA supports an objective that recognises and provides for activities that generate noise compatible with the purpose and character of the zone. This is particularly relevant to the General Rural Zone and needs to recognise that it is not a ‘quiet’ environment.	Retain the objective.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.094	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	Supports the recognition and provision for activities that generate noise while ensuring the character, timing and duration of noise levels is compatible with each zone.	Retain NOISE-O1 as proposed.
Kevin Robertson	S115.132	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	This objective identifies that within different zones, different levels of noise will be appropriate.	Retain NOISE-O1 as notified.
Ronald Crispin	S60.134	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	This objective identifies that within different zones, different levels of noise will be appropriate.	Retain NOISE-O1 as notified.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.143	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	This objective identifies that within different zones, different levels of noise will be appropriate.	Retain NOISE-O1 as notified.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.144	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	This objective identifies that within different zones, different levels of noise will be appropriate.	Retain NOISE-O1 as notified.
John Baynes	S68.252	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O1	Support	This objective identifies that within different zones, different levels of noise will be appropriate.	Retain NOISE-O1 as notified.
Silver Fern Farms	S40.054	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support	Silver Fern Farms agrees with the recognition of unreasonable noise effects provided by this objective.	Retain as notified
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	S156.003	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support	An objective that seeks that activities don't generate unreasonable noise which adversely affects people is supported.	Retain the objective
New Zealand Helicopter Association	S63.007	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support	An objective that seeks that activities don't generate unreasonable noise which adversely affects people is supported.	Retain
Ballance Agri-Nutrients	S87.002	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support	Ballance supports encouragement of activities	Retain the objective
New Zealand Defence Force	S147.010	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support	It is appropriate that noise generating activities are compatible with the purpose and character of each zone.	Retain as notified or words of similar effect.
Alliance Group Limited	S121.072	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support	Alliance agrees with the recognition of unreasonable noise effects provided by this objective.	Retain as notified
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.132	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support in part	Noise is an inherent part of rural activities, particularly in the rural zones. However, this objective does not anticipate the reverse sensitivity effects that may occur to adjacent zones, or to residential sites within the rural zones.	Amend NOISE-O2: Outside of reverse sensitivity exclusions in NOISE-O3 , activities do not generate noise effects which adversely affect amenity values, the health and wellbeing of people and communities.
Ravensdown Limited	S28.004	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support	The submitter supports NOISE-O2 as it allows noise generation, though not of a level that is unreasonable which adversely affects people.	Retain the objective.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.095	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support	Supports that the health and wellbeing of people and communities should not be adversely affected from activities that generate unreasonable noise.	Retain NOISE-O2 as proposed.
Kevin Robertson	S115.133	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support in part	Noise is an inherent part of rural activities, particularly in the rural zones. However, this objective does not anticipate the reverse sensitivity effects that may occur to adjacent	Amend NOISE-O2: Outside of reverse sensitivity exclusions in NOISE-O3 , activities do not generate noise effects which adversely affect amenity values, the health and wellbeing of people and communities.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					zones, or to residential sites within the rural zones.	
Ronald Crispin	S60.135	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support in part	Noise is an inherent part of rural activities, particularly in the rural zones. However, this objective does not anticipate the reverse sensitivity effects that may occur to adjacent zones, or to residential sites within the rural zones.	Amend NOISE-O2: Outside of reverse sensitivity exclusions in NOISE-O3 , activities do not generate noise effects which adversely affect amenity values, the health and wellbeing of people and communities.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.144	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support in part	Noise is an inherent part of rural activities, particularly in the rural zones. However, this objective does not anticipate the reverse sensitivity effects that may occur to adjacent zones, or to residential sites within the rural zones.	Amend NOISE-O2: Outside of reverse sensitivity exclusions in NOISE-O3 , activities do not generate noise effects which adversely affect amenity values, the health and wellbeing of people and communities.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.145	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support in part	Noise is an inherent part of rural activities, particularly in the rural zones. However, this objective does not anticipate the reverse sensitivity effects that may occur to adjacent zones, or to residential sites within the rural zones.	Amend NOISE-O2: Outside of reverse sensitivity exclusions in NOISE-O3 , activities do not generate noise effects which adversely affect amenity values, the health and wellbeing of people and communities.
John Baynes	S68.253	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O2	Support in part	Noise is an inherent part of rural activities, particularly in the rural zones. However, this objective does not anticipate the reverse sensitivity effects that may occur to adjacent zones, or to residential sites within the rural zones.	Amend NOISE-O2: Outside of reverse sensitivity exclusions in NOISE-O3 , activities do not generate noise effects which adversely affect amenity values, the health and wellbeing of people and communities.
Silver Fern Farms	S40.055	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O3	Support	Silver Fern Farms agrees with the recognition of the potential for reverse sensitivity effects provided by this objective.	Retain as notified
Fuel Companies	S16.046	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O3	Support	The Fuel Companies support the requirement for consideration of the potential for reverse sensitivity effects for noise sensitive activities.	Retain as notified
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.109	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O3	Support	The objective is supported by Waka Kotahi	Retain as notified
New Zealand Defence Force	S147.011	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O3	Support	It is appropriate that noise generating activities are compatible with the purpose and character of each zone.	Retain as notified or words of similar effect.
Alliance Group Limited	S121.073	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O3	Support	Alliance agrees with the recognition of the potential for reverse sensitivity effects and the need to manage these as required by this objective.	Retain as notified
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.096	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-O3	Support	Supports the requirement for noise sensitive activities to be located, designed and constructed to achieve reasonable indoor noise levels, minimize conflict and manage potential reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain NOISE-O3 as proposed.
Silver Fern Farms	S40.056	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P1	Support	It is appropriate to recognise that noise levels will vary between different zones.	Retain as notified
Alliance Group Limited	S121.074	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P1	Support	Agree with the recognition of varying activities and noise levels anticipated within different zones.	Retain as notified
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	S156.004	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support in part	The policy is inconsistent with NOISE-O2 which is that people are not adversely affected. It should be amended to be consistent	Amend: Ensure the health and wellbeing of people and community is not adversely affected ~~protected~~ by managing noise effects generated by an activity having regard to:

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Fuel Companies	S16.047	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support	The Fuel Companies support the requirement for consideration of the potential for reverse sensitivity effects for noise sensitive activities and the supporting policy that protects the wellbeing of people and the community by managing noise effects, having regard to avoiding conflict with noise sensitive activities is also strongly supported.	Retain as notified
New Zealand Helicopter Association	S63.008	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support in part	The policy is inconsistent with NOISE-O2 which is that people are not adversely affected. It should be amended to be consistent.	Ensure the health and wellbeing of people and community is not adversely affected ~~protected~~ by managing noise effects generated by an activity having regard to:
Ballance Agri-Nutrients	S87.003	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support in part	To avoid confusion and misinterpretation, the wording of this policy needs to align and be consistent with NOISE-O2 which refers to ‘not adversely affected’.	Amend: Ensure the health and wellbeing of people and community is not adversely affected ~~protected~~ by managing noise effects generated by an activity having regard to:
New Zealand Defence Force	S147.012	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support	Sets out an appropriate approach for the management of noise, including temporary activities.	Retain policy as drafted or wording to similar effect.
Alliance Group Limited	S121.075	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support	Alliances agrees with this objective.	Retain as notified
Ministry of Education	S125.038	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support	The submitter supports NOISE-P2 and notes appropriate consideration of matters relevant to noise generation are included.	Retain as proposed.
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.133	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support in part	The RMA states a duty to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the environment from activities. As such, the wording in NOISE-P2 should be updated to reflect this	Amend NOISE-P2: Ensure the health and wellbeing of people and the community is not adversely affected ~~protected~~ by (...)
Ravensdown Limited	S28.005	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support in part	It is inconsistent with NOISE-O2, which refers to people being 'adversely affected'.	Amend Ensure the health and wellbeing of people and community is not adversely affected ~~protected~~ by managing noise effects generated by an activity having regard to:
Kevin Robertson	S115.134	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support in part	The RMA states a duty to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the environment from activities. As such, the wording in NOISE-P2 should be updated to reflect this	Amend NOISE-P2: Ensure the health and wellbeing of people and the community is not adversely affected ~~protected~~ by (...)
Ronald Crispin	S60.136	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support in part	The RMA states a duty to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the environment from activities. As such, the wording in NOISE-P2 should be updated to reflect this	Amend NOISE-P2: Ensure the health and wellbeing of people and the community is not adversely affected ~~protected~~ by (...)
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.145	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support in part	The RMA states a duty to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the environment from activities. As such, the wording in NOISE-P2 should be updated to reflect this	Amend NOISE-P2: Ensure the health and wellbeing of people and the community is not adversely affected ~~protected~~ by (...)
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.146	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support in part	The RMA states a duty to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the environment from activities. As such, the wording in NOISE-P2 should be updated to reflect this	Amend NOISE-P2: Ensure the health and wellbeing of people and the community is not adversely affected ~~protected~~ by (...)
John Baynes	S68.254	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P2	Support in part	The RMA states a duty to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the environment from activities. As such, the wording in NOISE-P2 should be updated to reflect this	Amend NOISE-P2: Ensure the health and wellbeing of people and the community is not adversely affected ~~protected~~ by (...)
Silver Fern Farms	S40.057	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P3	Support	It is appropriate to contemplate acoustic treatments to address potential reverse sensitivity effects in the locations identified in this policy.	Retain as notified

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.110	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P3	Support in part	This policy suitably reflects NOISE-O3. However, we suggest that the wording of the policy be amended to clearly identify that buildings, as referred to in this policy, include both new buildings, as well as additions and alterations to existing buildings that will be used by noise sensitive activities, which would align with the description used in rule NOISE-R7 that this policy relates to and also appropriately reflect the importance of managing the impacts of noise from the operation of the highway and railway on noise sensitive activities that establish in altered buildings and additions to existing buildings	Amend NOISE-P3 as follows, or words of similar intent: Require acoustic treatment within new buildings and additions to and alterations to buildings used by noise sensitive activities in the following locations:
Alliance Group Limited	S121.076	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P3	Support	It is appropriate to contemplate acoustic treatments to address potential reverse sensitivity effects in the locations identified in this policy	Retain as notified
Ministry of Education	S125.039	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P3	Support	The submitter supports NOISE-P3 and acknowledges acoustic insulation is an appropriate mitigation measure to reduce potential or actual noise effects.	Retain as proposed.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.097	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P3	Support	Supports recognising the need to require acoustic treatment within buildings used by noise sensitive activities adjacent to the rail network.	Retain NOISE-P3 as proposed.
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	S156.005	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support in part	Aviation activities that are infrequent should be added to the list of activities in NOISE-P4 so that there is recognition that such activities are provided for.	To enable the infrequent noise levels associated with temporary activities, temporary aviation activities , temporary military training activities and emergency training activities provided that the level, timing and duration of noise is reasonable
New Zealand Helicopter Association	S63.009	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Oppose in part	Aviation activities that are infrequent should be added to the list of activities in NOISE-P4 so that there is recognition that such activities are provided for.	The policy is inconsistent with NOISE-O2 which is that people are not adversely affected. It should be amended to be consistent.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients	S87.004	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support in part	Aviation activities that are infrequent should be added to the list of activities in NOISE-P4 so that there is recognition that such activities are provided for.	Amend: To enable the infrequent noise levels associated with temporary activities, including temporary aviation activities , temporary military training activities and emergency training activities provided that the level, timing and duration of noise is reasonable.
New Zealand Defence Force	S147.013	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support	The policy sets out an appropriate approach to the management of noise, including for temporary activities.	Retain as drafted or words to similar effect.
Fire and Emergency NZ	S124.031	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support	Fire and Emergency support the policy insofar that it enables infrequent noise levels associated with emergency service training activities.	Retain as notified
Jet Boating NZ	S64.013	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support	Noise should be tolerated in circumstances where the level, timing and duration is reasonable. Which is particularly the case for occasional and short duration noise.	Retain as proposed.
Ravensdown Limited	S28.006	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support in part	Infrequent aviation activities should be added to the list of activities in NOISE-P4 so there is recognition that such activities are provided for.	Amend To enable the infrequent noise levels associated with temporary activities, temporary aviation activities , temporary military training activities and emergency training activities provided that the level, timing and duration of noise is reasonable.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.183	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support in part	Aviation activities that are infrequent should be added to the list of activities in NOISE-P4 so that there is recognition that such activities are provided for.	Amend NOISE-P4: Enable the infrequent noise levels associated with temporary activities, temporary aviation activities , temporary military training activities and emergency services training activities provided that the level, timing and duration of noise is reasonable.
Kevin Robertson	S115.184	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support in part	Aviation activities that are infrequent should be added to the list of activities in NOISE-P4 so that there is recognition that such activities are provided for.	Amend NOISE-P4: Enable the infrequent noise levels associated with temporary activities, temporary aviation activities , temporary military training activities and emergency services training activities provided that the level, timing and duration of noise is reasonable.
Ronald Crispin	S60.186	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support in part	Aviation activities that are infrequent should be added to the list of activities in NOISE-P4 so that there is recognition that such activities are provided for.	Amend NOISE-P4: Enable the infrequent noise levels associated with temporary activities, temporary aviation activities , temporary military training activities and emergency services training activities provided that the level, timing and duration of noise is reasonable.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.195	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support in part	Aviation activities that are infrequent should be added to the list of activities in NOISE-P4 so that there is recognition that such activities are provided for.	Amend NOISE-P4: Enable the infrequent noise levels associated with temporary activities, temporary aviation activities , temporary military training activities and emergency services training activities provided that the level, timing and duration of noise is reasonable.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.196	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support in part	Aviation activities that are infrequent should be added to the list of activities in NOISE-P4 so that there is recognition that such activities are provided for.	Amend NOISE-P4: Enable the infrequent noise levels associated with temporary activities, temporary aviation activities , temporary military training activities and emergency services training activities provided that the level, timing and duration of noise is reasonable.
John Baynes	S68.304	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support in part	Aviation activities that are infrequent should be added to the list of activities in NOISE-P4 so that there is recognition that such activities are provided for.	Amend NOISE-P4: Enable the infrequent noise levels associated with temporary activities, temporary aviation activities , temporary military training activities and emergency services training activities provided that the level, timing and duration of noise is reasonable.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.200	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-P4	Support in part	Aviation activities that are infrequent should be added to the list of activities in NOISE-P4 so that there is recognition that such activities are provided for.	Amend NOISE-P4: Enable the infrequent noise levels associated with temporary activities, temporary aviation activities , temporary military training activities and emergency services training activities provided that the level, timing and duration of noise is reasonable
New Zealand Helicopter Association	S63.010	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-Exemptions	Support in part	Often after the state of emergency has been terminated rural towns and homes are left with the only means of getting supplies and	Note 2 (3) should be expanded to include helicopters taking off and landing conducting emergency operations during and after a state of

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					equipment is by helicopters. Also, the same would be accurate for a helicopter removing or repairing a broken power pole that hinders a road or is causing adverse effects on a property. Aircraft performing these activities should be exempt from noise.	emergency. Emergency helicopters taking off and landing conducting emergency operations during and after a state of emergency.
Jet Boating NZ	S64.014	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-Exemptions	Support	The submitter supports the exempt sources.	Retain as proposed, noting submitters point requesting noise related to JBNZ temporary events are exempt as well.
Jet Boating NZ	S64.015	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-Exemptions	Amend	An organised JBNZ activity may be considered a temporary activity and is therefore subject to R10 which is contradictory to the exempt noise sources. Noise from an organised JBNZ activity is no different to a motor craft operating. Hence, should be exempt from R10.	Amend the list of noise sources exempt from specific rules and standards as follows. 7. Motorcraft operating on the surface of waterbodies including organized JBNZ activities that may constitute a Temporary Event.
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.029	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-Exemptions	Oppose	Exclusion is sought from assessment against the objectives and policies of this chapter due to lack of consideration for the functional need of wind farms to be located where wind resources are. Noise, categorized as an amenity effect, should be assessed during development to ensure compliance with NZS6808:2010 Acoustics.	Noise associated with the following activities are not subject to the Rules and Standards of the Noise Chapter: Add clause 12 12. Noise effects from operation of wind electricity generation activities. Compliance is achieved through assessment of NZS6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind farm noise (“insert rule reference” Energy Chapter – Rule ## operation of wind electricity generation activities – Noise Assessment). While the above noise sources are exempt from meeting the noise standards, any potential and actual adverse effects associated with the character, timing, duration or level of noise shall be considered for any discretionary or non-complying activity.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.111	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R1	Support in part	n general Waka Kotahi supports this rule as it provides a permitted activity status for noise from construction activities where specified noise management parameters are met. Clause 3 of this rule appropriately references NZS6803:1999 as the standard to be used for measuring and assessing construction noise. However, it is noted that there appears to be an error in clause 2 of this rule, as it refers to Table 4. Table 4 does not exist within the NOISE chapter of the notified plan. Consequently, it appears that either Table 4 is missing or the reference to Table 4 within this rule is erroneous	Amend this rule to ensure that clauses 1 and 2 refer to the correct Tables in the Noise chapter, and make any consequential changes to other provisions including the NOISE Tables as necessary. If Table 4 has been accidentally omitted from the notified Plan, Waka Kotahi would like the opportunity to review and comment on it given its potential to impact upon construction activities undertaken by Waka Kotahi.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.099	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R1	Amend	Supports a permitted activity status for noise from construction activities when conditions are met. Noise-R1 2 however refers to limits in Table 4, the noise chapter does not contain a Table 4. Please provide clarification as to whether the table has been missed from the chapter or the wrong table has been referenced. KiwiRail requests the right to assess and submit on this rule once clarification has	Provide clarification on limits and the missing table for review and submission point.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					been received, and either the missing table provided, or the rule is re-written.	
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.189	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R2	Support in part	In general Waka Kotahi supports this rule as it provides a permitted activity status for vibration from construction activities where specified building damage limits and amenity limits are met and cites appropriate vibration standards and limits. However, we consider that the location of the measurement of the amenity limits should not be restricted to the nearest corner of the floor of a habitable room within a building used for a noise sensitive activity, but instead should be changed to relate to where people might experience the adverse effect, which is potentially any location within the habitable room.	Amend clause 1(b) of the rule as follows, or words of similar intent: (b) Amenity limits - the limits in the table below when measured at any point on or in the nearest corner of the floor of a habitable room within a building used for a any storey within a multi-storey building , or within 500mm of ground level at the foundation of a ~~single storey building containing any~~ noise sensitive activity that is occupied during the construction activity.
New Zealand Defence Force	S147.014	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R4	Amend	TMTA activities may need to occur within any zone in the district, therefore, noise emissions involving fixed sources should be permitted in all zones provided they comply with the relevant standards. NZDF supports the default controlled activity status for TMTA and emergency services training activities. The noise standards that NZDF has sought in other plan reviews across the country is provided in Attachment A. However, NZDF is currently developing an alternative approach and wishes to discuss with GDC.	~~General Rural Zone~~ All zones Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The noise generated complies with Noise Standard NOISE-S1. ~~for the General Rural Zone.~~ Note: Fixed (stationary) noise sources (other than firing of weapons and explosives) include power generation, heating, ventilation or air conditioning systems, or water or wastewater pumping / treatment systems. Activity status where compliance not achieved: Controlled
Fire and Emergency NZ	S124.033	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R4	Support	Fire and Emergency support noise from emergency services training activities involving fixed (stationary) noise sources are a permitted activity provided they comply with Standard NOISE-S1 for the General Rural Zone.	Retain as notified
New Zealand Defence Force	S147.015	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R5	Support	NZDF supports specific permitted activity for noise from TMTA and emergency training activities including mobile noise sources. NZDF supports controlled status for noise generated from TMTA and emergency training activities.	Retain rule as drafted or wording to similar effect.
Fire and Emergency NZ	S124.034	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R5	Support	Fire and Emergency support noise from emergency services training activities involving mobile noise sources as a permitted activity provided they comply with relevant matters outlined.	Retain as notified
New Zealand Defence Force	S147.016	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R6	Amend	It is appropriate that the specified activities are permitted, subject to standards, as they are an important part of NZDF training. The TMTA cannot comply with the relevant standards, the effects can be identified through matters of discretion.	Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary
Silver Fern Farms	S40.058	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R7	Support	Supports the consenting framework for noise sensitive activities in a General Industrial Zone as set out in NOISE-R7, particularly the discretionary consenting pathway for activities	Retain as notified

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					that do not provide adequate noise attenuation in habitable rooms.	
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.112	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R7	Support in part	Waka Kotahi support this rule in part as it requires habitable rooms within a new building, as well as habitable rooms within an addition to or alteration to an existing building that are used for a noise sensitive activity, and are located within the state highway noise control overlay, are designed to comply with the internal noise levels and ventilation requirements of NOISE-S3. However, we consider that this rule should also apply to existing buildings that are relocated onto a site for use by a noise sensitive activity. It is not clear whether ‘construction’ referenced in the title of this rule would include relocated buildings and we request that the Council provide clarification on this matter. We suggest that either the rule title is amended to make this clear, and/or that an advice note is included to this end. The Waka Kotahi submission seeks to introduce a different state highway noise control overlay to that in the notified plan. Our proposed state highway noise control overlay is discussed in a separate submission point (Part 4, Appendices & Map, Noise Control Overlay). The changes we are proposing to the state highway noise control overlay do not require any amendment to the reference to that overlay in NOISE-R7(1)(b).	Clarify whether this rule is intended to apply to relocated buildings used for noise sensitive activities. If it is, amend the title of the rule as follows, or using words of similar intent, and make consequential changes to other related provisions as necessary: Construction of, additions to or alterations to buildings, and relocated buildings , for a noise sensitive activity And/or, include an advice note, as follows or using words to similar effect: Advice Note: NOISE-R7(1) includes buildings that have been constructed offsite and subsequently relocated and placed within the railway corridor overlay and/or the state highway noise control overlay.
Alliance Group Limited	S121.077	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R7	Amend	Alliance supports the consenting framework for noise sensitive activities in a General Industrial Zone as set out in NOISE-R7, particularly the discretionary consenting pathway for activities that do not provide adequate noise attenuation in habitable rooms.	Retain as notified
Ministry of Education	S125.040	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R7	Support	The submitter supports NOISE-R7 and supports the requirement for acoustic treatment within buildings used by noise sensitive activities and acknowledges acoustic insulation is an appropriate mitigation measure to reduce potential or actual noise effects.	Retain as proposed.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.100	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R7	Amend	Supports the need to acoustically protect activities sensitive to noise. For clarity KiwiRail recommend that the distance this relates to is included in the rule.	Amend as follows: Where: 1. Any habitable room within a building used for a noise sensitive activity which is located within the: (a) railway corridor overlay (within 100m of the railway corridor) (b) the state highway noise control overlay complies with NOISE-S3 ~~Complies with NOISE-S3~~
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	S156.006	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Support in part	Supports the intent to enable agricultural aviation but seeks some amendments. Take off and landings from Mandeville Aviation and Rail Precinct are provided in Note 2 as an exempt activity so should not be included in clause 1. The take-off and landing should be an ‘or’ not ‘and’. An aircraft may have flown in from an	Amend: 1. The take-off and landing is to ~~and~~ or from a rural airstrip, a helicopter landing areas located in the rural environment ~~or the Mandeville Aviation and rail Precinct.~~ 2. Take off and landing do not occur for more than 315 aircraft hours in any 12 month period. 3. Delete 4. A record of take offs and landing times and dates is maintained by the landholder and available to the Council upon request.NZAAA seeks to have the provisions of the Selwyn District Council Decisions rule considered which states: " Aircraft and Helicopter Movements

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					airport to commence the activity. The reference to the number of hours should be ‘aircraft’ hours to prevent ambiguity. Clause 3 – setbacks- is not justified by the s32 Report and is inconsistent with NOISE-P2 so should be deleted. Clause 4 is problematic as it does not state who should keep the records. 4.5 of the Section 32 Noise report provides analysis of how other councils (listed in original submission) are addressing the same issues. The analysis does not support the provisions in NOISE-R8 namely: Condition 3. for a 500 m setback from any notional boundary on a site not being serviced by the use of the airstrip. A 500m setback will result in take offs and landing being undertaken from airstrips and helicopter landing areas further away from the treatment areas increasing aircraft time, increasing cost to the primary production sector and increasing the overall duration of noise. NZAAA accepts that a limitation of 315 aircraft hours in a calendar year.	Ancillary to Rural Production Permitted activity where: 1. Aircraft movements and helicopter movements associated with purposes ancillary to rural production including topdressing, spraying, stock management, fertiliser application, and frost mitigation, including the incidental landing and take-off of helicopters during their normal course of operation."
New Zealand Helicopter Association	S63.022	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Support in part	Supports the intent to enable agricultural aviation but seeks amendments (1) Take off and landings from Mandeville Aviation and Rail Precinct are provided in Note 2 as an exempt activity so should not be included in clause 1. Take-off and landing should be an ‘or’ not ‘and’. An aircraft may have flown in from an airport. (2) The reference to the number of hours should be ‘aircraft’ hours to prevent ambiguity. (3) Setbacks- is not justified by the s32 Report and is inconsistent with NOISE-P2 so should be deleted. See full submission for section 32 report information on how other councils are addressing same issues- NZHA seeks provisions of the Selwyn DC considered. A 500m setback will result in take offs and landing being undertaken from airstrips and helicopter landing areas further away from the treatment areas increasing aircraft time, increasing cost to the primary production sector and increasing the overall duration of noise. (4) Problematic as it does not state who should keep the records	Amend: 1 The take-off and landing is to ~~and~~ or from a rural airstrip, a helicopter landing areas located in the rural environment ~~or the Mandeville Aviation and rail Precinct~~ . 2. Take off and landing do not occur for more than 315 aircraft hours in any 12 month period. 3. Delete 4. A record of take offs and landing times and dates is maintained by the landholder and available to the Council upon request.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.008	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Oppose	This activity is essential to the primary sector and cannot be inhibited by the time delays or uncertainty associated with a consenting regime. The noise created is brief and temporary, and totally tolerated by the rural community in which it takes place as those who live and work in that area understand its essential nature. Location of landing areas for our operation is based 100% on proximity to a watersource – as the spray chemicals require a lot of water mixed with them for every load	Remove agricultural aviation restrictions.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					carried by the helicopter. For convenience to those who originally created and now those who service the water supply, these tanks are often in close proximity to a road. Therefore the restrictions on landing sites could inadvertently rule out the only suitable locations if proximity to a road is a restricting factor. These proposed rules aim to solve a problem which does not exist. Farmers and aviators manage this activity in a safe and appropriate way. There is no need for this new suite of rules.	
Ballance Agri-Nutrients	S87.005	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Support in part	Ballance supports the intent to enable agricultural aviation activities but seeks some amendments. The take-off and landing should be an ‘or’ not ‘and’ - agricultural aircraft accessing rural airstrips fly in prior to commencing their activity. The reference to the number of hours should be ‘aircraft’ hours to prevent ambiguity. Clause 3 – setbacks- is not justified and is inconsistent with NOISE-P2 so should be deleted. The rural zone is recognised to have necessary noise at times and so the setback requirement conflicts with recognised character of the rural environment. Rural airstrips are typically established in locations which are practical for such features and have appropriate access. Placing a blanket setback requirement on rural airstrips can potentially rule out the best areas for such infrastructure and is likely to lead to significant costs for farmers to re-locate or rule out prime locations. There is also potentially increased health and safety risks if farmers are required to re-site airstrips to less than optimal locations.	Amend: 1. The take-off and landing is to ~~and~~ or from a rural airstrip, a helicopter landing areas located in the rural environment or the Mandeville Aviation and rail Precinct. 2. Take off and landings do not occur for more than 315 aircraft hours in any 12 month period. ~~3. The rural airstrip or helicopter landing area is separated by at least 500m from any notional boundary on a site not being serviced by the use of the airstrip;~~ and 4. A record of take offs and landing times and dates is maintained by the landholder and available to the Council upon request.
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.136	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Oppose	SFF seeks to have the Plan provide for the intermittent use of rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas for the purposes of agricultural aviation including primary production and conservation purposes as a permitted activity without limitations. This is the approach of the Selwyn District Council in their partially operation Selwyn District Plan. The existing District Plan does not specifically limit agricultural aviation, with it being covered by an exemption to the noise rules in 4.5.1 (2) (c) “activities of normal primary production or forestry activities”It is important to note that some agricultural aviation is in response to pests in crops and therefore the activity takes place with very little notice. Fertiliser spreading activities need to take place in appropriate weather windows which can be unpredictable. Timing is also important for some spays to avoid negative impacts to bees.	Amend NOISE-R8: Activity status: Permitted ~~Where: 1. The take off and landing is to and from a rural airstrip, a helicopter landing area located in the rural environment, or the Mandeville Aviation and Rail Precinct; 2. Take off and landings do not occur for more 315 hours in any 12 month period; 3. The rural airstrip or helicopter landing area is separated by at least 500m from any notional boundary on a site not being serviced by the use of the airstrip; and 4. A record of take offs and landing times and dates is maintained and available to the Council upon request.~~

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Ravensdown Limited	S28.007	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Support in part	The submitter NZAAA supports the intent to enable agricultural aviation activities but seeks amendments. Note 2 exempts takeoff and landings from Mandeville Aviation and Rail Precinct so should not be included in clause 1. An aircraft may have flown in from an airport to commence the activity, therefore the wording should be 'or' not 'and'. The reference to the number of hours should be ‘aircraft’ hours to prevent ambiguity. Clause 3 – setbacks- is not justified by the s32 Report and is inconsistent with NOISE-P2. Clause 4 is problematic as it does not state who should keep the records. 4.5 of the Section 32 Noise report provides analysis of how other councils are addressing the same issues. The analysis does not support the provisions in NOISE-R8 namely: Condition 3. for a 500 m setback from any notional boundary on a site not being serviced by the use of the airstrip.	Amend: 1. The take-off and landing is to ~~and~~ or from a rural airstrip, a helicopter landing areas located in the rural environment ~~or the Mandeville Aviation and rail Precinct.~~ 2. Take off and landing do not occur for more than 315 aircraft hours in any 12 month period. 3. ~~Delete~~ 4. A record of take offs and landing times and dates is maintained by the landholder and available to the Council upon request.
Ravensdown Limited	S28.008	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Support in part	The Selwyn District Council Operative Plan (Decisions Version August 19th, 2023) is relevant to the Gore PDP rule NOISE-R8 for the INTERMITTENT use of Rural Airstrips or Helicopter Landing Areas but does not impose setback distances. The New Plymouth (PNPDP notified appeals version 13th May 2023) provides for agricultural aviation through a noise exemption which is enabling of agricultural aviation therefore is not relevant. The Clutha District Council Operative Plan 1998 relates to airstrips and helicopter landing pads that are used on a regular basis therefore is not relevant. NZAAA, and therefore Ravensdown, accepts that a limitation of 315 aircraft hours in a calendar year from a rural airstrip or helicopter landing area is adequate for the provision of services to support primary production. Agricultural fixed wing and helicopter operators historically, and currently, conduct take offs and landings from at least 34 rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas located within 500m of a notional boundary. A 500m setback will result in take offs and landing being undertaken from airstrips and helicopter landing areas further away from the treatment areas increasing aircraft time, increasing cost to the primary production sector and increasing the overall duration of noise. (noting that the council controls land use - once the aircraft is airborne it can operate right up to a notional boundary whilst complying with the Civil Rules).	NZAAA, and Ravensdown, seek to have the provisions of the Selwyn District Council Decisions rule considered which states: "Aircraft and Helicopter Movements Ancillary to Rural Production Permitted activity where: 1. Aircraft movements and helicopter movements associated with purposes ancillary to rural production including topdressing, spraying, stock management, fertiliser application, and frost mitigation, including the incidental landing and take-off of helicopters during their normal course of operation."
Kevin Robertson	S115.137	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Oppose	SFF seeks to have the Plan provide for the intermittent use of rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas for the purposes of agricultural	Amend NOISE-R8: Activity status: Permitted ~~Where: 1. The take-off and landing is to and from a rural airstrip, a helicopter landing area located in the rural environment, or the Mandeville Aviation and Rail Precinct; 2. Take off and landings~~

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					aviation including primary production and conservation purposes as a permitted activity without limitations. This is the approach of the Selwyn District Council in their partially operation Selwyn District Plan. The existing District Plan does not specifically limit agricultural aviation, with it being covered by an exemption to the noise rules in 4.5.1 (2) (c) “activities of normal primary production or forestry activities”It is important to note that some agricultural aviation is in response to pests in crops and therefore the activity takes place with very little notice. Fertiliser spreading activities need to take place in appropriate weather windows which can be unpredictable. Timing is also important for some spays to avoid negative impacts to bees.	~~do not occur for more 315 hours in any 12 month period; 3. The rural airstrip or helicopter landing area is separated by at least 500m from any notional boundary on a site not being serviced by the use of the airstrip; and 4. A record of take offs and landing times and dates is maintained and available to the Council upon request.~~
Ronald Crispin	S60.139	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Oppose	SFF seeks to have the Plan provide for the intermittent use of rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas for the purposes of agricultural aviation including primary production and conservation purposes as a permitted activity without limitations. This is the approach of the Selwyn District Council in their partially operation Selwyn District Plan. The existing District Plan does not specifically limit agricultural aviation, with it being covered by an exemption to the noise rules in 4.5.1 (2) (c) “activities of normal primary production or forestry activities”It is important to note that some agricultural aviation is in response to pests in crops and therefore the activity takes place with very little notice. Fertiliser spreading activities need to take place in appropriate weather windows which can be unpredictable. Timing is also important for some spays to avoid negative impacts to bees.	Amend NOISE-R8: Activity status: Permitted ~~Where: 1. The take off and landing is to and from a rural airstrip, a helicopter landing area located in the rural environment, or the Mandeville Aviation and Rail Precinct; 2. Take off and landings do not occur for more 315 hours in any 12 month period; 3. The rural airstrip or helicopter landing area is separated by at least 500m from any notional boundary on a site not being serviced by the use of the airstrip; and 4. A record of take offs and landing times and dates is maintained and available to the Council upon request.~~
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.148	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Oppose	SFF seeks to have the Plan provide for the intermittent use of rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas for the purposes of agricultural aviation including primary production and conservation purposes as a permitted activity without limitations. This is the approach of the Selwyn District Council in their partially operation Selwyn District Plan. The existing District Plan does not specifically limit agricultural aviation, with it being covered by an exemption to the noise rules in 4.5.1 (2) (c) “activities of normal primary production or forestry activities”It is important to note that some agricultural aviation is in response to pests in crops and therefore the activity takes place with very little notice. Fertiliser spreading activities need to take place in appropriate weather windows which can be unpredictable.	Amend NOISE-R8: Activity status: Permitted ~~Where: 1. The take off and landing is to and from a rural airstrip, a helicopter landing area located in the rural environment, or the Mandeville Aviation and Rail Precinct; 2. Take off and landings do not occur for more 315 hours in any 12 month period; 3. The rural airstrip or helicopter landing area is separated by at least 500m from any notional boundary on a site not being serviced by the use of the airstrip; and 4. A record of take offs and landing times and dates is maintained and available to the Council upon request.~~

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					Timing is also important for some spays to avoid negative impacts to bees.	
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.149	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Oppose	SFF seeks to have the Plan provide for the intermittent use of rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas for the purposes of agricultural aviation including primary production and conservation purposes as a permitted activity without limitations. This is the approach of the Selwyn District Council in their partially operation Selwyn District Plan. The existing District Plan does not specifically limit agricultural aviation, with it being covered by an exemption to the noise rules in 4.5.1 (2) (c) “activities of normal primary production or forestry activities”It is important to note that some agricultural aviation is in response to pests in crops and therefore the activity takes place with very little notice. Fertiliser spreading activities need to take place in appropriate weather windows which can be unpredictable. Timing is also important for some spays to avoid negative impacts to bees.	Amend NOISE-R8: Activity status: Permitted ~~Where: 1. The take-off and landing is to and from a rural airstrip, a helicopter landing area located in the rural environment, or the Mandeville Aviation and Rail Precinct; 2. Take off and landings do not occur for more 315 hours in any 12 month period; 3. The rural airstrip or helicopter landing area is separated by at least 500m from any notional boundary on a site not being serviced by the use of the airstrip; and 4. A record of take offs and landing times and dates is maintained and available to the Council upon request.~~
John Baynes	S68.257	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R8	Oppose	SFF seeks to have the Plan provide for the intermittent use of rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas for the purposes of agricultural aviation including primary production and conservation purposes as a permitted activity without limitations. This is the approach of the Selwyn District Council in their partially operation Selwyn District Plan. The existing District Plan does not specifically limit agricultural aviation, with it being covered by an exemption to the noise rules in 4.5.1 (2) (c) “activities of normal primary production or forestry activities”It is important to note that some agricultural aviation is in response to pests in crops and therefore the activity takes place with very little notice. Fertiliser spreading activities need to take place in appropriate weather windows which can be unpredictable. Timing is also important for some spays to avoid negative impacts to bees.	Amend NOISE-R8: Activity status: Permitted ~~Where: 1. The take-off and landing is to and from a rural airstrip, a helicopter landing area located in the rural environment, or the Mandeville Aviation and Rail Precinct; 2. Take off and landings do not occur for more 315 hours in any 12 month period; 3. The rural airstrip or helicopter landing area is separated by at least 500m from any notional boundary on a site not being serviced by the use of the airstrip; and 4. A record of take offs and landing times and dates is maintained and available to the Council upon request.~~
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	S156.007	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R9	Oppose	TBC	TBC
New Zealand Helicopter Association	S63.011	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R9	Support in part	Clause 1: Helicopters may have travelled from other locations to land or take off to depart to other locations. Clause 2: setbacks- is not justified by the s32 Report and is inconsistent with NOISE-P2 so should be deleted. Clause 3: NZHA would like the district to consider the use of Helicopters and drones in the temporary category. Within the Noise rules districts don't define Helicopter landing areas as temporary	Clause 1: Take off OR landing area too OR from a helicopter landing area. Clause 2: Delete Clause 3: Delete

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					but in many cases that is exactly what it is. Examples- gravelling a track may make 100 movements in a day but not repeated for several years, removing damaged trees- 100 movements, may never be repeated, carnival joy ride operation- once per year with 160movements in a day.	
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.073	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R9	Support in part	Transpower is concerned that the Rule does not contemplate the use of helicopters for inspections, maintenance and upgrading of the National Grid. Transpower seeks that Rule NOISE-R9 explicitly allow for such use in order to give effect to Policy 2 and Policy 5 of the NPSET. (...)	Amend Rule NOISE-R9 as follows: “Activity status: Permitted Where: x. The take-off and landing are to and from a location adjacent to the National Grid where the helicopter use is for the operation, maintenance and upgrading of the National Grid; or 1. The take-off and landing are to and from a helicopter landing area which is:
New Zealand Defence Force	S147.017	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-R9	Amend	Not clear how this rule relates to helicopter landing/take off when it is not in a heli landing area which would be relevant to TMTA where helicopter use may be one-off or on a intermittent basis. An exception to this rule, or an additional permitted activity standard is requested to specifically address this.	Noise from the take-off and landing of helicopters (Other than for agricultural aviation and TMTA) Activity status: Permitted Where: 3. The number of movements to and from the helicopter landing area does not exceed: (a) 2 movements per day; and (b) 4 movements per week; and(c) 10 movements per month. Alternatively, add the following permitted activity standard: 4. The number of helicopter movements associated with temporary activities including TMTA does not exceed:(a) 6 movements per day; and (b) 30 movements per year
Silver Fern Farms	S40.059	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-S1	Support	Silver Fern Farms supports the consenting framework for noise generated in a General Industrial Zone as set out in NOISE-S1(7). The amenity expectations of the General Industrial Zone necessarily differ to those of other zones. Therefore, a restricted discretionary consenting pathway for activities that do not comply with the permitted noise limits is an appropriate approach.	Retain as notified
Ronald Ashby	S107.002	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-S1	Oppose	Proposed noise increase in the range of 20% is substantial and will apply across various zones of township. Unlike perimeter area being rezoned industrial into Mixed use this area is characterised as framed by recreation / parkland & centre of town with established dwellings. Plan supports new retail but rejects established element.	Reduced maximum noise level
HWR Property Limited	S108.001	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-S1	Support	Supports operation of the industrial zone	Retain noise levels in 7 as notified
New Zealand Defence Force	S147.023	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-S1	Support	Broadly set out clear and appropriate standards for noise within various zones.	Retain.
Alliance Group Limited	S121.078	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-S1	Support	Alliance supports the consenting framework for noise generated in a General Industrial Zone as set out in NOISE-S1(7). The amenity expectations of the General Industrial Zone necessarily differ to those of other zones. Therefore, a restricted discretionary consenting	Retain as notified

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					pathway for activities that do not comply with the permitted noise limits is an appropriate approach.	
Greenbriar Ltd.	S128.033	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-S1	Support	Noise standards are appropriate for the various zones	No amendments required
Silver Fern Farms	S40.060	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-S2	Support	The prescribed maximum noise levels at a notional boundary are supported. Consistent with other district plans	Retain as notified
Alliance Group Limited	S121.079	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-S2	Support	The prescribed maximum noise levels at a notional boundary are supported. The levels are generally consistent with similar provisions in the recently decided or notified Central Hawkes Bay, New Plymouth, Selwyn, Timaru and Te Tai o Poutini district plans.	Retain as notified
Silver Fern Farms	S40.061	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-S3	Support	Supported and consistent with other Districts	Retain as notified
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.113	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-S3	Support in part	Waka Kotahi in principle supports the inclusion of this standard, as it stipulates internal design noise levels to be achieved through acoustic treatment of buildings containing a noise sensitive activity. However, we note that clause (1) of this Standard limits the acoustic treatment requirements to only “new” buildings. We suggest that these requirements should also be met for all additions to and alterations of existing buildings that could contain a noise sensitive activity, including relocated buildings, and to be consistent with associated rule NOISE-R7. We also note that this standard uses noise metrics that should not be applied to road noise (those used are contrary to National Planning Standards) and it does not give criteria for other types of noise sensitive activities. We recommend that the noise limits from Table 1 of the Section 32 report, which is attached as Attachment 3 to this submission, is inserted into NOISE-S3 and used instead of the existing Table to define internal noise levels within buildings used for noise sensitive activities located within the State Highway Noise Control Overlay. It is also our view that the temperature specification in clause 2(a) should include a lower limit of 18 degrees Celsius; clause 2(b) should be amended to add a minimum air flow of 1 change per hour; that clause 2(c) should be changed so that the noise limit applies at 1 metre and not 2 metres; the following text “once the room temperature has been achieved” in clause 2(c) should be deleted; and change the notes to be explicitly part of the standard rather than potentially being advice	Amend the wording of clause (1) to as follows, or use similar wording that achieves the same intent: 1. The following internal noise levels shall be met for any new building, or alterations to or additions to any existing building, including relocated buildings , containing a noise sensitive activity: And Insert Table 1, taken from the Section 32 report attached as Attachment 3 of this submission, into this standard and amend the wording of the standard so that that Table is used to define internal noise levels within buildings used for noise sensitive activities located within the State Highway Noise Control Overlay. And make the following amendments to clause 2: Include a lower limit of 18 degrees Celsius into (a) ; and Add a minimum air flow of 1 change per hour into (b) ; and Change (c) so that the noise limit applies at 1 metre and not 2 metres , and delete the following text from (c) “ ~~once the room temperature has been achieved~~ ”; and Change the three notes so that they become explicitly part of the standard rather than potentially being advice.
Alliance Group Limited	S121.080	NOISE – Noise	NOISE-S3	Support	The acoustic treatment standard (35dB/40dB LAeq 15 min) for noise sensitive activities locating in a General Industrial Zone is	Retain as notified

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					supported and is generally consistent with similar provisions of other recent district plans.	
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.101	NOISE – Noise	NOISE- Additional provisions sought	Amend	KiwiRail recommends that an appropriate level of amenity is provided within buildings containing noise sensitive activities, KiwiRail asks that its model acoustic performance standard for development for activities sensitive to noise, within 100m of the railway corridor, be included in the proposed Gore District Plan. Refer to submission pg.19 for full submission. The proposed noise standard rule sets out the level of mitigation required to be achieved to ensure an appropriate level of internal amenity in buildings for residential or health or teaching activities. This is most effectively achieved at the time of construction. The further removed from the rial corridor a building is, the less additional mitigation may be required. The noise level proposed is in accordance with the World Health Organisation standards, with an adjustment reflecting that trains are intermittent and that often there is a degree of community acceptance of train noise.	Insert as follows: Refer to Appendix A for the rules and standards for model noise and vibration district plan provisions to be inserted as a new rule and standard.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.102	NOISE – Noise	NOISE- Additional provisions sought	Amend	KiwiRail notes that there is currently no standard in the proposed plan that relates to reverse sensitivity for noise and vibration.	Insert standard from Appendix A into the noise chapter.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.240	NOISE – Noise	NOISE- Additional provisions sought	Oppose in part	KiwiRail seeks the inclusion of a new Vibration Alert Area Overlay in GIS. A Rail Corridor Vibration Alert Area layer manages potential vibration effects from rail corridors. A Rail Corridor Vibration Alert Area informs landowners that they may experience vibration effects from the adjacent rail corridor, but it does not impose any resource consent obligations on landowners. In terms of the spatial extent of the Rail Corridor Vibration Alert layer KiwiRail ask that this be measured 60metres from the designation boundary of the rail corridor. This should apply to all rail corridors in a city/district.	Insert a Rail Corridor Vibration Alert Area in GIS of 60meters either side of the rail corridor. The Rail Corridor Vibration Alert Area Overlay should read:The Rail Corridor Vibration Alert Area identifies the vibration-sensitive area within 60metres each side of the rail corridor. Properties within this area may experience rail vibration. No specific district plan rules or notification requirements apply as a result of this Rail Corridor Vibration Alert Area.