SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED TO THE 2021-2031 LONG TERM PLAN

HEARING TO BE HELD ON

TUESDAY 1 JUNE 2021

Index of Submissions

Submission No	Name
2021/1	Gore and Districts St James Theatre Trust
2021/2	Public Health South (SDHB)
2021/3	Rex Affleck
2021/4	Sport Southland
2021/5	Croydon Aviation Heritage Trust
2021/6	Ian Davidson-Watts
2021/7	Murihiku Kai Collective
2021/8	Rural Ratepayers Group
2021/9	Southland Federated Farmers NZ
2021/10	Sally McIntyre
2021/11	Ernest MacManus
2021/12	Hugh Gardyne
2021/13	Jack McIntyre
2021/14	Hamish Mackay
2021/15	Hokonui Mountain Bike Club
2021/16	Chris Smith
2021/17	Adam Newton
2021/18	Ian Cupit
2021/19	Joe Stringer
2021/20	Regional Tourism New Zealand
2021/21	NZ Memorial Museum Trust - Le Quesnoy
2021/22	Hokonui Runanga
2021/23	Hospitality New Zealand
2021/24	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga
2021/25	Claire Welch
2021/26	John Oshea
2021/27	Joy Mockford
2021/28	Lorraine Weston-Webb
2021/29	Dena McKenzie
2021/30	Penelope Perry
2021/31	Ruddenklau
2021/32	Jordan Lott
2021/33	M
2021/34	Keriann Borlase
2021/35	Shayna McArley
2021/36	Hamish Weir
2021/37	Wendy Shanks
2021/38	Joanna Dare
2021/39	Colleen Te Au
2021/40	Laurie Ferguson
2021/41	Rosalie Brown
2021/42	Shona Byars
2021/43	Alex Bennett
2021/44	Holly

Submission No	Name
2021/45	Paula
2021/46	Kylie Beer
2021/47	Tom Phillipson
2021/48	Nigel Marsh
2021/49	Tara Newton
2021/50	Karen Pullar
2021/51	Zara
2021/52	Laura Williams
2021/53	Beverly Price
2021/54	Paula McPhail
2021/55	Jason Harvey
2021/56	Harley Bonnar
2021/57	Claire McIntyre
2021/58	Stewart Armstrong
2021/59	Joanne McConnell
2021/60	Diane Davidson
2021/61	Leah Wilson
2021/62	Brad Aitken
2021/63	Steve Petterson
2021/64	Dale Garrick
2021/65	Jenny Muir
2021/66	Jane Duthie
2021/67	Michelle McLean
2021/68	Peter Calvert
2021/69	Ashley
2021/70	Greta Armstrong
2021/71	Rebecca McIntrye
2021/72	Elaine Munro
2021/73	Sandy Sheppard
2021/74	Malachi Geerlofs
2021/75	Jenna Van der Horn
2021/76	Robyn Hellier
2021/77	Fiona Farquhar
2021/78	Renee McCarthy
2021/79	Ella
2021/80	Holly Murphy
2021/81	Kelly Takurua
2021/82	Paul Murphy
2021/83	Alyssa Johnstone
2021/84	Hannah Mackay
2021/85	Tanya Shailer
2021/86	Callum Dickson
2021/87	Dana Robinson
2021/88	Kirsty Adams
2021/89	Donique Hope

Submission No	Name
2021/90	Simon Youngman
2021/91	Gemma Perry
2021/92	Lee-Ann Harvey
2021/93	Cameron Alderton
2021/94	Violet Dunstan
2021/95	Bronwyn Glass
2021/96	Vicky Ross
2021/97	Debbie MacLennan
2021/98	Bonnie McLeod
2021/99	Tamatie Rogerson
2021/100	Wendy
2021/101	Larissa Kelly
2021/102	Dianne Elstob
2021/103	J W Coxshall
2021/104	John Smith
2021/105	Rhonda Wilson
2021/106	Fiona Haslemore
2021/107	Justine Abernethy
2021/108	Tania Buchanan
2021/109	Evan Henderson
2021/110	Rich Christie
2021/111	Leanne Van der kemp
2021/112	Leanne Turnbull
2021/113	Shona Willis
2021/114	Katelyn Mcdowall
2021/115	Gene Peters
2021/116	Sharon Adams
2021/117	Sarah Armstrong
2021/118	Andrea McAdam
2021/119	Kate Bratschi
2021/120	Donovan Harvey
2021/121	Maree Wallace
2021/122	Andrea Cavanagh
2021/123	Zac Pearsey
2021/124	Mark
2021/125	Kylie White
2021/126	Tony Burr
2021/127	Sharron Sheddan
2021/128	Rachel Mitchell
2021/129	Kim Browne
2021/130	Aimee Gillan
2021/131	Ben Stephen
2021/132	Ella Pringle
2021/133	Ann Gardyne
2021/134	Kelly

Submission No	Name
2021/135	Elizabeth Houliston
2021/136	Nathan Barrie
2021/137	Janice Meikle
2021/138	Ebony
2021/139	Michael Clark
2021/140	Katey Ritchie
2021/141	Pam
2021/142	Aaron Rawcliffe
2021/143	Robina Johnston
2021/144	Richard Dinan
2021/145	Nathan Mcarley
2021/146	Sarah Soper
2021/147	Jenny Morgan
2021/148	K Wright
2021/149	Vicki Ramsay
2021/150	Jennifer Mcgratten
2021/151	Hugh G Dick
2021/152	Mike Oxlong
2021/153	Mike Oxlong
Late submission:	
2021/154	Terry and Jenny McNamara

Gore and Districts St James Theatre Trust
PO Box 97
Gore



Gore District Council
10-Year-Plan Consultation
PO Box 8
Gore 9740

14th May, 2021

Dear Councillors,

On behalf of the Gore and Districts St James Theatre Trust, I am writing to request that Gore District Council consider the inclusion of a commitment to fund the shortfall of funds for the seismic strengthening, installation of a lift and upgrade of the toilets at the Theatre within its 2021-2031 10-Year Plan. This letter represents a submission from the Trust for consideration as part of the 'Ready to Grow' consultation process.

The Trust is in the process of raising funds for these upgrades to the Theatre. Since 2019, a total of $637,000 has been raised from numerous sources including grants, donations and fundraising activities. This includes a one-off contribution from Gore District Council, which we were very grateful to receive.

We now find ourselves with limited opportunity to secure additional funds. This is due to the nature and scope of works required, the location of the Theatre and the fact that it is not a local government owned or managed facility. This is in addition to a general refocus of funding priorities at national and regional level, further limiting access to financial support.

Work cannot commence until all required funding has been secured. In the meantime, the Trust faces the very real possibility of having to return secured funds as expenditure deadlines approach. The Trust is looking for an opportunity to meet funding shortfalls as a matter of urgency. As such, we are putting forward a request to Council to consider funding a shortfall in funds received to date by the Trust. This would enable upgrade works to commence with immediate effect and prevent the loss of funds already secured. Vitally, this will help secure the long-time viability of the St James Theatre, and therefore the continuation of its place in our community.

The following is presented as background information to contextualise our request for financial support.

Who we are

Built in 1936, the Theatre has been maintained as an exquisite example of Art Deco history in the heart of Gore's CBD. The St James Theatre Trust is responsible for the management of the Theatre and the preservation of one of the last remaining Kerridge Odeon movie theatres in the country. The Trust has held this responsibility since 1987.

As a completely independent operation, we are not obliged to follow the vision of mainstream chains. Most theatres of this kind in New Zealand are usually all- or part-owned and managed by councils.

The Theatre provides a much sought-after multipurpose facility for the Gore District and beyond. It is the only purpose-built theatre in the region and provides affordable, regular cinema screenings. It also provides performing arts facilities and a venue for productions and performances, utilised by community and professional bodies alike. More than 20 community productions and a significant number of touring professional groups are offered each year. All contribute considerably to the district's economic and social wellbeing. Further, the Theatre provides a community 'hub' for the CBD, a position that has become even more important following the closure of H&J's.

Our objectives/vision

The Trust was formed so that the Theatre could be retained by the people of Gore. Our vision is to retain and sustain a modern theatre facility for the benefit of the Gore District community.

What we need

Development costs that the Trust is responsible for (e.g. earthquake strengthening and disability access) to bring this building up to current building codes require significant additional financial support. We have submitted an application to Lotteries Environment and Heritage Fund which is currently in process.

We find ourselves in the frustrating position of, having raised a significant amount of funds ourselves, being unable to move forward. Given the lack of alternatives at this point, and to unlock a status quo with other potential sources of funding, we respectfully ask the Council to consider financing the shortfall of funds required. This will enable work to commence and therefore prevent a loss of funds secured to date. It could also potentially enable the Trust to unlock other sources of funding. Ultimately, it would prevent the Theatre closing down, plus the closure of the Sushi shop and the Green Room Café which would also see up to 20 jobs being lost.

Our funding achievements so far

The Trust has been successful in attracting funds from numerous sources in recent years. A summary of our recent funding history is attached as Appendix 1, including details of successful and unsuccessful applications. This helps provide a picture of the comprehensive efforts made by the Trust in securing funds from a wide variety of sources.
We believe that we have exhausted all avenues of funding available to us and are now at the stage of needing Council support.

Refer to Appendix 1

Benefits to the community

The St James Theatre is a cornerstone of the Gore District, contributing significantly to the wide range of economic, recreational and social opportunities that contribute to the notion of 'Our Place'.

Our facility plays host to a huge range of variety performances and events. We are an integral component of the Tussock Country Music Festival, cementing our place as NZ's capital of country music. We also attract prominent New Zealand groups, e.g. NZ Royal Ballet, NZ Symphony Concert and Roger Hall plays together with the annual International Film Festival which affords the people of Gore and surrounding districts, cultural experiences that would not otherwise be available to them. The Theatre itself, with a central location on Irk Street, provides a physical anchor to the magnificent collection of Art Deco buildings that surround it. It enhances the value and overall attraction of other significant arts, cultural, and community/leisure-focused projects, including those funded by the Council (e.g. Maruawai, the new Gore Library and the James Cumming Community Centre upgrade).

It is essential we are able to continue with our work programme to ensure current and future residents and visitors, of all ages and ability, are able to enjoy all that the Theatre has to offer. Unfortunately the alternative is the imminent closure of this facility.

How we will help the Council achieve its own vision for the future

The St James Theatre embodies the vibrant and eclectic nature of our community. It is an important part of 'Our Place' and a destination for residents and visitors alike. By helping to secure its future, the Gore District Council can help sustain the Theatre's presence in Gore. The St James Theatre has an important role to play in our community and can support the Council in achieving its population growth objectives. The Theatre offers recreational and social opportunities that reinforces the value of our District's arts and culture offering.

The Theatre has a vital role to play in helping ensure the attractiveness and vibrancy of our community. Without additional funds the long-term viability and accessibility of our facility is at risk. We seek the Council's support in ensuring we are able to continue to contribute to the Rural City Living ethos and the potential for our District to 'Grow Forward'.

Please note that representatives of the St James Theatre Trust request an opportunity to speak to this submission.

Yours sincerely

C. M. McIntyre

Craig McIntyre
Chair, St James Theatre Trust

Appendix 1: Summary of recent funding history

Funding Body	**Outcome / Amount Raised**
Lotteries CFF	300,000 Partially funded (applied for 490,000) *met criteria but due to* substantial pressure on funds allocation reduced
Community Trust South	63,000
Gore District Council	60,000
Transpower	50,000
Pakeke Lions	50,000
Lloyd Morgan Trust	20,000
Gore & Districts Theatre Trust	20,000
Lions Club Gore Hoondi	*11,192*
Individual Donations	10,035
Gore RSA	10,000
P H Vickery Trust	10,000
Gore Rotary	5,000
Gore Garden Club	5,000
Gore Host Lions	5,000
Gore Musical Theatre	5,000
Waikiki Valley Rural Women	3,000
Roof craft	2,373
Findex	1,800
Bowls Southland	1,250
Dolamore Trust	1,000
Wyndham Pioneer Lions Club	500
Balfour Lions Club	500
West Otago Lions Club	500
Gore RSA Women's Section	500
Paul Henry Fundraiser	500
Tai Chi Classes	400
Lions Club of Wyndham	250
Longford Intermediate	200
	(Total $637,000)
Pending	
Lotteries Environment and Heritage	Application pending
Other funding bodies approached	
AON Insurance	Declined
ASB	Declined
ANZ	Declined
Allied Press	Promotional
Bayleys Real Estate Gore	Declined
BNZ	Declined
COGS	Declined - do not fund capital works
Creative NZ	Ineligible
Generosity New Zealand – Give Us	Researched
Gore Town and Country Club	Declined

Food Stuffs (Through Gore New World)	Declined
First National Gore	Declined
Frozen Funds Charitable Trust	Ineligible
Harcourts Gore	Declined
Helen Stewart Royle Charitable Trust	Declined
Mazda Foundation	Declined - do not fund capital works
Great South	Contacted –Ineligible
Meridian Energy Community Funds	Contacted - Ineligible
John Beange Fund	Contacted - Ineligible
Department of Internal Affairs Regional Cultural and Heritage Fund – a fund of last resort	Declined because it was deemed to be a picture theatre and not a standalone Performing Arts venue
Pub Charity	Contacted –Ineligible
NZ Community Trust	Contacted - Ineligible
National Heritage Preservation Incentive Fund	Ineligible
Meridian Energy Community Funds	Contacted - Ineligible
Ministry – For Seniors - Project for living	Contacted –Ineligible
Creative Communities Scheme	Contacted –Ineligible
Rural Communities Trust	Ineligible
The Big Idea | Te Aria Nui	Ineligible
The Trusts Community Foundation	Applied but withdrawn because we do not yet have building consent
The Department of Internal Affairs – Community Matters	Contacted - Ineligible
The Vernon Hall Trust Fund	Ineligible
Lotteries Disability Funding	Contacted - Ineligible
New Zealand Oral History Awards	Contacted - Ineligible
The NgaiTaku Fund	Contacted - Ineligible
The Southern Trust	Contacted - Ineligible
Spark	Declined
Emma Agnes Sellars Charitable Trust	Contacted - Ineligible
SGT Dan Stock Foods	Contacted
Tindall Foundation	Contacted - Ineligible
Ministry for Culture and Heritage – History Research Trust	Ineligible
Ministry of Education	Ineligible
National Services Te Paerangi	Ineligible
New Zealand Film Commission | Te Tumu Whakakaata Taonga	Ineligible
New Zealand Music Commission	Ineligible
New Zealand Society of Authors (PEN NZ INC)	Ineligible
Performing Arts Network of New Zealand (PANNZ)	Ineligible
CommunityNet Aotearoa	Ineligible
Provisional Growth Fund	Lengthy discussions. Ineligible - needed to go through council forum

Southern District Health Board
Piki Te Ora

Public Health South

Dunedin: Private Bag 1921, Dunedin 9054
Ph: 03 476 9800 Fax: 03 476 9858

Invercargill: PO Box 1601, Invercargill 9840
Ph: 03 211 8500 Fax: 03 214 9070

Queenstown: PO Box 2180, Frankton, Queenstown 9349
Ph: 03 450 9156 Fax: 03 450 9169

SUBMISSION ON THE GDC READY TO GROW – 10 YEAR PLAN 2021-31 CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

To:	Gore District Council PO Box 8 Gore 9740
Details of Submitter:	The Southern District Health Board
Address for Service:	Public Health South Southern District Health Board PO Box 1601 INVERCARGILL 9840
Our Reference:	21Apr11
Date:	19 May 2021

Introduction

Southern District Health Board (Southern DHB) presents this submission through its public health service, Public Health South. This Service is the principal source of expert advice within Southern DHB regarding matters concerning Public Health. Southern DHB has responsibility under the New Zealand Public Health and Disability Act 2000 to improve, promote and protect the health of people and communities. Additionally there is a responsibility to promote the reduction of adverse social and environmental effects on the health of people and communities. With 4,250 staff, we are located in the lower South Island (South of the Waitaki River) and deliver health services to a population of 335,900.

Public health services are offered to populations rather than individuals and are considered a "public good". They fall into two broad categories – health protection and health promotion. They aim to create or advocate for healthy social, physical and cultural environments.

This submission is intended to provide general commentary to the Gore District Council (GDC) on the 10-year plan 2021-31 consultation document titled *Ready to Grow*.

General Comments

We commend Council for its proactive and consultative approach for this plan and value the upstream conversations that we have quarterly with the leadership group which commenced in late 2020.

Please find enclosed our detailed feedback on your plan in the table below. Items 1-14 are issues of interest to us that appear in order as per your plan. Additional priorities for Southern DHB are listed as A-C. Our feedback is guided by the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals[1] which are being increasingly embraced by New Zealanders as an internationally important tool for sustainable growth.[2]

[1] http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/ (accessed 26 March 2018)
[2] http://www.colmarbrunton.co.nz/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Colmar-Brunton-Better-Futures-Report-FINAL.pdf?platform=hootsuite&utm_source=Policy+Signals&utm_campaign=285fb658a3-EMAIL_CAMPAIGN_2018_02_15&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_4adbea08a2-285fb658a3-205767437

	GDC 2021-31 LTP Key issues	Southern DHB Priorities	Our Position	Comment
1	How to fund the separation of wastewater and stormwater pipelines on private properties?		Support the initiative	We commend GDC on acknowledging the overall public health risk of not separating the stormwater and wastewater systems. Poorly managed wastewater infrastructure can expose the public to pathogens such as norovirus, campylobacter and giardia. The pressure of stormwater in the wastewater treatment process will only get worse in the future with population growth in the areas placing a greater risk on infrastructure failings. Energy is wasted in treating stormwater, increasing costs and the overall environmental impact.
2	What is the future of the Gore Library		Support option 1	PHS recognises the social and cultural value of places of interest such as art galleries and museums. We therefore support the transfer of ownership to the arts trust. This option will contribute to community well-being by serving as loci for social connectedness and could provide a more accessible avenue for the general public and for local artists.
3	What should we do with the Special Rural Fund?		No position	No comment
4	Infrastructure		Support these initiatives	Water related infrastructure is covered elsewhere (drinking water, storm water, wastewater). Flooding: we recommend exploring initiatives that reduce the severity of overall climate change impact, and consideration given to improved management during events (e.g. covenants on landscaping to mitigate runoff risks – less concrete/pavings, and more green space). Transport network: Transport disadvantage exists for deprived populations (East Gore/Mataura) and it is important to plan to reduce this. Facilitating low-carbon transport such as walking, cycling and public transport improves mobility for population groups who have less access to private vehicles and enhances health equity.
5	Heritage precinct upgrade – Maruawai Centre Development		Support	We support this initiative and we recommend that the following infrastructure is available for patrons across all new or refurbished buildings (water fountains, bike stands, breastfeeding spaces, smokefree/vapefree signage and accessible spaces).
6	New Gore Library and James Cumming Community Centre		Support	Multipurpose flexible sites are encouraged, as they are more affordable and will encourage community connectedness. The infrastructure identified above is also recommended for this facility.
7	Roading		Recommendation	Road safety is important – we support initiatives which promote active transport and improved safety measures for all pedestrians and road users. We recommend investment into infrastructure to support connectivity and active transport on the East Gore side of the river that promotes walkability and increases safety and connectivity. For example, upgrading footpaths, and adding cycling lanes and traffic slowing measures such as pedestrian crossings/courtesy crossings enabling a trail network to be established assuming the cable-stay bridge is approved though the current appeal process.
8	Water		Commentary only	Drinking water: • It is pleasing to report that both the Gore and Mataura water supplies have current Water Safety Plans. Protozoa compliance was not achieved for either due to insufficient treatment processes, however we are aware that council is addressing this issue. • We continue to support community water fluoridation as an important public health measure in the maintenance of oral health and prevention of tooth decay.
9	Mataura water upgrade a priority		Support	We commend the council for prioritising this activity as a priority and offer our support where appropriate.
10	Wastewater		Support	• We agree that improvements to wastewater treatment plants are necessary and commend the council's plans to do so as part of their consent renewals. We would like to offer our support and guidance during this process as this enhances our relationship as well as aiding a better understanding of the current and proposed treatment processes when it comes to the submission process. • Knowledge of residents needs to be increased on how on-site sewage operates and required maintenance to minimise system failure.
11	Stormwater			We support the ongoing investment in stormwater runoff controls to prevent flooding events and contribute to the water quality within the urban catchment.
12	Government-led water infrastructure review			The Three Waters Reform Programme is acknowledged to be in its early stages operationally within Gore. Public Health will continue to support council and monitor any issues which are reported. Specific comments relating to drinking water, wastewater and stormwater have already been covered above.
13	Climate change and sustainability		Commentary only	The public health outcomes are well documented in the legislative reforms for Land and Water. While this is a regional council responsibility, we note that its implementation will enable positive wellbeing outcomes for the district's population.
14	Rubbish and recycling		Commendation and recommendation	We commend the council for identifying the factors that make recycling challenging; the two identified initiatives of development of a recovery centre and making the district free of single use coffee cups or exploring promoting compostable takeaway cups. We encourage the move towards waste-free options.

A		Wellbeing	Recommendation	Our wellbeing is influenced by where we live, learn and play and there are avoidable differences in health status seen within and between communities of which GDC decision-making has the ability to influence many both directly and indirectly. Examples include housing, food security, drugs and gambling, accessibility to active and public transport, availability of alcohol and tobacco/vaping and mental health. We will continue to support the council with our actions (policy review, monitoring and recommendations) where possible before formal engagement occurs. Specific comments: • We recommend banning advertising of fast food on buses and bus shelters, council owned properties and grounds, and council run events. • We recommend equity of access for GDC communities, for example Hamilton Park has been identified by the community as not been easily accessible for East Gore. • We commend the council on their partial sinking lid policy for Class 4 gaming machines whereby they are not allowing any new venues or gaming machines (apart from mergers and relocations if affected by earthquake fire or flood). • Encouraging smokefree (including vape-free) behaviour is a way that council can help reduce preventable deaths and chronic illnesses. We are able to provide further support (including the provision of signage) to enhance the existing Smokefree policy which also improves air quality and reduces litter.
B		Safe in the South	Recommendation	The Safe in the South initiative is a valuable multi-council and organisation initiative that uses an integrated approach to promote community safety. Accident Compensation Corporation (ACC) has provided funding support which concludes in mid-2021. We recommend that GDC considers increasing its share of funding to continue to support the coordinator position which is critical to the success of this project.
C		Sustainable Development Goals	Recommendation	Common language between public health and GDC is important as we continue our relationship. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals are a way of ensuring a commitment to sustainability in its wider sense and have become increasingly popular at a local government level.

Summary

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the Draft 2021-31 Long Term Plan Consultation document.

We wish to be heard with regard to this submission.



Dr Leanne Liggett
Public Health Analyst

Respondent No: 121
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 19, 2021 10:56:56 am
Last Seen: May 19, 2021 10:56:56 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Rex Affleck
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	Yes
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I was involved as a property owner in Arrowtown some 40 years ago. They were very financial (you are NOT!), and provided a grace period to hook up, after that you paid. I believe that user pays is the only viable option. But, if the council actively and aggressively pursues Government for all or partial re-reimbursement under whatever schemes may arise, on behalf of those affected, that would be excellent value for both affected ratepayers and the rest of us. As an out of town ratepayer, I would respectfully like to remind you, the council, and all affected ratepayers that we don't get (and never will) a sewer connection, and have to pay for all ongoing maintenance ourselves

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

None of the above 3 options are acceptable to me as a ratepayer, there is huge exposure to future uncontrolled costs, all for intangible results in terms of both investment and effort. I believe that we are already over committed to this somewhat frivolous area of visitor experience,

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

This is an absolute no brainer, and I am amazed (and somewhat offended) by it's inclusion in the questionnaire.

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Regarding Issue 2: This now abandoned building be sold (as is) for whatever you can get for it. If the purchaser believes that they can re-roof, improve, demolish it or whatever, the Gore District Council is relieved of any future risk or expense, but rather would be deriving another rate income.

Sport Southland LTP submission to Gore District Council

Sport Southland's vision is “everyone active every day” and our purpose is “enriching lives, strengthening communities and contributing to wellbeing through physical activity”.

We would like to acknowledge the strong relationship and increased partnership between our two organisations and the positive approach which Council staff bring to our shared kaupapa.

Thank you for your ongoing support for the Eastern Southland Regional Active Co-ordinator role.

Sport Southland acknowledges the challenges the GDC faces balancing the various competing demands, while ensuring levels of community service and supporting the wellbeing of the community.

Play, active recreation and sport make an enormous contribution to the health and wellbeing of our Southland communities, and we acknowledge the significant investment ($53.6m) which the GDC is committing across Parks, Reserves, Pools and Recreation over the next 10 years.

Sport Southland would like to continue supporting the GDC with the activation of these places and spaces to ensure that this investment is maximised.

This could include, but is not limited to:

- greater activation across Gore’s tracks and trails networks, for recreation and active transport
- the new disc golf course at Dolamore Park
- greater utilisation of the Gore Multisports Complex by schools and their communities
- ensuring newcomers to Gore engage in play, active recreation and sport opportunities (Welcoming Communities)

In particular, play is a vital element of child development and resilient communities but is under threat due to a range of factors. Councils can play a pivotal role not just in the provision of fixed assets but by considering play in all decision making which could create time, space and permission for whānau to play.

Building on the success GDC staff have had creating a play space at the Gore Visitor Centre, we would encourage Council to include a play lens when considering projects such as the old Gore library, the Heritage Precinct Upgrade - Maruawai Centre Development, and new Gore Library and James Cumming Community Centre.

We would encourage GDC to consider creating a play strategy which would support future decision making, particularly, but not exclusively, with regard to playgrounds. Sport Southland has worked closely with the Invercargill City Council on a similar strategy and would keen to support both the sharing of knowledge from that initiative and the creation of a GDC strategy.

Regional Spaces and Places Strategy implementation

- Sport Southland believes a better use of participation and facility data would support better investment into play, active recreation and sport across all territorial authorities and community funders. This data is not currently being collected in a consistent or meaningful way and we would encourage the creation of a shared role to facilitate this. This would support future sports field demand, allocation and investment.

- We would also ask the Gore District Council to formally adopt the Southland Regional Spaces and Places strategy and commit to its implementation through a shared role.
- We are asking all Southland Councils, alongside community funders and ourselves, to commit financially to the implementation of these roles to continue the momentum started and fully realise the opportunity of this regional strategy. We have secured 60% of the funding required and would ask the Gore District Council to invest $10,000 per annum for the next 3 years (5% of the total amount). This investment alongside other councils, funders and ourselves would ensure the strategy is well implemented, we develop good data to support decision making and supports a collaborative approach to this area.

Dear Gore District Council

Many thanks for the opportunity for the Croydon Aviation Heritage Trust (the Trust) to submit to Gore District Council's long term (10 year) plan Ready to Grow 2021-2031.

The Trust was established formally in 2008 to develop and manage the Croydon Aviation Heritage Centre which houses mostly aircraft from the 1920s and 30s, including an extensive collection of De Havilland aircraft. It is unique in that nearly all of the aircraft on display actually fly. This nationally significant museum is dedicated to preserving and celebrating New Zealand's civil aviation heritage – both the stories of pioneer aviators and their equally colourful machines.

We believe there is enormous potential at Mandeville as a visitor attraction for the District and Region, not just a destination for visitors but also as a local facility and asset with benefits right across the Gore District and Southland community and ratepayers to enjoy, rather than a few aviation enthusiasts. Other attractions at Mandeville include Miss Cocoa (the Moth) / " Money for Wine" boutique shop and the steam train and facilities managed by the Waimea Plains Railway Trust.

The Trust itself is primarily focussed on the maintenance (including airworthiness) of the collection of De Havilland aircraft and other associated exhibits, as well as the ownership and maintenance of the museum buildings and operation of the aviation themed shop. Other activities of the Trust are carried out to raise funds to continue with that work. We have good working links with the Croydon Aircraft Factory, the Waimea Railway Trust and Miss Cocoa Café (The Moth) who are also important stakeholders for any potential development of Mandeville as a major attraction.

The Trust currently works closely with the Council in a number of areas and the Council provides staff for the front of house and ad hoc input from the Arts and Heritage specialists when required, however this relationship has developed over time and the MoU that currently exists is between the Trust and GDC as well as the current operational arrangements, are ripe for review and reinvigoration.

Prior to CV19 and the fall in the international tourism market, the Trust had already recognised that a review of how it undertakes its activities was long overdue. Changes within the Trust membership have also brought forward some new ideas and approaches that need further development. The timing of CV19 has also focussed attention on how the Trust operates and what opportunities and challenges there may be in the medium term, although I am happy to report that both retail sales and visitor figures in 2020/21 from the preceding years are up and our position in the NZ domestic and local market is very strong.

We have also reviewed how the Trust delivers its main work around aviation history. In conjunction with GDC's Arts and Heritage Manager we have started to develop some key themes around how Mandeville fits within New Zealand's aviation history. Including the story of Air NZ, the Tiger Moth and how it helped train pilots for the Battle of Britain and the local aviation history including the development of top dressing and the use of aircraft during prohibition to spot for illegal whisky stills. We have also taken steps to ensure security of the key assets.

As part of this review the Trust has recognised that it strength resides fully in the technical aspects or our work, but ultimately the Trust needs further support, particularly around marketing, social/digital media, fund raising, stakeholder/community management and a more organised approach and support front of house/retail arrangements. We therefore believe that a more proactive and formal relationship with the Council would support the full potential of development

of Mandeville as a District attraction would benefit the District's community more widely than just being a museum, as well as contribute to a linked network of attractions in the District such as the Maruawai precinct.

The current collaboration with GDC is clearly appreciated but we do believe there could be some operational changes and changes in focus/support from the Council in those areas where the Trust lacks expertise or resources and as part of the long-term plan development process and our submission to the long term plan requests that the Council supports the development of Mandeville by making a commitment to having a conversation about the following:

1. The development of a joint steering group or committee to drive the change necessary for Mandeville as a visitor attraction to reach its full potential.
2. Formalisation of these arrangements through a MOU or similar with the Trust and other relevant stakeholders.
3. Working with the Trust and other stakeholders, the formulation of a development plan for Mandeville from which further actions can be coordinated and funded (through various funding mechanisms).
4. The formal allocation or staff resources of time the expertise improving elements of delivering our current facilities, funding application and strategy development.
5. Recognition of these commitments in the long-term plan so it remains on the priority list.

We believe that a coordinated and mutually supported partnership is the best way to maximise the development opportunities for Mandeville as a visitor attraction, not only providing economic benefits to the district from visitors, but also providing a local asset to further the district's appeal to residents.

I would like to be heard at the forthcoming long-term plan hearing.

Kind regards

Ian DW

Dr Ian Davidson-Watts
Chairman
Croydon Aviation Heritage Trust



Respondent No: 122
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 19, 2021 11:54:49 am
Last Seen: May 19, 2021 11:54:49 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Ian Davidson-Watts
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	Yes
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	not answered
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	not answered
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I'm not submitting on this issue as I am a rural rate payer

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

The building has had too many structural problems which are derived from the poor architectural designs. It is a lost cause and not cost effective to retain for any purpose. My view is that the Council should consolidate the great work that is being done with the rest of the built environment under existing plans, review how successful the Maruawai project has been in delivery and its objectives of attracting more visitors to Gore and then consider any future developments in line with that. In the medium term the use of the open area is a natural link from Terry the Trout and more needs to be done to connect Gore to the Mataura River and this space could be used to develop that theme.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I believe the special rural fund has an important role to play in supporting farmers and other land owners that might have to carry obligations towards the protection of biodiversity in the District in the next few years. The Council will be aware that the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPSIB) is planned to come into effect in July 2021. This will require all District Council's to identify and protect areas of native vegetation etc that meet certain criteria in their District Plans, as well as protect habitats supporting certain rare but highly mobile native species. As a result of these legal requirements, a number of landowners/famers are likely to have to obtain consents to affect any of the habitats or species in future years where such sites or species are identified on their land. Firstly I do believe that the protection of biodiversity is an important thing to do, ecosystems are essential to supporting many of our economic and societal foundations, including cultural and I also believe that identifying and formally protecting those significant areas is essential, as whilst many landowners voluntarily undertake such protection, New Zealand is still suffering biodiversity loss and regrettably a more formal and transparent approach is required. I do not agree with the approach the New Zealand Government is taking however. I believe a central agency (similar to Heritage New Zealand) should be established, funded by the tax payer (not the local rate payer) to take forward the identification of biodiversity assets as the cost of this work will be proportionately higher for rural communities, and to be frank keep local politicians out of a scientific assessment process (no offence intended). Protection can then be afforded via inclusion in the District Plan, in a similar way to historic buildings. However that is how the current system is rolling out and the rate payer will be required to meet the district plan costs for its implementation. I have substantial (over 25 years) experience of working with protected areas and protected species in the UK, pacific, New Zealand and the Mediterranean region and in almost all my work in this area with landowners is that they need more direct support, not only to protect but continue to manage such as areas in a way that is compatible with their own framing or land use priorities. Following the identification of protected areas (SNAs etc) under the District plan/NPSIB, I submit that Gore District Council should retain the special rural fund to help and support landowners in the District that will carry the responsibility on behalf of the rest of the community to protect the biodiversity areas and rare species that are identified. The fund could be used to provide grants for certain protection works to such areas/conservation action on the ground, including to fencing, undertake monitoring or undertake pest/predator control etc. The establishment of a biodiversity advisor or collaboration with other local authorities and relevant agencies could make the use of the fund more sustainable in the long term through their work seeking further funding from Government agencies and the like. In summary the use of the special rural fund in this way will not only benefit the entire Gore District community through the wider protection and enhancement of biodiversity, but sweeten the pill that will need to be swallowed by a proportion of the rural community that will have this obligation placed upon them through the process of the NPSIB. Furthermore the funds would be used for direct management on the ground providing for employment opportunities feeding the funds back into the rural community and associated industries. I would like to talk to my submission.

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Murihiku Kai Collective

Gore District Council LTP 2021 Submission

The Kai Collective DO wish to be heard at the LTP hearings.

Summary

The Murihiku Kai Collective is made up of a number of community groups who are formed around the common vision of 'Enabling Southlanders to have the capability to produce and access Good Food'. Good Food as defined by the attached Good Food Road Map.

Our vision and objectives align with those in the GDC 10 year plan consultation document, such as you state;

For our District to have a bright future we need it to be a place where young people want to be. To live, to work, to invest, to raise families, to play and put down their roots. Dealing with the present as well as providing for the future is important.

The Gore District council has repeatedly shown a commitment to building a strong, cohesive, vibrant community, and we know that you all value the importance of community wellbeing.

While there are parts of our community that are thriving, there are also pockets where the opposite is the reality. Feedback from these communities and families shows that one of the major struggles is access to quality, nutritious food. This has been exacerbated by the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic.

The pandemic exposed inequalities, but it also revealed solutions. We know that more shocks and crises are coming. We have the chance to build a more secure, sustainable, resilient local food system – one that is healthier and supports people more fairly. We ask to seed the korero about how together we can support a regenerative local food system that is prepared in times of natural disasters, climate change, supports self-sustainability and the need to provide adequately for our communities.

We ask the councils to lead in this space and help co-design and adopt a Murihiku Food Resilience Strategic Plan, internally and regionally. This could be used by departments across councils to inform and support their current and identify future work programmes and activities relating to food security and food sovereignty.

There are various actions that we have tabled that local councils can support and promote alongside the community to reduce barriers so that our local food producers are supported, more of our community are growing their own produce and our most vulnerable have access to good food.

Now is the time to commit and include Food Resilience while looking at the long term planning so that our region will have thriving social, economic and physical environments providing healthy, affordable and locally grown food for all people.

We have identified a number of action points for the next few years that as a collective we commit too. We also would like to invite GDC to partner with us and the other councils so together we will further adapt and adopt the Murihiku Food Resilience Strategic Plan based around the Good Food Road Map. At the least we ask GDC to:

1. Attend and support a community food resilience workshop. The opportunity to adapt and adopt the Good Food Road Map and co-design a Murihiku Food Resilience Strategic Plan with Kai Collective and other councils and interested parties; and
2. Work with Kai Collective to support our initiatives (table 1 below).

The following are a summary from below, of those actions Kai Collective is asking ICC to take.

Short term (2021-2022):	● Councils representative to be part of the Murihiku Kai Collective ● Co-design the Murihiku Food Resilience Strategic Plan with Kai Collective ● More edible plantings and comms to wrap around this for public awareness of harvesting ○ Perpetual edible plantings in urban areas (e.g. fruit and nut trees) ● Explore the possibilities for 'community composting' initiatives and other ways of diverting food waste from landfill ● Scoping the need for vegetable planter boxes for the Housing Care services
Medium term (2022-2025):	● Scoping and role description for a paid regional food resilience coordinator ● Centralised, council-led composting system to turn biodegradable materials (including 'compostable' plastics) into high-quality compost ● Councils to host gardening and food resilience information on their website (see Christchurch City Council example). This could build on the previous work of Kai Collective. ● Implementation of a council social procurement policy including objectives of sustainability, valuing te ao Maori and supporting and enabling local food providers and growers. ● Provision of outdoor teaching spaces for gardening.
Long term (2025-2030):	● Continuation of the above, supported by a ● Paid food resilience coordinator ○ Kai Collective and Council to co-design role description

Background

The Kai Collective supports food system prosperity and resilience from seed to bowl through leadership, collaboration, support and action. Members from the Kai Collective provide a wide community network and insights of lived experiences (real time opportunities and challenges). We are involved in projects that build additional resources and capacity within the local food system. In 2018 we identified numerous systemic issues that could not be resolved by one individual or group alone and as a result have created collective capacity by working together to highlight issues, identify solutions and create action towards them.

The organisations involved include: Sport Southland's Healthy Families Invercargill, WellSouth Primary Health Network, South Coast Environment Society, Southern Institute of Technology, Southern Farmer's Market, Koha Kai, Spirit Army, WasteNet Southland, Riverton Food Co-Op, Longwood Loop and South Alive.

By 2030 Southland has a booming local food system that provides Good Food to businesses, organisations, communities and individuals. In order for Southland to have a booming local food system by 2030 we need to make a plan, and we suggest we use the Good Food Roadmap with 5 strategic objectives:

1. Food Equity - supporting communities' right to access nourishing food and drink.
2. Food Sovereignty - supporting sustainable and mana-enhancing community participation in local food systems.
3. Food Culture and Traditions - Supporting food systems to reflect who we are as Aotearoa New Zealand – a diverse and multi-ethnic society with strong indigenous culture, the 'capital of Polynesia'.
4. Food for Health and Wellbeing - supporting communities, whanau and individuals to take control of their food and drink intake with more options to support their health and wellbeing and where the best choice is the easiest choice.
5. Food Resilience - Supporting all levels of the food system to be resilient ensuring the supply of sufficient, adequate and accessible food to all, in the face of various and even unforeseen disturbances.

In 2021 the Southland region is known for its strong agricultural sector with significant amounts of product produced for the mass market. There is ample amounts of land, water and a good growing climate. At one time Southland was able to provide around 80% of our food needs, this has plummeted to around 10%. More recently we have seen a reignited interest in food production produced by and for whanau and community, in particular during and post-COVID. There are numerous networks and groups focused on supporting community produced food including the seed savers network, food co-op at the Southland Environment Centre, and many schools and kindergartens who have gardens to educate and feed their students and their whanau. Aparima College is a good example of this where they have just hired a gardener specifically to grow more food.

However there are significant vulnerabilities in the food system. The mass production of a small number of commodities creates both an environmental and economic monoculture. They are connected to a fragile global market. Climate change is likely to have some climatic advantages and disadvantages but the extreme weather events are going to take a negative toll on all farming systems. On the social side, there are more and more people struggling in food poverty and challenged by a lack in the knowledge of how to cook raw fresh ingredients. There are some places for people to learn and be inspired by fresh local food but not enough to keep up with people's desires for and convenience of fast food.

Murihiku Kai Collective have developed an initial strategic plan to progress their vision toward a Good Food System as shown in table 1 below. We have identified a number of actions across 3 strategic priorities of education, communication and events to be carried out in the short, medium and long term. The lead agency for each action has been highlighted but the strength comes from all Kai Collective members supporting these initiatives. These actions are likely to increase and change over time, particularly with the support of local councils.

Table 1: Kai Collective action points across the short, medium and long term.

	Education	**Communication**	**Events**
Short-term (2021-2022)	-Koha Kai are in the process of investigating the feasibility of allotment gardens for whanau.	Develop Facebook site: - Sharing and hosting events. -Educating Southland on gardening and food resilience matters. -WasteNet will host links to useful information on backyard and community gardening.	- Koha Kai's Autumn Kai Harvest showcases community food production. -South Alive showcases Fruit and Nut trees and hosts community gardening sessions.
Medium-term (2022-2025)	-Kai Collective supports school education programmes providing food education and provision to students and their whanau.	-Kai Collective website to develop a resource to educate on what grows well in Southland. -Continue to use the Facebook page to message when to harvest edibles.	-Annual celebration of Southland's best produce.
Long-term (2025-2030)	-Using and promoting gardening spaces to educate community groups through allotment-style gardens.		-Work with Council, Great South, Coin South, Southland Farmers Market and all associated businesses to celebrate our thriving local food economy.

A number of the initiatives and projects being driven by our members in order to build resilience in the Murihiku food system and are supported by the Kai Collective. In particular there are two projects aimed at increasing the commercial availability and access of local food which is one of the major challenges to building a resilient system. The Southern Farmers Market and Longwood Loop are important strategic opportunities to bridge this gap and need broad community wide support. They have a LTP submission to the Council's and we agree to support their submissions.

- **Southern Farmers Market:** Provision or support for a permanent, all weather farmers' market site so that there is an accessible base for the sale and distribution of locally grown produce.
- **Longwood Loop:** Creation of the Longwood Loop with the mission to re-energize rural communities by enabling small and emerging producers of food and goods to connect with their surrounding communities.

Other Councils

Across Aotearoa NZ there are a number of examples where councils are leading and supporting the development and strengthening of their local food systems. Through parks plans which support food production on council owned land, encouraging businesses to support the procurement of local food and amending Council policies to support this. We hope that these examples help to inspire ICC staff and elected members to see the opportunity that supporting local food can provide.

Table 2: Examples of Councils in New Zealand supporting and building a Good Food System.

Council	Initiative and link	Description
Dunedin City Council	Good Food Dunedin Charter	The Charter is a DCC-led initiative and is supported by individuals, communities, organisations and businesses who share a vision to transform Dunedin into a thriving food-resilient city. The charter is based around food being good for people, for the city and for the planet.
Christchurch City Council	Edible Christchurch, Food resilience policy and action plan	Policy developed in conjunction with the Christchurch Food Resilience Network with an objective of being "a food resilient Christchurch with thriving social, economic and physical environments providing healthy, affordable and locally grown food for all people."
Auckland City Council	Auckland's Climate Plan Food Priority	Auckland City Council have developed a Climate Plan with a subsection of this being the food priority. The aim is to develop policy and actions to reduce carbon emissions from the food system. Auckland Council had identified that: "A low-carbon, climate resilient local food system is based on a regenerative, circular economic model. This approach: • minimises or avoids synthetic fertilisers and pesticides • eliminates food waste from landfills • makes the most of surplus food to feed people, plants and animals."

For further information please contact:

Stella O’Connor

[redacted]

[redacted]



EVERYONE IN AOTEAROA NEW ZEALAND SHOULD BE ABLE TO ACCESS GOOD FOOD AT ALL TIMES

With local food systems that are regenerative inclusive and resilient food can be a catalyst for systemic change

GOOD FOOD ROAD MAP

Food and beverages that are affordable nourishing appetising sustainable locally produced and culturally appropriate

A strategic framework to encourage consistency in approach efficiency in use of available resources and increase collaboration for collective impact

FOOD INSECURITY

The food system is not working for all with many unable to access adequate good food and are consuming less nutritious food due to limited options

FOOD SECURITY

The food system enables all people at all times to have physical social and economic access to good food which fulfil their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life

FROM

TOWARDS

Supporting communities' right to nourishing food and drink	GOOD FOOD IS ACCESSIBLE AFFORDABLE AND AVAILABLE TO ALL
Supporting sustainable self determining and maintaining community participation in local food systems	SUPPLY OF GOOD FOOD IS COMMUNITY-LED INDEPENDENT AND COLLABORATIVE
Supporting local food systems to reflect who we are as Aotearoa New Zealand	GOOD FOOD IS AUTHENTIC LOCAL AND CULTURALLY DIVERSE
Supporting communities to take control of their food and drink intake with more options to support their health and wellbeing	UTILISATION AND CONSUMPTION OF GOOD FOOD IS THE BEST AND EASIEST CHOICE
Supporting all levels of the food system to be resilient with sufficient adequate and accessible food to all at all times	THE SUPPLY OF GOOD FOOD IS STABLE SECURE AND SUSTAINABLE
ACTION POINTS	A RESILIENT LOCAL FOOD SYSTEM

FOOD DEPENDENCY

The food system is not accessible to all creating the need for ongoing financial and other support for people to consume good food

FOOD SOVEREIGNTY

People exercise the right to good food and have the right to define their own food systems placing the control of food back into the local communities

The Southern Initiative

healthy families South Auckland He oranga whānau

GOOD FOOD ROAD MAP

COMMON GOAL

Good Food Road Map is a collective strategy towards food security and food sovereignty in Aotearoa New Zealand in order to help strengthen the overall health, wellbeing, and resilience of our communities.

Achieving this goal requires all of us working together, collaborate and co-create to shift our food systems to better support our people, community, and environmental wellbeing.

It is time to reconnect to our indigenous wisdom, valuing our diverse cultural knowledge around food supply and demand – where food is produced in ways that nourish our people and protect our environment.

On this journey, the right food policy is paramount to optimise food production, distribution, availability and affordability, reducing environmental impact, as well as regulating food advertisements in public spaces and packaging, so it can promote and support the consumption of good food, while educating about the health risks associated with foods that are ultra-processed and high in fat, sugar and salt.

There is an urgent need for developing local food systems that are regenerative, inclusive and resilient, understanding that food can play a critical role in driving systemic change and if produced, delivered, selected and consumed in a sustainable manner, it can improve individual and collective wellbeing, foster multiculturalism and social cohesiveness, build climate and community resilience, preserve and restore the natural environment, create jobs and regenerate communities.

There are plenty of well-structured stand-alone initiatives in New Zealand that together, can create a powerful movement towards a sustainable food system; but they need strategic support and guidance to leverage the work required to deliver positive long-term systemic change.

As a country, we have the necessary resources and collective intelligence to organise, motivate and implement a set of game-changing initiatives. Acting locally, we can have positive transformations in this field and create changes across all sectors within the food system.

The Good Food Road Map is a plan to tackle food insecurity, a proposal taking a realistic approach based on harnessing international experience and local knowledge, leveraging existing resources from different stakeholders, working together to influence the necessary policy and behaviour changes to achieve food security and ensure that food sovereignty remains in the control of our communities in Aotearoa.

This road map supports and aligns with many other local and international food charters, including the C40 Good Food Cities Declaration[1], where leaders of major cities around the world committed to promote and preserve the health of citizens and the health of the planet by working to transform urban food systems.

[1] C40 World Mayors Summit - Copenhagen, Denmark (October 10, 2019)

GOOD FOOD ROAD MAP

Vision

Everyone in Aotearoa New Zealand should be able to access good food* at all times.

Mission

Develop and establish sustainable local food systems**, so all individuals and whānau have access to food and drink that are affordable, appetising, nourishing, and nutrient dense, in order to improve community health and wellbeing.

**food and beverages that are affordable, nourishing, appetising, sustainable, locally produced and culturally appropriate (adapted from FAO and different food charters).*

***a collaborative network that integrates sustainable food production, processing, distribution, consumption, and waste management in order to enhance the environmental, economic, and social health of a place, ensuring food security and nutrition (FAO; Community-Wealth Org).*

Five ideal scenarios - targets

	Ideal	*Facts*
Food Equity	1. Individuals and whānau should all be able to meet their basic human rights to nourishing food and drink.	▪ The current global food system is out of balance. Millions of people around the world suffer from hunger, yet many more have an unhealthy diet that contributes to premature death and rising healthcare costs[1]. ▪ This scenario is not different in New Zealand. Most of our food is consumed in cities[2] and food insecurity, malnutrition, child undernutrition and micronutrient deficiencies are increasingly urban problems, just as much as rising rates of chronic diseases.
Food Sovereignty	2. Individuals and whānau should be able to participate in their local food system in a sustainable, self-determining, and mana-enhancing way.	▪ Our people and communities hold collective wisdom (cultural, life experience, individual knowledge) that could effectively shape the food system (demand, supply, marketing, consumption) so that it is supportive of their wellbeing. ▪ The recovery of the people is tied to the recovery of food, since food itself is medicine; not only for the body, but for the soul, is the spiritual connection to history, ancestors and the land.[3]
Food Culture and Traditions	3. Our food system should reflect who we are as Aotearoa New Zealand – a diverse and multi-ethnic society with strong indigenous culture, the 'capital of Polynesia'.	▪ Our existing social, economic and environmental challenges demand a collective approach, tackling the problems at their heart in order to truly deliver systemic change. Food plays a critical role in this process with an equally pivotal and cross-cutting role in driving change, since the connections among food, culture, and society, incorporates our cultural heritage and ethnicity, but is not limited to it. Food can foster multiculturalism and social cohesiveness, celebrating our nation's diversity, cultural identity and sense of place, which is closely connected to the land and the food systems. Indigenous and traditional knowledge offer a wealth of experience that can inspire local food systems to reconnect people to whenua, restoring the natural environment and enhance community resilience.

[1] FAO, 2018, The State of Food Security and Nutrition in The World
[2] C40, 2019, In Focus: *Addressing food related consumption in C40 cities*
[3] Winona LaDuke in Recovering the Sacred, 2005

Food for Health and Wellbeing

Ideal

4. Individuals and whānau should be able to take control of their food and drink intake.
They need a food system where the best choice is the easiest choice – this means more options and alternatives to support their health and wellbeing.

Facts

"We have good access to bad food and bad access to good food."
Individuals and whānau in Aotearoa are suffering from diet-related chronic diseases.

- One in five deaths can be associated with bad diet[4]. The leading diseases associated with diet related deaths in New Zealand are coronary heart disease, stroke, colon and rectum cancer. Those who live with diet-related diseases are more likely to experience poorer mental, social, and educational outcomes[5]
- Community, non-governmental, and non-profit organisations deliver a number of initiatives tackling the food system, particularly around urban production and food environments. However, many of these initiatives face obstacles including policy constraints, funding constraints and lack of influence or access to decision makers.
- There are also significant and complex underlying systemic issues that cannot be addressed by the community alone:
  - loss of productive land
  - unsustainable business practices
  - waste reduction
  - regulations that can lead to commercial interests favoured over community wellbeing
  - fragmented approaches to addressing the food system e.g. multiple stakeholders with shared interests working independently

Food Resilience

5. All levels of the food system need to be resilient ensuring the supply of sufficient, adequate and accessible food to all.
In order to preserve the environment and ensure food and nutritional security for all, there needs to be a transition based on sustainable development principles[6].

- As an island nation with close island nation friends in the Pacific – we are at the forefront of experiencing the effects of climate change. Furthermore, our diets are not just hurting our health but also the eco-system that supports human life[7]. Food is among the largest drivers of global environmental change contributing to biodiversity loss, freshwater overuse, interference with the nitrogen and phosphorus cycles, and land-system change. The world is in a climate crisis, where droughts, floods, desertification and extreme weather events, is reducing our ability to feed everyone on the planet[8].
- An estimated one-third of all food produced is either lost or wasted. Food loss also represents a vast waste of labour, water, energy, land and other natural resources, as well as emissions, that went into producing it[9].
- Local food systems[10] are inherently more resilient and provide greater food diversity. Their development, promotion, and protection have a key role to play in achieving greater food security while minimising food waste and GHG emissions[11].

---

[4] Lancet, 2017, *Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries*
[5] OECD, 2019, *The Heavy Burden of Obesity*
[6] FAO 2017, *Food Security and Nutrition in the Age of Climate Change*
[7] The EAT- *Lancet Commission* https://eatforum.org/eat-lancet-commission/
[8] UN Dispatch, 2017, *Climate Refugees Explained*
[9] FAO, 2015, *Food wastage footprint & Climate Change*
[10] https://community-wealth.org/strategies/panel/urban-ag/index.html
[11] *FAO 2017, Food Security and Nutrition in the Age of Climate Change*

GOOD FOOD
ROAD MAP

Action Plan

This document provides a framework for an individual plan towards a collective goal having the five ideal targets as a reference. Once you define the approach or initiatives, please share and connect with others so your work can inspire and contribute to systemic change.

Location: [*Place, Contact Person*]

	Action Points	***Examples of intended approach or initiative***
Food Equity	1. Supporting communities' right to nourishing food and drink	▪ *Supporting an overall increase of healthy plant-based food consumption (which involves eating more fruit, vegetables, nuts, and legumes, and for many, less food from animal sources) by shifting away from unsustainable, unhealthy diets.*
Food Sovereignty	2. Supporting sustainable, self-determining, and mana-enhancing community participation in local food systems	▪ *Working with Iwi, community, businesses, public institutions and other organizations to develop a joint strategy for implementing these actions and achieving these goals inclusively and equitably.*
Food Culture and Traditions	3. Supporting food systems to reflect who we are as Aotearoa-New Zealand – a diverse and multi-ethnic society with strong indigenous culture, the 'capital of Polynesia'	▪ *Working with local communities to revive and strengthen cultural food practices that are good for the people and the environment, and celebrates our unique diversity*
Food for Health and Wellbeing	4. Supporting individuals and whānau to take control of their food and drink intake via a food system where the best choice is the easiest choice	▪ *Supporting urban/backyard food production and local/neighbourhood food collective/cooperative schemes* ▪ *Talking with policymakers to ensure that schools, hospitals and other priority settings are supported to provide and promote healthier food as the default*
Food Resilience	5. Supporting all levels of the food system to be resilient ensuring the supply of sufficient, adequate and accessible food to all, in the face of various and even unforeseen disturbances	▪ *Reducing food loss and waste through education and transformation of current practices.* ▪ *Incentivising local food production and supply chain to increase local demand and consumption.* ▪ *Supporting regenerative agriculture*

The information below is to help planning and can be used to ensure consistency and develop collective data to communicate the impact that the initiatives will have not only in your region but across Aotearoa New Zealand.

Please provide if you have available.

Example of resources available to deliver intended actions (can be for all or one of the action points above)

What are the main challenges your location has faced/might face in delivering the intended actions? (if any)

2021/8

FEEDBACK FORM



Please note: Your name and feedback will become public information. Other personal details will remain confidential.

Rural Ratepayers Group

Mr / ~~Mrs~~ / ~~Miss~~

First name Peter

Last name Haraget

Postal address .....

.....

Email address .....

How would you prefer to be contacted?

☑ Email ☐ Post

Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?

☑ Yes ☐ No

If you tick 'Yes' we will contact you to confirm the time you will be speaking at the meeting on 1 June.

QUESTION 1:

Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties

(refer to pages five and six)

Option 1 - The Property Owner Funds the Work
(This is our preferred option)

This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - The Council Funds the Work

We would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set fee

The property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

☐ Set Fee ☐ % of CV

Comments

We are rural ratepayer and it is not our business to make comment on an what is an urban matter.

QUESTION 2:

Future plans for the Old Gore Library

(refer to pages seven and eight)

Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust
(This is our preferred option)

Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Redevelop as information centre

Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air site

Demolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

This brings into question all the information released over the years. If the roof was as bad as reported, and too costly to repair, what has changed? If the building is so bad, it should be demolished and area put in garden.

QUESTION 3:

Future Use of the Special Rural Fund

(refer to pages nine and ten)

Option 1 - Use fund to reduce bridge debt
(This is our preferred option)

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Use fund in consultation

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Give funds to Trust

Close the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust, to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 4 - Retain funds for future use

Retain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

Put it in to reduce bridge debt. A professional responsible thing to do. Will benefit all rural ratepayers and residents.

NEED MORE ROOM? You can attach extra pages to your feedback but please make sure they are A4. Also check to include your name and contact details on the extra pages.

Submission to GDC 10 year Plan 2021/2031 Rural Ratepayers Group.

Why Change? Page 20. It is not sensible to dissolve the three seperate entities, to create a single rating area, because rural is a completely different animal to the urban areas. This whole idea reeks of desperation because the small Gore District has become unsustainable due to a small population, a lack of economy of scale and dearth of sound financial management. Such a move would precipitate an immediate move by ratepayers to amalgamate.

The Government has currently moved to clean up the Health System by streamlining services, by removing deadwood and ballast. Approximately 20+ CEO's etc. etc. in the Health Sector are facing the guillotine to deliver cost savings for better health outcomes for the public.

For years submissions have illustrated the fact and problem of residents of neighboring Districts flowing in to use GD amenties at the expence of GD ratepayers. The present administration has had years to address this issue, and have avoided exploring amalgamation options.

Central Government has in recent days signalled a major shake-up in Local Government, and with the present Government, actions speak louder than words.

The suggestion of a single rating area is follow-on of an apparent council agenda, to bundle distinct,disparate community's of interest together. Rural people have faced the imposition of single-ward, election at large by this Council in the past. This was roundly rejected by rural people and the Local Government Commission agreed.

We wish to speak to this matter and the 10 year plan.

Mark Copland
Peter Hargest

19/05/2021

pjhcharlton@gmail.com

SUBMISSION

TELEPHONE 0800 327 646 I **WEBSITE** WWW.FEDFARM.ORG.NZ

To: Long Term Plan Consultation
Gore District Council
29 Bowler Avenue
GORE 9710

Submission on: Long Term Plan Consultation Document 2021-2031

Date: 19 May 2021

Contacts: Chris Dillon
Southland Federated Farmers Provincial President

Kim Reilly
South Island Regional Policy Manager

SUBMISSION TO GORE DISTRICT COUNCIL
LONG TERM PLAN CONSULATION DOCUMENT 2021-2031

General Overview

Southland Federated Farmers (Federated Farmers) welcome the opportunity to submit on the Long Term Plan proposals put forward by Gore District Council. We appreciate the challenges Gore has faced and continues to face in terms of natural and developed resilience.

Federated Farmers wishes to be heard in support of this submission.

Recommendations

1. **That the Council requires property owners to undertake and fund at their expense the separation of private stormwater and wastewater pipes.**
2. **That the Council will undertake this work where property owners do not complete this in an agreed timeline and the individual property owners will be charged for this work.**
3. **That the Council does not proceed with Option 1 or Option 3 for reforming the Special Rural Fund.**
4. **That the Council proceeds to instigate effective and meaningful engagement and consultation with the rural community on the best use of the Special Rural Fund.**
5. **That the Council publish any rules or conditions that currently exist on the use of the Special Rural Fund before undertaking any consultation.**
6. **That the Council consider carefully the need for any "*administrative costs*" to be charged should any transactions occur out of the Special Rural Fund and offer transparency on these in advance.**
7. **That the Council undertake a review of its rating system that will provide for a transparent and accountable rating base across the district.**
8. **That the Council ensure that any review of the rating base will include the introduction of rating differentials and that the rural community is demonstrated to be no worse off from any changes to the rating system.**
9. **That the Council look to smooth the rates impact proposed in year one of the LTP to ensure a planned rates increase of below 5% in all years of the LTP.**
10. **That the Council provides a transparent reconciliation between the current rates bill and the proposed rates bill to detail where the increased rates are being spent.**
11. **That the Council maximises its UAGC to 30% of applicable revenue.**

12. **That the Council maintain the Dog registration fee for working dogs at $25.**
13. **That the council ensures rural road maintenance is supported and delivered on through the Long Term Plan.**

Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties

Federated Farmers supports the proposal to separate Wastewater and Stormwater on private properties. There are significant public health issues which need addressing with this work as well as the need to ensure capacity in the network is managed effectively.

Federated Farmers supports the proposal that property owners fund the work themselves within a defined timeframe. This proposal will allow owners to find the most effective and timely solution to their own circumstances. We note that there may some property owners who may struggle to fund this work and we would support council ultimately funding this to ensure it is done and the cost recovered directly from the individuals over an agreed period.

Recommendations

That the Council requires property owners to undertake and fund at their expense the separation of private stormwater and wastewater pipes.

That the Council will undertake this work where property owners do not complete this in an agreed timeline and the individual property owners will be charged for this work.

Future Use of the Special Rural Fund

Federated Farmers welcome the opportunity to consider the future use of the Special Rural Fund. It is of some concern that this fund has not been utilised for a number of years and we would like to see the fund put to the most effective use for the benefit of the rural community.

The proposed option of using the fund to reduce the bridge debt gives the impression that this issue is a solution in need of a problem. It is unclear what the terms of the Special Rural Fund were when it was created and question whether this current consultation process is being run to allow the use of the Special Rural Fund assets to repay infrastructure debt already committed to by council, rather than a more holistic conversation with the rural communities' interests.

While reducing the debt on the bridge would have a positive impact on rural ratepayers, Federated Farmers are concerned that the first stage of looking at the future of the Special Rural Fund should have been the proposed Option 2, to use the fund in consultation with the rural community.

The needs of the rural community are best identified by the community itself, Federated Farmers would like to be part of this wider discussion.

The community may have more pressing or apparent needs for investment or support which may produce alternative options currently not in the consultation document. The community may ultimately support paying the debt on the bridge but without a more comprehensive conversation it is unfair of the Council to be so narrowly focussed in the proposed options.

Federated Farmers does not support Option 3 of funding Southland Rural Support Trust from the Special Rural Fund. Rural Support Trusts, while an important and integral part of our rural communities, are funded by Central Government and we are concerned about the risk and impact of reduced government funding that may arise if this additional funding was granted to them.

Federated Farmers would also request greater clarity is provided on why no applications have been received for funding in over 10 years and also what "administrative costs" it proposed to use if it were to repay the bridge debt, as given that both of these items sit on Councils balance sheet it should be a fairly low cost transaction.

Recommendations

That the Council does not proceed with Option 1 or Option 3 for reforming the Special Rural Fund.

That the Council proceeds to instigate effective and meaningful engagement and consultation with the rural community on the best use of the Special Rural Fund.

That the Council publish any rules or conditions that currently exist on the use of the Special Rural Fund before undertaking any consultation.

That the Council consider carefully the need for any "*administrative costs*" to be charged should any transactions occur out of the Special Rural Fund and offer transparency on these in advance.

Should we investigate the introduction of a less complex rating system?

Federated Farmers supports a review of the rating structure and would like to see a reformed rating structure in place. We support the concept of Targeted Rates being used where beneficiaries can be accurately identified. We would support any review which considers that the Uniform Annual General Charge (UAGC) be fixed at its maximum level (30%) to ensure all ratepayers contributed a fixed amount towards general services.

Federated Farmers is concerned that some of the Councils you have compared yourselves to in the consultation document do not use rating differentials. The move to one rating area can only be transparent and effective if accompanied by rating differentials to reflect different property classes. We would not support any revised rating system that did not include rating differentials between rural and urban properties.

Recommendations

That the Council undertake a review of its rating system that will provide for a transparent and accountable rating base across the district.

That the Council ensure that any review of the rating base will include the introduction of rating differentials and that the rural community is demonstrated to be no worse off from any changes to the rating system.

General Rates

Federated Farmers notes that the proposed rates increase of 8.22% in year 1 breaches the proposed limits in the Financial Strategy. Given that Council then proposes 9 years' worth of rates increases below 5% we are concerned that greater emphasis has not been placed on smoothing the effects of this rise over the 10 years of the plan. It should be noted that by having a larger than proposed rates increase in year 1 means that all subsequent increases are off a higher aggregated rating base, therefore maximising councils rates increase over the whole life of the LTP not just in year 1.

The Financial Strategy notes that this increase is to fund interest separately from depreciation, breaching the policy limits by 3.22% However the Funding Impact Statement shows a gross increase in financing and depreciation costs of no more than $221,000 which would equate to a rates increase of around 1.5%. Federated Farmers requests greater clarity on the reconciliation between the current year's rates and the proposed 8.22% increase.

Recommendations

That the Council look to smooth the rates impact proposed in year one of the LTP to ensure a planned rates increase of below 5% in all years of the LTP.

That the Council provides a transparent reconciliation between the current rates bill and the proposed rates bill to detail where the increased rates are being spent.

Uniform Annual General Charge (UAGC)

Federated Farmers does not support the current proposal in the Revenue and Financing Policy to maximise the UAGC at 25%. Federated Farmers supports the UAGC being maximised to 30% of applicable revenue. The UAGC provides for an equitable mechanism for all property owners to contribute to the public good component of running council.

The use of the UAGC is essential to flattening the rates distribution between high value properties such as farms and other property types. As a fixed portion of rates, we believe this to be the fairest and most equitable way to apply any public good component and charge for services that have roughly equal value of public benefit to each ratepayer.

Recommendation

That the Council maximises its UAGC to 30% of applicable revenue.

Dog Registration Fees

Federated Farmers supports the proposal to not increase Dog registration fees, and supports the current working dog fee being at $25.

Recommendation

That the Council maintain the Dog registration fee for working dogs at $25.

Roading

Federated Farmers understands the parameters that Council has to operate within for the One Road National Classification. We are concerned that within this structure rural roads are not overlooked further or that maintenance budgets are not reallocated or reprioritised to support urban roads first. There are significant positive wellbeing aspects to maintaining and supporting rural roads across economic, social, cultural and environmental wellbeing and these need to be supported and recognised.

Recommendation

That the council ensures rural road maintenance is supported and delivered on through the Long Term Plan.

About Southland Federated Farmers

Southland Federated Farmers is a voluntary, member-based organisation that represents farming and other rural businesses. It is one of 24 provinces that comprise Federated Farmers of New Zealand, which has a long and proud history of representing the needs and interests of New Zealand farmers.

The Federation aims to add value to its members' farming businesses. Our key strategic outcomes include the need for New Zealand to provide an economic and social environment within which:

- Our members may operate their business in a fair and flexible commercial environment;
- Our members' families and their staff have access to services essential to the needs of the rural community; and
- Our members adopt responsible management and environmental practices.

ENDS

Submission - Gore District Council 10 Year Plan 2021 - 2031

Thank you for the opportunity to express my views on the Draft LTP for the 2021-2031 period. As you are aware I am an engaged and interested member of the public with a strong interest in local democracy and ensuring that the Council provides appropriate leadership and governance of local government arrangements in the Gore District.

I have taken the opportunity to provide feedback on the elements contained in the consultation document, highlighting the relevant issues as they occur.

Section 1: Feedback on Council's three questions:

Question 1: Wastewater & Stormwater Separation on Private Properties:

Option 1 - The Property Owner Funds the Work

I am in support of option one. As the consultation document sets out, not all properties require this work to be done. It also conveys a largely private benefit to property owners, even if it does provide some relief on the public infrastructure. Therefore this would also be consistent with the Council's financial policies.

Question 2: Future Plans for the Old Gore Library:

Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air site

I am in support of option 3. This option is practical given the Council itself has deemed the library building too costly to repair for occupation by Council activities. The old library has no heritage value, and therefore would not be of value in terms of enhancing the heritage precinct. The retention of the old library building would attract ongoing costs to the council and present substantial risks in terms of the underlying ownership remaining with council. An unused building (particularly one with a leaky roof like the old library) still attracts cost to maintain, and its prominent position risks it becoming an eyesore for the town. The council has recently undertaken demolition of other buildings in the vicinity due to the lack of maintenance and the ongoing vandalism and security issues. Removing the building would ensure these costs were not ongoing for ratepayers, and may offer an opportunity to beautify this area with gardens that the District is renowned for.

An example of costs currently being borne by council of closed buildings includes the Mataura Swimming pool which is apparently falling into disrepair and requires costly maintenance to ensure it is usable for the future. If the Council was of a mind to enhance the heritage element it could invite the trust to look at how they could support the Mataura Community Board with retaining the old railway building in the District.

Question 3: Future Use of the Special Rural Fund:

Option 4 - Retain Funds for Future Use

I am in support of option 3. The Government has recently announced its intention to pursue local government reforms, and it is unclear what impacts these reforms might have on individual councils. Therefore it is prudent to retain the current funds in the special rural fund which allows the council to apply the funds to appropriate rural activities at the time when these reforms plans are clearer. The Council may also look at reporting each year the balance of the rural special fund so that rural ratepayers are clear as to the amount of funds being held by Council.

It would be prudent for council to think carefully and not rush into making a decision at this time. Council may also wish to meet with rural ratepayers (stakeholders) to inform and enable them to put forward their views.

Section 2: Other projects

The Heritage Precinct Upgrade – Maruawai Centre Development

The funding proposed to be allocated to this project needs careful scrutiny as to whether it is a viable and worthwhile investment in the COVID affected environment, and may be better to set aside and revisit in the next LTP (2024). The heritage centre is largely a visitor driven attraction (particularly international visitors), as is the visitors centre (by its very name). The visitors centre in its current form is tidy and spacious and appears to function well.

It is predicted that international visitor numbers will not bounce back for at least a couple of years (as the borders remain closed to all but a few travel bubbles), and therefore it appears it would be sensible to delay this project until these numbers recover. The pressure that may have been there when the PGF funding was applied for is no longer the case with the widespread effects of the COVID pandemic.

The $360,000 suggested for this project could be applied to Council's core activities – such as upgrading rural roads, retiring infrastructure debt, or water upgrade projects. If the Council was interested in applying funding towards a community activity, I believe there would be significant benefit in working with COVID affected businesses to give them greater support – perhaps even the tourism sector – rather than investing in upgrading another "heritage" building. The option of what to do with this $360,000 could benefit from further consultation with the community, as it is not an insubstantial number that would have to be funded by ratepayers.

I would have liked to have provided figures to support this submission, but it appears that my request for information was not forthcoming in time for the due date for submissions. I may be able to provide additional context when I speak to my submission.

Cable Stay Bridge Capital Investment

The document states on page 14 that the cable-stay bridge is a major capital investment. I acknowledge that Council would have spent many months engaging consultants and deliberating on the options for design and location of the proposed bridge; and it has not been without controversy. The appeal of the consent will also attract ongoing costs for Council which are ultimately borne by the ratepayer.

As Councillors may be aware, local retired mechanic Jack McIntyre put many hours into investigating the plans put forward by the designers of the concept to get water pipes from Jacobs Town Well to the East Gore Water Treatment Plant. He has scrutinised the council plan and explored other options that show Gore could have some new water via an underground pipeline for around $1,500,000.

Jack believes there is a saving of over $3 Million dollars by not going ahead with a new bridge. When one considers that a bridge of this type would provide very little benefit to a large percentage of Gore residents, Councillors acting in the best interests of the district should consider reviewing this major capital investment. Jack writes "there are many more things in this little town that need that $3,000,000."

Rating System & Broader Reform

The possibility of changing the rating system will be viewed with much interest. A one rating system has both positives and negatives; as rating systems are by their very nature complex and dependent on the perception of the individual as to what is fair. I look forward to seeing much more detail on these proposals in the coming months and years leading up to any proposed change.

Any changes to the rating system would also need to be informed by the substantial changes that are happening in local government, only some of which are covered in the consultation document. Councillors may be better informed by undertaking an environmental scan of the current operating context to be able to make decisions on the 10 year plan for 2021-2031 with confidence.

Financial policies

For several years the policies set out in the 10 year plan have made mention of "innovation" being used in Council's financial policies. It would be good for Council to provide examples of what these innovations are, and that they are fully compliant with the Local Government Act and other relevant legislation and regulation.

I observed that the 2020/21 Rates assessment notice did not include a breakdown of what each ratepayer was paying for each activity. It would be useful to understand why this change was made, and how this has been reflected in the Council's financial policies, and these do not appear to have been amended in the intervening (2018-2021 periods). As well, other changes have not been signalled, such as the significant change to the Draft Funding Policy Matrix. I would welcome Council's comment on how omitting these changes ensure transparency and provision of sufficient information to ratepayers. I would refer Councillors to the Productivity Commission's report for reference.

Official Information Requests

As mentioned above, I have not received a response to all of my LGOIMA requests in time to inform my submission. I hope to be able to provide further information at the hearing on the matters referred to above.

Submission hearings

I confirm that I do wish to be heard in support of my submission. Please let me know what time I am scheduled to present to Council.

Sally McIntyre

19th May 2021

FEEDBACK FORM

Mr ERNEST MACMANUS

[redacted]

RECEIVED
Gore District Council
17 MAY 2021
SCANNED

Preferred contact by Email

Email [redacted]

Attached are my submissions to the 10 year plan2021-2031

Yes, I would like to speak to councillors in support of my submission

E. MacManus 18th May 2021

[redacted]

QUESTION ONE

I SUPPORT OPTION 1 Property owner to fund the work
In My case I paid for the full storm water drain right under River Terrace, and to the River at considerable cost. It is only fair property owners pay to the street gutter.

QUESTION TWO

P)LANS FOR THE OLD LIBRARY BUILDING

I SUPPORT tOPTION 2 REDEVELOP AS AN EVENTS CENTRE

It is important that all Sections of The G.D.C. community and not just the Arts are considered
The ARTS are more than catered for with options for their displays with space at The Gallery, The Heritage Centre, The Distillery,The Rock St. Church with its Artist in Residence Flat,
and the large Church Hall which could become their home.

An EVENT CENTRE would be available to all the community and not just a few for all sorts of functions such as a Conference room, Federated Farmers and Women's Division meeting places, Art Displays, Trade Displays, Modern and Ballroom Dances, Family reunions, Funerals, Weddings,and Catering during Festival week,rest rooms or any other type of event.

The Children s area may be leased to a Caterer for a Cafe' ,,separated from the main area with folding doors opened as needed.

THE ROOF.
Seventy five per cent of the existing roof is in real good condition, including the frontal appearance of the building and does not need replacing.

Having had over fifty years experience in installations on every type of roofing including my apprenticeship, when only Plumbers were trusted with roofing installations and flashings,.I know that the Roof mounted Air Conditioning unit, with its poorly flashed roof penetrations is the main cause of the leaks, plus the flat roof design being a natural gathering area for leaves.

Wind blown dust and leaves accumulating between the metal to metal flashings, and with no air space resulted in Capillary attraction, allowing the rain water over time to saturate the roof timbers with leaks appearing some distance from the real cause. { The effects of Capillary attraction and how to avoid it is taught to all Plumbing apprentices]

A NEWLY DESIGNED PITCHED ROOF over the south east area is essential to overcome the leaking problems and encase the Air Conditioning unit.

With only the South Eastern flat roof having to be replaced, the one million dollars set aside could very well cover most, if not all the renovation costs.

QUESTION THREE

FUTURE OF RURAL FUND

I SUPPORT OPTION 4

During the first Gore District Council I was Chairman of the Rural Affairs Committee.
The Fund of $980,000 came from the G.D.C. share of the forestry section of the old Southland County Council, per land transferred to the Gore District.

A proposal from the late Harvey Young with an option for the GDC to purchase 150 Hectares of hill top land for $350'000. and to use the then Task force green to plant trees, was put to the Mayor who rejected the proposal. That land now has a forest reaching maturity and the trees valued well in excess of six figures with ihe land still available for future planting.

The new incoming Councillors then decided on a feel good policy of funding any worthwhile District program, such as giving $80,000 to the Waikaka Bowling club who has now gone belly up.

To preserve the balance I suggest one way to assist farmers is to supply riparian plants for fenced areas on the Districts water ways at cost.
The Reserves Dept. has proved their ability with plant stock and if a section of the land beside the sewage ponds was made available it would be a worthwhile cause.

Please do not just give the fund away to help the minority of Rural ratepayers.

GORE DISTRICT COUNCIL - 10 YEAR PLAN.

SUBMISSION - HUGH GARDYNE - 19 MAY 2021.

1.RATING. Raising valuation based rates for costs that are clearly suited to UAGC funding contradicts the Council's stated objective of "fairness and consistency". The Plan doesn't clarify how reducing the UAGC to 25% assists low value property owners, or the Council. These properties include absentee owners and/or are rentals. It is potentially just a subsidy to investors that may live outside of the district, or the country. The Council asks the question "should we return to the maximum UAGC of 30% to ensure all ratepayers contribute to a base level of funding"? Yes GDC should. Yet Council answers the question itself in the Revenue and Financing Policy opting for 25%, presumably already approved. Why bother to pose the question at all?

2.ROADING. The current state of Rural Roads is a disgrace and should be an embarrassment to Council. Years of neglect have caused roads to get narrower, water tables to not be maintained, grading cycles allow for roads to fall way out of specification. When will roads get some attention? It is one expense rural ratepayers fund and expects to benefit from, but this Council seems to not care. Take a look at the Clutha side of the border. Furthermore when is the proposed water main structure a roading item and not a water budget item? Will it receive assistance from the NZTA FAR fund?

3.GORE LIBRARY BUILDING/ MURIWAI PROJECT/MOONSHINE MUSEUM.
This whole bottomless pit of spend, spend and keep spending should have been reset long ago. The Moonshine project should be developed in conjunction with the MLT or a private partner, and the Council only as facilitator. There needs to have some economic viability established before more money is spent or wasted. The Library upgrade similarly. I don't know the area of the library but the roof replacement of $1.1 million? Really!! If a café is considered then work also in conjunction with the MLT or a private business to cater for the bus traffic and others, but it shouldn't fall on ratepayers to wholly fund discretionary expenditure.

2.

4. RURAL SPECIAL FUND. The discussion on the RSF highlights the gaping divide between Gore Council and rural ratepayers. Under this Mayor's watch the Rural Services Committee was disestablished and now all matters rural in Gore District are decided by an urban dominated District Council. Perhaps that explains the roads. There is just one answer to this RSF issue and that is OPTION 2, consult the rural community.

5. STREETS ALIVE. It beggars belief that between NZTA and GDC you can afford $1 000 000 to fix a problem that doesn't exist. Eccles and Broughton Streets functioned just fine. Try getting to the hospital or Medical Centre from Main Street in a hurry and over-loading the Crombie Street round-about? Why restrict car-parking in Irk Street? It is a retail space. Have you got Julie-Ann Genter's handbook on town planning? The town is now set up like "The Madhatters Tea Party", complete with fairy crossings. Why else is there a picnic table down the bottom of Main and Broughton Street? Nothing better than some carbon monoxide and road dust with the cup-cakes is there?

6. PARKS AND RESERVES. The annual inflation adjustments have ballooned the P and R expense out to the highest in NZ per capita or ratepayer. You have mowing schedules for grass cutting but not for road grading. Go figure! If you halved the P and R expense the saving would pay interest on $46.5 million of borrowing for 3 Waters infrastructure. The Garden Club and others could voluntarily look after small garden plots if they thought they were essential. Many council gardens could be put in perennials, concrete or to improve traffic access.

5. CHANGING THE BASIS FOR RATING. Why would any rural ratepayer agree to change the rating system? Hauraki and Matamata are used as examples without giving specifics. Why would we trust this or any future council to arbitrarily impose differentials across the district? The belief that one rating area will deliver transparency, simplicity, fairness and consistency is the spin-doctoring now being amplified out of Council with no explanation how? The present system might be old, but remember in the old days we could actually read the rates cost calculations and really understand how our rates money was being spent.

6.SUMMARY. I remember being on Council and suggesting that all councillors should be provided with their own copies of the Local Government Act and Amendments, Local Government (Rating) Act and the Rating Powers Act. Only one other councillor was interested. Is that still the case?

I urge you all to represent ratepayers and not fall into “easy street” and just back Council Administration agendas.

This Plan is a test of who runs the Council; the Admin, the Chairman’s committee and the Mayor, or Council mindful of:

- The services that are required.
- Who should pay?
- What ratepayers can afford.

I will speak to this submission.

Thank you.

Hugh Gardyne

55

2021/13

FEEDBACK FORM

READY TO GROW 10 YP 2021 - 2031

Please note: Your name and feedback will become public information. Other personal details will remain confidential.

RECEIVED 19 MAY 2021 3:29pm

Mr / Mrs / Miss MCINTYRE

First name JACK

Last name

Postal address

addres

Email

How would you prefer to be contacted?

☐ Email ☑ Post

Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?

☑ Yes ☐ No

If you tick 'Yes' we will contact you to confirm the time you will be speaking at the meeting on 1 June.

QUESTION 1:

Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties

(refer to pages five and six)

Option 1 - The Property Owner Funds the Work

(This is our preferred option)

This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

See Comment

Option 2 - The Council Funds the Work

We would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set fee

The property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

☐ Set Fee ☐ % of CV

Comments

Does not apply as on septic tank and 33 acre property. Would prefer to pay own way if it ever comes to that, but that will depend on council making its mind up on decision to allow the property to be changed to full Rural due to access from SH1 that has been deffered until council can make its mind up!!

QUESTION 2:

Future plans for the Old Gore Library

(refer to pages seven and eight)

Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust
(This is our preferred option)

Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Redevelop as information centre

Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air site

Demolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.

☑ Yes ? ☐ No ☐ Don't know

See Comment.

Comments

Amazes me that we have no local builders capable of repairing the roof. Can it not be shifted, or broken down in a manner that would allow it to be reconstructed on another site. Be Fancy looking Woolshed!

QUESTION 3:

Future Use of the Special Rural Fund

(refer to pages nine and ten)

Option 1 - Use fund to reduce bridge debt
(This is our preferred option)

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Use fund in consultation

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Give funds to Trust

Close the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust, to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 4 - Retain funds for future use

Retain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

NEED MORE ROOM? You can attach extra pages to your feedback but please make sure they are A4. Also check to include your name and contact details on the extra pages.

Any Other Comments? We would like to hear any other feedback you have in relation to the content of the Consultation Document, any of the 10 Year Plan supporting information or anything you think needs to be included that isn't.
Please write your name and contact details on this extra page too.

Mr / Mrs / Miss First name JACK Last name McINTYRE

Postal address 77 Waipahi Highway RD1. Gore 9771. Email address jackanddonna@windowslive.com

It frustrates me that this whole info sheet is about reducing Debt, yet at the same time talking about expensive projects that are in the works or underway.

I dont know who comes up with or does preliminary investigations, but they are way out of phase with what the district needs and /or can afford.

Two that are looming on the HORIZON ARE THE Sewage Ponds & the ECWTP water pipeline. Does nobody on the Council have the ability to. "THINK OUTSIDE THE SQUARE".

SEWAGE PONDS: During the recent flood the flow rate of Mataura River peaked @ ~2400 cumecs. The Ponds are approx 300m wide x 800m long & ~1 metre deep, so their contents are approx 240,000 cubic meters. Therefore if the flood had breached the ponds it would have flushed them in 100 seconds. (THANK CLIFF BOLGER FOR INVOKING that outside the square thought. IMAGINE THAT. ONE MINUTE and FORTY seconds to KICK of a flushing PROGRAM. FINALLY the attached DOCUMENT. 3 MILLION that could be used to reduce DEBT.

FORGET THE BRIDGE, JUST BUILD THE PIPELINE

Jack McIntyre 97 Waipahi Hwy, RD 7 Gore 9771
jacanddonna@windowslive.com

Hi Folks,

Thought I should bring you all up to speed with our recent adventure trip thru the Resource Consent process where it related to the Water Pipes from the Jacobs Town Well's to the East Gore Water Treatment Plant.

Bit simple to start like this because the whole Project virtually exploded out to a Multi Headed Beast with multiple Facebook posts and resulting in the Mayor placing a two page Q&A session with a presumably Ratepayer funded centerspread in the Mataura Ensign.
(theensign.co.nz/digital-edition/?edition=ENS_2020_07_01)
and posted on the GDC FB page as well, signed off by the Mayor himself.

That might have been the end of it, but unfortunately or perhaps fortunately there were many large errors in this article, positions of potential Bridge sites, huge distance errors etc. Some of those distance errors interested me and I set about checking them, simply could not make them fit in the space they were said to.

Was not until some-time later while checking Govt. Tenders page that a request for interested parties for part of the pipeline construction described how a size upgrade for a section of the existing pipeline was required in Maitland St, this explained the missing section of pipeline distance- and increased the pipeline distance plus cost.

There is a map (See link in above paragraph) of the intended pipeline route's in that two page epistle and the first thing that jumps off the page is the pipeline starting in the Jacobs Town Well's area and travelling all the way down the floodplain to a point just across the river from the northern tip of River Terrace, over the river via an imaginary bridge then back up via East Floodplain (adjacent to that critical point in the flood bank between Oxford & Church St's) & up Woolwich St to crossroads @ Woolwich/Maitland St, then right turn onto Maitland St to the EGWTP.

Quite a hefty distance when you think about it, especially when you have to pump the water on the way up. Next thing most noticed is why the heck do all that work when you could simply put a trench in the river bed and run the pipes thru a structure that lies in that trench and concrete it over so nothing can impede flow or entrap debris during flood conditions to keep the folk at Environment Southland happy.

Jack McIntyr.

That Pipeline is a virtual straight line by comparison. Wouldn't that be the sensible option? The last two plus months have seen the river drop to a very low level which would have made pipeline in a trench construction very simple.

So now we come to the Infamous Bridge the Council have chosen. To place this bridge at the chosen site requires the pipeline to be nearly twice the length of that required for Maitland St. Another little secret popped out at the hearings, they now have calculated that the pipeline costs will be $871.00 per meter, that's quite a jump from the ~$500.00 plus per meter initially proposed, got to dig a ditch regardless, but it would be sensible to keep its length to a minimum.

Initial publicity stated the Bridge Cost @ ~$3,700,000, with ~$2,100,000 of that coming from NZTA & ~$1,600,000 from GDC. GDC have also purchased the land on West Floodplain, I am told that was between $500,000 & $550,000. Next we have the recalculated costs of the pipeline with its $871.00 per meter factor, that multiplied by its 2900 meter length is ~$ 2,525,900.

Since the project was first started there has been further cost additions of the ramp up to west end of the Bridge and conditions with regard to lighting and safety, these remain unknown to me at this time. So let's total that up

BRIDGE...~$ 1,600,000.
PIPELINE ~$ 2,525,900
LAND:.... ~$....500,000

Sub Total. ~$4,625,900, add the ~$2,100,000 from NZTA, essentially Tax Money, and we have ~$6,725,900 real cost.

Now let's look at Maitland St (Opt C or 3 on map.) GDC have continually refused to acknowledge the possibility of a pipeline in a trench for this option and saddled it with Bridge and/or Structure costs to make it look un-economic, such attempts were rather stupid when you consider that they would not be suitable to Environment Southland.

The ES rules state it IS permitted to place Pipes or Culverts in the river bed in a manner that they WILL NOT impede flow or snag debris during flood conditions.

Jack McIntyre

At the Hearing ES spokesperson Jade McRae insinuated that this was not the case, but did not provide any evidence to substantiate her statement. She & her assistants were also unable to explain how the 'Margin' of a riverbank is defined when asked by the Commissioners.

To me the correct method with regard to this site would be to excavate a trench in the river bed that would contain a structure that itself would contain the pipeline elements and would not impede the water or debris that will flow over it.

I envisage that this component would/could be made on site away from any likely river flow without risk of contamination or contact. I presume this structure would be made with reinforced concrete and lifted into the trench in sections with concrete poured in gaps between those sections. Others with expertise in this area may have better solutions.

Now, as already pointed out the GDC have continually tried to insist on a Cost in the region of $5 or$6,000,000 for their vision of a structure to carry the pipeline ACROSS the river. That is WRONG and not what has ever been suggested.

GDC have never suggested that structure should act as a bridge for any form of traffic or even cyclists which means the suggestion here of a trench and structure to carry the pipeline would be an effective solution.

SO, How does this shorter option compare with the much vaunted longer Bridge option, with the use of Mr Matt Bayliss calculations we can calculate the required Pipeline distance @ 1530 meters, plus lets add 100 meters once more for the section where the trench pass's thru the river bed and another 50 meters where the pipeline will meet with its eastern portion from Maitland St for a total of 1680 meters, this will give a surplus of pipeline & fill material that can be offset against the cost of elements in the riverbed trench like concrete & Farm Boss pipe.

Multiply that by $871.00 per meter... Total of $1,463,280. That's it folks, $1,463,280 Subtract that from the BRIDGE option, even the subsidised option of $4,625,900 and you have saved ~$ 3,162,620..... over $3 million. **What is Wrong With That**?

Jack McIntyre

NOW, some wise guy is bound to jump up and say but the 'NEW' Bridge is going to give shorter walking distances from East Gore to the CBD. WRONG, when you use Google maps the majority of East Gore address's to the Gore Police Station is shorter than from the 'NEW BRIDGE to the Police station, feel free, Knock yourself out.

Check it, the only area that seems to offer a reduction in distance is in that area surrounded by Church, Huron, Oxford, & Hamilton streets and those are minimal.

One other thing, when you appear at any resource consent hearing it becomes somewhat obvious, you, the submitters are the only ones there that are not being paid, virtually everyone else is being paid, and in this case it is you and I the ratepayers/ submitters doing the paying.

We pay rates to ES, GDC & by way of taxes to reimburse the Hearing Panel, to add insult to that in most cases where we appear in person it's either time off work or in my own case just time off from doing other stuff that I would prefer to get done.

Hell I don't & won't really ever need to use the bridge, would likely appreciate a glass of water that tastes like real water though... wasn't that what all this was originally about??

TO SUM UP: You could have some 'NEW WATER' for somewhere around $1,500,000 (One and a half million) via an underground Pipeline, or you can have the same water after it travels around twice the distance.

90+ meters of that distance above ground in tubes under a funny looking bridge that when you have a serious look at it provides very little advantage to a large percentage of the town's population.

That Bridge and Pipeline option will only cost you another $3,000,000 or so.. There are many more things in this little Town that need that $3,000,000. Your choice.

People ask why I have taken such an interest in this issue, Mainly Money, Ratepayer

JACK MCINTYRE

Money and the thoughtless spending of it, not only this project, many recent projects that have gone well over budget as well.... Pump Station $780,000, Matai Ridge $750,000. The upcoming Sewage Pond upgrades as well, between $46million / $60 million there. What happened to the project to De-Sludge the ponds, has that been resolved?

My apologies for the length of this letter, no ratepayer funds used in compiling it.

Regards,
Jack McIntyre.

FEEDBACK FORM

READY TO GROW 10 YP 2021 - 2031

Please note: Your name and feedback will become public information. Other personal details will remain confidential.

Mr / ~~Mrs / Miss~~

First name Hamish

Last name Mackay

How would you prefer to be contacted?

☑ Email ☐ Post

Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?

☑ Yes ☐ No

If you tick 'Yes' we will contact you to confirm the time you will be speaking at the meeting on 1 June.

QUESTION 1:

Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties

(refer to pages five and six)

Option 1 - The Property Owner Funds the Work

(This is our preferred option)

This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☑ Don't know

Option 2 - The Council Funds the Work

We would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ Don't know

Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set fee

The property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

☐ Set Fee ☑ 1 % of CV

Comments

Needs to be Co-ordinated approach. As GDC replaces pipes in the street the house owners organise their own contractors to their pipes within the section. GDC charge a 1% ~~fee~~ of CV fee and loan the ~~Home~~ Property Owner at a nominal interest rate over an agreed period of time, or the Property Owner organises ~~his~~ their own finances. Over time this will become self funding to G.D.C. All consent fees will not apply to this work

QUESTION 2:

Future plans for the Old Gore Library

(refer to pages seven and eight)

Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust
(This is our preferred option)

Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Redevelop as information centre

Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.

☑ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air site

Demolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

The old Gore Library should be the new Gore Library.
The Arts Trust have wasted enough of ratepayers money over the years.
The MVM financial contribution of $958,000 would quite about pay ~~for~~ for the new roof.

QUESTION 3:

Future Use of the Special Rural Fund

(refer to pages nine and ten)

Option 1 - Use fund to reduce bridge debt
(This is our preferred option)

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Use fund in consultation

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Give funds to Trust

Close the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust, to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 4 - Retain funds for future use

Retain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

I think it would be an encouragement to the rural Ratepayer to Retain the Special Rural Fund. It could make a ~~non~~ one off payment of $100,000 to the Pyramid Bridge loan as measure of appreciation to the GDC from the Rural Ratepayers.

NEED MORE ROOM? You can attach extra pages to your feedback but please make sure they are A4. Also check to include your name and contact details on the extra pages.

Any Other Comments? We would like to hear any other feedback you have in relation to the content of the Consultation Document, any of the 10 Year Plan supporting information or anything you think needs to be included that isn't.
Please write your name and contact details on this extra page too.

Mr / Mrs / Miss First name ..... Last name .....

Postal address ..... Email address .....

Other comments

At the moment GDC should delay spending any money on 3 waters capital projects, until the Establishment and operation of Taumata Arowai is known. Councils have been bribed the Government and the restructure is going to be very expensive for Urban Ratepayers. I will explain in my Oral Submission

Rating system.

I am very concerned that this appears in the 10 year plan.

More details later

2021/15

Hokonui Mountain Bike Club (HMBC)
Gore

19 May 2021

Gore District Council
10-year Plan Consultation
info@goredc.govt.nz

Submission on the Gore District Council 2021-2031 10-year plan

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on the Council 10-year plan.

The HMBC is caters for all age groups, developing trails for youth and families to senior members of our community.

Firstly, we wish to thank the Council for their support by way of the CEO, Parks & Reserves, Roading and the 2018 Grant of $30,000. The Club is committed to maintaining a working relationship and partnership with the Council. The informal group meetings have been a great start.

We also wish to thank the Council for the grant of $30,000 approved in 2018 for assistance to develop the Water-race track. While we are yet to fully expend those funds due to COVID, weather and other circumstances, the balance of those funds will be uplifted over the next 12 months.

We will briefly outline our current achievements & vision.

Croydon Bush

We are working closely with DoC to plan the final surface work on the Waterrace Trail and coordinating the signage in preparation for a spring opening. This will complete the trail from Pope Rd to Dolamore Park. This is a grade 2 -3 trails. There are small number of grade 3-4 trails developed. Our vision for further development of these trails to provide more opportunities for a variety of riders.

Hamilton Park

Hamilton Park is a very popular area with cyclist and walkers. We have recently built new trails for families and younger cyclists. We see further opportunities and are unsure if a master plan exists for Hamilton Park & if not. We would encourage the Council to develop a plan we can be involved in. For example, to make land areas available at Hamiton Park for future track development and to renew access to the trails that existed prior to felling the gum trees at the former landfill (linking to the Waikaka Riverside trail.)

Reaby Road

We have begun work on an off road trail linking Gore to Dolamore Park along Reaby Rd, this has been done with volunteer work.
We are currently fundraising to complete the Reaby Rd to Kingdon Rd section.

Our longer-term aspirations extend to a conversation with Council regarding around town trails linking to the new foot bridge and family trails around the Dolamore Park recreation area.

We also ask the Council to urgently prioritise safe access for Pedestrians & cyclists to Hamilton Park before an accident occurs.

We believe our initiatives demonstrate a proven track record of making things happen in our District that meet a demand for cycling that is exploding in popularity worldwide. We have a well organised structure with project team leaders and a group of volunteers.
Our track maintenance & development programme has reached a level where ongoing financial support from the Council is being coming essential.
We ask the Council to consider an annual grant of $25,000 pa starting from the 2022/23 financial year. Every $1 from Council has the potential to generate at least $3 from funders. As well as a team of volunteers. We believe there a huge number of cyclists in Gore and this is small but important contribution to you community.
Furthermore, the Government is investing heavily in Central Otago Cycle trails as a priority & we have been unable to attract that enthusiasm in Gore.

Lastly, we ask the Council to affirm cycling as a key Recreational, wellbeing foundation & tourism asset for the district.

We wish to speak to our submission.

Richard Pasco
Chairman

Submission on 10 year plan by Chris Smith 2021/16

① Rates need only rise by the rate of inflation — We are not an endless pit of money.

② Capital works need to be funded thru Loans, which can be paid off over long term. With intrest rates at their current levels, it is in the council's best interest.

③ Council need to ~~concrete~~ concentrate on their core activities, and look for cost cutting measures, not banging 8 - 10 % on rates every year.

There are broken gutters, footpaths which need fixing.

④ Roads are meant for Cars !! Get rid of your stupid experiment! and fire the idiot who dreamed up all this rubbish. Obviously not working hoard enough to dream up this garbage, so one job saving by getting rid of dreamers.

⑤ Where is our new water supply? We have been waiting 15 years and still no go.
Yet a foriegn company can come to Gore, and hey presto you found water for them in 1 year.

⑥ Is this company going to contribute further for the sewerage upgrade?

⑦ What happened to funding for depreciation?

⑧ Accountants are only there to check

Councils figures. Not tell it what to do.

And I would like to speak on my submission

Yours faithfully



Respondent No: 62
Login: **Email:** n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 18:10:54 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 18:10:54 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Adam Newton
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	Yes
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Can the inferstructure handle the burden of extra storm water when given the littlest of down pours it seems our system can not cope. Or will there be upgrades so stormwater sonit can cope or will we have direct discharge into the river? If our system cannot cope then why put needless cost on ratepayers only to over burden a system already stuffed?

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Library could have been salvaged and modernized for a fraction of the cost that is being thrown away on a neglected james Cumming wing. But that horse has well and truly bolted

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

The council needs to sack the ceo. Discontinue with the eyesore solution of putting a bridge across a flood plain in lieu of a simple water pipe. Stop misrepresenting figures (read bullshitting) to try and be clever to push their pet projects through. Be more fiscally responsible regarding debt levels. Have an actual financial accounting team appointed to currently vacant positions to do actual reporting and checks and balances on spending. Stop spending rate payers money for the sake of getting "free money". Have a back bone and push back to central government on issues. Engage industry leaders in a direction for the growth of gore and fix a lack of residential land for development. Stop rolling over for big business circa slashing fees on mvm build only to fist everyone needing a consent less than 3 years later.

Respondent No: 16
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 16:35:46 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 16:35:46 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Ian Cupit
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	As above
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	Yes
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

This problem should have been done years ago.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Put the old library back in here, you have the cash for the new roof. the new site is totally unsuitable , car parking is a nightmare, plus in ten years time no young kids will be using it as they all use their phones. who ever voted for this move - done in secret i suppose !

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Yes. 1st No more unnecessary spending on stupid things EG STREETS ALIVE > total waste of money , Dog pound ! max spend there $ 100,000. You all have to remember this is ratepayers money , hard earned cash which is slowly being depleted on stupid ideas. Get the waste water item under control. Next look into doing something with our plastic waste, to be thrown back into landfill - a disgrace. What does all other councils do with there plastic waste, got to be a better idea than throwing it into landfill .

Respondent No: 39
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 01, 2021 23:10:05 pm
Last Seen: May 01, 2021 23:10:05 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Joe Stringer
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	Yes
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

-What are council options for people that cant afford or will not want to lay two pipes to the road side? -Would you list preferred installers? -What are laws, implications, fines for residents that cant afford to undertake this? -Would compliance costs be waved to encourage uptake? -Could other services be put in the trench at the same time such as local fibre or power cables, municipal heating? -Could extra ducts & conduit be put under road crossings for future use? -Public/private model investment options? -Is burying our infrastructure where its harder to observe and maintain in the future a good idea (there are other options, think outside the box)

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

-Does it have to spent on reducing bridge debt? Is this not already budgeted for? -Rural people already feel the rates they are paying are producing less services in the rural area. Maybe using in that area will return a feeling of good will. -If the money goes to the support trust do the public still have a say of where & when its allocated? -How about open the money up as cash asset availability for any public projects, it can be held as guarantee on quick projects.

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Infrastructure -Our level of infrastructure is not just declining because of age, compliance costs to work/maintain our infrastructure have gone up reducing the actual spend on the infrastructure. With current world wide events are we going to be caught with supply issues or unexpected price hikes? -I dont think we should be handing over 3waters to central government. It sets too many presidents for them to take other controls away from local authority. -In relation to the new bridge, will other service be allowed to attach to it besides just water and pedestrian/bike traffic? -Also how many of the main st buildings are not going to meet the NBS for earthquake strength. What do we do about this? Are we going to end up with derelict buildings? Urban sprawl -This is been seen all over NZ we are spreading out as land is cheap. Its not! we cant make any more of it and once we build on it reverting it back to farmland or native land is not an option. Sprawl increases other costs such as more road maintenance, longer service delivery lines, water, power, fibre, commute times. Look at high density options now, ground level shops with living or work space above them. -Look at the development out along reaby road how long until they need water and sewage upgrades? -Incentivise developers to build 5-10 story or more buildings now, become the Singapore of NZ. Building services are easier to maintain as they have service tunnels and the residents usually pay a fee of building maintenance. Heritage Precinct Upgrade -The heritage precinct is a good idea, I hope our tourism industry returns so we can get value from it. Climate change -How about we look at increasing the height of the Mataura flood bank sooner rather than later. -Has a report been done on how this will economically affect Gore District -What positive options may it open up? Warmer and wetter .... banana crops? Longer growing season -Pest control/eradication in gore township. I have noted on several occasions possums living in trees along hokonui drive, rabbits & ferrets in Hamilton park. Feral cats living around the CBD area. Rubbish & Recycling -How about we use waste to heat recycling to heat the local pool reducing its costs, or municipal heating reducing fire places in homes and heating bills for families? -Power production from it Population -Also if you want Gore to grow you need nightlife to bring the young people home. I know of several friends that have come back from over seas and they all comment about the lack of night life/options in town. I assume they will go back over seas once the world opens up again. Pubs are not Clubs

REGIONAL TOURISM NEW ZEALAND
Level 3, i-Payroll House
93 Boulcott Street
PO Box 9336
WELLINGTON 6030
P: +64-4-496-5396
M: +027-230-2293
E: [redacted]
W: www.rtnz.org.nz

11 September 2020

Submission to the Gore District Council on the Ten Year Long Term Plan Review

Introduction

1. This submission is from Regional Tourism New Zealand (RTNZ), located in Wellington. If you wish to contact us regarding this submission, in the first instance, please telephone Charlie Ives, Executive officer on 04 496 5396, or 027 230 2293. Or e-mail at [redacted]

Tourism and regional economic development

2. Tourism has been an intrinsic part of regional economic development. Tourism has been New Zealand's largest export industry and significant contributor to the New Zealand economy. It was a $99 million per day industry, delivering $40 million in foreign exchange and another $59 million from domestic visitor spending every day.

3. Tourism brings new money to regional and local economies. Visitor spend contributes towards generating economic growth which binds and maintains community vibrancy and well-being. The visitor market underpins the business case for many recreational, sports and cultural facilities and events that require a mix of community and visitor use to be viable.

4. The economic value and benefit of tourism is felt much wider than direct tourism businesses. Tourism injected $167M into the Gore and Districts's economy (YE July 2020) with 75% of visitor expenditure in retail, hospitality and other services, 25% in accommodation, activities and attractions, air and land transport and GST.

5. Events bring communities together, attracting visitors and stimulating economic activity, and the Gore District needs to continue sufficiently investing in events if it is to be competitive with other regions, and to realise the economic and social benefit they bring. RTNZ understands that GDC has been involved with the recent development of the Southland Murihiku Events Strategy which provides a regional framework for maximising the value of events – particularly in a Covid-19 environment.

6. Visitor expenditure supports wider community and business goals. Tourism engenders a sense of pride and vibrancy in place and is often referred to as the "shop window" for economic development. The qualities that attract visitors to a place are

also those that attract people to return and live, to work, to do business and to build new businesses in New Zealand's regions, cities and districts.

7. The Visitor Industry provides significant economic returns and employment across all levels of the job spectrum. Tourism is heavily service driven and relatively labour intensive. It therefore provides comparatively higher numbers of FTE jobs compared with other important industries. The industry *directly* provides jobs at a wide range of skill levels from relatively low-skilled jobs through to higher skilled management roles. Furthermore, many of these jobs can be part time, supporting parents who wish to minimise reliance on child care and people who want to study part time.

8. Destination planning, development and management is a critical facet of growing tourism in the Gore District. Expectations of a well maintained and vibrant place is high, and plays an important part in attracting new visitors, and bringing return business to the region. We would therefore urge council to ensure there are long term projections on how to manage tourism growth and foster community support for the industry. RTNZ understands that the Southland Murihiku Destination Strategy identifies a blueprint for the Southland region to achieve this and encourages Council to continue the partnership approach to implement this important Strategy (which is based on the principles identified in the New Zealand Aotearoa Government Tourism Strategy).

9. The Visitor Industry provides exposure to other New Zealand products and services. Not only do visitors purchase NZ produced food, their exposure to a positive NZ experience increases their propensity to purchase NZ products when they return to their home countries. Rural or agritourism is also growing worldwide, creating opportunities such as farm hosting, special interest agricultural tours, farm-gate sales and local farmers' markets. The Maruawai Project is very exciting and RTNZ congratulates you on the journey to develop this unique visitor proposition which shares an important part of your community's story. RTNZ understands that this project, food and agritourism are priority areas of focus for both the Gore District and Southland as identified in the Southland Murihiku Destination Strategy.

Local Government & Regional Tourism Partnerships

10. Regional Tourism Organisations (RTOs) are acknowledged and respected as the key regional agencies. RTOs are responsible for fostering and promoting sustainable regional tourism development, and while there are variations in RTO scale and structure, nearly all RTOs are defined by the following common key goal:

> *To grow visitor expenditure in the region, which supports the economic sustainability of communities*

11. The role of Regional Tourism Organisations. There are currently 31 Regional Tourism Organisations, (RTOs), spread throughout New Zealand. Each organisation is structured differently, reflective of their own community's view of tourism, its promotion and on-going development.

Business and Major Events are an integral part of many RTOs visitor marketing strategies as they deliver significant economic benefit to a region. Events generate a strong visitor yield, given the high daily expenditure of participants.

Beyond the tourism benefits, business events have wide and enduring benefits associated with business relationships, knowledge transfer, employment and industry development. Business events help industry deliver their goals; acting as levers to launch new ideas, identify trends, spread news of research breakthroughs, and equipping people to meet the challenges of change.

12. RTOs support for Destination Management Planning. Some regions such as Southland already have Destination Management Plans while others are in the process of developing their own. Given that Long Term Plans last 10 years, and cover budget allocations by local government, it is important that provision be made within the LTP for the activation of these Plans over this period. A Destination Plan should serve as a roadmap for Gore that is shared and understood by central government and its agencies. For the Gore District, we acknowledge your partnership approach with Great South which is the key agency responsible for facilitating the implementation of the Southland Murihiku Destination Strategy and recommend that this collaborative approach continue.

13. Central Government has provided one off funding through the Strategic Tourism Assets Protection (STAPP) Fund. On behalf of the Southland region, Great South has been tasked to develop an investment plan which fits with the eligibility criteria of the STAPP funding. , RTNZ understand that this has been in partnership with the Gore District and includes associated strategies and actions. This one off funding support from central government is to be commended, but must be regarded as the start of a longer journey which will require council and community support in subsequent years if momentum is to be maintained.

Tourism and Covid-19

14. Covid-19 has without doubt been a severe challenge to the tourism sector, and regional economies. The impacts on the local economy has been immediate and in many cases severe. Tourism will recover, but in order to maintain a core industry ready to meet the return of international visitors to the Gore District, a level of council investment is vital.

15. It is absolutely recognised that council's finances across the country are under stress, but it would be a huge confidence boost to local tourism and hospitality operators if it was stated that there will be no new targeted rates applied specifically to tourism businesses introduced over the next three years.

RTNZ would therefore recommend that:

1. The Gore District Council maintains a level of funding to tourism to support tourism and seek even closer alignment with your Regional Tourism Organisation, Great South.

2. International tourism will return to the Gore area at some point in the future, but it needs to be resilient, in tune with local community aspirations and environmental needs. Destination Management is the key to achieving this goal, and we recommend that this be enshrined within the LTP and aligns with the implementation of the regional framework – the Southland Murihiku Destination Strategy.

3. Council support the tourism sector by stating there will be no new targeted rates applied to tourism businesses over the next 3 years.

4. Events continue to be developed and promoted in the Gore District but their value to stimulate domestic visitation in the short term is critical so alignment to the regional events strategy and regional marketing promotion activity is essential.

Yours sincerely

Charlie Ives
Executive Officer

About Regional Tourism New Zealand

Regional Tourism New Zealand (RTNZ) is the name for the incorporated trust of 31 existing Regional Tourism Organisations (RTOs).

It was established as a Charitable Trust in 2005, with the objects being to represent the interests of the collective RTO sector in New Zealand.

As the peak body for RTO's RTNZ aims to encourage better coordination amongst RTO's as well as ensuring collective RTO's are engaged and consulted with on issues critical to the development of the regional tourism sector in New Zealand.

The activities RTNZ engages in include:

- Developing RTNZ submissions on issues and draft plans that impact the collective
- Providing advice and assistance to members
- Developing and maintaining ongoing relationships with stakeholder agencies
- Negotiation and execution of project based funding contracts
- Managing and implementation of projects on behalf of RTNZ
- Coordination of media enquiries
- Advocacy of the RTO sector and the important role it plays in the New Zealand tourism landscape

2021/21

New Zealand Memorial Museum Trust – Le Quesnoy, France
PO Box 90345
Auckland 1143
New Zealand

NEW ZEALAND WAR MEMORIAL MUSEUM
LE QUESNOY FRANCE
— A PLACE TO REMEMBER —

4 May 2021

Long Term Plan Submissions
Gore District Council
PO Box 8
GORE 9740

Submitter: New Zealand Memorial Museum Trust – Le Quesnoy

Contact: Rt Hon Sir Don McKinnon ONZ GCVO
Chairman
Email: info@nzwmm.org.nz

SUBMISSION TO LONG TERM PLAN 2021-2031

REQUEST FOR FUNDING SUPPORT FOR NEW ZEALAND MEMORIAL MUSEUM & VISITOR CENTRE, LE QUESNOY, FRANCE

Background


In the closing days of the First World War, our soldiers on the Western Front, exhausted survivors of battles on the Somme, Messines, Passchendaele and from halting the 1918 Spring Offensive, had one last wall to climb – literally.

The small town of Le Quesnoy in northern France had been under German occupation since August 1914 and this was November 1918. Surrounded by a moat and a 17th century wall complete with ramparts, the medieval town was like a fortress that had survived many an invasion in the preceding centuries. It had one more force to reckon with. The New Zealand Division had arrived to liberate the town from the German occupiers, who continued to defend the ramparts using howitzers, machine guns and rifles. Orders had been given to the New Zealand troops not to shell the town, to avoid any casualties among the 1600 civilian inhabitants.

Instead, some 300 flaming oil drums were fired onto the ramparts to create a smoke screen obscuring the assault by New Zealand infantry using long ladders to scale the outer walls and inner ramparts. The liberation of the town was completed with the capture of over 700 German soldiers, against just on 500 New Zealand casualties including 142 dead - the liberation was achieved without



PATRON Rt. Hon. Helen Clark ONZ SSI PC
TRUSTEES Rt. Hon. Sir Donald McKinnon *ONZ GCVO PC* (Chair), Maj. (Ret.) Mark Hall, Britson (Buddy) Mikaere,, Rt. Hon. Sir Lockwood Smith *KNZM*, Jude Dobson



the loss of a single civilian life. This is an achievement which the town and its people have never forgotten, even today - over 100 years later - which is now beyond living memory. They speak of the liberation with awe, still amazed that men would come from the far side of the world to free their town and citizens, to rescue them in their darkest hour.

The liberation of the historic walled town by the New Zealand Rifle Brigade just one week before the end of the Great War was a demonstration of Kiwi ingenuity and an act of courage. The story of Le Quesnoy is different from that of many other World War One sites – the town was liberated without being destroyed, and the residents were not displaced, and in that respect, it is a story of hope. The town was preserved intact and stands as a place where memories are lived and relived to tell the story to all who will listen; of the price that was paid for freedom in a war that stole much from our world.

The Project



The NZ Memorial Museum Trust - Le Quesnoy (a non-profit charitable trust) believes it is time to build a permanent memorial to our soldiers who perished on the fields of Flanders and France in the "war to end all wars". Indeed, it did not end all wars and many more New Zealanders lost their lives on European soil in the Second World War. Over 12,400 New Zealanders are buried in France and Belgium. It is appropriate that the sacrifice of a significant number of New Zealanders, who will remain forever in a place far from home, is acknowledged and remembered.



The Trust has had the opportunity to purchase a heritage property, the former Mayor's residence and Gendarmerie (military police headquarters), in Le Quesnoy, which is directly connected to New Zealand's World War One experience in Europe.

The Trust is working towards the vision of creating "a Kiwi place in France where memory and relationships are alive". We are working towards this goal through the establishment of a Museum and Visitor Centre in Le Quesnoy where our story will be told across multiple platforms – through artefacts, interactive activities, movies, audio stations, an app and other media.



PATRON Rt. Hon. Helen Clark ONZ SSI PC
TRUSTEES Rt. Hon. Sir Donald McKinnon *ONZ GCVO PC* (Chair), Maj. (Ret.) Mark Hall, Britson (Buddy) Mikaere,, Rt. Hon. Sir Lockwood Smith *KNZM*, Jude Dobson

The museum itself will include space for exhibitions and interactive activities, both digital and manual, designed to encounter and explore the stories of New Zealand's liberation of Le Quesnoy, New Zealand's contributions to the war in Europe, the history of the town of Le Quesnoy, an introduction to New Zealand's culture and heritage to Europeans, and the unique relationship that has developed between New Zealand and the French in Le Quesnoy.

Our Objective

Through this project we seek to celebrate:

Freedom – which inspired our people to go to war

Friendship – the unique bonds which developed between the people of Le Quesnoy and New Zealand which are still strong 100 years on

Future – the opportunity to create a better future with a focus on how to avoid war

Our Partners

To fully realise the link between past, present and future, we have engaged New Zealand's most respected professional museum experts to help shape and guide the concept through strategic planning and interpretative masterplanning. We have recently approved the Internal Experience Design Brief for the Museum and Visitor Centre prepared by museum experts Lily Frederikse, Tim Walker and Karl Johnstone. The Feasibility Study prepared by French company, Lamaya, was signed off last year and our Māori Advisory Group has provided a Māori cultural framework to inform the overall visitor experience.

We are in discussions with the French government about a potential partnership. They are very supportive of the project.

We have raised $8M to date towards the $15M total. Most of this has come from private individuals and businesses, who are on board with the vision of establishing "a Kiwi place in France where memory and relationships are alive". This is not just a project about remembering the past but focuses on the future, developing an experience which is cross-cultural, connecting across nations, through educational experiences and exchanges, offering an opportunity to reflect and learn from the past as we step into the future. As it is so beautifully expressed in Te Reo:

Ka mua, ka muri

We walk backwards into the future.

Our Request

We have previously presented to your Mayor at the Rural and Provincial Council Sector meeting. We wish to request that you consider a funding commitment to this project as part of your Long-Term Plan.



PATRON Rt. Hon. Helen Clark ONZ SSI PC
TRUSTEES Rt. Hon. Sir Donald McKinnon *ONZ GCVO PC* (Chair), Maj. (Ret.) Mark Hall, Britson (Buddy) Mikaere,, Rt. Hon. Sir Lockwood Smith *KNZM*, Jude Dobson

Our submission is to request that Gore District Council supports the project to build a Museum and Visitor Centre in Le Quesnoy with a donation equivalent to $1 per resident of your district, to remember those who gave their lives in the World Wars to give us freedom.

The funds are not required immediately and can be paid over the next three years. We are seeking a commitment from you towards the project at this point in time.

Soldiers came from this town and district as evidenced by your War Memorials. Their names stand in perpetuity here in our country. Their descendants live here and maybe even sit in this Council Chamber. Soldiers came from cities, towns and villages across New Zealand, not knowing what they were going to face on the other side of the world but stepping forward with a courage and belief in what was right and just and good for our country and our world. They went with a belief that tyranny and injustice threatened the very essence of our lives, threatened the freedom, friendship and future which, because of their sacrifice, generations that came after them have been able to enjoy.

In this day and time, we cannot even imagination what they must have faced on the battle grounds of Europe, but we can remember and honour them.

The NZ Memorial Museum Trust asks that you do just that through support of our project.

Thank you for the opportunity to make this submission which we hope will be favourably considered.

Rt Hon Sir Don McKinnon ONZ GCVO
Chairman
New Zealand Memorial Museum Trust





PATRON Rt. Hon. Helen Clark ONZ SSI PC
TRUSTEES Rt. Hon. Sir Donald McKinnon *ONZ GCVO PC* (Chair), Maj. (Ret.) Mark Hall, Britson (Buddy) Mikaere,, Rt. Hon. Sir Lockwood Smith *KNZM*, Jude Dobson

TAIAO
HOKONUI RŪNANGA

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 Hokonui Rūnanga welcomes the opportunity to respond to the draft Gore District Council Long Term Plan 2021-31.

1.2 Hokonui Rūnanga is deeply invested in the future of Māruawai Gore, its residents, and the many taonga and culturally significant areas within its boundaries. Their key aspirations are to ensure that these taonga are managed “mō tatou, ā, mō kā uri a muri ake nei” (for us all and our children after us), and that the rights and interests of Hokonui Rūnanga and all Māori within the district are heard, respected, and realised.

1.3 Hokonui Rūnanga support the intention of the draft Long Term Plan, however, there are a number of positions on key issues it would like Gore District Council to consider. These relate to:

- Building stronger relationships with iwi
- Old Gore Library
- Wastewater and stormwater management
- Māruawai Centre
- Mataura wetland system
- Separation of water pipelines on private properties
- Future use of Special Rural Fund
- Rating structure changes
- Rubbish and Recycling

2 HOKONUI RŪNANGA

2.1 This response is made on behalf of Hokonui Rūnanga in relation to the draft Long Term Plan 2021-31 produced by Gore District Council.

2.2 Hokonui Rūnanga is one of the 18 Papatipu Rūnanga that make up Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu. Hokonui Rūnanga represents Ngāi Tahu, Ngāti Mamoe, Waitaha, and Ngāti Kuri tangata whenua who hold mana whenua within the takiwā of the Hokonui Rūnanga. This takiwā centres on the Māruawai region which includes Mataura, Gore, and surrounding areas. Hokonui Rūnanga also have shared interests in a wider area outside the boundaries of Gore District Council.There are currently over 11, 000 members of Hokonui Rūnanga. Hokonui Rūnanga therefore notes that this Long Term Plan response should not be treated as a single comment, but should be afforded an appropriate status and weight that recognises the collective that it represents.

2.3 Notwithstanding its status as the representative voice of members of Hokonui Rūnanga, Hokonui Rūnanga accepts and respects the right of individual Papatipu Rūnanga members to make their own submissions on this Long Term Plan.

3 TREATY PARTNERSHIP

3.1 Hokonui Rūnanga would like to highlight their status as a Treaty Partner - not just to Crown entities at a Central Government level, but also directly to Local Authorities such as Gore District Council. It is understood that the process of regulatory responsibility delegation to Local Authorities (via the Local Government Act 2002,

and the Resource Management Act 1991) brought with it an associated transfer of Treaty partnership responsibilities to district and regional councils.

3.2 For the purposes of this Long Term Plan response, Hokonui Rūnanga holds out its status as Treaty Partner to Gore District Council, and makes it clear that this response is an expression of its interpretation of the Treaty relationship between Hokonui Rūnanga and Gore District Council. This means that during all phases of the considerations by Gore District Council of this Long Term Plan response, it must satisfy the duty of active protection, which the Waitangi Tribunal describes as follows:

> *"…the duty of the Crown is not merely passive but extends to active protection of Māori people in the use of their lands and waters to the fullest extent practicable."*

For Hokonui Rūnanga, this means that Gore District Council must exercise its Treaty Partner-based responsibilities in a manner that provides for mana whenua use of lands and waters in a way consistent with the above.

3.4 The outcomes sought by Hokonui Rūnanga through this draft Long Term Plan response are indeed those that are considered by Hokonui Rūnanga as being the most appropriate in regard to the use by mana whenua of their lands and waters.

4 HOKONUI RŪNANGA POSITION

4.1 Hokonui Rūnanga support the draft Long Term Plan 2021-31 in principle, however some comments and recommendations on specific issues are provided in this response. These relate to:

- Building stronger relationships with iwi
- Old Gore Library
- Wastewater and stormwater management
- Māruawai Centre
- Mataura wetland system
- Separation of water pipelines on private properties
- Future use of Special Rural Fund
- Rating structure changes
- Rubbish and Recycling

5 BUILDING STRONGER RELATIONSHIPS WITH IWI

5.1 <u>Hokonui Rūnanga consider it imperative that Gore District Council allocate funds toward staff and elected member cultural competency training, and mana whenua engagement processes.</u>

5.2 Gore District Council state in this Long Term Plan that they aim to "build stronger relationships with Iwi [sic]" over the next ten years. However, no funded projects included in this draft Long Term Plan enable this aim to be realised. This brings into question the authenticity of this aim – especially considering the fact that no mana whenua engagement was undertaken in the preparation of this draft Long Term Plan. This is extremely disappointing, and potentially puts Gore District Council in breach of their responsibilities under the Local Government Act 2002, and other legislation. Hokonui Rūnanga would also like to draw attention to the recurring nature of these issues, with the recent lack of engagement as part of the Longford bridge consent process a clear example of a culture of ignorance towards (or, at

worst, active exclusion of) mana whenua from planning processes run by Gore District Council.

5.3 Hokonui Rūnanga therefore strongly recommends that Gore District Council proactively allocate funds within the Long Term Plan toward upskilling their staff and elected officials in their Treaty-based and legal responsibilities through cultural competency and confidence training. In addition, it strongly recommends including budget for mana whenua engagement in all projects so that this situation does not occur again, and continue to place Gore District Council in legally precarious positions.

6 OLD GORE LIBRARY

6.1 Hokonui Rūnanga advise that in the matter of the old Gore Library, their preferred option is Option 1: Transfer of building to an arts trust

6.2 Hokonui Rūnanga commend Gore District Council for its foresight in protecting the Gore heritage precinct, and carefully considering future uses of buildings in this area that support the nature of this precinct. Hokonui Rūnanga consider transferring this building to an arts trust as the best option for the future use of this building. This is because an arts trust would shares the aspirations both Gore District Council & Hokonui Rūnanga have for this precinct. It also discharges the management of this building to an appropriate organisation, thus freeing Gore District Council and its ratepayer funds to focus on matters more aligned to the core functions of Council.

An arts trust – and more specifically, the Eastern Southland Gallery Incorporated - is considered the best option. The public art holdings within the precinct have grown considerably over recent years, and the old Gore Library would lend itself to a continuation of the cultural offering collectively made by the Eastern Southland Gallery, Hokonui Moonshine Museum, and the pending Māruawai Centre. Enhanced exhibition and collection opportunities can help galvanise the precinct into a cultural hub for Murihiku, and make the old Gore Library an important cultural entity in its own right.

Eastern Southland Gallery Incorporated have already expressed a commitment to work in partnership with Hokonui Runanga on existing and future endeavors within the precinct. If extra space is made available to them via the transfer the old Gore Library, this increase the opportunity to partner in an even wider variety of heritage presentations and art projects in a cohesive way throughout the precinct, thus improving the visitor experience. Additionally, the mana of the existing collections and recent projects undertaken within the precinct will help garner significant capital redevelopment support from the contestable funding sector, arts agencies, and arts support networks.

7 WASTEWATER AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

7.1 Hokonui Rūnanga strongly support increased funding for wastewater management matters, on the proviso that land-based water management systems are implemented

7.2 The management of water (including both stormwater and wastewater) within the Gore district is one of – if not the - paramount priority for Hokonui Rūnanga. Water-related matters strike to some of the core values held by both Hokonui Rūnanga & Ngāi Tahu whānui: kaitiakitanga (protection of the natural environment) and mahinga kai (the action of, and associated actions and knowledge related to, the customary harvest of native species). For this reason, Hokonui Rūnanga strongly supports any decisions that result in more funds being allocated toward improving water infrastructure within the jurisdiction of Gore District Council.

7.3 However, Hokonui Rūnanga would like to reiterate their position on the appropriate way to manage both wastewater and stormwater. Any upgrades to these waters' infrastructure must provide for land-based discharge, as opposed to discharge to waterways. Discharge of water – treated or otherwise – to waterways is unacceptable to Hokonui Rūnanga, and therefore should not be pursued by Gore District Council as part of any planned infrastructure upgrades listed in this draft Long Term Plan.

8 MĀRUAWAI CENTRE

8.1 Hokonui Rūnanga support the allocation of funds to the further development the Māruawai Centre.

8.2 Hokonui Rūnanga share Gore District Council's aspirations for a thriving, prosperous Gore. One key project for supporting this aspiration is the continued development of the Hokonui Heritage Precinct and Māruawai Centre. Hokonui Rūnanga is a strong supporter of this project, and the economic benefits it will provide for Gore as a center for tourism. For this reason, Hokonui Rūnanga support the allocation of funds to this project within this draft Long Term Plan.

9 MATAURA WETLAND SYSTEM

9.1 Hokonui Rūnanga support the allocation of funds toward expanding the Mataura wetland system as part of water management upgrades.

9.2 Hokonui Rūnanga congratulate Gore District Council for proposing to invest further in this model for water management. As presented in paragraph 7.3 of this response, land-based water management is the preferred method for water treatment, as discharge of water – treated or otherwise – to waterways is unacceptable. Hokonui Rūnanga expect to be fully involved in the expansion of this wetland system alongside Gore District Council to support them to design and implement this extension in culturally appropriate ways.

10 SEPERATION OF WATER PIPELINES ON PRIVATE PROPERTIES

10.1 Hokonui Rūnanga encourage Gore District Council to consider quality control matters when deliberating on options for funding the separation of water pipelines on private properties

10.2 Hokonui Rūnanga does not have a preference between the three options Gore District Council has presented for funding the separation of wastewater and stormwater pipelines on private properties. However, Hokonui Rūnanga strongly encourages Gore District Council to consider the implications on quality control that each option has when deciding on which option to adopt.

10.3 As previously stated, water-related matters strike to some of the core values held by both Hokonui Rūnanga & Ngāi Tahu whānui: kaitiakitanga and mahinga kai. For this reason, Hokonui Rūnanga strongly supports the decision Gore District Council has made to prioritise the separation of wastewater and stormwater infrastructure across its network. Due to the importance of this work, Hokonui Rūnanga are particularly invested in it being done in a best practice manner. If private property owners are given the opportunity to manage the installation of new infrastructure themselves (Option 1 within the draft Long Term Plan), then Gore District Council must implement a range of quality control measures to make sure that this work is undertaken to the standard required to retain the integrity of the overall system.

11 SPECIAL RURAL FUND

11.1 Hokonui Rūnanga considers Option 3: give funds to Southland Rural Support Trust the most sensible future use for the Special Rural Fund

11.2 Manaakitanga – or the ethic of caring for others – is a key value that guides decision making for Hokonui Rūnanga. Transferring these funds to this support fund allows Southland Rural Support Trust to manaaki – or show care – to rural residents in times of need. This increased ability to care for others is in line with the value of manaakitanga, and is therefore the preferred option for Hokonui Rūnanga from the four presented in the draft Long Term Plan.

12 RATING STRUCTURE CHANGES

12.1 Hokonui Rūnanga encourages Gore District Council to have equity at the forefront of its mind when considering changes to rating structures

12.2 Hokonui Rūnanga is committed to speaking for those in our community that are disadvantaged and under chronic financial stress. Therefore, Hokonui Rūnanga strongly encourage Gore District Council to investigate potential rating structures that provide the most equity for those in lower socio-economic situations, so as not to increase rate burdens on these communities inequitably

13 RUBBISH AND RECYCLING

13.1 Hokonui Rūnanga strongly recommends Gore District Council reinstate, and further improve, recycling options for the Gore community

13.2 Hokonui Rūnanga is deeply invested in advocating for better environmental outcomes within our takiwā. The recent reduction in recycling options is therefore a significant issue for Hokonui Rūnanga. As time progresses all individuals, businesses, communities, and Local Authorities should be increasing their focus (and investment) in ways to reduce our environmental footprint. Instead, Gore District Council is taking steps backwards. Hokonui Rūnanga strongly recommends reinstating our community's ability to recycle a range of materials, and investigates ways to expand further our recycling opportunities.

We DO NOT wish to be heard in support of our submission. However, we reserve our position and right to challenge the outcome of this Long Term Plan process if deemed necessary.

Ngā mihi,

Riki Parata
Kaiarihi Taiao – Hokonui Rūnanga

Date: 18 May 2021

Address for service:

Riki Parata
Hokonui Rūnanga

140 Charlton Road
Gore
9774

TAIAO
HOKONUI RŪNANGA

Hospitality New Zealand

TO GORE DISTRICT COUNCIL

SUBMISSION ON

LONG TERM PLAN 2021-31

MAY 2021

CONTACT DETAILS: **Hospitality New Zealand**
Contact: Darelle Jenkins
www.hospitality.org.nz

About Hospitality New Zealand:

1. Hospitality New Zealand ("Hospitality NZ") is a member-led, not-for-profit organisation representing approximately 3,000 businesses, including cafés, restaurants, bars, nightclubs, commercial accommodation, country hotels and off-licences.

2. Hospitality NZ has a 119-year history of advocating on behalf of the hospitality and tourism sector and is led by Chief Executive Julie White. We have a team of seven Regional Managers located around the country, and a National Office in Wellington to service our members.

3. Hospitality NZ has a Board of Management, made up of elected members from across the sectors of the industry, and an Accommodation Advisory Council, made up of elected members from the accommodation sector.

4. We also have 20 local Branches covering the entire country, representing at a local level all those member businesses which are located within the region. Any current financial member of Hospitality NZ is automatically a member of the local Branch.

5. This submission relates to the Long-Term Plan 2021-31 ("the Plan").

6. Enquiries relating to this submission should be referred to Darelle Jenkins, Regional Manager – Lower South Island, at darelle@hospitality.org.nz and 0226834249.

General Comments:

7. Hospitality New Zealand welcomes the opportunity to comment on Gore District Council's Long-Term Plan 2021-31.

Infrastructure Funding

8. Local Councils in some parts of the country have recognised infrastructure funding is a significant issue and are working towards change, some Councils are looking at targeted rates while others have openly criticised the funding investment options put forward by the Government.

9. In 2019, Productivity Commission undertook its report into Local Government Funding and Finance. The report recommended that "Better use of existing tools and central government funds should be enough to close the tourism funding shortfall. Given the small scale of the funding gap, introducing new funding tools would incur significant implementation, administration and enforcement costs and is unlikely to result in a net benefit to councils."

10. We endorse those sentiments – rather than introducing new tools that target specific sectors, councils should make better use of existing tools to achieve their goals.

11. Hospitality NZ believes a consistent and fair nationwide approach to the funding of core infrastructure needs to be introduced.

12. Hospitality NZ recommends further consideration is given to implement the Productivity Commission's report findings.

Rates

13. Hospitality NZ believes Council should explore other financial avenues to reduce rates and debt rather than simply relying on ratepayers to fund projects. Most ratepayers – and certainly the business community – do not have confidence that Council is cutting costs or being business-like in the way it manages assets, debt or a changing economic environment. If ratepayers felt the Council was doing its utmost to minimise costs, rates increases would be more palatable.

14. While we appreciate that Council has additional costs incurred through Covid, our members and the wider business community still face huge costs across increased compliance, minimum wage increases, higher supplier costs, and local government charges.

Spending

15. Hospitality NZ members are asking for some transparency in how the rates revenue is being spent. We would like a greater understanding on what checks and balances are placed on spend and how the results of the spend are reported. For instance, our members are often being told that these rates increases bring economic benefits to the communities but our members do not always realise those benefits

The future of the old Gore Library

16. Whilst we do not have a specific recommendation on the future of the old Gore library, we would like to make a recommendation should the decision be to demolish as Option 3 suggests.

17. While Food Trucks, coffee carts and food markets are popular, in some cases they simply take business away from key tenants and impact their ongoing viability.

18. Many of these types of businesses don't face the same level of compliance costs as a traditional hospitality operator, meaning our members are not operating on a level playing field. Our members around the country have lost significant revenue to market nights and other 'community vibrancy' initiatives and we caution Council supporting businesses that operate a few nights a week over businesses that provide a key community service every day of the week.

19. Hospitality NZ recommends that our industry is consulted as part of an advisory group when deciding on the future of what to do with the open space.

Conclusion:

20. We thank Gore District Council for the opportunity to provide input into the consultation.

21. We would be happy to discuss any parts of this submission in more detail, and to provide any assistance that may be required.

HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND
POUHERE TAONGA

19 May 2021

Gore District Council
PO Box 8
Gore 9740

Via email: info@goredc.govt.nz

To whom it may concern,

SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE GORE DISTRICT COUNCIL TEN YEAR PLAN 2021-2031

To: Gore District Council

Name of submitter: Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga

1. Thank you for the opportunity to lodge a submission on the Gore District Council Ten Year Plan 2021-2031.

Roles and Responsibilities of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga

2. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga is an autonomous Crown Entity with statutory responsibility under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 (HNZPTA) for the identification, protection, preservation and conservation of New Zealand's historic heritage and cultural values. This includes cultural heritage, sites of significance to Maori and archaeological sites.

The specific parts of the application that this Heritage New Zealand submission relates to are:

3. Providing for the identification, protection, preservation and conservation of historic heritage within Gore District which allows for the continued use and appreciation of that heritage.
4. Projects that may affect places or areas entered on the New Zealand Heritage List and ongoing consultation with Heritage New Zealand regarding these projects.
5. Gore District Council's obligations under the HNZPTA regarding archaeological authorities.
6. Recognition of the adverse effects of climate change on historic heritage.
7. Provision of Council incentives to facilitate the retention and seismic strengthening of heritage buildings in Gore.

Supported Provisions

8. Heritage New Zealand supports the addition of policies and community outcomes in the Gore District Council Ten Year Plan 2021-2031 that will contribute to the identification, protection, preservation and conservation of historic heritage within Gore District.

9. Heritage New Zealand supports the upgrade of and further investment in the Heritage Precinct, including the Maruawai Centre Development.

Proposed Initiatives

10. Heritage New Zealand recognises that the Ten Year Plan is a high-level document to provide direction for development initiatives and funding within Gore. A number of projects have been referenced in the consultation document and Heritage New Zealand welcomes further consultation on each of these initiatives as details develop, including:

    - Maruawai Centre development
    - Hokonui Moonshine Museum redevelopment
    - demolition of the former Gore Library and redevelopment of the site, should this option be chosen

11. Within Gore there are heritage places entered on the New Zealand Heritage List, some of these entries are potentially affected by the projects proposed in the Ten Year Plan consultation document. The retention and appropriate maintenance of listed heritage places and areas is supported and consultation with Heritage New Zealand on further development affecting these places should be undertaken as they progress. Works which relate to historic places, structures or areas should also be assessed for potential impact on archaeology to ensure an archaeological authority is obtained if necessary.

Archaeological Authorities

12. Heritage New Zealand notes that there are projects proposed in the Ten Year Plan consultation document which may require archaeological authorities pursuant to the HNZPTA. Under the HNZPTA an archaeological site is defined as any place in New Zealand that was associated with human activity that occurred before 1900 and provides or may provide, through investigation by archaeological methods, evidence relating to the history of New Zealand.

13. Archaeological sites are legally protected under sections 42(1) and 42(2) of the HNZPTA. An archaeological authority is required for any works that may modify or destroy an archaeological site, including demolition of a building constructed prior to 1900. It is an offence to undertake activities that may modify or destroy an archaeological site unless authorised by an archaeological authority issued under the HNZPTA.

14. The projects and core infrastructure investment outlined in the consultation document include works involving ground disturbance that could affect archaeological sites. Such works include roading, bridge and three waters infrastructure maintenance, repairs and replacement. For

example through implementation of the Gore Stormwater Master Plan. These projects may therefore require archaeological authorities to be obtained from Heritage New Zealand prior to works being undertaken. Legislative obligations regarding archaeology should be taken into consideration for all the projects proposed.

15. Heritage New Zealand recommends that Council undertake best efforts to avoid identified archaeological sites in close proximity to the proposed projects in the first instance. This would minimise costs and delays. If avoidance is not possible, the associated costs and timeframes need to be factored into project budgeting and planning.

Climate Change

16. Heritage New Zealand supports the recognition of the effects of climate change in Council's long term planning and factoring the effects into infrastructure decision making. In addition to the effects already outlined in the consultation document, climate change is an increasing threat to historic heritage. The Ten Year Plan should include measures to avoid or reduce climate change impacts on historic heritage wherever possible.

Heritage Incentives

17. Gore has a range of heritage buildings, from churches to agricultural buildings and commercial buildings. Some heritage buildings are of unreinforced masonry construction and will be subject to legislative requirements for earthquake strengthening. As the Council is aware, financing maintenance and seismic strengthening of these buildings, particularly in smaller rural centres, can be challenging for owners.

18. Heritage New Zealand supports the establishment of heritage incentives aimed at assisting private owners of heritage buildings. There are a range of incentives Council could utilise to promote the protection and conservation of historic heritage.

19. One option would be for this to be a targeted area fund, for example focusing on the seismic strengthening of buildings in Gore's town centre. Focusing on an area rather than individual buildings can be a successful approach to preservation and revitalisation. This strategy has benefits both in terms of improvements to amenity and the cumulative effects of growing commercial activity. Other possible incentives include a targeted area fund, remission of rates for land owners of historic heritage and/or the provision of specialist advice to heritage building owners.

Heritage New Zealand recommends:

20. Further to the priorities and proposed initiatives outlined in the Gore District Council Ten Year Plan 2021-2031 consultation document, Heritage New Zealand recommends the following to protect and enhance the historic heritage of Gore:

    - Council retains the Council projects supported by Heritage New Zealand, as outlined in this submission.
    - Consultation is undertaken between Gore District Council and Heritage New Zealand for infrastructure development and projects that may affect places or areas entered on the New Zealand Heritage List.
    - Council is aware that archaeological authorities may be required for certain projects outlined in the Ten Year Plan so that any costs and time associated with this are anticipated and included in project budgets. Archaeological Authorities are sought from Heritage New Zealand for any works that may modify or destroy an archaeological site as is required under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.
    - Council recognises the adverse effects of climate change on historic heritage and implements measures to prevent further degradation wherever possible.
    - Council considers establishing non-regulatory heritage incentives to facilitate the retention and seismic strengthening of heritage buildings in Gore.

Heritage New Zealand does not wish to be heard in support of our submission.

21. We are happy to answer any questions regarding our submission, and are available to discuss these matters directly with Gore District Council staff.

Yours sincerely



Sheila Watson

Director Southern Region

Address for Service:

Fran Davies
Planner
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga
PO Box 5467
Dunedin 9058

Make sure you let us know what you think of our 10-Year-Plan.
We must receive your submission by 5.00pm, Wednesday 19 May.

READY TO GROW 10 YP 2021 - 2031

HOW TO HAVE YOUR SAY:

Online:
Go to our website www.lets.talk.goredc.govt.nz. Here you will find our online submission form. Once you have submitted your online submission, you will get a confirmation email. If you do not get an email from us, then please call 2090330.

Written Submission:
Fill in a hard copy of our submission form.
Once you have filled it in you can.

Scan and email to:
info@goredc.govt.nz

Post to:
Gore District Council
10-Year-Plan Consultation
P O Box 8, Gore 9740

Hand deliver to:
Civic Administration Building
29 Bowler Avenue, Gore

FEEDBACK FORM

Please note: Your name and feedback will become public information. Other personal details will remain confidential.

~~Mr~~ / ~~Mrs~~ / ~~Miss~~ Ms

First name ...Claire.....

Last name ...Welch.....

.....

How would you prefer to be contacted?

☑ Email ☐ Post

Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?

☐ Yes ☑ No

If you tick 'Yes' we will contact you to confirm the time you will be speaking at the meeting on 25 May.

QUESTION 1:

Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties

(refer to pages five and six of the consultation document)

Option 1 - The Property Owner Funds the Work
(This is our preferred option)

This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Don't know

Option 2 - The Council Funds the Work

We would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.

Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set fee

The property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Don't know

☐ Set Fee ☐ % of CV

Comments

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

QUESTION 2:

Future plans for the Old Gore Library

(refer to pages seven and eight)

Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust
(This is our preferred option)

Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Redevelop as information centre

Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air site

Demolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

QUESTION 3:

Future Use of the Special Rural Fund

(refer to pages nine and ten)

Option 1 - Use fund to reduce bridge debt
(This is our preferred option)

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Use fund in consultation

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Give funds to Trust

Close the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust, to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 4 - Retain funds for future use

Retain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

NEED MORE ROOM? You can attach extra pages to your feedback but please make sure they are A4. Also check to include your name and contact details on the extra pages.

Please find attached a separate submission

Claire Welch

.

Gore District Council,

PO Box 8,

GORE 9740.

18th May 2021.

Dear Councillors,

I wish to make a submission on the proposed 2021 -2031 Gore District Council Plan. This submission relates to the accessibility of Hamilton Park and facilities for residents of East Gore.

Gore District Council has invested significantly into Hamilton Park as an open space providing facilities such as the upgraded playground, dog parks and sports fields which contribute greatly to the health and wellbeing of Gore residents, in particular those in East Gore.

Unfortunately, major accessibility issues exist for the community of East Gore to use these facilities to their potential. It is currently impractical and unsafe for community members to cross State Highway One to reach the park, with a further hazard of the Main trunk railway line once the road is crossed. The road in this area between Wentworth Street and Huron Street is a 70km zone and traffic heading into Gore is often still slowing to that speed at Wentworth Street. The heavy traffic bypass does not begin until the west side of the bridge so there are a large number of trucks using that part of the road. This poses a significant risk to young families, children and anyone else when trying to access the park.

There are possible solutions to this issue such as considering a speed limit change and safe crossing area or construction of an underpass for pedestrians.

The reinstatement of the four aspects of community wellbeing to the Local Government Act means that local governments are mandated to play a broad role in the wellbeing of the people they serve. Safe and easy access to the newly upgraded facilities at Hamilton Park will enable and promote the health and wellbeing of East Gore residents as well as the wider Gore community.

I look forward to feedback on this submission.

Yours faithfully,

Claire Welch

2021/26

FEEDBACK FORM

Please note: Your name and feedback will become public information. Other personal details will remain confidential.

RECEIVED

Mr / Mrs / Miss

First name John

Last name OShea

Postal address [redacted]

Email address

How would you prefer to be contacted?

☐ Email ☑ Post

RECEIVED 4/5/21

Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If you tick 'Yes' we will contact you to confirm the time you will be speaking at the meeting on 1 June.

QUESTION 1:

Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties

(refer to pages five and six)

Option 1 - The Property Owner Funds the Work
(This is our preferred option)

This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - The Council Funds the Work

We would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set fee

The property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

☐ Set Fee ☐ % of CV

Comments

QUESTION 2:

Future plans for the Old Gore Library

(refer to pages seven and eight)

Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust
(This is our preferred option)

Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Redevelop as information centre

Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air site

Demolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

QUESTION 3:

Future Use of the Special Rural Fund

(refer to pages nine and ten)

Option 1 - Use fund to reduce bridge debt
(This is our preferred option)

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Use fund in consultation

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Give funds to Trust

Close the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust, to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 4 - Retain funds for future use

Retain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

NEED MORE ROOM? You can attach extra pages to your feedback but please make sure they are A4. Also check to include your name and contact details on the extra pages.

2021/27

FEEDBACK FORM

READY TO GROW 10 YP 2021 - 2031

Please note: Your name and feedback will become public information. Other personal details will remain confidential.

RECEIVED
7 MAY 2021
BY:

~~Mr~~ / Mrs / ~~Miss~~

First name ...Jan.....

Last name ...Mackford.....

Postal address ...[redacted]...

.....

Email address .....

How would you prefer to be contacted?

☐ Email ☑ Post

Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?

☐ Yes ☑ No

If you tick 'Yes' we will contact you to confirm the time you will be speaking at the meeting on 1 June.

QUESTION 1:

Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties

(refer to pages five and six)

Option 1 - The Property Owner Funds the Work
(This is our preferred option)

This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - The Council Funds the Work

We would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set fee

The property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

☑ Set Fee ☐ % of CV

Comments

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

QUESTION 2:

Future plans for the Old Gore Library

(refer to pages seven and eight)

Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust
(This is our preferred option)

Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Redevelop as information centre

Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air site

Demolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

Notes attached

QUESTION 3:

Future Use of the Special Rural Fund

(refer to pages nine and ten)

Option 1 - Use fund to reduce bridge debt
(This is our preferred option)

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Use fund in consultation

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Give funds to Trust

Close the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust, to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 4 - Retain funds for future use

Retain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

NEED MORE ROOM? You can attach extra pages to your feedback but please make sure they are A4. Also check to include your name and contact details on the extra pages.

Re the Old Gore Library

I believe that this building should be used as an Art Gallery for all the non professional artists that we have in Gore and districts.
I think that the council should repair the roof etc and then it could be transferred to an Arts Trust. Folk could belong and pay a subscription and it would be run with volunteers.
As it is in the Arts precint it would draw the tourists as the art could be purchased.
We have had in Gore alone, 3 classes of Art at S.I.T with between 14-16 per class going for at least 10 years. These artists are good and it would certainly add to Gore's attractions.

I smiled at "minimal Ratepayer investment" when did this come to the fore when undertaking the large projects and ratepayers will have to front up with increased rates for the next 50 or so years to pay back the council's borrowing

Make sure you let us know what you think of our 10-Year-Plan.
We must receive your submission by 5.00pm, Wednesday 19 May.

READY TO GROW 10YP 2021 - 2031

HOW TO HAVE YOUR SAY:

Scan and email to: info@goredc.govt.nz

Online: Go to our website **www.lets.talk.goredc.govt.nz**. Here you will find our 10-Year-Plan Consultation online submission form. Once you have submitted your submission, you will get a confirmation email. If you do not get an email from us, then please call **2090330**.

Post to: District Council Online P O Box 8, Gore 9740

Written Submission:Hand deliver to: Fill in a hard copy of our submission form. Once you have filled it in you can. Civic Administration Building 29 Bowler Avenue, Gore

RECEIVED
19 MAY 2021
BY: [signature]

FEEDBACK FORM

Please note: Your name and feedback will become public information. Other personal details will remain confidential.

Mr / Mrs / Miss

First name Lorraine

Last name Weston-Webb

How would you prefer to be contacted?

☑ Email ☐ Post

Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If you tick 'Yes' we will contact you to confirm the time you will be speaking at the meeting on 25 May.

QUESTION 1:

Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties

(refer to pages five and six of the consultation document)

Option 1 - The Property Owner Funds the Work
(This is our preferred option)

This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - The Council Funds the Work

We would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set fee

The property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

☐ Set Fee ☐ % of CV

Comments

QUESTION 2:

Future plans for the Old Gore Library (refer to pages seven and eight)

Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust
(This is our preferred option)

Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Redevelop as information centre

Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air site

Demolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

QUESTION 3:

Future Use of the Special Rural Fund (refer to pages nine and ten)

Option 1 - Use fund to reduce bridge debt
(This is our preferred option)

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Use fund in consultation

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Give funds to Trust

Close the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust, to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 4 - Retain funds for future use

Retain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

Make sure you let us know what you think of our 10-Year-Plan.
We must receive your submission by 5.00pm, Wednesday 19 May.

READY TO GROW 10YP 2021 - 2031

HOW TO HAVE YOUR SAY:

Scan and email to: info@goredc.govt.nz **Online:** Go to our website **www.lets.talk.goredc.govt.nz**. Here you will find our online submission form. Once you have submitted your submission, you will get a confirmation email. If you do not get an email from us, then please call **2090330**.

Post to: 10-Year-Plan Consultation P O Box 8, Gore 9740

Written Submission:Hand deliver to: Fill in a hard copy of our submission form. Once you have filled it in you can. Civic Administration Building 29 Bowler Avenue, Gore

RECEIVED 19 MAY 2021 BY: 11:55 am LH

FEEDBACK FORM

Please note: Your name and feedback will become public information. Other personal details will remain confidential.

~~Mr~~ / Mrs / ~~Miss~~

First name Dena

Last name McKenzie

Email address .....

How would you prefer to be contacted?

☐ Email ☐ Post

Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?

☐ Yes ☑ No

If you tick 'Yes' we will contact you to confirm the time you will be speaking at the meeting on 25 May.

QUESTION 1:

Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties

(refer to pages five and six of the consultation document)

Option 1 - The Property Owner Funds the Work
(This is our preferred option)

This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - The Council Funds the Work

We would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set fee

The property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Don't know

☐ Set Fee ☐ % of CV

Comments

QUESTION 2:

Future plans for the Old Gore Library (refer to pages seven and eight)

Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust
(This is our preferred option)

Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Redevelop as information centre

Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air site

Demolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

QUESTION 3:

Future Use of the Special Rural Fund (refer to pages nine and ten)

Option 1 - Use fund to reduce bridge debt
(This is our preferred option)

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Use fund in consultation

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Give funds to Trust

Close the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust, to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 4 - Retain funds for future use

Retain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

- **NEED MORE ROOM?** You can attach extra pages to your feedback but please make sure they are A4. Also check to include your name and contact details on the extra pages.

Make sure you let us know what you think of our 10-Year-Plan.
We must receive your submission by 5.00pm, Wednesday 19 May.

READY TO GROW 10YP 2021 - 2031

HOW TO HAVE YOUR SAY:

Scan and email to: info@goredc.govt.nz **Online:** Go to our website **www.lets.talk.goredc.govt.nz**. Here you will find our 10-Year-Plan Consultation online submission form. Once you have submitted your submission, you will get a confirmation email. If you do not get an email from us, then please call **2090330**.

Post to: District Council online P O Box 8, Gore 9740

Written Submission:Hand deliver to: Fill in a hard copy of our submission form. Civic Administration Once you have filled it in you can.29 Bowler Avenue, Gore Building

FEEDBACK FORM

Please note: Your name and feedback will become public information. Other personal details will remain confidential.

Mr / (Mrs) / Miss

First name PENELOPE

Last name PERRY

Email address [redacted]

How would you prefer to be contacted?

☑ Email ☐ Post

Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?

☐ Yes ☑ No

If you tick 'Yes' we will contact you to confirm the time you will be speaking at the meeting on 25 May.

QUESTION 1:

Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties

(refer to pages five and six of the consultation document)

Option 1 - The Property Owner Funds the Work
(This is our preferred option)

This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - The Council Funds the Work

We would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set fee

The property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

☐ Set Fee ☐ % of CV

Comments

QUESTION 2:

Future plans for the Old Gore Library (refer to pages seven and eight)

Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust
(This is our preferred option)

Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Redevelop as information centre

Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air site

Demolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

QUESTION 3:

Future Use of the Special Rural Fund (refer to pages nine and ten)

Option 1 - Use fund to reduce bridge debt
(This is our preferred option)

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Use fund in consultation

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Give funds to Trust

Close the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust, to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 4 - Retain funds for future use

Retain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

NEED MORE ROOM? You can attach extra pages to your feedback but please make sure they are A4. Also check to include your name and contact details on the extra pages.

Respondent No: 1
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 12:34:02 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 12:34:02 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Jo Ruddenklau
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Don't know
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Don't know
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 2
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 12:34:41 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 12:34:41 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Jordan Lott
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	not answered
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	not answered
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Jlott@goredc.govt.nz

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	not answered
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 3
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 12:37:01 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 12:37:01 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	M
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Should be made available to replace James Cumming Wing that you have destroyed. Should be made available for all of our community.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Remove streets alive street blockage's and roundabout in Irwell Street. Put Irk street back to the way it was, total money wasting exercise. The library should be returned to where it was, if not should be made available to replace James Cumming Wing for the community.



Respondent No: 4
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 12:38:01 pm
Last Seen: Apr 12, 2021 07:52:08 am
IP Address: 139.180.87.162

Q1.	**Name**	Keriann Borlase
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 5
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 12:42:12 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 12:42:12 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Shayna McArley
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No:	6	**Responded At:**	Apr 21, 2021 12:45:14 pm
Login:	Anonymous	**Last Seen:**	Apr 21, 2021 12:45:14 pm
Email:	n/a	**IP Address:**	n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Hamish Weir
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

It would be best to provide options ie private funding of the work or Council allocated contractor and added to the rates until paid off. This gives owners the ability to find the situation that works best for their circumstances. Council should however streamline the building consent process into a purpose built form that owners could complete themselves. The costs should not be added to general rates as owners of more recent properties have already separated their drainage and should not have the burden of those who have not.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Maintenance going forward should also be transferred to the arts trust and funding arranged by this entity.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Spend the money on upgrading the neglected rural roading network. If the 55% NZTA funding applyies money spent, then this could resolve a number of issues; such as the collapsing culvert on Knapdale Road that causes users to cross the centreline for avoidance of the road slump.

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 7
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 13:25:25 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 13:25:25 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Wendy Shanks
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

No

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

The building is apparently unsafe for humans to be due to leaky building and absostes. And according to council reports this is why the library needed to shift as it was uneconomical to make it safe. Therefore the building must be demolished. This would also help in creating more green space that this council has imposed with these pocket parks and parklets that a lot of people don't want

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

No

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

Yes

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

This money should be spent on rural areas and not just one project. The southern rural support trust do a fantastic supporting rural ratepayers during adverse a events

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 8
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 13:26:50 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 13:26:50 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Joanna Dare
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Don't know
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I think all of these options are a plus for the community, personally I'd like to see local markets being able to use that area, it is a central position for visitors and visitors love markets, the locals need a good permanent location to sell their goods! It could potentially make Gore a destination rather than a go through

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I think the bridge is a draw card for bringing tourists into Gore, it also creates a vibe consistent with fun and relaxation, I just hope it isn't vandalised!

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Just that yous are doing all you can to improve Gore and make it a friendly environment for newcomers. I think you are a council with genuine care for the community and growth!

Respondent No: 9
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 13:34:59 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 13:34:59 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Colleen Te Au
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Don't know
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Don't know
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

How many homeowners will this affect? Is it justified to spread the costs across rate payers? Otherwise im leaning towards a set fee so there are no 'surprises' .

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Don't know
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	not answered

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

We have an opportunity like never before in history to introduce our children to technology. Gore has the resources and location right now and could easily create a tech hub and do far more than a cafe with 'hotdesks'. Which is essentially any cafe that already provides coffee and wifi. (Also not sure how I feel about council creating competition for existing hospitality businesses). We could attract startups and innovators, educate, deliver coding bootcamps, run kids coding workshops, and so much more. Think bigger. We want to grow our district we should grow opportunities. Want to retain young people or encourage them to come home, give them a reason. Gore is not just a gateway it could be a destination. Just my 2 cents.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 10
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 13:36:34 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 13:36:34 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Laurie Ferguson
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Use the building as a community building where different organisations can run classes/activities etc aimed at expanding opportunities for our young people. There are not a lot of affordable options available to them, they are our future and are worth investing in.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 11
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 14:19:28 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 01:38:20 am
IP Address: 222.152.84.192

Q1.	**Name**	Rosalie brown
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Don't know
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Don't know
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 12
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 13:58:13 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 13:58:13 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Shona Byars
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

When you ask out of town visitors “What encapsulates Gore, it’s trout fishing, country music and our Arts & Heritage area. The latter has become an increasingly strong draw card for visitors. The old library could add to that ‘value’.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

As with many councils around NZ you need to keep ‘core’ business as your main focus and remember your ratepayers want to see value for money spent.



Respondent No: 13
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 15:19:11 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 02:52:06 am
IP Address: 139.80.239.134

Q1.	**Name**	Alex Bennett
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Don't know
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Don't know
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 14
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 15:35:29 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 15:35:29 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Holly w
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 17
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 17:34:25 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 17:34:25 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Paula
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

We already pay for this on our rates

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.** — No

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.** — No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Stop wasting money fix the roof and get some revenue from the building

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.** — No

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.** — No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.** — No

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.** — No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

You are just wasting money

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Stop wasting money and get back to basic use what money there is to fix things

Respondent No: 18
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 17:34:28 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 17:34:28 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Kylie Beer
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Rates are already bloody high considering we don't get listened too.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

Don't know

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

Don't know

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

Don't know

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

Don't know

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

Don't know

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 19
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 17:35:57 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 17:35:57 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Tom phillipson
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Leave it the way it is

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Leave it as the library.how much damn money has to be wasted

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 20
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 19:01:54 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 19:01:54 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Nigel Marsh
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Postal Address
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

some properties already have separate sewer/ stormwater systems I do not think they should contribute to other rate payers private systems that require upgrading

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Don't know
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Don't know

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

get rid of planter boxes that have been installed they look stupid

Respondent No: 21
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 19:28:00 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 19:28:00 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Tara Newton
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Fix it and put the library back in there

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 23
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 19:53:33 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 19:53:33 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Karen Pullar
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

The difficulty with solution 1 is the people that cannot afford to pay. Woudl they be able to get help from central Government to pay?

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Whilst you list the costs of each proposal, you do not include the potential income of each proposal. Happy to have it demolished and have it as a green space/area for a market.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Respondent No: 24
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 20:04:38 pm
Last Seen: Apr 10, 2021 08:51:05 am
IP Address: 121.75.230.19

Q1.	**Name**	Zara M
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Don't know
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 25
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 22, 2021 08:47:00 am
Last Seen: Apr 22, 2021 08:47:00 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Laura Williams
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I'm in favour of either property owner funded or a set fee of the property owner.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

The area could be cleared and have picnic tables etc but I absolutely expect you'll be paying for extra flowers and lawn mowing etc which I didn't see mentioned. I'm not *opposed* to giving it to the arts trust, but it's still a lot of money when there's big infrastructure issues to pay for. The building as it is isn't worth saving though in my opinion, you'd be better off demolishing it and building new in the future when you can afford it. In the mean time it would be a good location for encouraging more of a farmer's market and potentially an open air stage area around Gold Guitars etc. In the long-long term you should be considering Gore has pretty much nothing to offer it's youth and considering our youth gang and drinking problems we could very much do with a youth centre (like the Zeal youth cafe they have in Waitakere city) it's probably too expensive right now, but there should be a plan to get something like this in the future so you're ready to apply for funding if an opportunity comes up. Also, the police building and the courthouses are similarly sitting on prime real estate, and they should in the long term be asked to MOVE out of the main thoroughfare in favour of development in the town centre that serves residents and tourists better.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.** Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

You need to do this in consultation with the rural communities.

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

I'm in favour of a one time rates rise above the regular ratio for the purpose of improving the water management infrastructure. We need more options explored and investigation into different waste management options though. I would like for you to create a role for a person to specifically be looking into recycling options - by talking to groups like Waste Free Raglan, Wanaka Waste Busters etc, collecting these ideas and presenting them to the public, applying for grants, and potentially funding things like a Precious Plastics machine (these have been established around the country for about $10k cost plus a container to put it in and a way to power it such as solar panel or a bike for kinetic energy) and running workshops for the public to bring their plastics in and turn into something else. I would like this person to be actively writing letters and advocating for businesses like countdown and fonterra etc to be reducing the waste they are sending into the community in their packaging. I would also like them to look at council operations and work out where council can cut waste, or be a able to consult with local businesses and schools how they can cut waste down. And I want this to be a person who can communicate online sufficiently with livestreams etc. It is not enough to ask people to use less, the council needs to be actively exploring options and providing a person to teach people. Create this role and pay them something like $60k salary plus $100k funding over 3 years to spend on experimental recycling systems like precious plastics and education.

Respondent No: 26
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 22, 2021 11:24:36 am
Last Seen: Apr 22, 2021 11:24:36 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Beverly Price
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I assume you are referring the property is from the actual boundary rather than where the building is.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

There will be enough space in the present information centre for any additional development. The arts have taken more than enough funds over the years. A open space could be used for development of market days, outdoor events and other open air events. The redeveloped library will have spaces for bookable working areas. There are plenty of cafes nearby.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	not answered
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	not answered
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 27
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 22, 2021 18:04:30 pm
Last Seen: Apr 22, 2021 18:04:30 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Paul McPhail
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	Yes
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	not answered
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

Yes

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

If Option 1 is decided on who pays the day to day running costs?

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

not answered

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

Yes

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

not answered

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 28
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 23, 2021 13:00:15 pm
Last Seen: Apr 23, 2021 13:00:15 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Jason Harvey
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I strongly believe that decades of in-action and poor management have led us to the this current situation. It now appears that the ratepayer will be punished heavily for the inability of previous elected officials to deal with issues in a timely fashion. I've been in the building industry for 20 years and this has always been an issue discussed in that time. Failed developments and non-essential spending like re-branding could have gone towards starting these projects years ago. With the ever increasing inflation of building material costs, sharp rise in labour costs due to a lack of trades people and unavailability of materials, this project I believe will far exceed any projected budgets.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

There is massive potential for this building with regards to incorporating it into the arts and heritage precinct. A medium sized live music venue would be high on my wish list enabling more touring NZ bands to make Gore a stop on their tour schedule. I am a little perplexed at the costs being reported on for the installation of a new roof, I believe these numbers are excessive and misleading somewhat.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.** No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

As always the level of debt that our elected officials appear to be comfortable with is concerning to me. While I completely support spending money where it is needed (essential services etc), I think a far tighter grasp of the purse strings is needed. Our ageing elected officials need to think about the next generation that will have to pay for their decisions. Gore is its own town and needs to maintain its own identity, not spend time and money keeping up with the Jones'. To finish on a positive note though, I commend the GDC for using local contractors on their major projects, keep it up.



Respondent No: 29
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Apr 24, 2021 07:23:46 am
Last Seen: Apr 23, 2021 19:11:46 pm
IP Address: 202.9.116.115

Q1. **Name** Harley Bonnar

Q2. **Address** [redacted]

Q3. **Postal Address** not answered

Q4. **Email** [redacted]

Q5. **How would you prefer to be contacted?** Email

Q6. **Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?** No

Q7. **Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.** No

Q8. **Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.** No

Q9. **Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.** Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11. **Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.** No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 30
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 24, 2021 16:08:01 pm
Last Seen: Apr 24, 2021 16:08:01 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Claire McIntyre
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

Don't know

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

No

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

No

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Why are you asking this question in a survey open to residents who this fund will not apply to? It will not give an accurate fair opinion held by the rural community who the money was intended for. I am not happy with this question being included.

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 31
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 26, 2021 12:17:16 pm
Last Seen: Apr 26, 2021 12:17:16 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Stewart Armstrong
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I have concerns about discharging surface runoff from the town directly into the river and the statement that urban storm water "typically" requires no treatment - I can think of various scenarios whereby storm water may become contaminated and would likely benefit from treatment. We expect more from our rural counterparts with regards to water runoff quality these days, should we not do better in an urban situation also? I would prefer any cost associated with storm water management to be user pays, based on land area and property type.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I think the natural home for our library is in the arts & heritage precinct - if the old building is to remain it should be as our library, if not it should go.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 32
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 26, 2021 15:25:33 pm
Last Seen: Apr 26, 2021 15:25:33 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Joanne McConnell
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Don't know
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Don't know
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Don't know
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No
Q14.	**Do you have any further comments about this question?**	
	not answered	
Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	not answered
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	not answered
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	not answered
Q19.	**Do you have any further comments about this question?**	
	not answered	
Q20.	**Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**	
	not answered	

Respondent No:	33	**Responded At:**	Apr 26, 2021 19:34:56 pm
Login:	Anonymous	**Last Seen:**	Apr 26, 2021 19:34:56 pm
Email:	n/a	**IP Address:**	n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Diane Davidson
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Where has all the rate payers money been going over the years as this should have been addressed before it got to this. That is requiring urgent attention.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes
Q14.	**Do you have any further comments about this question?**	
	not answered	
Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 34
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 27, 2021 13:21:26 pm
Last Seen: Apr 27, 2021 13:21:26 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Leah Wilson
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 35
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 27, 2021 21:21:54 pm
Last Seen: Apr 27, 2021 21:21:54 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Brad Aitken
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 36
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]
Responded At: Apr 28, 2021 14:11:59 pm
Last Seen: Apr 28, 2021 01:46:24 am
IP Address: 161.65.232.59

Q1.	**Name**	Steve Petterson
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

not answered

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Use this space as a permanent base for the Hokonui Moonshine Festival which needs to be made an annual event again. Jim Geddes and the arts community have had a disproportionate amount of council funding for decades. Get the MLT and Country music people involved.

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

not answered

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

Yes

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

not answered

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 37
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 29, 2021 18:44:00 pm
Last Seen: Apr 29, 2021 18:44:00 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Dale Garrick
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	Yes
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Don't know
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Don't know

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 38
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 01, 2021 19:50:03 pm
Last Seen: May 01, 2021 19:50:03 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Jenny Muir
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 40
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 02, 2021 21:08:05 pm
Last Seen: May 02, 2021 21:08:05 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Jane Duthie
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Council should be encouraging ratepayers to install stormwater tanks so that water can be used for watering gardens and washing cars etc

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I think enough money is being spent in the precinct for now, wait and see what happens post covid.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	not answered
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

This fund should be retained for the purpose it was originally intended for it, advertise that it exists and use it for grants for rural amenities upkeep. Maybe provide a scholarship for a local student to study as a rural GP or vet.

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Council should be providing feedback to central government on the unworkable water legislation as it will have a huge impact on all ratepayers in the area and even on rural property valuations. Also GDC should be keeping a close eye on central governments plans to take over decision making form local government, we don't want some unknown bureaucrat in Wellington deciding on what is best for us.

Respondent No: 41
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: May 04, 2021 18:34:18 pm
Last Seen: May 04, 2021 05:57:11 am
IP Address: 203.100.209.239

Q1.	**Name**	michelle mclean
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	not answered
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	not answered
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	not answered

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	not answered
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	not answered
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I asked the GDC if there was any funding for maintaining/repairing historic buildings and was told there isn't. Nobody told me about the SRF, but now that i know i would like to apply to it for the restoration of a historic school building. Could you please tell me how to proceed?

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

I noticed the gardens are looking good when i was last in Gore, but i question the efficiency of planting annuals.

Respondent No: 42
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 06, 2021 11:36:34 am
Last Seen: May 06, 2021 11:36:34 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Peter Calvert
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

User pays please.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Utilise the building to capture tourist revenue in the 50 year timeframe.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 43
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 08, 2021 08:39:30 am
Last Seen: May 08, 2021 08:39:30 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Ashley
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Don't know
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Why did the council not set aside funds for this instead of wasting it on rediculous pet projects and vanity projects.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

No

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Sad the council didnt act earlier, can afford multi million dollar reno for their own building but none for public buildings. Shame, you disgust me

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

Don't know

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

Yes

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Please get someone with brains and common sense to change/alter it, clearly there is no one capable within GDC that has any common sense. Troughers you should be ashamed

Respondent No:	44	**Responded At:**	May 10, 2021 20:44:35 pm
Login:	Anonymous	**Last Seen:**	May 10, 2021 20:44:35 pm
Email:	n/a	**IP Address:**	n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Greta Armstrong
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I fee h s s he fa res so u on as proper y owners w have been unaware ha h s remed a work wou d need o under aken, espec a y hose who have owned he r proper es for a ong me frame.

Q11. **Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I am a a oss o fa hom why he bu d ng cou d no have been ab e o be bough up o a su ab e s andard o a ow he brary o rema n n s or g na oca on.

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Why has he fund no been a oca ed before now. There have been many adverse even s where cou d have been app ed, mos y f ood ng.

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

no answered

Respondent No:	45	**Responded At:**	May 14, 2021 13:24:22 pm
Login:	Anonymous	**Last Seen:**	May 14, 2021 13:24:22 pm
Email:	n/a	**IP Address:**	n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Rebecca McIntyre
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

no answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

No

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I fee we a ready have enough bu d ngs cover ng he ar s and cu ura hemes n Gore.

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

No

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

Yes

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

No

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

no answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

no answered



Respondent No: 46
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: May 15, 2021 13:24:53 pm
Last Seen: May 15, 2021 01:21:53 am
IP Address: 49.226.201.211

Q1. **Name** — E l a i ne Munro

Q2. **Address** — [redacted]

Q3. **Postal Address** — [redacted]

Q4. **Email** — [redacted]

Q5. **How would you prefer to be contacted?** — Email

Q6. **Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?** — No

Q7. **Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.** — Yes

Q8. **Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.** — no answered

Q9. **Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.** — no answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

no answered

Q11. **Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.** — no answered

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

no answered

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

no answered

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

no answered

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

Yes

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

no answered

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

no answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

no answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

no answered

Respondent No: 47
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 15, 2021 20:06:12 pm
Last Seen: May 15, 2021 20:06:12 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Sandy Sheppard
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Don't know
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Don't know
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I thought that the library building had block mould in it so couldn't be used as a library so that's why they were moved out!

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 48	**Responded At:** May 16, 2021 09:54:04 am
Login: Anonymous	**Last Seen:** May 16, 2021 09:54:04 am
Email: n/a	**IP Address:** n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Malachi Geerlofs
Q2.	**Address**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	[redacted]
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

no answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

no answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

no answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

no answered



Respondent No: 49
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 13:19:05 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 13:19:05 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Jenna van der Hoorn
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 50
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 13:47:07 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 13:47:07 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Robyn Hellier
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

This all should have been addressed in previous 10 year plan. Rate payers are already paying exorbitant rates.. council need to get priorities right.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Don't know
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 51
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 15:30:01 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 15:30:01 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Fionna Farquhar
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

There is a low socio economic base in Mataura so option 3 is fairest for home owners with low income.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.** — No

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.** — No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.** — Yes

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.** — No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.** — No

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.** — No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

No

Respondent No: 52
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 15:52:06 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 15:52:06 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Renee McCarthy
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Don't know

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 53
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 16:03:09 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 16:03:09 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Ella
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	not answered
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

The council should pay for this and try get govt funding instead of hiking up rates as their already expensive enough.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

Yes

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

No

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

Yes

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

Don't know

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Just a reminder we are a rural country town not a major city. Please keen this in mind.



Respondent No: 54
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 16:42:07 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 16:42:07 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Holly Murphy
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 55
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 16:46:15 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 16:46:15 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Kelly Takurua
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	not answered
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 56
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 16:59:12 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 16:59:12 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Paul Murphy
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 57
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 17:11:19 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 17:11:19 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Alyssa Johnstone
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 58
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 17:40:23 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 17:40:23 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Hannah Mackay
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	not answered
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I think those affected should be offered interest free loans to help cover these costs

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	not answered

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

not answered

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

not answered

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

not answered

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

Yes

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 59
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 17:46:39 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 17:46:39 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Tanya Shailer
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Postal Address
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Don't know
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

It's not the home owners fault that these pipes go to the same place. They should not be paying the cost to fix the problem

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Don't know
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Don't know

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 60
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 17:52:55 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 17:52:55 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Callum Dickson
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes
Q14.	**Do you have any further comments about this question?**	
	not answered	
Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No
Q19.	**Do you have any further comments about this question?**	
	not answered	
Q20.	**Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**	
	not answered	



Respondent No: 61
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 17:57:44 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 17:57:44 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Dana Robinson
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Why is the library not going back in there?

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 63
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 18:43:31 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 18:43:31 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Kirsty Adams
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 64
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 18:45:51 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 18:45:51 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Donique Hope
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 65
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 19:14:36 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 19:14:36 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Simon Youngman
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Maybe offer a subsidy to install Grey water tanks for vegetable gardens etc for all storm water, could reduce wastewater significantly per household

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Would rather see costs limited and remove the issue entirely. Open air space for consistent farmers markets would be more beneficial to the community. Maybe even a significant covered area for our "seasonal" weather

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Use to reduce debt. There are no benefits to the other options

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Reducing cost should be at the forefront of your proposal. The next few years are going to be hard enough for my family let alone others who might be struggling

Respondent No: 66
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 19:16:07 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 19:16:07 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Gemma Perry
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Sell it to reduce debt

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 67
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 19:18:25 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 19:18:25 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Lee-Ann Harvey
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

So what did Mataura pay for in the late 80's early 90's when the sewer system was tapped onto the rates. I thought it was all up graded then???? You are going to put so much pressure on families with another extra cost on the already expensive rates It is bloody ridiculous

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Don't build the new bridge

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

I really want to know how you expect young families and elderly people to afford everything extra on their rates this is crazy



Respondent No: 68
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 19:23:04 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 19:23:04 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Cameron Alderton
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 69
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 19:29:18 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 19:29:18 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Violet Dunstan
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 70
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 19:30:27 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 19:30:27 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Bronwyn glass
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Postal Address
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

This is council responsibility

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Put the library back in it.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 71
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 20:01:20 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 20:01:20 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Vicky ross
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Postal Address
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	not answered
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

Yes

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

Yes

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

No

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Town curtosy crossing are terrible and dangerous an accident waiting to happen



Respondent No: 72
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 20:12:48 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 20:12:48 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Debbie MacLennan
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 73
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 20:19:12 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 20:19:12 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Bonnie McLeod
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 74
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 20:24:06 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 20:24:06 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Tamati Rogerson
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	not answered
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	not answered

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	not answered

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	not answered
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	not answered
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	not answered
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 75
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 20:33:20 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 20:33:20 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Wendy
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

No

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Isn't the library building unsafe due to it leaking which is why the library had to shift, therefore the common sense approach would be to demolish and create a green space

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

No

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

No

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 76
Login:
Email: n/a
Responded At: May 17, 2021 20:33:51 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 20:33:51 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Larissa Kelly
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 77
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 20:46:10 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 20:46:10 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Dianne Elstob
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Huge financial burden to expect alot of people to pay bad people r struggling now we have one income two kids with special needs I don't even see how we could finance this

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	not answered
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	not answered
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	not answered
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	not answered
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 78
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 20:52:48 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 20:52:48 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	J W Coxshall
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email Postal Address
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I think GDC should fit the whole bill themselves it's thier infrastructure that needs replaced due to lack of mantinance over the past decade's. I didn't pay for pipe work to go through my property. We all ready pay alot in rates and council wants to charge ratepayers an extra 8 to 10%. Talk about skinning a cat. Perhaps instead the streets alive and this new bridge GDC want to build, the money would have been better spent on water systems upgrades and to forget about those other 2 "bright ideas".

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 79
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 21:04:16 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 21:04:16 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	John Smith
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	not answered
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Flooding caused by storm water in Mary Street and other key areas due to poor drainage system needs to be addressed. The ability of a home owner to access their property in times of heavy rain should be a basic expectation. Property owners should not live in constant fear of flooding with medium rain events.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	not answered
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	not answered

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	not answered
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	not answered
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 80
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 21:06:34 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 21:06:34 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Rhonda Wilson
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Do all properties need done or just the ones that dont comply

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

If its redevelopable why is it not being refurbished for the library

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 81
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 21:07:44 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 21:07:44 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Fiona Haslemore
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	Yes
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I think that it needs to be key half n half for all properties that need to be seperate not linked to valuation understand née properties have this and shouldn't incur additional costs but council knew this for a period of time and should of allowed for this so not full rate payers cost if we have to pay full cost we should be able to reduce cost ourselves by doing some of the groundwork and insulation ourselves without council influence without fees

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

If it can be an art centre why can't it be a library total mismanagement by senior staff and public left with lies

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 82
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 21:10:37 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 21:10:37 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Justine Abernethy
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	not answered
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

If the Council allowed people to engage their own people to fix issues there would need to be a list of local contractors they use. I can see out of town and local cowboys hooking into the system and not using appropriate products.

Q11. **Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.** — not answered

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.** — not answered

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.** — Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

The cost would come back continually to rate payer if the two top options were chosen. With demo it would be a one off cost, will a lovely grassed area that could be used for outdoor markets or entertainment in the area. With the current increase in building costs I don't think it could would be affordable unless you got outside funders.

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.** — not answered

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.** — Yes

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.** — not answered

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.** — not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 83
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 21:11:33 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 21:11:33 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Tania Buchanan
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Postal Address
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Don't know
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

For me personally it depends on the price to do this, how long I have to pay, and what debt I already have at the time. . I am a single working parent of 2 children.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	not answered
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I like the idea of somewhere a variety of types of art and cultural workshops could be held. Eg, Photography club, theatre program, painting or drawing classes, kids holiday art or sculpture programs. After school art classes. Classes for the older generation.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 84
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 21:11:45 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 21:11:45 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Evan Henderson
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.** — No

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.** — No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Why the hell was it no used for the library, you the council are a pack off con artist.

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.** — No

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.** — No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.** — No

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.** — Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

It’s about time you the council got to spend rate payers money sensibly and on the most important problems first.

Respondent No: 85
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 21:30:21 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 21:30:21 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Rich Christie
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Don't know
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

What happens if the property is sold with money owing? What if there is a situation like flats on the property it's not fair if they pay the same? Or separate properties with a shared drive, do they each have to pay to the street?

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Don't know

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

I’m worried that the council is alienating itself from the rate payers, they don’t seam to be listening to public opinion and would rather push through projects and spend money for the glory of it

Respondent No: 86
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 21:35:38 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 21:35:38 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Leanne Van der kemp
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 87
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 22:07:54 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 22:07:54 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Leeanne Turnbull
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	l
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 88
Login:
Email:

Responded At: May 17, 2021 22:20:00 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 10:16:47 am
IP Address: 101.100.131.142

Q1.	**Name**	Shona Willis
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 89
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 22:23:47 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 22:23:47 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Katelyn Mcdowall
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

This is going to effect rentals that are already so much higher than they should be if home owners have to pay

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 90
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 22:38:56 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 22:38:56 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Gene Peters
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Don't know
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Option one seems most suitable as not a “one shoe fits all set up” for myself personally. But I feel others may struggle with paying back a large some of money to a contractor (generally have dead lines for payments), where it would be easier for rate payers if it was added to the rates and it become less Burden trying to come up with a lump sum. Unless the council is going to come up with interest free loan for this specific upgrade to help get it done. Can see benefits of option two as gives the council full control of the job and knows when it’s getting done exactly, the cost, and time frame. Yeah little more risk involved for council but feel the reward out ways this by getting it done by one or two contractors (approved by council) in a timely matter, than waiting or chasing up the rate payer/landowner, then the council having to get a contractor in to do it anyway when deadlines aren’t met.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No

Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 91
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 22:50:30 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 22:50:30 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Sharon Adams
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Isnt this what we pay rates for

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Don't know
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 92
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 23:03:58 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 23:03:58 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Sarah Armstrong
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

Yes

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

Don't know

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

Don't know

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

Don't know

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

Don't know

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 93
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 17, 2021 23:06:51 pm
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 23:06:51 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Andrea McAdam
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

We flooded for years and notified the council each time it happened and you did nothing, you took our rates and we got nothing for it. It's about time this council started using the rates we already pay for infrastructure improvements, not charging people or increasing rates for something that should've been dealt with years ago. You take money when new houses are built in town but don't improve the infrastructure, just keep adding to the old dilapidated system, the council is incompetent and wasteful with residents money. As for engaging contractors, the whole town knows from the new council office building how well you manage contracts and over spend, the poor rate payers of this town will be paying for council negligence til the end of days

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

The library should've been left as the library not waste more money to redevelop

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	not answered
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 94
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 00:03:48 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 00:03:48 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Kate Bratschi
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

No

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I feel that it is a shame to demolish the building but as it is not being used, and we have a gallery and new museum ybderway, there is no real use for it. A place for food trucks or a lovely garden/outdoor area would be nice. And cheaper!

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

No

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

Yes

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I dont think it was ever intended to pay for a bridge with this money.

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

It is light on detail about the future of Gore. Where are we going to expand? Where can people build houses? How are we planning for growth? And the recycling needs to be a priority, not a thing to sweep under the carpet.



Respondent No: 95
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 01:26:05 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 01:26:05 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Donovan Harvey
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 96
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 02:28:32 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 02:28:32 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Maree wallace
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	not answered

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	not answered
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 97
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 04:04:08 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 04:04:08 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Andrea Cavanagh
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	not answered
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

not answered

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

not answered

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

Yes

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

not answered

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

not answered

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 98
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 05:49:47 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 05:49:47 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Zac Pearsey
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes
Q14.	**Do you have any further comments about this question?**	
	not answered	
Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	not answered
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	not answered
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	not answered
Q19.	**Do you have any further comments about this question?**	
	not answered	
Q20.	**Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**	
	not answered	



Respondent No: 99
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 06:38:51 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 06:38:51 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Mark
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 100
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 07:28:44 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 07:28:44 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Kylie white
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I need to know if this work is absolutely necessary or simply an upgrade to existing system before I can comment on whether the home owner should have to pay

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Please remove the planter boxes and reopen roads to allow traffic flow



Respondent No: 101
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 07:29:45 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 07:29:45 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Trudy burr
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 102
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 07:45:31 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 07:45:31 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Sharron Sheddan
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 103
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 07:52:39 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 07:52:39 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Rachel Mitchell
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Getting rubbish binsput in the road so they are not on the footpath as this blocks access for wheelchair users



Respondent No: 104
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 08:11:16 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 08:11:16 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Kim Browne
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Don't know
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Don't know

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 105
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 09:01:44 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 09:01:44 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Aimee Gillan
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No
Q10.	**Do you have any further comments about this question?**	

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 106
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 09:47:01 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 09:47:01 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Ben Stephen
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Museums only attract a certain age group. Let a private business lease it and turn it into something that will attract all age groups. I think the councils tourism plans are weak

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 107
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 11:06:09 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 11:06:09 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Ella Pringle
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Don't know
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 108
Login: **Email:** n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 11:32:15 am
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 11:32:15 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Ann Gardyne
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

We pay enough in rates now. Perhaps if you cut back on staff, eg gardeners that would help to pay for the work to be done

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Use the existing library as a library. Fix it. Why use it for something else. Good location and parking.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

No

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Reintroduce recycling. Lots that can be recycled is going to landfill. Need more ratepayers to have input into the Gore District decisions. We pay expensive rates compared to Invercargill and AUCKLAND with no public transport!!

Respondent No: 109
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 12:24:24 pm
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 12:24:24 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Kelly
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Don't know
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Don't know
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I feel this should have been budgeted for better low income earners are going to struggle with all above options

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Don't know

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 110
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 13:05:19 pm
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 13:05:19 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Elizabeth Houliston
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Just wondering why the GDC didn't claim insurance on the leaky roof and replace it years ago then there wouldn't have been a mould problem?

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

When did the community get to have there say on the decision that we have a distillery as a commercial enterprise and a boutique brewery. Also the elephant in the room, which I don't see in this plan- the future of Matai Ridge in East Gore. Please tell me where we are with that. At the moment there are sheep grazing on the site!! Thank you.



Respondent No: 111
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 13:53:55 pm
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 13:53:55 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Nathan Barrie
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Postal Address
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Our rates r extremely high now so why has this not been fixed in the past. Instead of building new buildings and bridges maybe u should have spent our money on infrastructure.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

The council doesn't get it. Why didn't they just fix the roof as opposed to spending 3 million on upgrading the james Cummings wing. Damn site cheaper option. How does the family of james Cummings feel about a community hall that was donated becoming a library.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Our rates r way to high now but yet the council insists on raising them every bloody year

Respondent No: 112
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 19:05:46 pm
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 19:05:46 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Janice Meikle
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

We already paid these cost before not again

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

If going to do this should demolished and rebuild library

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered



Respondent No: 113
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 19:10:07 pm
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 19:10:07 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Ebony
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 114
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 20:02:53 pm
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 20:02:53 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Michael Clark
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 115
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 20:02:54 pm
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 20:02:54 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Katey Ritchie
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Don't know
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know
Q10.	**Do you have any further comments about this question?**	not answered
Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Don't know

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Don't know
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 116
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 18, 2021 20:38:36 pm
Last Seen: May 18, 2021 20:38:36 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Pam
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

This has been ongoing for decades - yet you seem to come up with money for ridiculous projects overnight - like Streets Alive, Library, Restructure of Council Buildings. It's about time the budget was set around the REAL issues that affect ratepayers and budgeted for accordingly. So council needs to stick their hand in their pocket and pay up for the separation of waste and storm water, with no liability to the ratepayers. We are already one of the highest paying ratepayers in NZ. Council needs to learn some foresight budgeting skills!

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Whichever option is the cheapest until council have addressed issues that really effect the ratepayers - like Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I don't really know enough to comment here, but until council show some signs of proper foresight budgeting and wise spending, I don't think holding the funds for future projects, which seems good in theory, is really a viable option based on councils track record of using money unwisely as they have shown in the past.

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

When is council going to address water shortages during hot month?? This is another issue that has been ongoing for many decades!!

Respondent No: 117
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 19, 2021 07:54:48 am
Last Seen: May 19, 2021 07:54:48 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Aaron Rawcliffe
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

leave the existing alone

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Sell it to recover some of the wasted money GDC has already spent

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Don't know
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

8.22% rates increase is a joke, sort your shit out, your wastage is unbelievable. if you were an actual business you would be bankrupt.

Respondent No: 118
Login:
Email:

Responded At: May 19, 2021 09:00:04 am
Last Seen: May 17, 2021 23:13:11 pm
IP Address: 131.203.249.66

Q1.	**Name**	Robina Johnston
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Ageing population this is too much for individuals to sort out themselves, too overwhelming when we have peak baby boomers in 2025. Properties could fail carrying out infrastructure installation. Council have system's to pay off through rates to simplify and asset with budgeting. Work completed needs to on the LIM report, to verify it's been carried out as this should reflect valuation. Council could be over collecting with some of Gore with the separated system. Hamiltion Council have ideas like premeable asphalt to mitigate water filteration.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

It's time to remove it, Im sure you'd be able to get a better deal for demolishing. It would earthquake proofing and not worth the cost benefit for public use. Builders prefer new builds rather than alterations. Moonshine Festival would appreciate the extra space. Police require another location also thats got better access. Enough art space with East Gore Church.

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I propose 5 -10% of the $512k for camera's with infrared capabillity also to look after community spaces like Dolamore, Hamilton & Mataura pump track. These areas's are becoming target areas for recruitment and trading of substances. Our area in Croydon has had 25 accidents due to speed and drink with minimal patrolling by Police in my view. Camera's could assist with crime which is rising in the rural areas.

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

It's commended that Council keep rates for all urban and rural ratepayers under 5% over the next decade before an Amalgamation of terrritories. Being in SDC territory of Waimumu District alongside Waitane, Brydone, Otapiri should really be in the Gore District which all territories failed to serve some of the 10,000 without local goverance. Council will need at least 10% of the community to submit in some manner on Spatial Plan recently I was a submitter to Council Spatial Plan which took my words out of context and twisted them to suit for their context I've viewed and now want noted. Rural ratepayers have being paying a bigger proportion as valuations doubling from 2002, urban ratepayers 50% of the district a seeing an 80% increase in valuations which is much closer to the replacement costs to rebuild. A reset in the agriculture is looming abruptly since the dairy expansion of 50 years which seems to be a business cycle model looking at Rayonair, Tiwai etc also Made considerable roading complaints especially this year with 20 truck movements a day on Reaby Road unsealed section which has two bridges - 1 on Duthie Road which is numbered and posted Class 1 and another on Copland Road that has had to be worked on after being damaged this bridge isn't numbered or posted but Truck drivers have stated that the H Trucks shouldn't be accessing so we've stopped them and photographed. DTK trucks often getting stuck blocking the road completely due to driver stupid mistakes, one driver twice got stuck on hills being two different hills but very reluctant to notify company and waited three hours recently blocking Dolamore Park Road which I notified Council but they failed to come out so directed traffic for three hours but should of let the issue compound. Cell coverage not available in the Otamita gorge and driver wouldhn't call in the CB radio. DTK were using multiple roads over the ten weeks of logs to Kennington not Mataura. Disappointing the road wasn't graded immediately after the movements stopped as now leaving a high ridge in the centre of road that destroys spoilers on vehicles on lower vehicles. Still waiting on a grade but has had several pot holes filled where water has been lying. The roads like Mountain Road need widened on rises and rises on corners especially and road mowed on each side fotr pull offs as no way can a truck and car pass and a truck is unable to move off the road realistically. Many neighbours were complaining but not offically as they don't feel listened too. Encouraged Antenno to new residents. In nearly 19 years I never seen so much activity from the Japanese Southwood entity but the log prices have been high so a rush on timber which is supposably used for paper but may of been directed due to Covid to building industry hopefully. Bare Hill residents were complaining about speeds of trucks. Mountain Road has better signage for less residents than Reaby. Page 20 you ask for feedback during annual plan consultation on our complex rating system but it's not included in the questionaire? Rates have a 62% valuation base currently. Southland District Council asked the question to submitters at hearing about accummulation of unpaid rates and pay back once property is sold. Overseas some models are on square metre of dwelling, number of bedrooms unoccupied to deal with housing shortages. Industry gets incentives with employees but when you at their contributions to the wider community you can't say clearly apart from jobs. Alot of conflict with environment issues. On tv Council members on the Breakfast stated that rates go towards 40% of water activity Dunedin and Wellington. To me it looks like you've been lobbied by big heavy industry to met water standards. Captital value rating change means more of an unbalanced system dividing ratepayers more. Fixed targeted ward areas is subjective as depends on number of bridges, culverts etc Angus McKay mentioned from Civil Defence that Alliance Meats was more of a threat to locals than the Dross in the Mataura Paper Mill he stated to two hundred people at a community at Mataura public meeting we recorded. Alliance Meats health & safety systems may need to be audited to give comfort from Work Safe. Gore District hasn't notified the public especially Mataura that someone has agreed on a month extra for removal of the Dross from Paper Mill which hasn't had any realistic impediments. Community Board has failed over seven years many feel to lead there urban community and Gore also has failed we feel after combining the territories in 1989 has had less and less democracy for the community. Local Government and Internal Affairs need to investigate the need for the Board if it fails the basic requirements of participatory democracy. Paper Mill still has businesses such as hydro etc for income. Train speed through the Gore township I question, I feel it needs lowering and pretty sure it's more than 50km from observation. A person can servive a 50km hit but definetly not with a

train. Fire notification concerns me, individuals along the Hokonui's don't give notification which stresses us out as have plantations neighbouring their gorse. We've had four incidents neighbouring us and viewed some near the Reserve, I want comfort with a plan viewed with parties such as GDC, Iwi being notified, resources on mitigation, rural fire and DoC notification and neighbours notified. Peter's Genetics needs to have appropriate insurance cover. We were away last week on Friday 15th of May and neighbour has since told me the helicopters were called out to Wantwood property. Will have to follow up rural fires for further information and see if DoC & Iwi were notified also. Like to see traffic meters on Dolamore and Reaby Road more often to monitor speed as it needs reduced and have some support for a change. Activity since Covid worries me but not enough evidence to confirm my fears. Cameras in the main exits of Gore as dumping will be on the rise. It's incredibly complex the recycle system and confusion would be familar. See Queenstown has proposed a Wanaka Wastebusters system about repurposing items. I haven't appreciated Council authorising a drone over our property, lucky an expensive drone wasn't destroyed. Council in 18yrs haven't proven to be authentic with some policy in the Dolamore Park. Thankyou for the opportunity of provide feedback. If you research the Productivity Commission work since 2019 talking about Frontier Firms leading Aotearoa to more prosperity and value, Iwi being more productive and better well being outcomes. Council need to conduct there own productivity and see which Councils are preforming best for value for ratepayers and how does this compare with the private businesses.

Respondent No: 119
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 19, 2021 09:15:45 am
Last Seen: May 19, 2021 09:15:45 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Richard Dinan
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

What would the set fee be you have said that you will be recovering the cost throughtayes anyway

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

Don't know

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

Don't know

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

You would of been better off repairing it and putting the library back there you should start listening to your rate payers

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

Yes

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

No

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 120
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 19, 2021 10:37:58 am
Last Seen: May 19, 2021 10:37:58 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Nathan mcarley
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Don't know

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Don't know

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Don't know
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	Don't know

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Unblock Broughton street

Respondent No: 124
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 19, 2021 12:41:28 pm
Last Seen: May 19, 2021 12:41:28 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Sarah Soper
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Moot questions, if the councils pays for it we will end up paying in our rates increases anyway.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	No
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 125
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 19, 2021 13:27:09 pm
Last Seen: May 19, 2021 13:27:09 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Jenny Morgan
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

The costs need to be spread across future Ratepayers, not just the present Ratepayers.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	not answered

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

not answered

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

not answered

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

Yes

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

not answered

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

not answered

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 126
Login:
Email: n/a
Responded At: May 19, 2021 14:21:28 pm
Last Seen: May 19, 2021 14:21:28 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	K Wright
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	not answered
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	not answered

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

There will be a number of home owners who will be unable to afford this cost. It is also not fair to expect current property owners to pay to fix this when it has been in place for so long. As the council is going to be saving money in the long-run, the council needs to fund this project. I also have concerns about homeowners who object to paying for this, what are their legal rights? Can they refuse to undertake the work? Can they refuse to allow contractors and council staff access? Forcing homeowners to undertake expensive projects that they do not wish to carry out, seems wrong to me in every way.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	not answered
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	not answered

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	Yes
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	not answered
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	not answered
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	not answered

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 127
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 19, 2021 16:50:29 pm
Last Seen: May 19, 2021 16:50:29 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Vicki Ramsay
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	Yes
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Agree that the work needs to be undertaken but the properties affected are likely to be those on low incomes who may not have the disposable income to pay for the work. Could a combination of option 1 and 3 work. The property owner is required to fund the work, but where the owner has low income and may qualify to a rates relief or similar subsidy the council could contribute towards the work from a council loan (costs of which are shared across ratepayers)

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	Yes
Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	Yes
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	Yes

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

I am open to all options, Gore is seriously lacking family/children friendly eateries which secure space and play areas for children since HnJs Cafe closed so would love to see something on this site which appealed to this market. We would prefer to take our children out for lunch in Invercargill or Wanaka as both locations have great options for parents with young children. But I do question why it is not an option for the building to be put up for sale in the open market? The sale of land and assets could actually provide an income rather than presenting a cost to the council and it's ratepayers!

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	Yes
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.** No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

I think it is time that our council tried to encourage its departments to be more fiscally responsible. Why should senior council employees be given pay rises when projects are coming in over time and over budget. Pay rises (particularly at top level where employees are well renumerated anyway) should be reserved for those who come in on (or under budget) and on time.

Respondent No: 128
Login:
Email: n/a
Responded At: May 19, 2021 20:13:07 pm
Last Seen: May 19, 2021 20:13:07 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Jennifer Mcgrattan
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	Yes
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	Yes

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

No

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Sell the space to recoup costs of waste water separation

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

No

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

Yes

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

Don't know

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

Yes

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

Respondent No: 15
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 16:31:18 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 16:31:18 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Hugh G Dick
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Postal Address
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Councils stuff up from the past not ratepayers problem.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**

No

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**

No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

You said it was full of black mold so how can it be ok for an arts center and not a library.....you dicks.

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**

No

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**

No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**

Yes

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**

No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

No would you even listen or care.

Respondent No: 22
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: Apr 21, 2021 19:47:57 pm
Last Seen: Apr 21, 2021 19:47:57 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Mike Oxlong
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Postal Address
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Ratepayers cannot afford any of these options

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12. **Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.** — No

Q13. **Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.** — No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Maybe use it as a library again you fools cheaper than 5 to 6 million Muppets.

Q15. **Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.** — No

Q16. **Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.** — No

Q17. **Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.** — Yes

Q18. **Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.** — No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

Learn to listen to ratepayers without them there is no council you arrogant, inconsiderate fools.

Respondent No: 123
Login:
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 19, 2021 12:37:08 pm
Last Seen: May 19, 2021 12:37:08 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Name**	Mike Oxlong
Q2.	**Address**	
Q3.	**Postal Address**	
Q4.	**Email**	
Q5.	**How would you prefer to be contacted?**	Email
Q6.	**Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?**	No
Q7.	**Option 1 - The property owner funds the work (this is our preferred option)This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.**	No
Q8.	**Option 2 - The Council funds the workWe would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.**	No
Q9.	**Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set feeThe property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.**	No

Q10. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Would you listen if I did.

Q11.	**Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust (this is our preferred option)Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.**	No

Q12.	**Option 2 - Redevelop as a visitor/tourism/business information centre.Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.**	No
Q13.	**Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air siteDemolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.**	No

Q14. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

Everything's online get with the programm nobody reads books

Q15.	**Option 1 - Use the fund to reduce debt on the Pyramid Bridge (this is our preferred option)Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.**	No
Q16.	**Option 2 - Use the fund in consultation with the rural communityClose the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.**	No
Q17.	**Option 3 - Give the funds to the Southland Rural Support TrustClose the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.**	Yes
Q18.	**Option 4 - Retain the fund for future useRetain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.**	No

Q19. **Do you have any further comments about this question?**

not answered

Q20. **Is there anything else relevant to the 2021 - 2031 10-Year-Plan you would like to comment on?**

not answered

2021/154

Late Submission

FEEDBACK FORM

Please note: Your name and feedback will become public information. Other personal details will remain confidential.

Mr / Mrs / ~~Miss~~

First name Terry / Jenny

Last name McNamara

Postal address [redacted]

Email address [redacted]

How would you prefer to be contacted?

☑ Email ☐ Post

Do you wish to speak to councillors in support of your submission?

☐ Yes ☑ No

If you tick 'Yes' we will contact you to confirm the time you will be speaking at the meeting on 1 June.

QUESTION 1:

Wastewater and Stormwater Separation on Private Properties

(refer to pages five and six)

Option 1 - The Property Owner Funds the Work

(This is our preferred option)

This option sees the property owner funding the cost of completing the separation work on their property. Under this option, the Council would investigate ways to ensure the financial burden is manageable, such as spreading payment over a period of time.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - The Council Funds the Work

We would engage a contractor to undertake the work on the property owner's behalf. We would recover costs from all urban ratepayers connected to the wastewater and stormwater networks to pay for the work.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Each property owner pays a set fee

The property owner would pay a set fee. The fee could be the same for everyone or linked to a property's capital value ie 1% of the CV. The Council would rate for and pay the remaining costs.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

☐ Set Fee ☐ % of CV

Comments

QUESTION 2:

Future plans for the Old Gore Library

(refer to pages seven and eight)

Option 1 - Transfer ownership to an arts trust
(This is our preferred option)

Redevelop the building to enable the expansion of our visitor experience, in keeping with the strong arts and cultural themes of the precinct.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Redevelop as information centre

Retain the building for redevelopment as a visitor, tourism and business information centre. This may include expanding the services currently provided at the visitor centre, including a café, and bookable co-working spaces.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Demolish and expand as an open air site

Demolish the building and expand the site as an open space visitor experience complementary to the trout and country music structures, with landscaped walkways through to the gallery, museum and visitor centre.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

QUESTION 3:

Future Use of the Special Rural Fund

(refer to pages nine and ten)

Option 1 - Use fund to reduce bridge debt
(This is our preferred option)

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining money (less administrative costs) to reduce the debt on the new Pyramid Bridge.

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know

Option 2 - Use fund in consultation

Close the Special Rural Fund and apply all remaining funds to projects identified in consultation with the rural community.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 3 - Give funds to Trust

Close the Special Rural Fund and give the remaining funds to the Southland Rural Support Trust, to help Gore District rural residents during adverse events.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Option 4 - Retain funds for future use

Retain the Special Rural Fund and look to reopen it for applications at a later date.

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't know

Comments

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

NEED MORE ROOM? You can attach extra pages to your feedback but please make sure they are A4. Also check to include your name and contact details on the extra pages.