

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.023	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR - General	Support in part	Generally supportive of the approach to including a note that references national policy statements and national environmental standards, however, Transpower considered that the 'Note' does not correctly reflect the relationship between a district plan and these higher order documents and is inconsistent with more explicit references elsewhere in the Proposed District Plan. Transpower proposes some alternative wording	Amend Note 3 as follows: " Section 55 and Section 75(3) of the RMA directs that the district plan must give effect to any National Policy Statement (NPS) and Section 44A of the RMA, directs local authorities to ensure that there are no duplications or conflicts between the provisions of a National Environmental Standard (NES) and a proposed plan National Environmental Standard (NES). NES's must be observed and enforced by local authorities. Section 43B of the RMA directs that a NES prevails over District Plan rules unless a NES expressly ~~stated~~ states that it does not. The National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2008 must be given effect to in respect of District Plan provisions that recognise and provide for the National Grid; manage the effects of the National Grid and manage the effects of other activities on the National Grid. The Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 include a suite of provisions that provide for the operation, maintenance and upgrading of existing transmission lines. These provisions prevail over any similar provisions in the District Plan. "
Department of Conservation	S109.030	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR - General	Support in part	Overall, the D-G considers it necessary that the policies and provisions around infrastructure are set out to identify nationally significant infrastructure, regionally significant infrastructure and other infrastructure including critical infrastructure. This will help to inform how policies are developed for each type of infrastructure based on requirements in the relevant National Policy Statements and the Southland Regional Policy Statement.	Amend the objectives and policies to reflect National Policy and Southland RPS provisions for nationally significant infrastructure, regionally significant infrastructure and 'other infrastructure'.
Department of Conservation	S109.034	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR - General	Support in part	The D-G recommends amending Note 1 to identify which other provisions apply to provide clarity.	Retain with the following amendments (or words to similar effect): Note 1 - Include a more specific description of what other provisions apply to this chapter such as the ECO chapter provisions.
Contact Energy Limited	S144.001	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR - General	Support	Contact supports the provisions relating to the development, operation, maintenance and protection of renewable electricity generation activities.	Retain provisions relating to renewable electricity generation activities in some form.
Southland District Council	S146.012	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR - General	Support in part	Potential mistakes in the implementation of the National Planning Standards highlighted for accuracy and to ensure provisions between Councils are standardised.	The Network Utilities and Network Utility Operator have conflict between their definitions. As part of National Planning Standards, it states in Section 14. Definitions, Point 1: "Where terms defined in the Definitions List are used in a policy statement or plan, and the term is used in the same context as the definition, local authorities must use the definition as defined in the Definitions List." Several councils either implement the Network Utility Operator definition on their own or have modified their Network Utility definition to become a subcategory of the RMA definition to remove the conflict.
Fire and Emergency NZ	S124.011	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR - General	Support	Fire and Emergency supports the objectives and policies within the Infrastructure chapter insofar that they recognise the importance of providing for effective, resilient, efficient and safe infrastructure which maintains public health and safety.	Retain objective and policy framework as notified
Robina Johnston	S140.011	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR - General	Not Stated	infrastructure is important but beautification and screening off structures to camouflage and blend into a more natural environment is need especially with the acceleration of sustainable energy.	Considerations of the visual effects on the natural environment from infrastructure
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.011	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	For consistency between what para. 2 states infrastructure is and the definition in the PDP	In the second paragraph, update the word communication to telecommunication and radio communication.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.012	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Unclear how rules in the infrastructure chapter are to be applied. Under the NPS 'all provisions relating to...infrastructure that are not specific to the special purpose zone chapter or sections must be located in one or more chapters under the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Heading'	Add a paragraph explaining how the infrastructure chapter interacts with other chapters of the DP noting that it is a standalone chapter and there are no rules in any other chapter which apply to the activities regulated under the INFR chapter.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.023	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Oppose	In order to comply with NPS, it must be a standalone chapter. Noting the propsoed amendments to INFRR9, the only other chapters which should be applicable to infrastructure are the subdivision and general district wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the plan. All other actual and potential effects of infrastructure are provided for within the Infrastructure chapter	Amend Note 1 as follows: The provisions in this chapter apply ~~in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.~~ on a district wide basis. As such the rules in the zone chapters do not apply. The provisions in the subdivision and General District Wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the District Plan are applicable.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.015	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Generally supports the 'Overview' but seeks limited amendments to (1) reflect that infrastructure located in Gore, such as the National Grid, may deliver benefits outside of the District also (2) mirror the approach taken in the Energy Sub-Chapter (that refers to the National Policy Statement on Renewable Electricity Generation 2011) by being explicit that the purpose of the Infrastructure Sub-Chapter is, at least in part, to give effect to the NPSET (3) make reference to reverse sensitivity 'effects'.	Amend the 'Overview' as follows: "... It is recognised that infrastructure, and particularly critical infrastructure, is essential to providing for the health, safety and well-being of the people and communities of Gore and beyond . The purpose... (no change)... infrastructure. This chapter must also give effect to the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2008 (NPSET) where the provisions relate to the National Grid. The NPSET identifies the need to operate, maintain, develop and upgrade the National Grid as a matter of national significance and directs decisionmakers to recognise and provide for the national, regional and local benefits of sustainable, secure and efficient electricity transmission. ... While the use and operation of infrastructure can have adverse effects on adjoining activities and sites, activities adjoining infrastructure can also have adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects , on infrastructure."
Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	S110.015	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Amend	There is no reference to Nature Based Solutions in the plan as notified. Including this information as part of the overview (and in the definitions as suggested) encourages developers to look to these options as a first, before grey infrastructure. These options can provide significant benefit to the district in amenity, environment/natural values, hazard control, water quality and so on.	Add: The use of sustainable infrastructure, such as Nature Based Solutions and blue green infrastructure means infrastructure is often able to perform more effectively and efficiently than traditional grey infrastructure solutions. Nature Based Solutions provide opportunities to improve degraded natural environments, improve local amenity and enhance long-term environmental resilience. These options can also be used to purify water, as well as minimise floods and erosion, reduce the urban heat island effect, deliver enhanced air quality and enhance people's mental and physical wellbeing. The overall cost of sustainable infrastructure can also be a fraction of grey infrastructure solutions, due to lower ongoing maintenance costs. These approaches help us to minimise the impacts of climate change by improving our resilience and allowing infrastructure to adapt to change
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.059	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview.	Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, being highly productive land or the underlying relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.011	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	For consistency between what para. 2 states infrastructure is and the definition in the PDP	In the second paragraph, update the word communication to telecommunication and radio communication.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.012	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Unclear how rules in the infrastructure chapter are to be applied. Under the NPS 'all provisions relating to...infrastructure that are not specific to the special purpose zone chapter or sections must be	Add a paragraph explaining how the infrastructure chapter interacts with other chapters of the DP noting that it is a standalone chapter and there are no rules in any other chapter which apply to the activities regulated under the INFR chapter.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					located in one or more chapters under the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Heading'	
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.023	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Oppose	In order to comply with NPS, it must be a standalone chapter. Noting the proposed amendments to INFRR9, the only other chapters which should be applicable to infrastructure are the subdivision and general district wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the plan. All other actual and potential effects of infrastructure are provided for within the Infrastructure chapter	Amend Note 1 as follows: The provisions in this chapter apply ~~in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.~~ on a district wide basis. As such the rules in the zone chapters do not apply. The provisions in the subdivision and General District Wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the District Plan are applicable.
FortySouth	S33.011	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	For consistency between what para. 2 states infrastructure is and the definition in the PDP	In the second paragraph, update the word communication to telecommunication and radio communication.
FortySouth	S33.012	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Unclear how rules in the infrastructure chapter are to be applied. Under the NPS 'all provisions relating to...infrastructure that are not specific to the special purpose zone chapter or sections must be located in one or more chapters under the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Heading'	Add a paragraph explaining how the infrastructure chapter interacts with other chapters of the DP noting that it is a standalone chapter and there are no rules in any other chapter which apply to the activities regulated under the INFR chapter.
FortySouth	S33.023	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Oppose	In order to comply with NPS, it must be a standalone chapter. Noting the proposed amendments to INFRR9, the only other chapters which should be applicable to infrastructure are the subdivision and general district wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the plan. All other actual and potential effects of infrastructure are provided for within the Infrastructure chapter	Amend Note 1 as follows: The provisions in this chapter apply ~~in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.~~ on a district wide basis. As such the rules in the zone chapters do not apply. The provisions in the subdivision and General District Wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the District Plan are applicable.
Connexa Limited	S37.011	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	For consistency between what para. 2 states infrastructure is and the definition in the PDP	In the second paragraph, update the word communication to telecommunication and radio communication.
Connexa Limited	S37.012	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Unclear how rules in the infrastructure chapter are to be applied. Under the NPS 'all provisions relating to...infrastructure that are not specific to the special purpose zone chapter or sections must be located in one or more chapters under the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Heading'	Add a paragraph explaining how the infrastructure chapter interacts with other chapters of the DP noting that it is a standalone chapter and there are no rules in any other chapter which apply to the activities regulated under the INFR chapter.
Connexa Limited	S37.023	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Oppose	In order to comply with NPS, it must be a standalone chapter. Noting the proposed amendments to INFRR9, the only other chapters which should be applicable to infrastructure are the subdivision and general district wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the plan. All other actual and potential effects of infrastructure are provided for within the Infrastructure chapter	Amend Note 1 as follows: The provisions in this chapter apply ~~in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.~~ on a district wide basis. As such the rules in the zone chapters do not apply. The provisions in the subdivision and General District Wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the District Plan are applicable.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.011	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	For consistency between what para. 2 states infrastructure is and the definition in the PDP	In the second paragraph, update the word communication to telecommunication and radio communication.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.012	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Unclear how rules in the infrastructure chapter are to be applied. Under the NPS 'all provisions relating to...infrastructure that are not specific to the special purpose zone chapter or sections must be	Add a paragraph explaining how the infrastructure chapter interacts with other chapters of the DP noting that it is a standalone chapter and there are no rules in any other chapter which apply to the activities regulated under the INFR chapter.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					located in one or more chapters under the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Heading'	
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.023	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Oppose	In order to comply with NPS, it must be a standalone chapter. Noting the propsoed amendments to INFRR9, the only other chapters which should be applicable to infrastructure are the subdivision and general district wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the plan. All other actual and potential effects of infrastructure are provided for within the Infrastructure chapter	Amend Note 1 as follows: The provisions in this chapter apply ~~in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.~~ on a district wide basis. As such the rules in the zone chapters do not apply. The provisions in the subdivision and General District Wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the District Plan are applicable.
PowerNet Limited	S95.012	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support	PowerNet supports the overview section which recognises the importance of infrastructure to the district.	Retain this section
Kevin Robertson	S115.060	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview.	Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, being highly productive land or the underlying relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment.
Ronald Crispin	S60.062	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview.	Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, being highly productive land or the underlying relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.071	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview.	Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, being highly productive land or the underlying relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.072	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview.	Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, being highly productive land or the underlying relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment.
John Baynes	S68.180	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Overview	Support in part	Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview.	Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, being highly productive land or the underlying relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.013	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O1	Oppose in part	The scope of INFR-O1 should be widened to fully recognize what critical infrastructure needs to achieve.	Amend INFR-O1 as follows: ~~The operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided to meet the needs of the community and businesses.~~ Critical infrastructure: 1. is efficient, effective and resilient; 2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional or national networks, including in emergencies; 3. coordinates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and 4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.016	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O1	Support in part	Transpower supports Objective INFR-O1 to the extent that the Objective sets out an outcome where the operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided for in a manner consistent with Policy 2 of the NPSET. It is considered that the Objective does not fully give effect to Policy INF.1 of the SRPS or Policy 1 of the NPSET because, the Objective does make reference	Amend Objective INFR-O1 as follows:. "INFR-O1 The benefits derived from infrastructure are realised and the The operation, maintenance upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided for to meet the needs of the community and businesses" Recognise the benefits to be derived from, and make provision for, the development, maintenance, upgrade and ongoing operation of regionally significant, nationally significant and critical infrastructure and associated activities."

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					to the benefits of the National Grid (albeit through generic reference to infrastructure).	
Yrless	S149.002	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O1	Support		Retain as notified.
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.032	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O1	Support		Retain
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.013	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O1	Oppose in part	The scope of INFR-O1 should be widened to fully recognize what critical infrastructure needs to achieve.	Amend INFR-O1 as follows: ~~The operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided to meet the needs of the community and businesses.~~ Critical infrastructure: 1. is efficient, effective and resilient; 2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional or national networks, including in emergencies; 3. coordinates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and 4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.
FortySouth	S33.013	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O1	Oppose in part	The scope of INFR-O1 should be widened to fully recognize what critical infrastructure needs to achieve.	Amend INFR-O1 as follows: ~~The operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided to meet the needs of the community and businesses.~~ Critical infrastructure: 1. is efficient, effective and resilient; 2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional or national networks, including in emergencies; 3. coordinates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and 4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.
Connexa Limited	S37.013	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O1	Oppose in part	The scope of INFR-O1 should be widened to fully recognize what critical infrastructure needs to achieve.	Amend INFR-O1 as follows: ~~The operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided to meet the needs of the community and businesses.~~ Critical infrastructure: 1. is efficient, effective and resilient; 2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional or national networks, including in emergencies; 3. coordinates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and 4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.013	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O1	Oppose in part	The scope of INFR-O1 should be widened to fully recognize what critical infrastructure needs to achieve.	Amend INFR-O1 as follows: ~~The operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided to meet the needs of the community and businesses.~~ Critical infrastructure: 1. is efficient, effective and resilient; 2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional or national networks, including in emergencies; 3. coordinates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and 4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.
PowerNet Limited	S95.013	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O1	Support	PowerNet supports this objective as it provides for infrastructure, including electricity distribution networks, and recognises the importance of infrastructure for communities and businesses.	Retain objective
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.014	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O2	Support in part	The proposed amendments to INFR-O1 result in INFR-O2 being superfluous and Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 does not need to be referenced in an objective, as it is not referenced in any subsequent rules or standards which is where its implementation could actually be followed.	Delete INFR-O2
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.013	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O2	Support in part	Waka Kotahi recommends an amendment to include the words 'safe, effective and efficient way ' to make the intent of the objective more explicit and maintain consistency with the terminology used within the Land Transport Management Act 2003.	Amend INFR - O2 as follows: Infrastructure within new subdivisions and developments integrates with existing infrastructure in a safe, effective and efficient way and provides for opportunities to link with future infrastructure in accordance with the Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 or any subsequent replacement document.
Yrless	S149.005	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O2	Amend	The provisions relating to infrastructure should be integrated into the plan instead of referring to and external document.	Delete " ~~.. and provides for opportunities to link with future infrastructure in accordance with the Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 or any subsequent~~

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						replacement document"
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.014	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O2	Support in part	The proposed amendments to INFR-O1 result in INFR-O2 being superfluous and Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 does not need to be referenced in an objective, as it is not referenced in any subsequent rules or standards which is where its implementation could actually be followed.	Delete INFR-O2
FortySouth	S33.014	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O2	Support in part	The proposed amendments to INFR-O1 result in INFR-O2 being superfluous and Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 does not need to be referenced in an objective, as it is not referenced in any subsequent rules or standards which is where its implementation could actually be followed.	Delete INFR-O2
Connexa Limited	S37.014	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O2	Support in part	The proposed amendments to INFR-O1 result in INFR-O2 being superfluous and Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 does not need to be referenced in an objective, as it is not referenced in any subsequent rules or standards which is where its implementation could actually be followed.	Delete INFR-O2
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.014	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O2	Support in part	The proposed amendments to INFR-O1 result in INFR-O2 being superfluous and Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 does not need to be referenced in an objective, as it is not referenced in any subsequent rules or standards which is where its implementation could actually be followed.	Delete INFR-O2
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.015	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O3	Support in part	INFR-O3 is clearly directed at reverse sensitivity. The objective should be rewritten to specifically state reverse sensitivity effects.	Amend INFR-O3 as follows: ~~Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure.~~ The safe and efficient operation and security of critical infrastructure is not compromised by incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O3	Support	Transpower supports Objective INFR-O3 on the basis that the Objective gives effect to Policy INF.3 of the SRPS and because, insofar as it relates to the National Grid, . the Objective reflects the concept of protection in Policies 10 and 11 of the NPSET.	Retain Objective IFR-O3 as notified.
Yrless	S149.003	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O3	Support		Retain as notified.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O3	Support	KiwiRail supports the provisions of Objective INF-03 which seeks to protect land reserved for future infrastructure from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure.	Retain INFR-O3 as proposed.
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.033	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O3	Support in part	To address reverse sensitivity effects from non-infrastructure activities, objectives in relevant chapters, including the General Rural Zone, are crucial.	The District Plan should incorporate regulations for sensitive activities under land use provisions to achieve this outcome.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.015	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O3	Support in part	INFR-O3 is clearly directed at reverse sensitivity. The objective should be rewritten to specifically state reverse sensitivity effects.	Amend INFR-O3 as follows: ~~Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure.~~ The safe and efficient operation and security of critical infrastructure is not compromised by incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.
FortySouth	S33.015	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O3	Support in part	INFR-O3 is clearly directed at reverse sensitivity. The objective should be rewritten to specifically state reverse sensitivity effects.	Amend INFR-O3 as follows: ~~Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure.~~ The safe and efficient operation and security of critical infrastructure is not compromised by incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.
Connexa Limited	S37.015	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O3	Support in part	INFR-O3 is clearly directed at reverse sensitivity. The objective should be rewritten to specifically state reverse sensitivity effects.	Amend INFR-O3 as follows: ~~Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure.~~ The safe and efficient operation and security of critical infrastructure is not compromised by incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.015	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O3	Support in part	INFR-O3 is clearly directed at reverse sensitivity. The objective should be rewritten to specifically state reverse sensitivity effects.	Amend INFR-O3 as follows: ~~Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure.~~ The safe and efficient operation and security of critical infrastructure is not compromised by incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.
Andrea McMillan & Tony Harpur	S134.006	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O3	Oppose		~~Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure, is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure.~~
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.016	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Support in part	It is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.	Amend INF-O4 as follows: ~~Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed.~~ The functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure are taken into account when managing any adverse effects of its location, design and operation on the natural and physical environment
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.018	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Support	Transpower supports Objective INFR-O4 on the basis that the Objective reflects the range of responses to adverse effects directed by Policy INF.2 of the SRPS and, insofar as the Objective is relevant to the National Grid, appropriate reflects the range of responses to adverse effects directed by the NPSET.	Retain Objective INFR-O4 as notified.
Department of Conservation	S109.031	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Oppose	As noted in the submission point above, this objective needs to deal with not only critical infrastructure but 'specified infrastructure' that provides significant national or regional public benefit and the management of adverse effects through the application of the effects management hierarchy in line with the NPSFM, NPS IB and Southland RPS.	Amend objective INFR-O4 to have regard for the management of adverse effects and application of the effects management hierarchy.
Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	S110.016	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Amend	There is no reference to Nature Based Solutions in the plan as notified. Including this objective ties-in to the suggested addition in the overview, and encourages developers to look to these options as a first, before grey infrastructure. These options can provide significant benefit to the district in	Add: INFR-O4 Low-emission and climate-resilient urban environments are encouraged by considering blue and green infrastructure options and nature based solutions as first options before grey engineering.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					amenity, environment/natural values, hazard control, water quality and so on.	
Yrless	S149.004	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Support		Retain as notified.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.018	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Amend	The objective relates to critical infrastructure, however, KiwiRail believe adverse effects arise from all forms of infrastructure and the objective should reflect this.	Amend as follows: Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure including critical infrastructure are managed.
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.034	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Support		Retain.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.016	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Support in part	It is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.	Amend INF-O4 as follows: ~~Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed.~~ The functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure are taken into account when managing any adverse effects of its location, design and operation on the natural and physical environment
FortySouth	S33.016	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Support in part	It is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.	Amend INF-O4 as follows: ~~Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed.~~ The functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure are taken into account when managing any adverse effects of its location, design and operation on the natural and physical environment
Connexa Limited	S37.016	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Support in part	It is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.	Amend INF-O4 as follows: ~~Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed.~~ The functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure are taken into account when managing any adverse effects of its location, design and operation on the natural and physical environment
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.016	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Support in part	It is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.	Amend INF-O4 as follows: ~~Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed.~~ The functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure are taken into account when managing any adverse effects of its location, design and operation on the natural and physical environment
Andrea McMillan & Tony Harpur	S134.007	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Amend		Amend as follows: Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed to ensure that the effects on the receiving environment as commensurate with the effects anticipated in the receiving zone;
Environment Southland	S85.070	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-O4	Oppose in part	There are no other objectives that reconcile the balance between providing infrastructure and managing the siting of infrastructure around significant landscapes, biodiversity, natural hazards etc. A precautionary approach should be taken when siting infrastructure in important areas so that the infrastructure does not undermine key values. Some objectives and policies need to be established to guide decision making when consents are being sought under Rule 9.	Broaden the objective to incorporate section 6 matters of National importance
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P1	Support	INFR-P1 clearly enables infrastructure	Retain INFR-P1 as notified
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.019	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P1	Support	Transpower supports Policy INFR-P1 because the Policy appropriately enables infrastructure in a manner that is generally consistent with Policies 1 and 2 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS.	Retain Policy INFR-P1 as notified.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.014	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P1	Support	Waka Kotahi generally supports policy INFR - P1 in that it seeks to enable the provision and ongoing maintenance, upgrading and use of infrastructure.	Retain as notified

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Yrless	S149.006	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P1	Support		Retain as notified.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.019	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P1	Amend	KiwiRail supports the provision of the policy which seeks to enable construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure. In line with the recommended amendment to INFR-04 KiwiRail seek amendment to policy INFR-P1.	Amend as follows: Enable the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure including critical infrastructure .
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P1	Support	INFR-P1 clearly enables infrastructure	Retain INFR-P1 as notified
FortySouth	S33.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P1	Support	INFR-P1 clearly enables infrastructure	Retain INFR-P1 as notified
Connexa Limited	S37.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P1	Support	INFR-P1 clearly enables infrastructure	Retain INFR-P1 as notified
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P1	Support	INFR-P1 clearly enables infrastructure	Retain INFR-P1 as notified
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.018	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P2	Support	INFR-P2 provides direction for infrastructure to be located underground but recognizes the practicalities that some infrastructure must be located above ground for functional and operational reasons.	Retain INFR-P2 as notified
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.020	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P2	Support in part	Does not oppose Policy INFR-P2 on the basis that the Policy recognises that the underground placement may not be practicable or appropriate in all circumstances. In the case of the National Grid, undergrounding National Grid assets is not directed by the NPSET and, while undergrounding the National Grid may be technically feasible, such an outcome may not be appropriate for any number of reasons including cost/investment, security of supply, or ease of repair and maintenance.	Amend Policy INFR-P2 as follows: "INFR-P2 Require the underground placement of network utilities where practical and appropriate having regard to ~~for~~ the functional needs and operational needs of the network utility."
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.018	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P2	Support	INFR-P2 provides direction for infrastructure to be located underground but recognizes the practicalities that some infrastructure must be located above ground for functional and operational reasons.	Retain INFR-P2 as notified
FortySouth	S33.018	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P2	Support	INFR-P2 provides direction for infrastructure to be located underground but recognizes the practicalities that some infrastructure must be located above ground for functional and operational reasons.	Retain INFR-P2 as notified
Connexa Limited	S37.018	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P2	Support	INFR-P2 provides direction for infrastructure to be located underground but recognizes the practicalities that some infrastructure must be located above ground for functional and operational reasons.	Retain INFR-P2 as notified
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.018	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P2	Support	INFR-P2 provides direction for infrastructure to be located underground but recognizes the practicalities that some infrastructure must be located above ground for functional and operational reasons.	Retain INFR-P2 as notified
PowerNet Limited	S95.014	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P2	Support in part	PowerNet considers that it is appropriate to encourage undergrounding of new power lines in urban environments. However, it is not practicable	Amend as follows: In urban areas , require the underground placement of network utilities where practical and appropriate for the functional needs and operational needs of the

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					nor economically viable to underground new electricity lines in rural areas. PowerNet requests this policy is amended so that it only applies to urban environments.	network utility.
Department of Conservation	S109.032	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P3	Support	The D-G supports the encouragement of green infrastructure and renewable energy.	Retain as notified
Yrless	S149.009	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P3	Neutral		-
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.019	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P4	Support	The telecommunications industry looks for colocation opportunities wherever practicable. A policy encouraging the same is welcomed.	Retain INFR-P4 as notified
Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	S110.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P4	Amend	There is no reference to Nature Based Solutions in the plan as notified. Including this policy ties-in to the suggested INFR-O4 addition, and encourages developers to look to these options as a first, before grey infrastructure. These options can provide significant benefit to the district in amenity, environment/natural values, hazard control, water quality and so on.	Change: Encourage blue and green infrastructure, nature based solutions and renewable energy generation.
Yrless	S149.010	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P4	Oppose in part	The policy needs to reflect that there can be technical limitations to co-location/sharing of infrastructure.	Amend Policy to recognise that technical limitations may prevent co-location and/or sharing of infrastructure.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.020	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P4	Amend	KiwiRail supports the Policy but seeks to encourage co-location of infrastructure. It is noted that INFR-P5 is the essentially the same policy which includes 'sharing' of infrastructure. While it can be advantageous to share and co-locate infrastructure it should only be encouraged where it is appropriate and practicable which will not always be the case.	Amend as follows: Encourage co-location and sharing of infrastructure including critical infrastructure.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.019	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P4	Support	The telecommunications industry looks for colocation opportunities wherever practicable. A policy encouraging the same is welcomed.	Retain INFR-P4 as notified
FortySouth	S33.019	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P4	Support	The telecommunications industry looks for colocation opportunities wherever practicable. A policy encouraging the same is welcomed.	Retain INFR-P4 as notified
Connexa Limited	S37.019	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P4	Support	The telecommunications industry looks for colocation opportunities wherever practicable. A policy encouraging the same is welcomed.	Retain INFR-P4 as notified
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.019	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P4	Support	The telecommunications industry looks for colocation opportunities wherever practicable. A policy encouraging the same is welcomed.	Retain INFR-P4 as notified
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.020	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P5	Oppose	INFR-P5 appears to be a repeat of INFR-P3, with the addition of the phrase shared which has the same basic meaning as co-location. Two policies are not required.	Delete INFR-P5
Yrless	S149.011	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P5	Oppose in part	The policy needs to reflect that there can be technical limitations to co-location/sharing of infrastructure.	Amend policy to recognise that technical limitations may prevent co-location and/or sharing of infrastructure.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.021	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P5	Oppose	KiwiRail considers INFR-P4 and P5 to be too similar and should be combined into one policy.	Delete INFR - P5. <i>Encourage co-location and sharing of infrastructure.</i>
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.020	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P5	Oppose	INFR-P5 appears to be a repeat of INFR-P3, with the addition of the phrase shared which has the same	Delete INFR-P5

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					basic meaning as co-location. Two policies are not required.	
FortySouth	S33.020	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P5	Oppose	INFR-P5 appears to be a repeat of INFR-P3, with the addition of the phrase shared which has the same basic meaning as co-location. Two policies are not required.	Delete INFR-P5
Connexa Limited	S37.020	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P5	Oppose	INFR-P5 appears to be a repeat of INFR-P3, with the addition of the phrase shared which has the same basic meaning as co-location. Two policies are not required.	Delete INFR-P5
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.020	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P5	Oppose	INFR-P5 appears to be a repeat of INFR-P3, with the addition of the phrase shared which has the same basic meaning as co-location. Two policies are not required.	Delete INFR-P5
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.021	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P6	Support	INFR-P6 enhances INFR-O3, and is explicit in its reference to reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain INFR-P6 as notified
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.021	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P6	Support in part	Generally supports Policy INFR-P6 to the extent that the Policy addresses effects on the National Grid. However, Transpower considers that the "management" of sensitive activities and the effects of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the National Grid is inconsistent and less stringent than the policy direction in the NPSET and SRPS. Transpower seeks amendments to the Policy in order to give effect to the NPSET (Policies 10 and 11). Transpower notes that an alternate approach would be to include a standalone policy in respect of the National Grid	Amend as follows: "INFR-P6 Adverse effects (including reverse sensitivity effects) are avoided, remedied or mitigated where activities are adjacent to critical infrastructure, including the avoidance-management of sensitive activities near the National Grid and the avoidance of and the effects (including reverse sensitivity effects) of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid."
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.015	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P6	Support in part	We recommend that the policy be reworded to improve its clarity and interpretation so that it applies to the subdivision, use and development of land that creates reverse sensitive effects on the State highway network as well as the National Grid.	Amend INFR - P6 with the following wording: Adverse effects (including reverse sensitivity effects) are avoided, remedied or mitigated where activities are adjacent to critical infrastructure, including the management of sensitive activities and the effects of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid and the State highway network . Or replace it with the following: Manage adverse effects including reverse sensitivity effects from subdivision, use and development on critical infrastructure and network utilities to ensure that they do not compromise their operation, maintenance, upgrade and development.
Yrless	S149.007	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P6	Support		Retain as notified.
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.035	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P6	Support in part	The submitter supports this but should be applied in relevant chapters.	Adverse effects (including reverse sensitivity effects) on critical infrastructure are avoided, remedied or mitigated ~~where activities are adjacent to critical infrastructure~~ , including the management of sensitive activities and the effects of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.021	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P6	Support	INFR-P6 enhances INFR-O3, and is explicit in its reference to reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain INFR-P6 as notified
FortySouth	S33.021	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P6	Support	INFR-P6 enhances INFR-O3, and is explicit in its reference to reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain INFR-P6 as notified
Connexa Limited	S37.021	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P6	Support	INFR-P6 enhances INFR-O3, and is explicit in its reference to reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain INFR-P6 as notified
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.021	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P6	Support	INFR-P6 enhances INFR-O3, and is explicit in its reference to reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain INFR-P6 as notified

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
PowerNet Limited	S95.015	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P6	Support in part	PowerNet supports policy INFRP6 which seeks to protect the transmission network which is the National Grid. However, PowerNet seeks an amendment to this policy to provide a similar level of protection to PowerNet's important distribution network. This policy is part of the planning framework PowerNet is seeking alongside the mapping of its important lines, and including rules to manage incompatible activities close to these lines.	Amend as follows: Adverse effects (including reverse sensitivity effects) are avoided, remedied or mitigated where activities are adjacent to critical infrastructure, including the management of sensitive activities and the effects of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid and the electricity distribution network.
Andrea McMillan & Tony Harpur	S134.008	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P6	Oppose		~~Policy INFR-P6 is deleted: Adverse effects (including reverse sensitivity effects) are avoided, remedied or mitigated where activities are adjacent to critical infrastructure, including the management of sensitive activities and the effects of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid; and~~
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.022	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Support in part	As with INFR-O4, it is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.	Amend INFR-P7 as follows: Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated, recognising the functional need and operational need of critical infrastructrue
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.022	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Support	Transpower supports Policy INFR-P7 on the basis that the Policy reflects the range of responses to adverse effects directed by Objective INFR-O4, Policy INF.2 of the SRPS and, insofar as the Policy is relevant to the National Grid, appropriate reflects the range of responses to adverse effects directed by the NPSET	Retain Policy INFR-P7 as notified
Department of Conservation	S109.033	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Support in part	The D-G supports the management of adverse effects on ecosystem health as a result of the development of infrastructure, however, to be consistent with other sections of the plan some minor amendments are recommended.	Retain with the following amendments (or words to similar effect): Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, ~~remedied or mitigated.~~ and, where there are no practicable alternative locations, applying the effects management hierarchy where adverse effects cannot be avoided.
Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	S110.018	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Amend	The inclusion of indigenous biodiversity is reference to NPSIB 1.7(a) - maintaining indigenous biodiversity, and at least no overall reduction.	Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, indigenous biodiversity , ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated.
Yrless	S149.008	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Support		Retain as notified.
Yrless	S149.012	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Support in part	Avoidance of cultural sites due to the sites historical use or local understanding can only be made with the appropriate information - this needs to be available at the earliest possible stage of prospective development.	Amend Policy so that Council has a role in ensuring that cultural sites are appropriately defined or identified and/or there is a recognised mechanism to work collaboratively with iwi.
Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	S139.045	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Support in part	Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party.	Amend to read: "Manage the development of infrastructure, ~~so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated~~ to avoid, remedy, or mitigate any adverse effects on: - adjoining activities, sites or ecosystem health, and- any actual or potential effects on mana whenua and the values they associate with the area including whakapapa, the mauri of natural resources, wāhi tapu, mahinga kai, the ability to exercise manaakitanga, mātauranga associated with the current landscape, and the exercise of kaitiakitanga."

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.036	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Not Stated	Offsetting and compensation are relevant mechanisms to ensure infrastructure can be provided for	Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied ~~or~~ mitigated, or offset, or compensated for.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.022	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Support in part	As with INFR-O4, it is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.	Amend INFR-P7 as follows: Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated, recognising the functional need and operational need of critical infrastructure
FortySouth	S33.022	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Support in part	As with INFR-O4, it is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.	Amend INFR-P7 as follows: Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated, recognising the functional need and operational need of critical infrastructure
Connexa Limited	S37.022	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Support in part	As with INFR-O4, it is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.	Amend INFR-P7 as follows: Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated, recognising the functional need and operational need of critical infrastructure
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.022	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Support in part	As with INFR-O4, it is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.	Amend INFR-P7 as follows: Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated, recognising the functional need and operational need of critical infrastructure
Environment Southland	S85.071	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-P7	Support in part	It's difficult to understand how these policies will balance the drivers for infrastructure and the wider benefits of matters under section 6 of the RMA such as significant indigenous vegetation, significant landscapes etc. Some objectives and policies need to be established to guide decision making when consents are being sought under Rule 9	Broaden the policy to incorporate section 6 matters of national importance
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.024	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Support	INFR-R1 is an appropriate rule for the development and management of underground infrastructure. While the rule is supported, it could be rewritten so that it is consistent with the terminology used in INFR-P2.	Retain INFR-R1 as notified with potential to rewrite to refer to 'underground infrastructure' rather than 'below ground'
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.024	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Support	Transpower supports Rules INFR-R1 on the basis that a permitted activity status for infrastructure that is located below ground appropriately recognises that the permanent effects of such activities are nil or very minor	Retain Rule INFR-R1 as notified.
Department of Conservation	S109.035	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Oppose	The D-G considers that this activity should still be subject to a restricted discretionary status where new infrastructure is within a specified area such as an SNA, areas of highly mobile fauna or riparian margin.	Amend the rule to be restricted discretionary where located within specified areas.
Yrless	S149.013	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Oppose in part	The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.	Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.022	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Support	KiwiRail supports the Permitted Activity status of the Establishment, operation, maintenance, replacement, upgrade, repair or	Retain as proposed.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					removal of infrastructure (including Green Infrastructure) below ground level.	
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.037	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Support in part	The submitter supports the intent of the rule though it is interpreted to provide for EV charging infrastructure including within public land.	Seeks clarity on which rules are relevant to REG activities.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.024	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Support	INFR-R1 ia an appropriate rule for the development and management of underground infrastructure. While the rule is supported, it could be rewritten so that it is consistent with the terminology used in INFR-P2.	Retain INFR-R1 as notified with potential to rewrite to refer to 'underground infrastructure' rather than 'be;low ground'
FortySouth	S33.024	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Support	INFR-R1 ia an appropriate rule for the development and management of underground infrastructure. While the rule is supported, it could be rewritten so that it is consistent with the terminology used in INFR-P2.	Retain INFR-R1 as notified with potential to rewrite to refer to 'underground infrastructure' rather than 'be;low ground'
Connexa Limited	S37.024	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Support	INFR-R1 ia an appropriate rule for the development and management of underground infrastructure. While the rule is supported, it could be rewritten so that it is consistent with the terminology used in INFR-P2.	Retain INFR-R1 as notified with potential to rewrite to refer to 'underground infrastructure' rather than 'be;low ground'
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.024	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Support	INFR-R1 ia an appropriate rule for the development and management of underground infrastructure. While the rule is supported, it could be rewritten so that it is consistent with the terminology used in INFR-P2.	Retain INFR-R1 as notified with potential to rewrite to refer to 'underground infrastructure' rather than 'be;low ground'
PowerNet Limited	S95.016	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Support	PowerNet supports the permitted activity status for below ground infrastructure as it encourages the undergrounding of infrastructure, where this is practicable.	Retain rule
Environment Southland	S85.072	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R1	Oppose	Permitted activity status of underground infrastructure may have temporary or permanent adverse effects on matters of national importance such as heritage, indigenous biodiversity or flood plain management. These matters should be specifically considered when new infrastructure is proposed or existing infrastructure is upgraded.	Establish a restricted discretionary status to this rule in order to trigger resource consents where underground infrastructure will be located in areas where there is likely to be an impact on section 6 matters, for example significant indigenous vegetation, significant landscapes etc
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.025	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R2	Support	NFR-R2 provides absolute clarity that infrastructure placed within a building is permitted	Retain INFR-R2 as notified
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.025	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R2	Support	Transpower supports Rules INFR-R2 on the basis that a permitted activity status for infrastructure that is located in a building appropriately recognises that the permanent effects of such activities are nil or very minor	Retain Rule INFR-R2 as notified.
Yrless	S149.014	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R2	Oppose in part	The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.	Amend the rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground ativities.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.023	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R2	Support	KiwiRail supports the Permitted Activity status of the Establishment, operation, maintenance, replacement, upgrade, repair or removal of infrastructure within a building.	Retain as proposed.
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.038	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R2	Support in part	The submitter supports the intent of the rule.	Seeks clarity on which rules are relevant to REG activities.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.025	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R2	Support	NFR-R2 provides absolute clarity that infrastructure placed within a building is permitted	Retain INFR-R2 as notified
FortySouth	S33.025	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R2	Support	NFR-R2 provides absolute clarity that infrastructure placed within a building is permitted	Retain INFR-R2 as notified
Connexa Limited	S37.025	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R2	Support	NFR-R2 provides absolute clarity that infrastructure placed within a building is permitted	Retain INFR-R2 as notified
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.025	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R2	Support	NFR-R2 provides absolute clarity that infrastructure placed within a building is permitted	Retain INFR-R2 as notified
PowerNet Limited	S95.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R2	Support	PowerNet supports the permitted activity status for infrastructure within buildings.	Retain rule
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.026	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Support in part	Increasing the replacement location distance to 10m allows for greater flexibility when elements such as driveways, potential contamination areas and so forth occur.	Amend INFR-R3(1)(d) as follows: maximum change in location of structure - ~~5m~~ 10m
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.026	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Support	Transpower supports Rule INFR-R3 to the extent that the permitted activity conditions generally reflect the regulations for permitted activities in the NESETA, subject to matters raised in this submission in respect of the Standards that apply. In addition, Transpower supports the Matters of Discretion that apply because these matters allow for a consideration of the benefits of infrastructure in a manner that gives effect to the Objective and Policy 1 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS	Retain Rule INFR-R3 as notified.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.028	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Support	Supports that the permitted activity conditions generally reflect the regulations for permitted activities in the NESETA, subject to matters raised in this submission in respect of the Standards that apply.	Retain Rule INFR-R3 as notified.
Department of Conservation	S109.036	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Support in part	The D-G considers that matters of discretion should include impacts on indigenous biodiversity values.	Amend the restricted discretionary matters: Matters of Discretion 9. Impacts on indigenous biodiversity values including at risk and threatened species and their habitat...
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.016	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Support in part	Waka Kotahi notes that this rule relates to the operation, maintenance, replacement, upgrade, repair or removal of existing above ground infrastructure and access tracks as a permitted activity in all zones. If the above works are required within the State highway corridor then the Government Roding Powers Act 1989 (GRP) applies. This Act requires Waka Kotahi to permit utility authorities and Territorial Local Authorities (TLAs) to install services in State highway corridors subject to an application and necessary conditions. In this regard, we consider that the rule should be retained with the following amendments to the Matters of Discretion to include safety considerations and apply the provision to footpaths and cycleways.	Amend Matters for Discretion as follow: 4. Impact on the safety and function of the road, including any footpath or cycleway .
Yrless	S149.015	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Oppose in part	The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.	Amend the rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.024	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Amend	KiwiRail supports the permitted activity and matters of discretion, however, seek further	Amend as follows: Matters of discretion:

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					matters of discretion to ensure that impacts on the function of the rail, including vehicle access tracks.	 4. Impacts on the function of the road, including any footpath. 5. Impacts on the function of the rail, including vehicle access tracks.
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.039	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Support	The submitter supports the intent of the rules.	Seeks clarity on which rules are relevant to REG activities.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.026	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Support in part	Increasing the replacement location distance to 10m allows for greater flexibility when elements such as driveways, potential contamination areas and so forth occur.	Amend INFR-R3(1)(d) as follows: maximum change in location of structure - ~~5m~~ 10m
FortySouth	S33.026	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Support in part	Increasing the replacement location distance to 10m allows for greater flexibility when elements such as driveways, potential contamination areas and so forth occur.	Amend INFR-R3(1)(d) as follows: maximum change in location of structure - ~~5m~~ 10m
Connexa Limited	S37.026	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Support in part	Increasing the replacement location distance to 10m allows for greater flexibility when elements such as driveways, potential contamination areas and so forth occur.	Amend INFR-R3(1)(d) as follows: maximum change in location of structure - ~~5m~~ 10m
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.026	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Support in part	Increasing the replacement location distance to 10m allows for greater flexibility when elements such as driveways, potential contamination areas and so forth occur.	Amend INFR-R3(1)(d) as follows: maximum change in location of structure - ~~5m~~ 10m
PowerNet Limited	S95.018	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Support	PowerNet supports this rule. It is considered appropriate to provide for the upgrading and maintenance of infrastructure, within the parameters established by the conditions. PowerNet agrees that a restricted discretionary activity status is appropriate where the conditions cannot be achieved	Retain rule
Environment Southland	S85.073	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R3	Oppose in part	Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards.	Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.027	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R4	Support	The replacement of existing support structures should be permitted for above ground infrastructure in urban zones. It should also be made clear that existing support structure should include buildings.	Amend INFR-R4(1) as follows: Other than where existing or replacement support structures (including buildings) are used, lines are to be located underground. Replacement support structures must meet the permitted provisions in INFR-R3(1), and compliance with all INFR Standards is achieved.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.027	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R4	Support in part	Transpower supports Rule INFR-R4 on the basis that the Rule provides a consenting pathway that generally gives effect to the NPSET. Transpower particularly supports the 'default' to restricted discretionary activity status where the permitted activity conditions are not met. That said, Transpower does not support the Matters of Discretion in INFR-R4(1) and INFR-R4(2) because these matters do not provide for a consideration of the benefits of infrastructure and therefore do not give effect the Objective and Policy 1 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS.	Amend Rule INFR-R4(1) and (2) to replicate the Matter of Discretion from Rule INFR-R3 as follows: " The functional and operational needs of, and benefits derived from, the infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken. "
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.029	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R4	Support in part	Support on the basis that the Rule provides a consenting pathway that generally gives effect to the NPSET. Transpower particularly supports the 'default' to restricted discretionary activity status	Amend Rule INFR-R4(1) and (2) to replicate the Matter of Discretion from Rule INFR-R3 as follows: " The functional and operational needs of, and benefits derived from, the infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					where the permitted activity conditions are not met. That said, Transpower does not support the Matters of Discretion in INFR-R4(1) and INFR-R4(2) because these matters do not provide for a consideration of the benefits of infrastructure and therefore do not give effect the Objective and Policy 1 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS.	if the work is not undertaken."
Yrless	S149.016	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R4	Oppose in part	The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.	Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities.
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.040	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R4	Support in part		The submitter seeks the installtion of EV charging infrastructure is permitted in all alnd use zones.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.027	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R4	Support	The replacement of existing support structures should be permitted for above ground infrastructure in urban zones. It should also be made clear that existing support structure should include buildings.	Amend INFR-R4(1) as follows: Other than where existing or replacement support structures (including buildings) are used, lines are to be located underground. Replacement support structures must meet the permitted provisions in INFR-R3(1), and compliance with all INFR Standards is achieved.
FortySouth	S33.027	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R4	Support	The replacement of existing support structures should be permitted for above ground infrastructure in urban zones. It should also be made clear that existing support structure should include buildings.	Amend INFR-R4(1) as follows: Other than where existing or replacement support structures (including buildings) are used, lines are to be located underground. Replacement support structures must meet the permitted provisions in INFR-R3(1), and compliance with all INFR Standards is achieved.
Connexa Limited	S37.027	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R4	Support	The replacement of existing support structures should be permitted for above ground infrastructure in urban zones. It should also be made clear that existing support structure should include buildings.	Amend INFR-R4(1) as follows: Other than where existing or replacement support structures (including buildings) are used, lines are to be located underground. Replacement support structures must meet the permitted provisions in INFR-R3(1), and compliance with all INFR Standards is achieved.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.027	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R4	Support	The replacement of existing support structures should be permitted for above ground infrastructure in urban zones. It should also be made clear that existing support structure should include buildings.	Amend INFR-R4(1) as follows: Other than where existing or replacement support structures (including buildings) are used, lines are to be located underground. Replacement support structures must meet the permitted provisions in INFR-R3(1), and compliance with all INFR Standards is achieved.
Environment Southland	S85.074	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R4	Oppose in part	Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards	Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.028	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R5	Support	The consistency in standard and approach (including activity status) between INFR-R5 and regulation 55 of the NESTF is supported.	Retain INFR-R5 as notified
Yrless	S149.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R5	Oppose in part	The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.	Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.028	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R5	Support	The consistency in standard and approach (including activity status) between INFR-R5 and regulation 55 of the NESTF is supported.	Retain INFR-R5 as notified
FortySouth	S33.028	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R5	Support	The consistency in standard and approach (including activity status) between INFR-R5 and regulation 55 of the NESTF is supported.	Retain INFR-R5 as notified
Connexa Limited	S37.028	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R5	Support	The consistency in standard and approach (including activity status) between INFR-R5 and regulation 55 of the NESTF is supported.	Retain INFR-R5 as notified

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.028	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R5	Support	The consistency in standard and approach (including activity status) between INFR-R5 and regulation 55 of the NESTF is supported.	Retain INFR-R5 as notified
Southland District Council	S146.107	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R5	Support	Setbacks from Rivers and Streams being tied to stream order is a useful idea, and the matters of discretion for INFR-S5 are fitting and should reduce impacts downstream.	No decision requested
Environment Southland	S85.075	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R5	Oppose in part	Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards.	Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.030	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R6	Support	Transpower supports Rule INFR-R6 on the basis that it is consistent with, and gives effect to, Policy 9 of the NPSET and the NESETA Regulations.	Retain Rule INFR-R6 as notified.
Yrless	S149.018	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R6	Oppose in part	The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.	Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities.
Environment Southland	S85.076	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R6	Oppose in part	Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards.	Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.031	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R7	Support in part	Opposes the inclusion of a 'default' to discretionary activity status for the following reasons: (a) The Energy and Infrastructure Section 32 Report does not give explicit consideration to the necessary and appropriate activity status; (b) Discretionary activity status is inconsistent with, and does not give effect to, the firm statutory direction in Policies 10 and 11 of the NPSET(c) The High Court has considered Policy 10 and "... A mandatory requirement to ensure that an asset of national significance is not compromised is, in my judgment, a relatively strong directive." (d) Policy 11 of the NPSET requires the identification of a buffer corridor within which it can be expected that sensitive activities will "generally not be provided for in plans and/or given resource consent". (e) Non-complying activity status results in a consistent approach across jurisdictions. See complete submission for further detail.	Amend Rule INFR-R7 as follows: "Activity status where compliance is not achieved: ~~Discretionary~~ Non-complying "
Yrless	S149.019	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R7	Oppose in part	The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.	Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities.
Environment Southland	S85.077	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R7	Oppose in part	Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards.	Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.029	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R8	Support in part	Controlled Activity status should be afforded to those activities which are of sufficient size to not have significant adverse effects on the environment, meet the infrastructure standards in the PDP, but cannot meet the NESTF permitted regulations.	Amend INFR-R8 so that Controlled Activity status is afforded to the following activities and sizes: 1. for panel antenna a width of 0.8m is not exceeded; 2. for dish antenna, the antenna does not exceed a diameter of: a. 1.2m in a residential zone; or b. 3m in all other zones. 3 Any activity which does not meet the NESTF permitted regulations but otherwise complies with the INFR standards.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Yrless	S149.020	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R8	Oppose in part	The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.	Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.029	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R8	Support in part	Controlled Activity status should be afforded to those activities which are of sufficient size to not have significant adverse effects on the environment, meet the infrastructure standards in the PDP, but cannot meet the NESTF permitted regulations.	Amend INFR-R8 so that Controlled Activity status is afforded to the following activities and sizes: 1. for panel antenna a width of 0.8m is not exceeded; 2. for dish antenna, the antenna does not exceed a diameter of: a. 1.2m in a residential zone; or b. 3m in all other zones. 3 Any activity which does not meet the NESTF permitted regulations but otherwise complies with the INFR standards.
FortySouth	S33.029	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R8	Support in part	Controlled Activity status should be afforded to those activities which are of sufficient size to not have significant adverse effects on the environment, meet the infrastructure standards in the PDP, but cannot meet the NESTF permitted regulations.	Amend INFR-R8 so that Controlled Activity status is afforded to the following activities and sizes: 1. for panel antenna a width of 0.8m is not exceeded; 2. for dish antenna, the antenna does not exceed a diameter of: a. 1.2m in a residential zone; or b. 3m in all other zones. 3 Any activity which does not meet the NESTF permitted regulations but otherwise complies with the INFR standards.
Connexa Limited	S37.029	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R8	Support in part	Controlled Activity status should be afforded to those activities which are of sufficient size to not have significant adverse effects on the environment, meet the infrastructure standards in the PDP, but cannot meet the NESTF permitted regulations.	Amend INFR-R8 so that Controlled Activity status is afforded to the following activities and sizes: 1. for panel antenna a width of 0.8m is not exceeded; 2. for dish antenna, the antenna does not exceed a diameter of: a. 1.2m in a residential zone; or b. 3m in all other zones. 3 Any activity which does not meet the NESTF permitted regulations but otherwise complies with the INFR standards.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.029	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R8	Support in part	Controlled Activity status should be afforded to those activities which are of sufficient size to not have significant adverse effects on the environment, meet the infrastructure standards in the PDP, but cannot meet the NESTF permitted regulations.	Amend INFR-R8 so that Controlled Activity status is afforded to the following activities and sizes: 1. for panel antenna a width of 0.8m is not exceeded; 2. for dish antenna, the antenna does not exceed a diameter of: a. 1.2m in a residential zone; or b. 3m in all other zones. 3 Any activity which does not meet the NESTF permitted regulations but otherwise complies with the INFR standards.
Environment Southland	S85.078	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R8	Oppose in part	Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards.	Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.030	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R9	Support in part	INFR-R9 should include all overlays so that there is no requirement for infrastructure applications to consider other chapters. Activity status should be made restricted discretionary, with matters of discretion being effect on the overlay the infrastructure is within, and the functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure. (in line with changes requested to INFR-04 and INFR-P7). Last, to provide consistency with NESTF Regulation 57, telecommunications should not have to meet this rule for natural hazards as they are accounted for in the Civil Defense Emergency Management Act 2001	Amend INFR-R9 so that the activity status in the specified areas is restricted discretionary not discretionary and add effects on the the matter listed in (a) to (d) as well as the the functional needs and operational needs of, and benefits derived from critical infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken as matters of discretion. Further, an update is required to INFR-R9.1 to include the containmained land, hazardous substances, natural hazards (excluding telecommunications) ecosystems and indigenous boidiversity and public access chapters.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.032	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R9	Support	Subject to relief sought elsewhere, Transpower supports Rule INFR-R9 and considers that discretionary activity status is appropriate for new infrastructure in 'protected' areas and, insofar as the Rule relates to the National Grid, consistent with approaches taken to giving effect to the NPSET across New Zealand.	Retain Rule INFR-R9 subject to relief sought below: Rule INFR-R9 as follows: "Activity status: Discretionary Where: 1. The establishment of new infrastructure that has foundations within: (a) an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Feature (b) a Significant Natural Area (c) 20m of the Maita River or is critical infrastructure in the Maita River Floodway (d) a site containing an item on the Heritage Schedule, a Notable Tree, a Site of Significance to Māori or an Archaeological Site" (x) land outside of a river and inside of the river side of a Southland Regional Council stopbank"(x) land subject to a high or very high risk of liquefaction, where the infrastructure is critical infrastructure"

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Department of Conservation	S109.037	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R9	Oppose	The D-G considers that the consenting process for new infrastructure within specified areas is assessed as a non complying activity. Further the rule should be amended to identify any unmapped areas of significant indigenous biodiversity, areas of highly mobile fauna and riparian margins.	Retain with the following amendments (or words to similar effect): Activity status: ~~Discretionary~~ Non complying Where: 1. The establishment of new infrastructure within: (a) an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Feature (b) a Significant Natural Area or unmapped SNA that has been assessed as significant against the criteria in Appendix 4, areas of highly mobile fauna (c) 20m of the Maitava River, or within a riparian margin (d) a site containing an item on the Heritage Schedule, a Notable Tree, a Site of Significance to Māori or an Archaeological Site
Yrless	S149.021	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R9	Oppose	Effects of the activity cannot be fully anticipated. Where specific consideration has been given to infrastructure development and it deemed inappropriate due to the effects, this should be specified in the plan as non-complying or prohibited, otherwise should be at a lower level of control	Amend rule status to controlled activity or restricted activity at most.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.030	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R9	Support in part	INFR-R9 should include all overlays so that there is no requirement for infrastructure applications to consider other chapters. Activity status should be made restricted discretionary, with matters of discretion being effect on the overlay the infrastructure is within, and the functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure. (in line with changes requested to INFR-04 and INFR-P7). Last, to provide consistency with NESTF Regulation 57, telecommunications should not have to meet this rule for natural hazards as they are accounted for in the Civil Defense Emergency Management Act 2001	Amend INFR-R9 so that the activity status in the specified areas is restricted discretionary not discretionary and add effects on the the matter listed in (a) to (d) as well as the the functional needs and operational needs of, and benefits derived from critical infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken as matters of discretion . Further, an update is required to INFR-R9.1 to include the containmainated land, hazardous substances, natural hazards (excluding telecommunications) ecosystems and indigenous boidiversity and public access chapters.
FortySouth	S33.030	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R9	Support in part	INFR-R9 should include all overlays so that there is no requirement for infrastructure applications to consider other chapters. Activity status should be made restricted discretionary, with matters of discretion being effect on the overlay the infrastructure is within, and the functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure. (in line with changes requested to INFR-04 and INFR-P7). Last, to provide consistency with NESTF Regulation 57, telecommunications should not have to meet this rule for natural hazards as they are accounted for in the Civil Defense Emergency Management Act 2001	Amend INFR-R9 so that the activity status in the specified areas is restricted discretionary not discretionary and add effects on the the matter listed in (a) to (d) as well as the the functional needs and operational needs of, and benefits derived from critical infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken as matters of discretion . Further, an update is required to INFR-R9.1 to include the containmainated land, hazardous substances, natural hazards (excluding telecommunications) ecosystems and indigenous boidiversity and public access chapters.
Connexa Limited	S37.030	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R9	Support in part	INFR-R9 should include all overlays so that there is no requirement for infrastructure applications to consider other chapters. Activity status should be made restricted discretionary, with matters of discretion being effect on the overlay the infrastructure is within, and the functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure. (in line with changes requested to INFR-04 and INFR-P7). Last, to provide consistency with NESTF Regulation 57, telecommunications should not have to meet this rule for natural hazards as they are accounted	Amend INFR-R9 so that the activity status in the specified areas is restricted discretionary not discretionary and add effects on the the matter listed in (a) to (d) as well as the the functional needs and operational needs of, and benefits derived from critical infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken as matters of discretion . Further, an update is required to INFR-R9.1 to include the containmainated land, hazardous substances, natural hazards (excluding telecommunications) ecosystems and indigenous boidiversity and public access chapters.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					for in the Civil Defense Emergency Management Act 2001	
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.030	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R9	Support in part	INFR-R9 should include all overlays so that there is no requirement for infrastructure applications to consider other chapters. Activity status should be made restricted discretionary, with matters of discretion being effect on the overlay the infrastructure is within, and the functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure. (in line with changes requested to INFR-04 and INFR-P7). Last, to provide consistency with NESTF Regulation 57, telecommunications should not have to meet this rule for natural hazards as they are accounted for in the Civil Defense Emergency Management Act 2001	Amend INFR-R9 so that the activity status in the specified areas is restricted discretionary not discretionary and add effects on the the matter listed in (a) to (d) as well as the the functional needs and operational needs of, and benefits derived from critical infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken as matters of discretion . Further, an update is required to INFR-R9.1 to include the containmainated land, hazardous substances, natural hazards (excluding telecommunications) ecosystems and indigenous boidiversity and public access chapters.
Environment Southland	S85.079	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R9	Support in part	Rules 1&2 permit the establishment of new infrastructure in all areas (no consent needed). Whereas Rule 9 triggers consent for new infrastructure when located in significant landscapes and biodiversity. Some clarity needed about how the two rules work together, as they seem to be working against each other. Consents should also be triggered when infrastructure (particularly lifelines infrastructure) is proposed to be established in areas of known natural hazards.	Reconcile the interplay between Rule INFR 9 and Rules INFR 1&2. Additionally, add in wider section 6 matters such as natural hazards.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.033	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-R10	Support	Supports Rule INFR-R10 to the extent that the Rule provides a 'default' discretionary activity status for all other infrastructure on the basis that the rule enables a fulsome consideration of any adverse effects of such infrastructure through a resource consent process.	Retain Rule INFR-R10 as notified.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.031	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S1	Support in part	Identification of lines over waterbodies on planning maps is needed so it is apparent which waterbody the standard applies to and clarify how INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 interact.	Retain INFR-S1, but detail navigable waterbodies on the planning maps, and ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S1 is to interact with INFR-S5.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.034	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S1	Support in part	Does not oppose Standard INFR-S1, but notes that NZECP34:2001 includes a suite of regulations to manage conductor clearances, including over navigable waterways. Transpower considers that where compliance with the requirements in NZECP34:2001 is achieved, requiring a resource consent is unnecessary and inappropriate duplication of regulation. Transpower therefore seeks that the Standard be amended to ensure that conductors that achieve compliance with NZECP34:2001 do not also trigger the requirement for resource consent. In addition, Transpower supports the Matters of Discretion that apply because these matters allow for a consideration of the benefits of infrastructure in a manner that gives effect to the Objective and Policy 1 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS.	Amend Standard INFR-S1 as follows: "1. Above-ground lines are to be at least 10m above the water level of a navigable waterbody when at its maximum navigable flow or attached to, and no lower than, existing structures over a navigable waterbody or otherwise comply with NZECP34:2001. "

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Yrless	S149.022	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S1	Oppose in part	There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.	Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.031	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S1	Support in part	Identification of lines over waterbodies on planning maps is needed so it is apparent which waterbody the standard applies to and clarify how INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 interact.	Retain INFR-S1, but detail navigable waterbodies on the planning maps, and ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S1 is to interact with INFR-S5.
FortySouth	S33.031	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S1	Support in part	Identification of lines over waterbodies on planning maps is needed so it is apparent which waterbody the standard applies to and clarify how INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 interact.	Retain INFR-S1, but detail navigable waterbodies on the planning maps, and ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S1 is to interact with INFR-S5.
Connexa Limited	S37.031	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S1	Support in part	Identification of lines over waterbodies on planning maps is needed so it is apparent which waterbody the standard applies to and clarify how INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 interact.	Retain INFR-S1, but detail navigable waterbodies on the planning maps, and ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S1 is to interact with INFR-S5.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.031	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S1	Support in part	Identification of lines over waterbodies on planning maps is needed so it is apparent which waterbody the standard applies to and clarify how INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 interact.	Retain INFR-S1, but detail navigable waterbodies on the planning maps, and ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S1 is to interact with INFR-S5.
PowerNet Limited	S95.019	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S1	Support	PowerNet supports the requirement for lines to be 10m above navigable waterbodies, or attached to and no lower than existing structures.	Retain standard
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.032	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S2	Support	It is noted INFR-S2 does not apply to poles and antennas.	Retain INFR-S2 as notified
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.035	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S2	Support	Support as it sets a clear standard for the permitted height of utility poles and masts in various zones. Transpower's support for this Standard, is based on the assumption that all transmission line support structures would be considered utility poles or masts. Also supports the Matters of Discretion that apply.	Retain Standard INFR-S2 as notified.
Yrless	S149.023	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S2	Oppose in part	There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.	Amend to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities.
Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	S139.046	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S2	Support in part	Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party.	Make consequential amendments to align with the amendments sought to INFR-P7.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.032	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S2	Support	It is noted INFR-S2 does not apply to poles and antennas.	Retain INFR-S2 as notified
FortySouth	S33.032	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S2	Support	It is noted INFR-S2 does not apply to poles and antennas.	Retain INFR-S2 as notified
Connexa Limited	S37.032	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S2	Support	It is noted INFR-S2 does not apply to poles and antennas.	Retain INFR-S2 as notified
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.032	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S2	Support	It is noted INFR-S2 does not apply to poles and antennas.	Retain INFR-S2 as notified
PowerNet Limited	S95.020	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S2	Support	PowerNet supports this standard, and the exclusion for masts, poles, lines and support structures.	Retain standard
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.033	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	By adding the words (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) from the measurement of height	Amend wording: The maximum height (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) of any utility pole or mast shall be.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					provides alignment with the NESTF. The permitted heights should encourage co-location of telecommunication providers onto a single structure and to achieve this separation between the antennas, which is best achieved via height is needed in alignment with INFR-P4. The permitted height for one provider in the industrial zones is supported but due to large trees in the rural area greater height is sought to prevent blocking signal. Under INFR-S3.2, antenna which are attached to poles and masts need to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements	INFR-S3.1 - 25m for one telecommunications provider and 30m for two or more telecommunications providers in the General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone, 35m for one telecommunications provider and 40m for two or more telecommunications providers in the Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone. INFR-S3.2 so that the permitted height in all other zones is the underlying building height in the zone, plus 5m (for one telecommunication provider) and plus a further 5m if the facility provides for the co-location of two or more telecommunication providers.
Yrless	S149.024	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Oppose in part	There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.	Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities.
Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	S139.047	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party.	Make consequential amendments to align with the amendments sought to INFR-P7.
Fire and Emergency NZ	S124.012	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	Fire and Emergency support the height of poles and mast in the Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle, General Industrial and Light Industrial Zone. However, as part of Fire and Emergency fire station operational requirements many stations include a multipurpose tower or pole between 12-15m in height. Poles can support sirens, aerials and hose drying activities.	Amend as follows: Excluding poles and masts used for emergency service facilities, are exempt from this rule.
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.060	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and Industrial Zone and 13m for all other zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered.	Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m. Add additional matter of discretion: 5. consent with landowner.
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.041	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Oppose	Essential that electricity generated can be conveyed to National Grid.	The maximum height of any utility pole or mast, and associated electricity lines shall be: Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone 1. ~~25~~30m All other zones 2. 13m There is no voltage limit for electricity lines.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.033	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	By adding the words (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) from the measurement of height provides alignment with the NESTF. The permitted heights should encourage co-location of telecommunication providers onto a single structure and to achieve this separation between the antennas, which is best achieved via height is needed in alignment with INFR-P4.	Amend wording: The maximum height (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) of any utility pole or mast shall be. INFR-S3.1 - 25m for one telecommunications provider and 30m for two or more telecommunications providers in the General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone, 35m for one telecommunications provider and 40m for two or more telecommunications providers in the Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone. INFR-S3.2 so that the permitted height in all other zones is the underlying building height in the zone, plus 5m (for one telecommunication provider) and plus a further 5m if the facility provides for the co-

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					The permitted height for one provider in the industrial zones is supported but due to large trees in the rural area greater height is sought to prevent blocking signal. Under INFR-S3.2, antenna which are attached to poles and masts need to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements	location of two or more telecommunication providers.
FortySouth	S33.033	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	By adding the words (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) from the measurement of height provides alignment with the NESTF. The permitted heights should encourage co-location of telecommunication providers onto a single structure and to achieve this seperation between the antennas, which is best achieved via height is needed in alignment with INFR-P4. The permitted height for one provider in the industrial zones is supported but due to large trees in the rural area greater height is sought to prevent blocking signal. Under INFR-S3.2, antenna which are attached to poles and masts need to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements	Amend wording: The maximum height (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) of any utility pole or mast shall be. INFR-S3.1 - 25m for one telecommunications provider and 30m for two or more telecommunications providers in the General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone, 35m for one telecommunications provider and 40m for two or more telecommunications providers in the Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone. INFR-S3.2 so that the permitted height in all other zones is the underlying building height in the zone, plus 5m (for one telecommunication provider) and plus a further 5m if the facility provides for the co-location of two or more telecommunication providers.
Connexa Limited	S37.033	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	By adding the words (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) from the measurement of height provides alignment with the NESTF. The permitted heights should encourage co-location of telecommunication providers onto a single structure and to achieve this seperation between the antennas, which is best achieved via height is needed in alignment with INFR-P4. The permitted height for one provider in the industrial zones is supported but due to large trees in the rural area greater height is sought to prevent blocking signal. Under INFR-S3.2, antenna which are attached to poles and masts need to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements	Amend wording: The maximum height (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) of any utility pole or mast shall be. INFR-S3.1 - 25m for one telecommunications provider and 30m for two or more telecommunications providers in the General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone, 35m for one telecommunications provider and 40m for two or more telecommunications providers in the Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone. INFR-S3.2 so that the permitted height in all other zones is the underlying building height in the zone, plus 5m (for one telecommunication provider) and plus a further 5m if the facility provides for the co-location of two or more telecommunication providers.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.033	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	By adding the words (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) from the measurement of height provides alignment with the NESTF. The permitted heights should encourage co-location of telecommunication providers onto a single structure and to achieve this seperation between the antennas, which is best achieved via height is needed in alignment with INFR-P4. The permitted height for one provider in the industrial zones is supported but due to large trees in the rural area greater height is sought to prevent blocking signal.	Amend wording: The maximum height (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) of any utility pole or mast shall be. INFR-S3.1 - 25m for one telecommunications provider and 30m for two or more telecommunications providers in the General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone, 35m for one telecommunications provider and 40m for two or more telecommunications providers in the Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone. INFR-S3.2 so that the permitted height in all other zones is the underlying building height in the zone, plus 5m (for one telecommunication provider) and plus a further 5m if the facility provides for the co-location of two or more telecommunication providers.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					Under INFR-S3.2, antenna which are attached to poles and masts need to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements	
PowerNet Limited	S95.021	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Oppose in part	PowerNet supports the 25m height limit for utility poles and masts, and the restricted discretionary activity status where 25m cannot be achieved. PowerNet does not support the 13m height limit for poles and masts in all other zones as poles and masts in other zones are sometimes over 13m. PowerNet seeks that this height limit should be 16m to recognise the operational and functional constructions of electricity distribution activities.	Amend clause (1) of the standard to apply a 16m height limit to all other zones.
Environment Southland	S85.080	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	Establish a permitted high for poles and masts in outstanding landscapes.	There is no consideration for poles or masts in outstanding landscapes, 25m high it very tall for an outstanding landscape. Suggest blanket consent for any building or structure in an ONL.
Kevin Robertson	S115.061	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and Industrial Zone and 13m for all other zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered.	Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m. Add additional matter of discretion: 5. consent with landowner.
Ronald Crispin	S60.063	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and Industrial Zone and 13m for all other zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered.	Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m. Add additional matter of discretion: 5. consent with landowner.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.072	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and Industrial Zone and 13m for all other zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered.	Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m. Add additional matter of discretion: 5. consent with landowner.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.073	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and Industrial Zone and 13m for all other zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered.	Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m. Add additional matter of discretion: 5. consent with landowner.
John Baynes	S68.181	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support in part	SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and Industrial Zone and 13m for all other	Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m. Add additional matter of discretion: 5. consent with landowner.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered.	
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.101	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S3	Support	Transpower supports Standard INFR-S3 on the basis that it sets a clear standard for the permitted height of utility poles and masts in various zones. Transpower's support for this Standard, is based on the assumption that all transmission line support structures would be considered utility poles or masts. In addition, Transpower supports the Matters of Discretion	Retain Standard INFR-S3 as notified. Transpower notes that there may be merit in defining poles and masts to include all types of support structures.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.034	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S4	Support	The exceptions to INFR-S4 are supported	Retain INFR-S4 as notified
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.036	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S4	Support in part	Supports Standard INFR-S4 to the extent that it 'imports' the zone setbacks for buildings subject to exemptions. Transpower does not support the exemptions included in the Standard on the basis that the exemptions are limited to telecommunications infrastructure. Transpower does not consider that there is clear rationale for providing an exemption for telecommunications infrastructure, but not electricity infrastructure, where the effects of such infrastructure may be the same or similar in character. Transpower seeks that the Standard be expanded to include electricity infrastructure. In addition, Transpower supports the Matters of Discretion that apply	Amend Standard INFR-S4 as follows: "1. Where the utility is a building, it must be set back in accordance with the side, rear and road boundary setbacks for buildings in the zone in which it is located. Except this rule does not apply to: (a) poles, antennas, and associated cabinets (cabinets up to 10m2 in area and 3m in height, exclusive of any plinth or other foundation), for electricity transmission, electricity distribution , telecommunication and radio communication; (b) lines and support structures for telecommunications or electricity transmission and electricity distribution ; (c) network utilities within legal road."
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S4	Support in part	Waka Kotahi notes that the Matters of discretion include the wording 'structure' which appears to be incorrect and should be amended to include the wording 'building' to align with the terminology used in the standard. Amendments are also sought to Point 4 of the Matters of discretion to include safety considerations and apply to both footpaths and cycleways.	Amend Matters of Discretion as follows: 1. Alternative locations for the building structure . 2. The functional needs and operational needs of, and benefits derived from, the building structure , including the potential impact on the levels of service if a building structure of the proposed size is not approved. ... 4. Impacts on the safety and functionality of the road, including any footpath or cycleway .
Yrless	S149.025	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S4	Oppose in part	There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.	Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities.
Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	S139.048	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S4	Support in part	Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party.	Make consequential amendments to align with the amendments sought to INFR-P7.
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	S132.025	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S4	Amend	KiwiRail generally supports standard INFR-S4 Setbacks from boundaries. In the submission on the draft plan, KiwiRail sought a "no build" setback within 5m of the railway corridor for new buildings or structures on sites adjoining the railway corridor in all zones. This is to ensure that people can use	Amend as follows: Setbacks from boundaries 1. Where the utility is a building, it must be set back in accordance with the side, rear and road boundary setbacks for buildings in the zone in which it is located. 2. All buildings or structures shall be setback a minimum of 5m from any rail corridor boundary. Matters of discretion: 5. The safe and efficient operation of the rail network 6.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					and maintain their land and buildings safely without needing access to the railway corridor, minimizing the risks of physical interference with railway operations and avoiding health and safety hazards for residents. It is noted that there are a variety of building setback requirements in the proposed plan, however no setbacks relate specifically to the rail corridor.	Compatibility with the surrounding environment 7. The reason for the reduced setback
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.034	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S4	Support	The exceptions to INFR-S4 are supported	Retain INFR-S4 as notified
FortySouth	S33.034	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S4	Support	The exceptions to INFR-S4 are supported	Retain INFR-S4 as notified
Connexa Limited	S37.034	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S4	Support	The exceptions to INFR-S4 are supported	Retain INFR-S4 as notified
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.034	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S4	Support	The exceptions to INFR-S4 are supported	Retain INFR-S4 as notified
PowerNet Limited	S95.022	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S4	Oppose in part	PowerNet supports the exemption for utility buildings to comply with the underlying zone setback requirements, however it seeks clarification that the exemption will apply to structures and equipment for electricity distribution purposes.	Amend standard as follows: ... Except this rule does not apply to: (a) poles, antennas, and associated cabinets and equipment (cabinets and equipment up to 10m2 in area and 3m in height, exclusive of any plinth or other foundation), for telecommunication and radio communication and electricity distribution activities ; (b) lines and support structures for telecommunications; (c) network utilities within legal road.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.035	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Support in part	INFR-S5 is supported, however it is currently unclear as to what the interaction between INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 is.	Ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S5 is to interact with INFR-S1.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.037	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Support in part	Does not oppose Standard INFR-S5, but seeks that the Standard is clarified to confirm that the Standard is not intended to regulate activities that are managed by the Regional Council or activities that traverse (but do not disturb) the areas subject to the setbacks in the Standard. That said, Transpower does not support the Matters of Discretion because these matters do not provide for a consideration of the benefits of infrastructure and therefore do not give effect the Objective and Policy 1 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS.	Amend Standard INFR-S5 as follows: "1. The foundation of a Any infrastructure building or structure must not be located within the following -be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (...) Amend Standard INFR-S5 Matters of Discretion as follows: " x. The benefits derived from, the infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken. "
Department of Conservation	S109.038	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Support	The D-G supports the requirements for infrastructure building or structure setbacks and matters of discretion.	Retain as notified
Yrless	S149.026	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Oppose in part	There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.	Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities.
David Pullar	S133.003	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Amend	Fences that subdivide paddocks adjacent to waterways need to extend to the edge of waterways to be effective.	Exclude fences for primary production purposes.
Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	S139.049	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Support in part	Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party.	Make consequential amendments to align with the amendments sought to INFR-P7.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.061	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Oppose in part	SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivise an activity like fencing, which has ultimate positive benefits for biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed.	Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence which is not subject to setbacks) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.035	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Support in part	INFR-S5 is supported, however it is currently unclear as to what the interaction between INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 is.	Ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S5 is to interact with INFR-S1.
FortySouth	S33.035	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Support in part	INFR-S5 is supported, however it is currently unclear as to what the interaction between INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 is.	Ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S5 is to interact with INFR-S1.
Connexa Limited	S37.035	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Support in part	INFR-S5 is supported, however it is currently unclear as to what the interaction between INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 is.	Ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S5 is to interact with INFR-S1.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.035	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Support in part	INFR-S5 is supported, however it is currently unclear as to what the interaction between INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 is.	Ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S5 is to interact with INFR-S1.
Environment Southland	S85.081	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Support in part	Broaden to include stop banks and flood control infrastructure.	Infrastructure should be managed around existing flood control measures.
Kevin Robertson	S115.062	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Oppose in part	SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivise an activity like fencing, which has ultimate positive benefits for biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed.	Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence which is not subject to setbacks) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).
Ronald Crispin	S60.064	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Oppose in part	SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivise an activity like fencing, which has ultimate positive benefits for biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed.	Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence which is not subject to setbacks) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.073	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Oppose in part	SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivise an activity like fencing, which has ultimate positive benefits for	Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence which is not subject to setbacks) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed.	
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.074	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Oppose in part	SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivise an activity like fencing, which has ultimate positive benefits for biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed.	Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence which is not subject to setbacks) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).
John Baynes	S68.182	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S5	Oppose in part	SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivise an activity like fencing, which has ultimate positive benefits for biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed.	Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence which is not subject to setbacks) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.036	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S6	Support	INFR-S6 provides appropriate footprints for telecommunication infrastructure.	Retain INFR-S6 as notified
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.038	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S6	Oppose	The Standard has the potential to impose more stringent requirements on buildings for infrastructure, when compared to the same footprint requirements in any given zone. The Energy and Infrastructure Section 32 Report does not give any rationale for taking a more stringent approach to the footprint of infrastructure buildings when compared to other buildings. Transpower seeks that the Standard is amended so that infrastructure buildings may be developed in a manner that is consistent with the zone in which they are located.	Amend Standard INFR-S6 as follows: "The maximum footprint of any utility building or structure shall comply with the relevant building coverage provisions for the zone they are located in or otherwise be: (...)
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.018	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S6	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports INFR - S6 maximum footprint limitations of any utility building or structure as permitted activity in the respective zones. While the road boundary setbacks are to be adhered to as stated in Rule INFR - S4 there may be some circumstances where large utilities near the road corridor obstruct views of intersections, accessways and oncoming traffic or create shading over roads. We recommend that an additional Matters of discretion be included to address safety considerations of the roads.	Amend the Matters of discretion as follows: 5. Impact on safe, efficient and effective function or operation of roads including State highways including any obstruction of views of intersections, accessways or ongoing traffic and any shading over roads.
Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	S139.050	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S6	Support in part	Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and	Make consequential amendments to align with the amendments sought to INFR-P7.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party.	
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.036	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S6	Support	INFR-S6 provides appropriate footprints for telecommunication infrastructure.	Retain INFR-S6 as notified
FortySouth	S33.036	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S6	Support	INFR-S6 provides appropriate footprints for telecommunication infrastructure.	Retain INFR-S6 as notified
Connexa Limited	S37.036	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S6	Support	INFR-S6 provides appropriate footprints for telecommunication infrastructure.	Retain INFR-S6 as notified
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.036	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S6	Support	INFR-S6 provides appropriate footprints for telecommunication infrastructure.	Retain INFR-S6 as notified
Environment Southland	S85.082	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S6	Support in part	Broaden to also consider size of buildings in ONL and SNAs	Listed criteria may be too permissive in sensitive areas.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.037	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S7	Support in part	Some finessing of the rule is proposed to better reflect the different antenna types used in the telecommunication industry.	Amend INFR-S7 as follows: 1. That for panel antennas the maximum width is 0.7m, and for all ~~other~~ dish antenna types the maximum ~~surface area~~ diameter is no greater than ~~1.5m~~ 2 0.6m in the residential zones and 1.2m in all other zones and for whip and dipole antennas, the maximum length is ~~are~~ less than 4m in length . 2. For small cells and ancillary equipment the volume of the unit must not exceed 2.5m3.
Yrless	S149.027	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S7	Oppose in part	There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.	Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.037	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S7	Support in part	Some finessing of the rule is proposed to better reflect the different antenna types used in the telecommunication industry.	Amend INFR-S7 as follows: 1. That for panel antennas the maximum width is 0.7m, and for all ~~other~~ dish antenna types the maximum ~~surface area~~ diameter is no greater than ~~1.5m~~ 2 0.6m in the residential zones and 1.2m in all other zones and for whip and dipole antennas, the maximum length is ~~are~~ less than 4m in length . 2. For small cells and ancillary equipment the volume of the unit must not exceed 2.5m3.
FortySouth	S33.037	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S7	Support in part	Some finessing of the rule is proposed to better reflect the different antenna types used in the telecommunication industry.	Amend INFR-S7 as follows: 1. That for panel antennas the maximum width is 0.7m, and for all ~~other~~ dish antenna types the maximum ~~surface area~~ diameter is no greater than ~~1.5m~~ 2 0.6m in the residential zones and 1.2m in all other zones and for whip and dipole antennas, the maximum length is ~~are~~ less than 4m in length . 2. For small cells and ancillary equipment the volume of the unit must not exceed 2.5m3.
Connexa Limited	S37.037	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S7	Support in part	Some finessing of the rule is proposed to better reflect the different antenna types used in the telecommunication industry.	Amend INFR-S7 as follows: 1. That for panel antennas the maximum width is 0.7m, and for all ~~other~~ dish antenna types the maximum ~~surface area~~ diameter is no greater than ~~1.5m~~ 2 0.6m in the residential zones and 1.2m in all other zones and for whip and dipole antennas, the maximum length is ~~are~~ less than 4m in length . 2. For small cells and ancillary equipment the volume of the unit must not exceed 2.5m3.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.037	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S7	Support in part	Some finessing of the rule is proposed to better reflect the different antenna types used in the telecommunication industry.	Amend INFR-S7 as follows: 1. That for panel antennas the maximum width is 0.7m, and for all ~~other~~ dish antenna types the maximum ~~surface area~~ diameter is no greater than ~~1.5m~~ 2 0.6m in the residential zones and 1.2m in all other zones and for whip and dipole antennas, the maximum length is ~~are~~ less than 4m in length . 2. For small cells and ancillary equipment the volume of the unit must not exceed 2.5m3.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Yrless	S149.028	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S8	Oppose in part	There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.	Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.039	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-S9	Support	Transpower supports Standard INFR-S9 on the basis that it is consistent with, and gives effect to, Policy 19 of the NPSET and the NESETA Regulations.	Retain Standard INFR-S9 as notified.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	S35.038	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Additional provisions sought	Support in part	Temporary infrastructure is used when a) an event-with insufficient infrastructure for capacity expected b) construction activity requiring existing networks turned off c) fault or disaster Limiting temporary infrastructure to 12 months means that there is a time limit for any resultant environmental effects.	New rule permitting temporary network utilities. The only standard should be that the infrastructure must be removed within 12 months. The elevation can be to discretionary activity status
Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	S110.019	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Additional provisions sought	Amend	There is no requirement in the plan to avoid SNAs. The addition of this rule would ensure that SNAs are protected so they can continue to perform their function.	Add: INFR-S10 - Minimum Setbacks from Significant Natural Areas All zones 1. Any infrastructure building or structure must be set back 10 metres from the closest boundary of any identified Significant Natural Area. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Whether there is a functional need for the building or structure to be located in the Significant Natural Area, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility. 2. The effects on the indigenous biodiversity, habitats and eco-system within the Significant Natural Area. 3. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW.2.3. 4. Landscape effects 5. Social effects
Jenny Campbell	S136.017	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Additional provisions sought	Not Stated		Water use- grey water systems be encouraged in both town & country and especially new houses & industrial buildings being made mandatory.
One New Zealand Group Limited	S32.038	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Additional provisions sought	Support in part	Temporary infrastructure is used when a) an event-with insufficient infrastructure for capacity expected b) construction activity requiring existing networks turned off c) fault or disaster Limiting temporary infrastructure to 12 months means that there is a time limit for any resultant environmental effects.	New rule permitting temporary network utilities. The only standard should be that the infrastructure must be removed within 12 months. The elevation can be to discretionary activity status
FortySouth	S33.038	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Additional provisions sought	Support in part	Temporary infrastructure is used when a) an event-with insufficient infrastructure for capacity expected b) construction activity requiring existing networks turned off c) fault or disaster Limiting temporary infrastructure to 12 months means that there is a time limit for any resultant environmental effects.	New rule permitting temporary network utilities. The only standard should be that the infrastructure must be removed within 12 months. The elevation can be to discretionary activity status
Connexa Limited	S37.038	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Additional provisions sought	Support in part	Temporary infrastructure is used when a) an event-with insufficient infrastructure for capacity expected b) construction activity requiring existing networks turned off c) fault or disaster Limiting temporary infrastructure to 12 months	New rule permitting temporary network utilities. The only standard should be that the infrastructure must be removed within 12 months. The elevation can be to discretionary activity status

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					means that there is a time limit for any resultant environmental effects.	
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S154.038	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Additional provisions sought	Support in part	Temporary infrastructure is used when a) an event-with insufficient infrastructure for capacity expected b) construction activity requiring existing networks turned off c) fault or disaster Limiting temporary infrastructure to 12 months means that there is a time limit for any resultant environmental effects.	New rule permitting temporary network utilities. The only standard should be that the infrastructure must be removed within 12 months. The elevation can be to discretionary activity status
PowerNet Limited	S95.023	INFR - Infrastructure	INFR-Additional provisions sought	Not Stated	PowerNet seeks a new rule in the Infrastructure Chapter to manage activities within the electricity distribution corridor.	Include the following new rule: INFR-RX Activities and development (other than earthworks and other than electricity distribution network activities) within the Electricity Distribution Yard All Zones Activity status: Permitted Where: (a) Any building or structure, excluding a fence, is located at least 10m from the centre line of a 66kV electricity distribution line or at least 10m of a foundation of an associated support structure; or (b) Any building or structure, excluding a fence, is located at least 5m from the centre line of a 33kV electricity distribution line or at least 5m of a foundation of an associated support structure; or (c) A fence not exceeding 2.5m in height above ground level; or (d) The building or structure is not used for the handling or storage of hazardous substances with explosive or flammable intrinsic properties (this does not apply to the accessory use and storage of hazardous substances in domestic scale quantities). Note: The New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) contains restrictions on the location of buildings, structures, and activities in relation to the National Grid and electricity distribution lines. Buildings, structures, and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid or electricity distribution lines must comply with the NZECP 34:2001. Compliance with the rule requirements of the District Plan does not ensure compliance with NZECP 34:2001 or vice versa.