

Plan section – Map layers						
Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
John Baynes	S68.297	Natural environmental Values map layers	ECO-MAP-Significant Natural Area	Not Stated	The definition of a SNA as per the NPS-IB states 'any area that, after the commencement date, is notified or included in a district plan as an SNA following an assessment of the area in accordance with Appendix 1'. Therefore, until the identification is carried out notification or inclusion in the plan takes place, the unmapped areas should not be treated as SNAs. In the development of the Council's Long-Term Plan, the Council should look to provide financial assistance or rates rebates/remission for ratepayers with identified SNAs. We support nonregulatory approaches to managing SNAs and would be happy to work with the Council and our members to achieve this. There is a real risk of a perverse effect that SNAs will become something farmers are motivated to avoid having on their property. For instance, if there are significant costs and restrictions associated with SNAs, they will become a liability instead of something to be proud of. This will have implications for biodiversity, and farmers may choose to plant exotic species for artificial wetlands, shelterbelts or stock shade trees etc, which encourage a habitat for non-indigenous fauna, instead of being encouraged to choose indigenous species.	SFF does not agree with including areas that have not been mapped as SNAs. It is our view that until areas are mapped and included in the plan, they should not be considered SNAs.
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.010	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Amend	The ONL covers 60% of the submitters farm, which is 30% of the ONL overlay and SNA map. The ONL overlay area covers a large area of pastoral farmland. The submitter is unsure whether this has been considered when determining what area shall fall under the ONL.	Amend the ONL layer to reflect the area of outstanding natural values.
Southland District Council	S146.102	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose in part	Hokonui Hills borders Southland District.	Review cross-boundary issues with SDC.
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.110	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose	The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.	Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.010	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Amend	The ONL covers 60% of the submitters farm, which is 30% of the ONL overlay and SNA map. The ONL overlay area covers a large area of pastoral farmland. The submitter is unsure whether this has been considered when determining what area shall fall under the ONL.	Amend the ONL layer to reflect the area of outstanding natural values.
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.010	Natural environmental	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Amend	The ONL covers 60% of the submitters farm, which is 30% of the ONL overlay and SNA map.	Amend the ONL layer to reflect the area of outstanding natural values.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS - Addendum

Plan section – Map layers						
Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
		Values map layers			The ONL overlay area covers a large area of pastoral farmland. The submitter is unsure whether this has been considered when determining what area shall fall under the ONL.	
Trudy Bokser	S113.110	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose	The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.	Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.
Nathan Bokser	S116.110	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose	The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.	Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.110	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose	The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.	Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.112	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose	The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.	Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.
Karen Temple	S19.112	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose	The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.	Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.112	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose	The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.	Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.
Helen McIntosh	S24.112	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose	The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.	Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.
John Baynes	S68.114	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose	The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.	Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS - Addendum

Plan section – Map layers						
Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						landscape expert at the hearing stage.
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.146	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose	The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.	Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.
Greg Bokser	S117.110	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills	Oppose	The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.	Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.185	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Mataura Falls	Support in part	The 'Natural Features and Landscapes' map shows the "Mataura Falls ONF" crossing State highway 93 (SH93) at a location approximately 270 metres southwest of the intersection of Eden Street and SH93. It is unclear from viewing street view imagery where the Mataura ONF is physically located at the point that it crosses SH93, as the land surface in this location appears highly modified, comprising buildings and hardstanding areas along both sides of the SH93 carriageway. It is unclear whether this is a mapping error or not. The image and description of the Mataura Falls ONF in Appendix 5 indicate that it is physically separated from SH93, and located "just north of the State Highway 93 bridge". We recommend that the accuracy of the map overlay is re-checked and any necessary corrections are made, especially as they relate to where it is presently shown to transect State highway 93.	Check the accuracy of the location of the "Mataura ONF" as shown on the map, and in particular, where it is shown to transect State highway 93 and correct any inaccuracies in the notified map as necessary
Southland District Council	S146.103	Natural environmental Values map layers	NFL-MAP-Mataura River	Oppose in part	The Mataura esplanade strip does not follow the course of the Mataura River and should probably be re-established.	Update the course of the Mataura River
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.239	General District Wide Matters map layers	NOISE-MAP-Railway Noise Control Overlay	Amend	KiwiRail supports the inclusion of a Railway Noise Control Overlay within the proposed Gore District Plan, however, KiwiRail opposes the overlay provided in the Gore District Plan GIS. The overlay varies in distances throughout the plan with areas (example in submission point 177 full submission) where the overlay does not extend beyond the rail corridor at its boundary.	Redefine the Railway Noise Control Overlay to be 100m either side of the KRH KiwiRail Holdings Ltd designation KRH-1
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.186	General District Wide Matters map layers	NOISE-MAP-State Highway Noise Control Overlay	Support in part	Waka Kotahi seeks to introduce a new modelled 'State Highway Noise Control Overlay' to the Plan, to replace the 'State highway Noise Control Overlay' displayed in the Noise Map within the Plan, where the latter shows a standard/metric 40 metre buffer distance along the entire state highway network within the Gore district. Waka Kotahi has undertaken modelling to determine	Replace the State highway noise control overlay in the notified Plan with the State highway noise control overlay provided by Waka Kotahi. Waka Kotahi will provide Council with the noise overlay geospatial files in due course.

Plan section – Map layers						
Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>more accurately the distance that noise from the state highway transmits beyond the state highway road corridor. Our proposed overlay displays the outcome of this modelling, and it shows that the noise buffer area varies across the state highway network, influenced by variables such as land topography, barriers to noise created by existing buildings and structures, the surface material used to construct the highway carriageway and speed of vehicles, and traffic volumes. We consider that the 40m buffer area shown on the State Highway Noise Overlay map in the notified plan does not capture the full spatial extent of noise emissions from the designated state highway network; particularly within the Rural zones adjacent to State highway 1 and State Highway 94 where the effects of statehighway noise have been modelled out to 100 metres (a capped distance). There is generally a greater degree of consistency between the notified noise overlay and the overlay proposed by Waka Kotahi in the urban areas within the District and the rural areas adjoining State highways 90, 93 and 96, although there are still locations where quite marked variations in the width of the noise overlays occurs. Consequently, Waka Kotahi considers that, if the notified State Highway Noise Overlay map is relied upon, noise sensitive activities that might establish on those properties would not be adequately protected from noise arising from the state highway network through rule NOISE-R7 and associated standard NOISE-S3. Appendix 6 of this submission provides examples of where the notified Plan's proposed State Highway Noise Control Overlay covers a narrower area than the modelled State Highway Noise Control Overlay proposed by Waka Kotahi. To support the management of noise effects through an overlay approach, Waka Kotahi has produced a s32 report (see Appendix 3). A link to our recommended 'State highway Noise Control Overlay' map is available here: https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/dc12cf05d0d5477f8b042a8541bcb29</p>	
Southland District Council	S146.104	Development Areas map layers	DEV1-ODP-South Gore	Oppose in part	The South Gore Industrial Development area is susceptible to inundation. Development in areas susceptible to flooding increases the risks to infrastructure and populations downstream.	Review the risk of inundation to this area.
Environment Southland	S85.309	Development Areas map layers	DEV1-ODP-South Gore	Oppose		Change location to somewhere else that is not susceptible to natural hazards which could put people at risk.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.099	Development Areas map layers	DEV2-ODP-West Gore	Oppose	While Transpower does not oppose the wider development of the Gore West Residential Development Area, Transpower does not support	Amend the Planning Map and Outline Development Plan to exclude the area of land that is in the National Grid Yard.

Plan section – Map layers						
Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>the Outline Development Plan on the basis that the Plan does not identify the National Grid, National Grid Yard or National Grid Subdivision Corridor, and does not reflect constraints to the development of the area as a consequence of the presence of the National Grid. That is, the area of the Outline Development Plan that is in the vicinity of the National Grid is constrained by provisions in the Proposed District Plan includes provisions that regulate development in the National Grid Yard, NZECP34:2001 and section 237 of the PWA that prevents excavations (without permission) within specified distances of transmission line support structures. Against this backdrop, and alongside the policy context (including Policies 10 and 11 of the NPSET), it is considered that the land in the vicinity of the National Grid within the Outline Development Plan Area is not realistically available to accommodate urban or semi urban development. As such, rezoning land in the vicinity of the National Grid to accommodate such development gives rise to misleading expectations and does not give effect to the NPSET does not represent an efficient, effective or appropriate approach to achieving objectives of the Proposed District Plan and the purpose of the RMA on the basis that: (a) it is reasonably possible to manage activities that may compromise the National Grid through a consideration of zoning; and (b) zoning is a planning tool available to local authorities to signal areas within which sensitive activities will generally not be provided for. Because the National Grid is located parallel to Terry Road and along the western boundary of the development area, it is considered practical and appropriate to adjust the western extent of the Planning Map and Outline Development Plan to exclude the area of land within the National Grid Yard.</p>	
Environment Southland	S85.310	Development Areas map layers	DEV2-ODP-West Gore	Oppose		Alter GRZ to align with hazard overly. No further development should be enabled in this location.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.237	Designations map layers	KRH-1-MAP	Amend	<p>KiwiRail generally supports the designation layer in the Proposed Gore District Plan but identify two locations as being missed from the designation shapefile. KiwiRail seeks amendment to the GIS layer to include the entire rail corridor at both locations. Pukerau - Landslip Valley Road</p>	Amend the designation to include the entire rail corridor as shown in submission point 175 of full submission.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.238	Designations map layers	KRH-1-MAP	Amend	<p>KiwiRail generally supports the designation layer in the Proposed Gore District Plan but identify two locations as being missed from the designation shapefile. KiwiRail seeks amendment to the GIS layer to include the entire rail corridor at both</p>	Amend the designation to include the entire rail corridor as shown in submission point 176 of full submission.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS - Addendum

Plan section – Map layers						
Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					locations. McNab - Macgibbon Road	
Ministry of Education	S125.100	Designations map layers	MEDU Map - general	Support	The submitter supports all of the MoE designations.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.101	Designations map layers	MEDU-1-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.102	Designations map layers	MEDU-2-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.103	Designations map layers	MEDU-3-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.104	Designations map layers	MEDU-4-MAP	Oppose in part	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.105	Designations map layers	MEDU-5-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.106	Designations map layers	MEDU-6-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.107	Designations map layers	MEDU-7-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.108	Designations map layers	MEDU-8-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.109	Designations map layers	MEDU-9-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.110	Designations map layers	MEDU-10-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.111	Designations map layers	MEDU-11-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.112	Designations map layers	MEDU-12-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed,
Ministry of Education	S125.113	Designations map layers	MEDU-13-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.114	Designations map layers	MEDU-14-MAP	Support	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Retain as proposed.
Ministry of Education	S125.115	Designations map layers	MEDU-15-MAP	Support	The designation is sought to be retained as proposed.	Retain as proposed.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.180	Designations map layers	NZTA Map - general	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the inclusion of state highway designations on the planning maps; however, several sections of the notified state highway designations need to be spatially represented accurately on the planning maps. Refer to Appendix 4 for examples of where the state highway designation boundaries will need to be corrected to align with the most current legal road boundaries and where the proposed designation will need to be widened to cover the formed and operational road. Full rationale in submission on page 71-73	Waka Kotahi requests that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the state highway designation geospatial shapefiles be widened in discrete places to cover the formed and operational road; and • that the state highway designation geospatial shapefiles be corrected to align with the most up to date legal road boundaries. Refer Appendix 4 of the submission for examples of where the state highway designation boundaries will need to be corrected to align with the most current legal road parcel boundaries. Waka Kotahi will provide Council with the corrected geospatial files in due course.
Alliance Group Limited	S121.002	Information only map layers	MAP Contaminated Land sites	Support	The Contaminated Land Site overlay is consistent with the Environment Southland Selected Land Use Sites (SLUS) register.	Retain as notified
Southland District Council	S146.105	Information only map layers	MAP Streams and Rivers	Oppose in part	The esplanade strip no longer follows the current course of the Mataura River	Request to review the course of the esplanade strip along the Mataura River.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS - Addendum

Plan section – Map layers						
Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Southland District Council	S146.110	Information only map layers	MAP Streams and Rivers	Oppose in part	Esplanade strips along the Mataura River no longer follow the current course of the Mataura River, and should probably be re-established along the current course.	Update the course of the Mataura River
PowerNet Limited	S95.029	Information only map layers	MAP Electricity Lines	Not Stated	Amend the planning maps to include the Electricity Distribution Lines The identification of PowerNet's 33kV lines, and 11kv lines that are the only power supply for communities, which is required in the District Planning maps as part of the suite of changes. PowerNet seeks to protect its infrastructure from reverse sensitivity effects. The proposed mapping of the lines works in combination with the distribution line corridor provisions will assist with managing incompatible activities close to these lines, that may inhibit PowerNet's ability to provide electricity to the community.	Include PowerNet's 33kV lines, and 11kv lines that are the only power supply for communities in the District Planning Maps, as shown in the Plan (pg 15 of submission) . Note that the plan maps the 33kV lines, and PowerNet are currently mapping the 10kV lines which are the only power supply for communities, which will be provided to Council once mapping is completed.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	S123.002	Information only map layers	MAP Archaeological Alert Layer	Not Stated	<p>The Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 makes it unlawful for any person to destroy, damage or modify the whole or any part of an archaeological site without the prior authority of HNZPT. HNZPT is supportive that the mapped extent of any recorded archaeological sites in the District has been included on the online mapping tool, however, there are not many recorded archaeological sites in Gore and this does not alert landowners to areas of high potential for archaeological discovery.</p> <p>HNZPT recommends establishing an archaeological alert layer to identify areas that have a strong likelihood of containing archaeological features. There would not be specific rules attached to these areas but the layer would create awareness for landowners of their responsibilities under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 rather than duplicating the authority process by requiring additional resource consents for work that may disturb archaeological sites. The relevant shapefiles to create the overlay were provided to the consultants working on behalf of Council on the District Plan review by HNZPT.</p> <p>The pGDP includes an appropriate description of the Archaeological Alert Overlay (Historic Heritage Note 3 and Earthworks Note 4), however, the overlay does not appear to have been included on the online mapping tool.</p>	Add archaeological alert overlay
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.002	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	KiwiRail seeks the inclusion of a Vibration Alert Area Overlay in GIS to show the area potentially affected by vibration between a railway corridor boundary at the distance of 60 meters either side of the rail corridor	Include a Vibration Alert Area Overlay that is 60m either side of the railway corridor boundaries.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS - Addendum

Plan section – Map layers						
Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					boundary. Refer to point 3 of Appendix A of full submission.	
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.111	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.	Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning.
Trudy Bokser	S113.111	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.	Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning.
Nathan Bokser	S116.111	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.	Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning.
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.111	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.	Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning.
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.113	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.	Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning.
Karen Temple	S19.113	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.	Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning.
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.113	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.	Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning.
Helen McIntosh	S24.113	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.	Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning.
John Baynes	S68.115	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.	Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning.
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.147	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.	Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS - Addendum

Plan section – Map layers						
Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Greg Bokser	S117.111	Maps	MAP-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.	Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning.