

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S109.084</b>  | Department of Conservation             | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Support         | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | The D-G supports the inclusion of the Hokonui Waterfall Range, the Mata-Ura River and Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls and the associated values to be protected.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in Part         |
| <b>S112.184</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency        | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Support in part | Reassess for accuracy and amend the Appendix 5 text to accurately identify and name the specific outstanding natural features and landscapes within the Gore District, and make any necessary consequential changes to the 'Natural Features and Landscapes' map and provisions in the NFL chapter | The title/heading of Appendix 5 refers to only "ONL-APP" yet Appendix 5 identifies, in addition to the "Hokonui/Waterfall Range ONL", the "Mata-Ura / Mataura River High Natural Character Feature (HNCf)" as well as the "Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana / Mataura Falls ONF". Given that Appendix 5 identifies more than just an 'ONL', we suggest that the title of the appendix be amended to include all of the landscape values included. Moreover, none of the objectives, policies or rules in the associated NFL chapter, nor the associated Natural Features and Landscapes Map, refer to the Mataura River 'High Natural Character Feature' (HNCf). We suggest that either the reference to the Mataura River HNCf in Appendix 5 needs to be amended or deleted, or alternatively, that the provisions within the NFL chapter and map need to be amended to also refer to this HNCf. | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S121.107</b>  | Alliance Group Limited                 | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Amend          | Clarification about the purpose of the Mata-Ura / Mataura River HNCf within Appendix 5, so that Alliance can consider any implications of this. | It is noted that Appendix 5 of the Proposed Plan identifies and includes a description of the Mata-Ura / Mataura River High Natural Character Feature (HNCf), however the HNCf doesn't appear to be operationalised within the Proposed Plan.                                                                                                | Accept                 |
| <b>S152.016</b>  | Nigel Cowburn                          | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Not Stated     | Clarify what organisation "Birdlife International" is                                                                                           | No reference to what this organisation is or their bona fides                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept                 |
| <b>S105.033</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station        | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Amend          | Correct the description of the ONL                                                                                                              | Otamita Stream does not originate in the Waterfall range, creeks come from this into the Otamita but its origin is further up in the Otamita Gorge/Valley, part of the Southland District. The ONL covers a large area of actual pastoral farmland, concerned this hasn't been considered when deciding what area should fall under the ONL. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.033</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Amend          | Correct the description of the ONL                                                                                                              | Otamita Stream does not originate in the Waterfall range, creeks come from this into the Otamita but its origin is further up in the Otamita Gorge/Valley, part of the Southland District. The ONL covers a large area of actual pastoral farmland, concerned this hasn't been considered when deciding what area should fall under the ONL. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.109</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Oppose         | Amend Appendix 5 in a way that:                                                                                                                 | Supportive of background information, but concerns are raised that the extent, description and attributed values fail to recognise and misrepresent the current land use and values of the area. There is                                                                                                                                    | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accurately reflects the significant presence of pastoral farming within the ONL;</li> <li>• Recognises the benefits provided by pastoral farming in terms of maintaining a sense of openness, landscape legibility and pest control, within the ONL;</li> <li>• Recognises that the patterns and values of indigenous biodiversity vary significantly across the extent of the ONL and the highest value area is concentrated within the Croydon Bush SNA;</li> <li>• Corrects the statement that the ONL is free of structures;</li> <li>• Recognises the historical and cultural significance of the area to the rural community; and</li> <li>• Make available all documents referred to within Appendix 5, the s32 Report and the Landscape Assessment prepared by Mike Moore publicly available on the Gore District Council website.</li> </ul> | insufficient supporting documentation regarding the extent of the ONL area. Stoney Creek considers that the values listed to be protected are not present over much of the ONL area, which is working farmland and that the ONL area should be reduced. The description does not recognise the character and structures of the station which occupies 42 percent of the area and list qualities of naturalness and wilderness as values to be protected when over 70 percent of the area is a modified pastoral landscape. Pastoral farming can also provide benefits to landscape values through pest and weed management. Croydon Bush Scenic Reserve has distinctively different vegetation from the rest of the ONL, and exotic vegetation has not been listed as a potential threat. The description also does not recognise the historical and cultural values of the Croydon Area which is one of the earliest European settlements in Southland. The Southland Murihiku Regional Landscape Study (Boffa Miskell, 2019) which was relied upon in determining the ONL status should be made publicly available. |                        |
| <b>S116.109</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Oppose         | Amend Appendix 5 in a way that:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Supportive of background information, but concerns are raised that the extent, description and attributed values fail to recognise and misrepresent the current land use and values of the area. There is                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accurately reflects the significant presence of pastoral farming within the ONL;</li> <li>• Recognises the benefits provided by pastoral farming in terms of maintaining a sense of openness, landscape legibility and pest control, within the ONL;</li> <li>• Recognises that the patterns and values of indigenous biodiversity vary significantly across the extent of the ONL and the highest value area is concentrated within the Croydon Bush SNA;</li> <li>• Corrects the statement that the ONL is free of structures;</li> <li>• Recognises the historical and cultural significance of the area to the rural community; and</li> <li>• Make available all documents referred to within Appendix 5, the s32 Report and the Landscape Assessment prepared by Mike Moore publicly available on the Gore District Council website.</li> </ul> | insufficient supporting documentation regarding the extent of the ONL area. Stoney Creek considers that the values listed to be protected are not present over much of the ONL area, which is working farmland and that the ONL area should be reduced. The description does not recognise the character and structures of the station which occupies 42 percent of the area and list qualities of naturalness and wilderness as values to be protected when over 70 percent of the area is a modified pastoral landscape. Pastoral farming can also provide benefits to landscape values through pest and weed management. Croydon Bush Scenic Reserve has distinctively different vegetation from the rest of the ONL, and exotic vegetation has not been listed as a potential threat. The description also does not recognise the historical and cultural values of the Croydon Area which is one of the earliest European settlements in Southland. The Southland Murihiku Regional Landscape Study (Boffa Miskell, 2019) which was relied upon in determining the ONL status should be made publicly available. |                        |
| <b>S118.109</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Oppose         | Amend Appendix 5 in a way that:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Supportive of background information, but concerns are raised that the extent, description and attributed values fail to recognise and misrepresent the current land use and values of the area. There is                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurately reflects the significant presence of pastoral farming within the ONL;</li> <li>Recognises the benefits provided by pastoral farming in terms of maintaining a sense of openness, landscape legibility and pest control, within the ONL;</li> <li>Recognises that the patterns and values of indigenous biodiversity vary significantly across the extent of the ONL and the highest value area is concentrated within the Croydon Bush SNA;</li> <li>Corrects the statement that the ONL is free of structures;</li> <li>Recognises the historical and cultural significance of the area to the rural community; and</li> <li>Make available all documents referred to within Appendix 5, the s32 Report and the Landscape Assessment prepared by Mike Moore publicly available on the Gore District Council website.</li> </ul> | insufficient supporting documentation regarding the extent of the ONL area. Stoney Creek considers that the values listed to be protected are not present over much of the ONL area, which is working farmland and that the ONL area should be reduced. The description does not recognise the character and structures of the station which occupies 42 percent of the area and list qualities of naturalness and wilderness as values to be protected when over 70 percent of the area is a modified pastoral landscape. Pastoral farming can also provide benefits to landscape values through pest and weed management. Croydon Bush Scenic Reserve has distinctively different vegetation from the rest of the ONL, and exotic vegetation has not been listed as a potential threat. The description also does not recognise the historical and cultural values of the Croydon Area which is one of the earliest European settlements in Southland. The Southland Murihiku Regional Landscape Study (Boffa Miskell, 2019) which was relied upon in determining the ONL status should be made publicly available. |                        |
| <b>S127.145</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters        | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Oppose         | Amend Appendix 5 in a way that:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Supportive of background information but concerns are raised that the extent, description and attributed values fail to recognise and misrepresent the current land use and values of the area. There is                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurately reflects the significant presence of pastoral farming within the ONL;</li> <li>Recognises the benefits provided by pastoral farming in terms of maintaining a sense of openness, landscape legibility and pest control, within the ONL;</li> <li>Recognises that the patterns and values of indigenous biodiversity vary significantly across the extent of the ONL and the highest value area is concentrated within the Croydon Bush SNA;</li> <li>Corrects the statement that the ONL is free of structures;</li> <li>Recognises the historical and cultural significance of the area to the rural community; and</li> <li>Make available all documents referred to within Appendix 5, the s32 Report and the Landscape Assessment prepared by Mike Moore publicly available on the Gore District Council website.</li> </ul> | insufficient supporting documentation regarding the extent of the ONL area. Stoney Creek considers that the values listed to be protected are not present over much of the ONL area, which is working farmland and that the ONL area should be reduced. The description does not recognise the character and structures of the station which occupies 42 percent of the area and list qualities of naturalness and wilderness as values to be protected when over 70 percent of the area is a modified pastoral landscape. Pastoral farming can also provide benefits to landscape values through pest and weed management. Croydon Bush Scenic Reserve has distinctively different vegetation from the rest of the ONL, and exotic vegetation has not been listed as a potential threat. The description also does not recognise the historical and cultural values of the Croydon Area which is one of the earliest European settlements in Southland. The Southland Murihiku Regional Landscape Study (Boffa Miskell, 2019) which was relied upon in determining the ONL status should be made publicly available. |                        |
| <b>S18.111</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Oppose         | Amend Appendix 5 in a way that:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Supportive of background information but concerns are raised that the extent, description and attributed values fail to recognise and misrepresent the current land use and values of the area. There is                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurately reflects the significant presence of pastoral farming within the ONL;</li> <li>Recognises the benefits provided by pastoral farming in terms of maintaining a sense of openness, landscape legibility and pest control, within the ONL;</li> <li>Recognises that the patterns and values of indigenous biodiversity vary significantly across the extent of the ONL and the highest value area is concentrated within the Croydon Bush SNA;</li> <li>Corrects the statement that the ONL is free of structures;</li> <li>Recognises the historical and cultural significance of the area to the rural community; and</li> <li>Make available all documents referred to within Appendix 5, the s32 Report and the Landscape Assessment prepared by Mike Moore publicly available on the Gore District Council website.</li> </ul> | insufficient supporting documentation regarding the extent of the ONL area. Stoney Creek considers that the values listed to be protected are not present over much of the ONL area, which is working farmland and that the ONL area should be reduced. The description does not recognise the character and structures of the station which occupies 42 percent of the area and list qualities of naturalness and wilderness as values to be protected when over 70 percent of the area is a modified pastoral landscape. Pastoral farming can also provide benefits to landscape values through pest and weed management. Croydon Bush Scenic Reserve has distinctively different vegetation from the rest of the ONL, and exotic vegetation has not been listed as a potential threat. The description also does not recognise the historical and cultural values of the Croydon Area which is one of the earliest European settlements in Southland. The Southland Murihiku Regional Landscape Study (Boffa Miskell, 2019) which was relied upon in determining the ONL status should be made publicly available. |                        |
| <b>S19.111</b>   | Karen Temple                           | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Oppose         | Amend Appendix 5 in a way that:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Supportive of background information but concerns are raised that the extent, description and attributed values fail to recognise and misrepresent the current land use and values of the area. There is                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurately reflects the significant presence of pastoral farming within the ONL;</li> <li>Recognises the benefits provided by pastoral farming in terms of maintaining a sense of openness, landscape legibility and pest control, within the ONL;</li> <li>Recognises that the patterns and values of indigenous biodiversity vary significantly across the extent of the ONL and the highest value area is concentrated within the Croydon Bush SNA;</li> <li>Corrects the statement that the ONL is free of structures;</li> <li>Recognises the historical and cultural significance of the area to the rural community; and</li> <li>Make available all documents referred to within Appendix 5, the s32 Report and the Landscape Assessment prepared by Mike Moore publicly available on the Gore District Council website.</li> </ul> | insufficient supporting documentation regarding the extent of the ONL area. Stoney Creek considers that the values listed to be protected are not present over much of the ONL area, which is working farmland and that the ONL area should be reduced. The description does not recognise the character and structures of the station which occupies 42 percent of the area and list qualities of naturalness and wilderness as values to be protected when over 70 percent of the area is a modified pastoral landscape. Pastoral farming can also provide benefits to landscape values through pest and weed management. Croydon Bush Scenic Reserve has distinctively different vegetation from the rest of the ONL, and exotic vegetation has not been listed as a potential threat. The description also does not recognise the historical and cultural values of the Croydon Area which is one of the earliest European settlements in Southland. The Southland Murihiku Regional Landscape Study (Boffa Miskell, 2019) which was relied upon in determining the ONL status should be made publicly available. |                        |
| <b>S20.111</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Oppose         | Amend Appendix 5 in a way that:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Supportive of background information but concerns are raised that the extent, description and attributed values fail to recognise and misrepresent the current land use and values of the area. There is                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurately reflects the significant presence of pastoral farming within the ONL;</li> <li>Recognises the benefits provided by pastoral farming in terms of maintaining a sense of openness, landscape legibility and pest control, within the ONL;</li> <li>Recognises that the patterns and values of indigenous biodiversity vary significantly across the extent of the ONL and the highest value area is concentrated within the Croydon Bush SNA;</li> <li>Corrects the statement that the ONL is free of structures;</li> <li>Recognises the historical and cultural significance of the area to the rural community; and</li> <li>Make available all documents referred to within Appendix 5, the s32 Report and the Landscape Assessment prepared by Mike Moore publicly available on the Gore District Council website.</li> </ul> | insufficient supporting documentation regarding the extent of the ONL area. Stoney Creek considers that the values listed to be protected are not present over much of the ONL area, which is working farmland and that the ONL area should be reduced. The description does not recognise the character and structures of the station which occupies 42 percent of the area and list qualities of naturalness and wilderness as values to be protected when over 70 percent of the area is a modified pastoral landscape. Pastoral farming can also provide benefits to landscape values through pest and weed management. Croydon Bush Scenic Reserve has distinctively different vegetation from the rest of the ONL, and exotic vegetation has not been listed as a potential threat. The description also does not recognise the historical and cultural values of the Croydon Area, which is one of the earliest European settlements in Southland. The Southland Murihiku Regional Landscape Study (Boffa Miskell, 2019) which was relied upon in determining the ONL status should be made publicly available. |                        |
| <b>S24.111</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Oppose         | Amend Appendix 5 in a way that:<br>- Accurately reflects the significant presence of pastoral farming within the ONL;<br>- Recognises the benefits provided by pastoral farming in terms of                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Supportive of background information but concerns are raised that the extent, description and attributed values fail to recognise and misrepresent the current land use and values of the area. There is                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                | maintaining a sense of openness, landscape legibility and pest control, within the ONL; - Recognises that the patterns and values of indigenous biodiversity vary significantly across the extent of the ONL and the highest value area is concentrated within the Croydon Bush SNA; - Corrects the statement that the ONL is free of structures; - Recognises the historical and cultural significance of the area to the rural community; and - Make available all documents referred to within Appendix 5, the s32 Report and the Landscape Assessment prepared by Mike Moore publicly available on the Gore District Council website. | insufficient supporting documentation regarding the extent of the ONL area. Stoney Creek considers that the values listed to be protected are not present over much of the ONL area, which is working farmland and that the ONL area should be reduced. The description does not recognise the character and structures of the station which occupies 42 percent of the area and list qualities of naturalness and wilderness as values to be protected when over 70 percent of the area is a modified pastoral landscape. Pastoral farming can also provide benefits to landscape values through pest and weed management. Croydon Bush Scenic Reserve has distinctively different vegetation from the rest of the ONL, and exotic vegetation has not been listed as a potential threat. The description also does not recognise the historical and cultural values of the Croydon Area which is one of the earliest European settlements in Southland. The Southland Murihiku Regional Landscape Study (Boffa Miskell, 2019) which was relied upon in determining the ONL status should be made publicly available. |                        |
| <b>S68.113</b>   | John Baynes                            | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Oppose         | Amend Appendix 5 in a way that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurately reflects the significant presence of pastoral farming within the ONL;</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Supportive of background information but concerns are raised that the extent, description and attributed values fail to recognise and misrepresent the current land use and values of the area. There is insufficient supporting documentation regarding the extent of the ONL area. Stoney                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognises the benefits provided by pastoral farming in terms of maintaining a sense of openness, landscape legibility and pest control, within the ONL;</li> <li>Recognises that the patterns and values of indigenous biodiversity vary significantly across the extent of the ONL and the highest value area is concentrated within the Croydon Bush SNA;</li> <li>Corrects the statement that the ONL is free of structures;</li> <li>Recognises the historical and cultural significance of the area to the rural community; and</li> <li>Make available all documents referred to within Appendix 5, the s32 Report and the Landscape Assessment prepared by Mike Moore publicly available on the Gore District Council website.</li> </ul> | Creek considers that the values listed to be protected are not present over much of the ONL area, which is working farmland and that the ONL area should be reduced. The description does not recognise the character and structures of the station which occupies 42 percent of the area and list qualities of naturalness and wilderness as values to be protected when over 70 percent of the area is a modified pastoral landscape. Pastoral farming can also provide benefits to landscape values through pest and weed management. Croydon Bush Scenic Reserve has distinctively different vegetation from the rest of the ONL, and exotic vegetation has not been listed as a potential threat. The description also does not recognise the historical and cultural values of the Croydon Area which is one of the earliest European settlements in Southland. The Southland Murihiku Regional Landscape Study (Boffa Miskell, 2019) which was relied upon in determining the ONL status should be made publicly available. |                        |
| <b>S117.109</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Oppose         | Amend Appendix 5 in a way that:<br>- Accurately reflects the significant presence of pastoral farming within the ONL; - Recognises the benefits provided by pastoral farming in terms of maintaining a sense of openness, landscape legibility and pest control, within the ONL; - Recognises that the                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Supportive of background information but concerns are raised that the extent, description and attributed values fail to recognise and misrepresent the current land use and values of the area. There is insufficient supporting documentation regarding the extent of the ONL area. Stoney Creek considers that the values listed to be                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                | patterns and values of indigenous biodiversity vary significantly across the extent of the ONL and the highest value area is concentrated within the Croydon Bush SNA; - Corrects the statement that the ONL is free of structures; - Recognises the historical and cultural significance of the area to the rural community; and - Make available all documents referred to within Appendix 5, the s32 Report and the Landscape Assessment prepared by Mike Moore publicly available on the Gore District Council website. | protected are not present over much of the ONL area, which is working farmland and that the ONL area should be reduced. The description does not recognise the character and structures of the station which occupies 42 percent of the area and list qualities of naturalness and wilderness as values to be protected when over 70 percent of the area is a modified pastoral landscape. Pastoral farming can also provide benefits to landscape values through pest and weed management. Croydon Bush Scenic Reserve has distinctively different vegetation from the rest of the ONL, and exotic vegetation has not been listed as a potential threat. The description also does not recognise the historical and cultural values of the Croydon Area which is one of the earliest European settlements in Southland. The Southland Murihiku Regional Landscape Study (Boffa Miskell, 2019) which was relied upon in determining the ONL status should be made publicly available. |                        |
| <b>S3.109</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Oppose         | Amend Appendix 5 in a way that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accurately reflects the significant presence of pastoral farming within the ONL;</li> <li>• Recognises the benefits provided by pastoral farming in terms of maintaining a sense of openness, landscape legibility</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Supportive of background information but concerns are raised that the extent, description and attributed values fail to recognise and misrepresent the current land use and values of the area. There is insufficient supporting documentation regarding the extent of the ONL area. Stoney Creek considers that the values listed to be protected are not present over much of the ONL area, which is working farmland and                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                 | <p>and pest control, within the ONL;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognises that the patterns and values of indigenous biodiversity vary significantly across the extent of the ONL and the highest value area is concentrated within the Croydon Bush SNA;</li> <li>Corrects the statement that the ONL is free of structures;</li> <li>Recognises the historical and cultural significance of the area to the rural community; and</li> <li>Make available all documents referred to within Appendix 5, the s32 Report and the Landscape Assessment prepared by Mike Moore publicly available on the Gore District Council website.</li> </ul> | <p>that the ONL area should be reduced. The description does not recognise the character and structures of the station which occupies 42 percent of the area and list qualities of naturalness and wilderness as values to be protected when over 70 percent of the area is a modified pastoral landscape. Pastoral farming can also provide benefits to landscape values through pest and weed management. Croydon Bush Scenic Reserve has distinctively different vegetation from the rest of the ONL, and exotic vegetation has not been listed as a potential threat. The description also does not recognise the historical and cultural values of the Croydon Area which is one of the earliest European settlements in Southland. The Southland Murihiku Regional Landscape Study (Boffa Miskell, 2019) which was relied upon in determining the ONL status should be made publicly available.</p> |                        |
| <b>FS17.092</b>  | Federated Farmers                      |                                         |              | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Federated Farmers supports the submitter's request for amendments to Appendix 5 as these amendments will ensure Appendix 5 provides more relevant information on the current state of ONL.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept                 |
| <b>S146.096</b>  | Southland District Council             | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Support in part | Incorporate into the Proposed District Plan an objective that would protect ONFL areas from adverse effects outside of direct use.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | The Proposed District Plan does not have an objective that would protect ONFL areas from adverse effects outside of direct use. This could result in activities Within Appendix 5, the Mataura River is identified as a High Natural Character Feature, including its river                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |              |                |                                                                                 | margins and an active flood plain. If these are the values of the Mataura River, then provisions related to this area within the Gore District should be addressed in a Natural Character section occurring on an adjoining property that could have an adverse effect on the Hokonui Hills ONFL area, such as Wilding Trees reducing the biotic/abiotic values highlighted in Appendix 5. |                        |
| <b>FS25.136</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                         |              | Oppose in part | Disallow                                                                        | It is unclear how effects on ONLs outside of direct use would be controlled through Appendix 5.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>S104.033</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station | Appendix 5 - NFL-APP - Landscape Values | APP5-NFL-APP | Amend          | Correct the description of the ONL                                              | Otamita Stream does not originate in the Waterfall range, creeks come from this into the Otamita but its origin is further up in the Otamita Gorge/Valley, part of the Southland District. The ONL covers a large area of actual pastoral farmland, concerned this hasn't been considered when deciding what area should fall under the ONL.                                               | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.137</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                         |              | Support        | Allow                                                                           | Stoney Creek supports this submission                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accept in part         |
| <b>S104.035</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station | Interpretation                          | earthworks   | Not Stated     | Remove reference from NFL- R3 with regards to fencing based on this definition. | The definition for earthworks excludes fencing, therefore the reference to fencing within NFL-R3 should be removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section         | Provision    | Support/ oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S105.035</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station        | Interpretation  | earthworks   | Not Stated      | Remove reference from NFL-R3 with regards to fencing based on this definition.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | The definition for earthworks excludes fencing, therefore the reference to fencing within NFL-R3 should be removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reject                 |
| <b>S127.035</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | Interpretation  | earthworks   | Not Stated      | Remove reference from NFL- R3 with regards to fencing based on this definition.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | The definition for earthworks excludes fencing, therefore the reference to fencing within NFL-R3 should be removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reject                 |
| <b>S3.034</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | EW - Earthworks | EW - General | Oppose          | Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.<br><br>Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area. | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS11.038</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga    |                 |              | Neutral         | Disallow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | There is a significant amount of recorded archaeological sites across the Hokonui Hills (see Attachment B) with the majority of the sites being Māori associated sites such as ovens, middens, pā, terraces, adze find spots, and urupā indicating a distinct cultural landscape. As these sites have not been recently assessed, their current condition is unknown. The new Precinct sought appears to focus primarily on enabling the existing agricultural land use at Stoney Creek Station. In order to give effect to section 6(f) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA), the provisions relating to the Precinct should | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section         | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                 |              |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | provide for acknowledgment of the cultural landscape and the conservation of historic heritage, including archaeology and sites of significance to Māori, as a priority. Should the proposed Precinct be established, the objectives, policies and rules, provide for the conservation of historic heritage, including archaeology and sites of significance to Māori, as a priority. Should the proposed Precinct be established, the objectives, policies and rules, provide for the conservation of historic heritage, including archaeology and sites of significance to Māori, as a priority. |                        |
| <b>FS17.060</b>  | Federated Farmers                      |                 |              | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Federated Farmers supports this request as the Hokonui Hills Precinct has site specific challenges and thus would benefit from involvement of key stakeholders.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.034</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | EW - Earthworks | EW - General | Oppose         | Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.<br><br>Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area. | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept in part         |
| <b>S116.034</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | EW - Earthworks | EW - General | Oppose         | Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section         | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                 |              |                | activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.<br>Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.                                                                                                              | the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.                                                                                                                                      |                        |
| <b>S118.034</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | EW - Earthworks | EW - General | Oppose         | Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.<br>Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area. | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.070</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters        | EW - Earthworks | EW - General | Oppose         | Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.<br>Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area. | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S18.036</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | EW - Earthworks | EW - General | Oppose         | Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks                                                                                                    | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section         | Provision    | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                 |              |                | <p>Precinct.</p> <p>Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.</p>                                                                                                                                                          | Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.                                                                                                                                                                                |                        |
| <b>S19.036</b>   | Karen Temple                           | EW - Earthworks | EW - General | Oppose         | <p>Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.</p> <p>Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.</p> | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S20.036</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | EW - Earthworks | EW - General | Oppose         | <p>Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.</p> <p>Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.</p> | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S24.036</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | EW - Earthworks | EW - General | Oppose         | <p>Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific                                                                | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                   | Provision                         | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                           |                                   |                | Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.                                                                                                                                                                                  | constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                        |
| <b>S68.038</b>   | John Baynes                            | EW - Earthworks           | EW - General                      | Oppose         | <p>Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.</p> <p>Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.</p> | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S117.034</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | EW - Earthworks           | EW - General                      | Oppose         | <p>Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.</p> <p>Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.</p> | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S116.046</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site-specific conditions and challenges of this area.                                                                                                                                                     | Stoney Creek seeks specific zoning for activities within the Hokonui Hills area to recognise the presence of farming activities and protections for the values of the area with specific objectives policies and rules. Activities within the Hokonui Hills Special               | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                   | Provision                         | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                           |                                   |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Precinct should be exempt from the GRUZ rules.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S127.082</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters        | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site-specific conditions and challenges of this area. | Stoney Creek seeks specific zoning for activities within the Hokonui Hills area to recognise the presence of farming activities and protections for the values of the area with specific objectives policies and rules. Activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct should be exempt from the GRUZ rules. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S18.048</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site-specific conditions and challenges of this area. | Stoney Creek seeks specific zoning for activities within the Hokonui Hills area to recognise the presence of farming activities and protections for the values of the area with specific objectives policies and rules. Activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct should be exempt from the GRUZ rules. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S19.048</b>   | Karen Temple                           | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site-specific conditions and challenges of this area. | Stoney Creek seeks specific zoning for activities within the Hokonui Hills area to recognise the presence of farming activities and protections for the values of the area with specific objectives policies and rules. Activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct should be exempt from the GRUZ rules. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S20.048</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that                                                                   | Stoney Creek seeks specific zoning for activities within the Hokonui Hills area to recognise the presence of farming activities and protections for the values of the area with specific objectives policies and rules.                                                                                            | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                   | Provision                         | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                           |                                   |                | reflect the site-specific conditions and challenges of this area.                                                                                                                                                           | Activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct should be exempt from the GRUZ rules.                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S24.048</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site-specific conditions and challenges of this area. | Stoney Creek seeks specific zoning for activities within the Hokonui Hills area to recognise the presence of farming activities and protections for the values of the area with specific objectives policies and rules. Activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct should be exempt from the GRUZ rules. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.050</b>   | John Baynes                            | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site-specific conditions and challenges of this area. | Stoney Creek seeks specific zoning for activities within the Hokonui Hills area to recognise the presence of farming activities and protections for the values of the area with specific objectives policies and rules. Activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct should be exempt from the GRUZ rules. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S117.046</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site-specific conditions and challenges of this area. | Stoney Creek seeks specific zoning for activities within the Hokonui Hills area to recognise the presence of farming activities and protections for the values of the area with specific objectives policies and rules. Activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct should be exempt from the GRUZ rules. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S3.046</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that                                                                   | Stoney Creek seeks specific zoning for activities within the Hokonui Hills area to recognise the presence of farming activities and protections for the values of the area                                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                | reflect the site-specific conditions and challenges of this area. | with specific objectives policies and rules. Activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct should be exempt from the GRUZ rules.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |
| <b>FS31.020</b>  | Department of Conservation             |         |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                          | The D-G would need to review the proposed area and provisions for any new precinct in the Hokonui Hills to determine whether the provisions are consistent with higher order documents in relation to indigenous biodiversity values, significant natural landscapes and features and significant natural areas. Decline the submission                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS11.039</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga    |         |           | Neutral        | Disallow in part                                                  | There is a significant amount of recorded archaeological sites across the Hokonui Hills (see Attachment B) with the majority of the sites being Māori associated sites such as ovens, middens, pā, terraces, adze find spots, and urupā indicating a distinct cultural landscape. As these sites have not been recently assessed, their current condition is unknown. The new Precinct sought appears to focus primarily on enabling the existing agricultural land use at Stoney Creek Station. In order to give effect to section 6(f) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA), the provisions relating to the Precinct should provide for acknowledgment of the cultural landscape and the conservation of historic heritage, including archaeology and sites of significance to Māori, as a priority. Should the proposed Precinct be established, the objectives, policies and rules, provide for | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                   | Provision                         | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                           |                                   |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | the conservation of historic heritage, including archaeology and sites of significance to Māori, as a priority.                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                        |
| <b>S118.046</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site-specific conditions and challenges of this area. | Stoney Creek seeks specific zoning for activities within the Hokonui Hills area to recognise the presence of farming activities and protections for the values of the area with specific objectives policies and rules. Activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct should be exempt from the GRUZ rules. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS17.088</b>  | Federated Farmers                      |                           |                                   | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Federated Farmers supports the submitter's request as a new map layer would allow activities to be determined in relation to the unique environment of the Hokonui Hills.                                                                                                                                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.047</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ - General                    | Oppose         | Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.                                                                                                            | Supportive of objectives, policies and rules specific to rural activities but should not duplicate the more site specific provisions that within the proposed Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.                                                                                                                      | Reject                 |
| <b>S116.047</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ - General                    | Oppose         | Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.                                                                                                            | Supportive of objectives, policies and rules specific to rural activities but should not duplicate the more site specific provisions that within the proposed Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.                                                                                                                      | Reject                 |
| <b>S118.047</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ - General                    | Oppose         | Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.                                                                                                            | Supportive of objectives, policies and rules specific to rural activities but should not duplicate the more site specific provisions that within the proposed Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.                                                                                                                      | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                   | Provision      | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S127.083</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters        | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ - General | Oppose         | Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Supportive of objectives, policies and rules specific to rural activities but should not duplicate the more site specific provisions that within the proposed Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Reject                 |
| <b>S18.049</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ - General | Oppose         | Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Supportive of objectives, policies and rules specific to rural activities but should not duplicate the more site specific provisions that within the proposed Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Reject                 |
| <b>S19.049</b>   | Karen Temple                           | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ - General | Oppose         | Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Supportive of objectives, policies and rules specific to rural activities but should not duplicate the more site specific provisions that within the proposed Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Reject                 |
| <b>S20.049</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ - General | Oppose         | Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Supportive of objectives, policies and rules specific to rural activities but should not duplicate the more site specific provisions that within the proposed Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Reject                 |
| <b>S24.049</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ - General | Oppose         | Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Supportive of objectives, policies and rules specific to rural activities but should not duplicate the more site specific provisions that within the proposed Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Reject                 |
| <b>S68.051</b>   | John Baynes                            | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ - General | Oppose         | Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Supportive of objectives, policies and rules specific to rural activities but should not duplicate the more site specific provisions that within the proposed Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                   | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S117.047</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ - General                   | Oppose         | Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.                                                     | Supportive of objectives, policies and rules specific to rural activities but should not duplicate the more site specific provisions that within the proposed Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.                                                               | Reject                 |
| <b>S3.047</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | GRUZ - General Rural Zone | GRUZ - General                   | Oppose         | Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.                                                     | Supportive of objectives, policies and rules specific to rural activities but should not duplicate the more site specific provisions that within the proposed Hokonui Hills Special Precinct.                                                               | Reject                 |
| <b>FS17.071</b>  | Federated Farmers                      |                           |                                  | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                | Federated Farmers sees this as a fair request. Consultation with key stakeholders will ensure that site specific conditions and challenges are accounted for.                                                                                               | Reject                 |
| <b>S113.111</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | Maps                      | MAP-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning. | Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S116.111</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | Maps                      | MAP-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning. | Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S118.111</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | Maps    | MAP-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning. | Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.147</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters        | Maps    | MAP-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning. | Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S18.113</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | Maps    | MAP-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning. | Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S19.113</b>   | Karen Temple                           | Maps    | MAP-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning. | Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S20.113</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | Maps    | MAP-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning. | Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S24.113</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | Maps    | MAP-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning. | Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.115</b>   | John Baynes                            | Maps    | MAP-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning. | Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S117.111</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | Maps    | MAP-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning. | Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S3.111</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | Maps                                  | MAP-Additional provisions sought | Amend           | Insert a new map layer to be called the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Replace the underlying zoning of this area (General Rural) with the special precinct zoning. | Stoney Creek's preferred relief relies upon the establishment of a new precinct to be called the Hokonui Hills Special precinct where rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted with appropriate limits to protect the Hokonui Hills.                                                      | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS31.022</b>  | Department of Conservation             |                                       |                                  | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                             | The D-G would need to review the proposed area and provisions for any new precinct in the Hokonui Hills to determine whether the provisions are consistent with higher order documents in relation to indigenous biodiversity values, significant natural landscapes and features and significant natural areas. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S146.105</b>  | Southland District Council             | Information only map layers           | MAP Streams and Rivers           | Oppose in part  | Request to review the course of the esplanade strip along the Mataura River.                                                                                         | The esplanade strip no longer follows the current course of the Mataura River                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reject                 |
| <b>S146.110</b>  | Southland District Council             | Information only map layers           | MAP Streams and Rivers           | Oppose in part  | Update the course of the Mataura River                                                                                                                               | Esplanade strips along the Mataura River no longer follow the current course of the Mataura River, and should probably be re-established along the current course.                                                                                                                                               | Reject                 |
| <b>S146.052</b>  | Southland District Council             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Support in part | Provisions related to this Mataura River area within the Gore District should be addressed in a Natural Character section.                                           | Appendix 5 identifies the Mataura River and its river margins and an active flood plain as a High Natural Character Feature. If these are the values of the Mataura River, then provisions related to this area within the Gore District should be addressed in a Natural Character section.                     | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S126.102</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Support        | If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.                                                                                                    | A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F.                                                                                                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>S104.036</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station     | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Include the earthworks exemptions as a permitted activity rule in the Natural Features and Landscapes (ONL) along with farm fencing (as previously mentioned, proposed rule NFL- R5)                                                                                                                                                | I support this list of exemptions under the earthworks section however it needs to be included in the NFL section as a permitted activity.                                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>S105.036</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Include the earthworks exemptions as a permitted activity rule in the Natural Features and Landscapes (ONL) along with farm fencing (as previously mentioned, proposed rule NFL- R5)                                                                                                                                                | I support this list of exemptions under the earthworks section however it needs to be included in the NFL section as a permitted activity.                                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.036</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Include the earthworks exemptions as a permitted activity rule in the Natural Features and Landscapes (ONL) along with farm fencing (as previously mentioned, proposed rule NFL- R5)                                                                                                                                                | I support this list of exemptions under the earthworks section however it needs to be included in the NFL section as a permitted activity.                                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.026</b>  | Trudy Bokser                               | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Not Stated     | <b>Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils;</b> | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part.        |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |                                  |                | <p>(c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S113.029</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: <b>NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>S116.026</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | <p><b>Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils;</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |                                  |                | <p>(c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S116.029</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: <b>NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>S118.026</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | <p><b>Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils;</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |                                  |                | <p>(c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S118.029</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: <b>NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.062</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | <p><b>Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils;</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |                                  |                | <p>(c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S127.065</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: <b>NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>S18.028</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | <p><b>Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils;</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |                                  |                | <p>(c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S18.031</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: <b>NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>S19.028</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | <p><b>Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils;</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |                                  |                | <p>(c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S19.031</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: <b>NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>S20.028</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | <p><b>Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils;</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |                                  |                | <p>(c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S20.031</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: <b>NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>S24.028</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | <p><b>Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils;</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |                                  |                | <p>(c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S24.031</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: <b>NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.030</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | <p><b>Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils;</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |                                  |                | <p>(c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.</p> |                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S68.033</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: <b>NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S115.103</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Support        | If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F.                                                               | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S143.114</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Support        | If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.        | A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S148.115</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Support        | If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: <b>Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.</b> | A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S60.105</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Support        | If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.        | A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.223</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Support        | If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.        | A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S117.026</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | <p><b>Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.</b></p> | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S117.029</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | <p>Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: <b>NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                            | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S85.040</b>   | Environment Southland                  | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Support         | Establish an objective and policy about the process for mana whenua to identify areas of cultural significance through narrative methodologies (such as (but not limited to) Apiti Hono Tatai Hono).                                                                                                                                                              | Meeting treaty obligations. It will enable mana whenua to identify and protect these important areas.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reject                 |
| <b>FS5.67</b>    | Hamish Weir                            |                                       |                                  | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept                 |
| <b>FS20.008</b>  | Hokonui Runanga Inc                    |                                       |                                  | Support in part | Allow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | The submitter appears to have misunderstood that Apiti Hono Tati Hono is not using narrative to identify culturally significant sites. All whenua and wai is culturally important. However, we agree, the Gore District Plan process should include a more explicit land classification approach whereby mana whenua identify the values associated with each part of the takiwā and can have more tailored policies and targeted rules | Accept                 |
| <b>FS25.112</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |                                  | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | The identification of areas of cultural significance is best placed within the Mana Whenua chapters of the PGDP.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S3.026</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend           | <b>Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width</b> | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                | <p>of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                        |
| <b>FS5.68</b>    | Hamish Weir                            |         |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS20.035</b>  | Hokonui Runanga Inc                    |         |           | Oppose in part | Disallow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | The plan needs to address matters in s6 of the RMA to achieve the purpose of the Act and give effect to the Southland Regional Policy Statement. However, we agree that the approach in the proposed Gore DP needs refinement to ensure it is both comprehensive and workable. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS31.019</b>  | Department of Conservation             |         |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | The D-G supports the proposal to include provisions recognising the High Natural Character of the Mataura River. Allow this submission                                                                                                                                         | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision                        | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S3.029</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Additional provisions sought | Amend          | Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: <b>NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted</b> | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS11.042</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga    |                                       |                                  | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                     | <p>HNZPT acknowledges that the dominant land use within the district is agriculture and that the District Plan needs to enable sustainable primary production within appropriate zones.</p> <p>The previously stated activities will involve earthworks and land disturbance during establishment, maintenance, and use, which may affect identified and unknown archaeological sites. As stated in one of the submissions lodged, activities such as fencing require a significant amount of earthworks to provide a buffer for vegetation and allow heavy vehicle access for establishment and maintenance.</p> <p>Ongoing agricultural land use in areas that have undergone previous soil disturbance, such as ploughing, tilling, etc., are unlikely to encounter archaeology. However, earthworks or ground disturbance to areas that have been previously undisturbed, or go below the topsoil have the potential to uncover archaeology.</p> <p>Activities within these areas should have sufficient triggers in the District Plan to create awareness of the plan users' potential obligation under the archaeological provisions of the HNZPTA. For activities</p> | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | involving significant volumes of earthworks, such as quarries, mines, commercial forestry, and renewable electricity generation activities, or areas that have been largely undisturbed, such as significant natural landscapes or features, the effects on archaeology require assessment and a permitted activity status is not appropriate.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                        |
| <b>S101.061</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose in part | Amend Note 1 as follows: "The provisions in this chapter apply in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua. <b>Infrastructure activities are provided for in the Infrastructure Chapter and the rules in this chapter do not apply to infrastructure activities.</b> " | Transpower does not support Note 1 on the basis that the Note is not sufficiently clear in terms of the rules that might apply to critical infrastructure generally, and the National Grid specifically. That is, as written, the Note could be understood to direct that rules apply to infrastructure in addition to the rules in the Infrastructure Chapter generally. Further, The Natural Features and Landscapes Sub-Chapter includes a rule in relation to the maintenance of critical infrastructure but does not address upgraded or new infrastructure. This would result in Rule LLRZ-R12 applying and all infrastructure activities being noncomplying activities. Transpower seeks that Note 1 is amended to explicitly set out that the General Industrial Zone rules do not apply to infrastructure. | Reject                 |
| <b>S112.070</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | Amend the text of the NFL chapter, Appendix 5 and the Planning Map Index, to use consistent terminology and abbreviations to identify outstanding                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | The terminology and abbreviations used to refer to outstanding natural landscapes and outstanding natural features varies throughout the provisions and headings of this chapter. We suggest that consistent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                  | Provision     | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                          |               |                 | natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.                                                                                                                                                      | terminology and abbreviations should be used throughout the text of the NFL chapter, and the associated Appendix 5 and the Planning Map index.                                                                                                                                    |                        |
| <b>S146.057</b>  | Southland District Council             | ASW – Activities on the Surface of Water | ASW- General  | Support in part | Some provisions dealing with natural character and waterbody margins may be better placed in a NATC - Natural Character chapter or supported in such a chapter                                            | Support in principle but some provision may be better placed in a NATC chapter.<br><br>In order for migratory fish species populations to be healthy in the Mataura River, Southland District Council may need to align policies specific to the Mataura River with those of GDC. | Accept                 |
| <b>S136.016</b>  | Jenny Campbell                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes    | NFL - General | Not Stated      | Significant landscapes retained - having community engagement before any decisions are made                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in part         |
| <b>S136.018</b>  | Jenny Campbell                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes    | NFL - General | Not Stated      | Ensure significant landscapes are retained with consultation with the local community & wider population, especially tangata whenua values, before making decisions                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in part         |
| <b>S143.003</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes    | NFL - General | Amend           | In areas of significant natural tussock, i.e. high country, discourage heavy stocking rates.                                                                                                              | Light stocking rates benefit tussocks, heavy stocking degrades and harms the resource.                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reject                 |
| <b>S148.005</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes    | NFL - General | Oppose          | The provisions of these chapters are opposed and prefer broader consultation with the landowners affected. Pastoral and arable farming should be permitted without restrictions on day to day activities. | Pastoral and arable farming is a key natural feature and landscape in the district, including on the Hokonui Hills. Landowners need the ability to adapt their operation to meet changing climate, market, economic considerations and a range of other factors                   | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                |                                                                                                                                                                                     | without being heavily constrained by the need for resource consents at every turn.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                        |
| <b>S18.001</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose in part | Seeks the relief sought within the Stoney Creek submission                                                                                                                          | Adopts submission points within Appendix A of Stoney Creek's submission. Considers there is a lack of evidence for the mapped extent of the Hokonui Hills ONL and Plan provisions. Concerned that limits on development potential are not proportionate to the potential environmental effects. The provisions do not recognise the benefits of farming activities which have low environmental effects. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S19.001</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Seeks the relief sought within the Stoney Creek submission.<br>Opposes the mapping, vehicle tracks and limited grazing.<br>Change of chapter rules to allow good farming practices. | Adopts submission points within Appendix A of Stoney Creek's submission. Considers there is a lack of evidence for the mapped extent of the Hokonui Hills ONL and Plan provisions. Concerned that limits on development potential are not proportionate to the potential environmental effects. The provisions do not recognise the benefits of farming activities which have low environmental effects. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S20.001</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Seeks the relief sought within the Stoney Creek submission.<br>Change of chapter rules to allow good farming practices.                                                             | Adopts submission points within Appendix A of Stoney Creek's submission. Considers there is a lack of evidence for the mapped extent of the Hokonui Hills ONL and Plan provisions. Concerned that limits on development potential are not proportionate to the potential environmental effects. The provisions do not recognise the benefits of                                                          | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                |                                                                                                                                | farming activities which have low environmental effects.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                        |
| <b>S24.001</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | Seeks the relief sought within the Stoney Creek submission                                                                     | Adopts submission points within Appendix A of Stoney Creek's submission. Considers there is a lack of evidence for the mapped extent of the Hokonui Hills ONL and Plan provisions. Concerned that limits on development potential are not proportionate to the potential environmental effects. The provisions do not recognise the benefits of farming activities which have low environmental effects. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S3.115</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL<br>Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay       | The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.                                                                                                                          | Reject                 |
| <b>S105.001</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | To amend the provisions to acknowledge the continued presence of existing activities, particularly farming, within the ONL.    | The provisions of this chapter do not acknowledge working farms are present in the ONL area, which have existing use rights. This chapter is interpreted differently by various people.                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept                 |
| <b>S69.007</b>   | Ian Davidson-Watts                     | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Support        | We fully support the proposed objectives and rules for Natural Features And Landscapes.                                        | We fully support the proposed objectives and rules for Natural Features And Landscapes.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.016</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <b>Preferred Relief:</b> Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with | As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                | key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.<br>Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set.<br>The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct | within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework. |                        |
| <b>S113.019</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Amend          | Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.115</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL<br>Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reject                 |
| <b>S116.016</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <b>Preferred Relief:</b> Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.<br>Stony Creek Station considers that an                                                                                                                                                                                                           | As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                | appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct         | comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework. |                        |
| <b>S116.019</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Amend          | Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S116.115</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL<br>Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.                                                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |
| <b>S118.016</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <b>Preferred Relief:</b> Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.<br>Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated | As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The                                            | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                | with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                        |
| <b>S118.019</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Amend          | Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S118.115</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL<br>Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.052</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <b>Preferred Relief:</b> Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.<br>Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of | As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                | the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | provided be existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                        |
| <b>S127.055</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Amend          | Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.151</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL<br>Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>S18.018</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <b>Preferred Relief:</b> Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.<br>Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set.<br>The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct | As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided be existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | adverse effects under the current framework.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                        |
| <b>S18.021</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Amend          | Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S18.117</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL<br>Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S19.018</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <b>Preferred Relief:</b> Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.<br>Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set.<br>The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct | As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S19.021</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Amend          | Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S19.117</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL<br>Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S20.018</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <b>Preferred Relief:</b> Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.<br>Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set.<br>The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct | As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S20.021</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Amend          | Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                        |
| <b>S20.117</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL<br>Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S24.018</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <b>Preferred Relief:</b> Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.<br>Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set.<br>The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct | As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S24.021</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Amend          | Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S24.117</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL<br>Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.020</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <b>Preferred Relief:</b> Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.<br>Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct | As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.023</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Amend          | Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.119</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                | Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay                                                                                                                                                   | provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                        |
| <b>S115.179</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach | Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reject                 |
| <b>S140.002</b>  | Robina Johnston                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | protect the slopes on the eastern side of Mataura River, and the ranges around the Marauwai basin                                                                                                               | Considers that the Hokonui Hills is not the only outstanding landscape significant to the urban centre of Gore and that the slopes on the eastern side of Mataura River that are equally dominant and have incredible character, cultural value, and are highly visibly and should also equally classed. Marauwai is blessed to be a basin surrounded by slopes that add beautification. Gore Council need to protect these ranges as they also have the strike ridges of the southern syncline fault-line making quarrying accessible. | Reject                 |
| <b>S140.005</b>  | Robina Johnston                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | Policy consideration of visual effects on landscape values from infrastructure and commercial developments                                                                                                      | Observing infrastructure on whenua for telecommunications, wifi, wind and solar the lack of policy to make these structures blend into the natural world. Commercial developments needs a higher degree of mitigation than domestic with vegetation in the landscape. Consideration needed for                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept in part.        |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | colour and effect of lights on the landscape. Uses Kaiwera wind farm as an example.                                         |                        |
| <b>S143.190</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach | Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters | Accept in part         |
| <b>S148.010</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | We oppose the provisions of these chapters and support broader consultation with the landowners affected.                                                                                                       | We agree with concerns of Stoney Creek and Federated Farmers regarding the rushed inclusion of this chapter.                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S148.191</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach | Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters | Accept in part         |
| <b>S60.181</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach | Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.299</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach | Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S117.016</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <p><b>Preferred Relief:</b> Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.</p> <p>Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct</p> | As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S117.019</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Amend          | Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S117.115</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <p>Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL</p> <p>Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S126.178</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | New Zealand                            |                                       |               |                | chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                        |
| <b>FS5.48</b>    | Hamish Weir                            |                                       |               | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.076</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |               | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Stoney Creek agrees that the lack of consultation on the drafting of the NFL chapter resulted in unworkable provisions in the plan as notified.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S3.016</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose         | <p><b>Preferred Relief:</b> Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.</p> <p>Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set.</p> <p>The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct</p> | As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS11.001</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga    |                                       |               | Neutral        | Disallow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | There is a significant amount of recorded archaeological sites across the Hokonui Hills (see Attachment B) with the majority of the sites being Māori associated sites such as ovens, middens, pā, terraces, adze find spots,                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                |                                                                                                                | and urupā indicating a distinct cultural landscape. As these sites have not been recently assessed, their current condition is unknown. The new Precinct sought appears to focus primarily on enabling the existing agricultural land use at Stoney Creek Station. In order to give effect to section 6(f) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA), the provisions relating to the Precinct should provide for acknowledgment of the cultural landscape and the conservation of historic heritage, including archaeology and sites of significance to Māori, as a priority. Should the proposed Precinct be established, the objectives, policies and rules, provide for the conservation of historic heritage, including archaeology and sites of significance to Māori, as a priority. |                        |
| <b>FS17.046</b>  | Federated Farmers                      |                                       |               | Support        | Allow                                                                                                          | Federated Farmers agrees with the submitter that the NFL chapter as drafted is flawed and will significantly restrict farming activities by requiring resource consent for basic operations.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |
| <b>S3.019</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Amend          | Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps". | The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS17.050</b>  | Federated Farmers                      |                                       |               | Support        | Allow                                                                                                          | The submitter's request provides greater clarity for plan users.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S74.009</b>   | Hamish Weir                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose in part | <p>1) Inclusion of permitted rules for fencing capable of preventing movement of all stock and feral animals including deer.</p> <p>2) Inclusion of permitted rules for establishment of additional recreational assets such as mountain bike tracks</p> <p>3) Protections against establishment of any form of forestry on the Hills should be enforced to prevent a loss of their natural and distinctive rugged character.</p> | <p>It is acknowledged that protections should be afforded to distinctive areas such as the Hokonui Hills. The submitter is concerned that the proposed regulatory approach could be contrary to this. Having been a frequent user of the Croydon Bush Reserve and adjacent trails in Dolamore Park and East Peak areas I have noticed the frequent incursion of pest plant species, especially gorse. If resource consent processes are required to farm the hills around these areas then there will be no economic incentive to manage the pest species present. Regulating to require this will only result in the property being abandoned with the cost ultimately falling to the rate payer. It would be an indictment on the district to see the Hokonui Hills turn yellow each spring. To date the majority of work done to construct mountain bike and walking trails in the Hokonui's has been voluntary. Given there is limited funding for this work it would be counterproductive to trigger resource consent requirements that would likely soak up all the funds available. I suspect that resource consents will be a significant barrier to any further trail development.</p> | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS22.071</b>  | Clark Fortune McDonald & Associates    |                                       |               | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Support - well-intentioned regulation intended to protect these areas may have the opposite effect if onerous requirements are introduced requiring resource consents                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                |                               | for matters such as fencing and recreational assets.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>FS11.045</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga    |         |           | Oppose         | Disallow                      | <p>HNZPT acknowledges that the dominant land use within the district is agriculture and that the District Plan needs to enable sustainable primary production within appropriate zones.</p> <p>The previously stated activities will involve earthworks and land disturbance during establishment, maintenance, and use, which may affect identified and unknown archaeological sites. As stated in one of the submissions lodged, activities such as fencing require a significant amount of earthworks to provide a buffer for vegetation and allow heavy vehicle access for establishment and maintenance.</p> <p>Ongoing agricultural land use in areas that have undergone previous soil disturbance, such as ploughing, tilling, etc., are unlikely to encounter archaeology. However, earthworks or ground disturbance to areas that have been previously undisturbed, or go below the topsoil have the potential to uncover archaeology.</p> <p>Activities within these areas should have sufficient triggers in the District Plan to create awareness of the plan users' potential obligation under the archaeological provisions of the HNZPTA. For activities involving significant volumes of earthworks, such as quarries, mines, commercial forestry, and renewable electricity generation</p> | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision     | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |               |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | activities, or areas that have been largely undisturbed, such as significant natural landscapes or features, the effects on archaeology require assessment and a permitted activity status is not appropriate.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S104.001</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Not Stated     | To amend the provisions to acknowledge the continued presence of existing activities, particularly farming, within the ONL.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | The provisions of this chapter do not acknowledge working farms are present in the ONL area, which have existing use rights. This chapter is interpreted differently by various people.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.074</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |               | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Stoney Creek supports this submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accept in part         |
| <b>S146.039</b>  | Southland District Council             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL - General | Oppose in part | Work on cross-boundary issues with SDC, particularly adverse effects on the Hokonui Hills ONFL area.<br>Lack of objective for maintaining or enhancing their ONFL landscapes in addition to inappropriate direct-use objectives. The Proposed Plan does not have an objective for identifying ONFLs and alignment with Objective LNF.2 of the Southland Regional Policy Statement. Consideration of geological sites of national or higher importance, that may need ONFL level protections. Integrating geoheritage sites into the Natural Features and Landscapes section.<br>There is a strip of land between the Hokonui Hills ONFL and Southland District that we think may be best zoned as | The Southland District Council is undertaking a Plan Change associated with the Natural Features and Landscape section of the District Plan and there may be cross-boundary issues. Submitter has raised some issues to mitigate cross-boundary issues and to provide consistency between the districts. Potential mistakes in the implementation of the National Planning Standards highlighted for accuracy and to ensure provisions between Councils are standardised. The National Planning Standard in Section 7. District Wide Matters point 20 states "if provisions to protect the natural character of wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins are addressed, they must be located in the Natural character chapter." Other councils within New Zealand have interpreted this | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision             | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |                       |                | Visual Amenity Landscape (VAL) or equivalent, and another area further south that adjoins Southland District along native vegetation that should also be zoned as VAL. | requirement and broadened it beyond wetlands, rivers, and lakes to include all significant freshwater bodies.                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>FS25.075</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                         |                       | Oppose in part | Disallow in part                                                                                                                                                       | Stoney Creek does not support the inclusion of additional areas within the ONL                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.001</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes   | NFL - General         | Not Stated     | To amend the provisions to acknowledge the continued presence of existing activities, particularly farming, within the ONL.                                            | The provisions of this chapter do not acknowledge working farms are present in the ONL area, which have existing use rights. This chapter is interpreted differently by various people.                                                                                    | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.077</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                         |                       | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                  | Stoney creek agrees that the provisions of the NFL chapter must acknowledge the continued presence of existing farming activities                                                                                                                                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>S104.010</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Amend          | Amend the ONL layer to reflect the area of outstanding natural values.                                                                                                 | The ONL covers 60% of the submitters farm, which is 30% of the ONL overlay and SNA map.<br>The ONL overlay area covers a large area of pastoral farmland.<br>The submitter is unsure whether this has been considered when determining what area shall fall under the ONL. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S146.102</b>  | Southland District Council             | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose in part | Review cross-boundary issues with SDC.                                                                                                                                 | Hokonui Hills borders Southland District.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision             | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S105.010</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station        | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Amend          | Amend the ONL layer to reflect the area of outstanding natural values.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | The ONL covers 60% of the submitters farm, which is 30% of the ONL overlay and SNA map. The ONL overlay area covers a large area of pastoral farmland. The submitter is unsure whether this has been considered when determining what area shall fall under the ONL. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.010</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Amend          | Amend the ONL layer to reflect the area of outstanding natural values.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | The ONL covers 60% of the submitters farm, which is 30% of the ONL overlay and SNA map. The ONL overlay area covers a large area of pastoral farmland. The submitter is unsure whether this has been considered when determining what area shall fall under the ONL. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.110</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose         | Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage. | The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.                                                                         | Reject                 |
| <b>S116.110</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose         | Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed                                                                                                                 | The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates.                                                                         | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision             | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |                       |                | further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S118.110</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose         | Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage. | The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates. | Reject                 |
| <b>S127.146</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose         | Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage. | The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates. | Reject                 |
| <b>S18.112</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose         | Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including                                                                             | The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates. | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision             | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |                       |                | incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S19.112</b>   | Karen Temple                           | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose         | Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage. | The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates. | Reject                 |
| <b>S20.112</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose         | Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage. | The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates. | Reject                 |
| <b>S24.112</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose         | Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including                                                                             | The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates. | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision             | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |                       |                | incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S68.114</b>   | John Baynes                            | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose         | Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage. | The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates. | Reject                 |
| <b>S117.110</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose         | Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage. | The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates. | Reject                 |
| <b>S3.110</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Hokonui Hills | Oppose         | Amend the Hokonui Hills ONL boundaries to accurately reflect the area of Outstanding Natural Landscape values. Figure 10 of Appendix B [refer to original submission] provides a suggestion of the extent of the ONL area within Stoney Creek station which can be discussed further with the Council, including                                                                             | The spatial extent of the ONL as notified in the Planning Maps is fundamentally flawed. The mapping appears to be carried over from previous versions of the Plan without review or updates. | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                 | Provision             | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                         |                       |                 | incorporating evidence provided by a landscape expert at the hearing stage.                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>FS17.093</b>  | Federated Farmers                      |                                         |                       | Oppose          | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Federated Farmers supports the submitter's request as the current spatial extent of the ONL is not accurate.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reject                 |
| <b>S112.185</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency        | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Mataura Falls | Support in part | Check the accuracy of the location of the "Mataura ONF" as shown on the map, and in particular, where it is shown to transect State highway 93 and correct any inaccuracies in the notified map as necessary | The 'Natural Features and Landscapes' map shows the "Mataura Falls ONF" crossing State highway 93 (SH93) at a location approximately 270 metres southwest of the intersection of Eden Street and SH93. It is unclear from viewing street view imagery where the Mataura ONF is physically located at the point that it crosses SH93, as the land surface in this location appears highly modified, comprising buildings and hardstanding areas along both sides of the SH93 carriageway. It is unclear whether this is a mapping error or not. The image and description of the Mataura Falls ONF in Appendix 5 indicate that it is physically separated from SH93, and located "just north of the State Highway 93 bridge". We recommend that the accuracy of the map overlay is re-checked and any necessary corrections are made, especially as they relate to where it is presently shown to transect State highway 93. | Reject                 |
| <b>S146.103</b>  | Southland District Council             | Natural environmental Values map layers | NFL-MAP-Mataura River | Oppose in part  | Update the course of the Mataura River                                                                                                                                                                       | The Mataura esplanade strip does not follow the course of the Mataura River and should probably be re-established.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S109.071</b>  | Department of Conservation             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-O1    | Support        | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | The D-G supports this objective as it is in line with the provisions of the RMA and gives effect to the Southland RPS.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept                 |
| <b>S115.099</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-O1    | Support        | Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development <b>by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b> | This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing or limiting any subdivision, use or development. | Reject                 |
| <b>S143.110</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-O1    | Support        | Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development <b>by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b> | This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing or limiting any subdivision, use or development. | Reject                 |
| <b>S148.111</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-O1    | Support        | Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore                                                                                                                                                     | This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development <b>by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b>                                                                                                                        | District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing or limiting any subdivision, use or development.                                                                                                                        |                        |
| <b>S60.101</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-O1    | Support        | Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development <b>by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b> | This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing or limiting any subdivision, use or development. | Reject                 |
| <b>S68.219</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-O1    | Support        | Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development <b>by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b> | This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing                                                  | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | or limiting any subdivision, use or development.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                        |
| <b>S146.049</b>  | Southland District Council             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-O1    | Oppose in part | Work on cross-boundary issues with SDC, particularly adverse effects on the Hokonui Hills ONFL area. Lack of objective for maintaining or enhancing their ONFL landscapes in addition to inappropriate direct-use objectives. The Proposed Plan does not have an objective for identifying ONFLs and alignment with Objective LNF.2 of the Southland Regional Policy Statement. Consideration of geological sites of national or higher importance, that may need ONFL level protections. Integrating geoheritage sites into the Natural Features and Landscapes section. There is a strip of land between the Hokonui Hills ONFL and Southland District that we think may be best zoned as Visual Amenity Landscape (VAL) or equivalent, and another area further south that adjoins Southland District along native vegetation that should also be zoned as VAL. | The PDP lacks an objective to protect (ONFL) from adverse effects beyond direct use. This gap may result in activities on adjacent properties, like the spread of Wilding Trees reducing the biotic/abiotic values highlighted in Appendix 5. Several Councils have objective for maintaining or enhancing their ONFL landscapes in addition to inappropriate direct-use objectives. Adopting an umbrella objective focused on maintaining or enhancing ONFL landscapes would be appropriate for the Gore District Council. Additionally, incorporating an objective for identifying ONFLs, akin to Objective LNF.2 in the Southland Regional Policy Statement, would align with higher-level documents and provide flexibility for protecting new areas and would also address potential future additions, like geoheritage sites of national or higher importance, ensuring a comprehensive strategy for natural landscape preservation. | Reject                 |
| <b>FS25.078</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose in part | Disallow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Stoney Creek does not support the inclusion of additional areas within the ONL                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision    | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S126.098</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-O1       | Support         | Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development <b>by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b>                                                              | This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing or limiting any subdivision, use or development. | Reject                 |
| <b>FS25.079</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd                   |                                       |              | Support in part | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Stoney Creek supports the alignment of this objective with the values of the ONL, provided that these are accurately captured in Appendix 5.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S85.036</b>   | Environment Southland                      | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Overview | Support in part | Include in the overview some anticipated impacts on these features eg indigenous biodiversity loss and afforestation. Acknowledge Apiti Hono Tatai Hono framework for managing cultural landscapes.                                                                                                                                     | Sets the context of why these aspects need managed. It should also identify how cultural landscapes should be identified and protected into the future with the AHTH framework.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Accept in part         |
| <b>S110.035</b>  | Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc    | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-Overview | Amend           | Change: The Landscape of the Gore District can largely be characterised as pastoral and arable farmland <b>along with pockets of tussock grasslands, native forest, shrubland, scrubland, grassland and wetlands. There are with two-three</b> prominent natural landscapes / features: the Hokonui Hills, <del>and</del> the Te Au Nui | The current explanation of Gores landscape is that it is all farmland and contains no natural value. This is not true, and there are in fact pockets of highly valuable indigenous biodiversity which used to occupy the whole district, and could naturally extend its range if given the opportunity. It is important that this is recognised. The Southland Syncline parallel ridges are also a special feature of                                              | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls <b>and the Southland Syncline parallel ridges.</b>                                                                                                                                              | the district which has been omitted from this list.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                        |
| <b>FS20.020</b>  | Hokonui Runanga Inc                    |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | The plan must achieve the purpose of the Act and give effect to relevant higher-order planning documents.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.057</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose          | Amend Policy NFL-P1 as follows: "NFL-P1 <b>Except where NFL-P3 applies, a</b> Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: | Transpower opposes Policy NFL-P1 on the basis that, to the extent the Policy applies to the National Grid, the Policy is inconsistent with the direction for the management of the National Grid in and on natural features and landscapes in the NPSET. That is, Policy 8 of the NPSET does not direct absolute avoidance, but rather directs that development of the National Grid in rural environments "seeks to avoid adverse effects on outstanding natural landscapes, areas of high natural character and areas of high recreation value and amenity and existing sensitive activities". Further, it is not clear how Policy NFL-P1 is intended to relate to Policy NFL-P3. It is considered that the two policies may give differing directions and give rise to the potential for tension between the two provisions. Transpower suggests that it may be more appropriate to make Policy NFLP3 an exception from Policy NFL-P1 to resolve the tension and provide a bespoke direction for critical infrastructure that responds to the particular characteristics and constraints of such infrastructure. | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S121.053</b>  | Alliance Group Limited                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Amend           | Amend as follows:<br>Avoid, <b>remedy or mitigate</b> adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:<br>(...)<br>2. Recognising the existence of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;<br><b>3. Recognising the existence of weirs, races and other structures which have modified the natural form of the Mataura Falls;</b><br>4 (...)                        | The policy's' blanket overarching statement to 'avoid adverse effects' is unnecessary and overly restrictive. Avoidance of all adverse effects on the values and characteristics of outstanding natural features and landscapes is not practicable or necessary to protect them from inappropriate development. The existence of weirs and waters races within the Mataura Falls ONF is recognised in Appendix 5. The weir and associated races are essential components of the renewable electricity scheme and water supply network for the Alliance Mataura Plant. The existence of these structures should be recognised in this policy. | Reject                 |
| <b>S139.058</b>  | Hokonui Rūnanga Inc                    | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Support in part | Amend to read:<br>"Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ...<br><del>5. Recognising and providing protection for Ngāi Tahu cultural values as they relate to natural features and landscapes.</del><br><b>Ensuring values mana whenua associate with areas classified as outstanding natural features or landscapes are recognised and provided for in both classifying these areas and assessing the effects of activities in these areas."</b> | While ONFs and ONLs are identified under s6(b) of the RMA, the values mana whenua associate with these areas are a matter considered in identifying them; and effects of proposed activities on those matters ought to be considered when assessing resource consent applications, not just visual effects using a 'western landscape lens.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S85.037</b>   | Environment Southland                      | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Support in part | Acknowledge that indigenous biodiversity loss can have an impact on outstanding landscapes.                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Ecology is an attribute that supports ONL criteria.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>S126.099</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Support         | Retain NFL-P1 as notified.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District's natural and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed.                                                                                                      | Accept                 |
| <b>S105.002</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Amend           | Amend NFL-P1.2. as follows:<br>2. Recognising <del>the</del> <b>that working pastoral farms exist within the ONL and as such contribute to existence of working pastoral farms and their contribution to</b> the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes | NFL-P1.2 notes that the ONL contains working pastoral farms. The rules in the NFL and ECO section for SNA does not consider this aspect. The s32 report for the NFL Chapter states that the NFL policies acknowledge the importance of farming and maintenance of existing activities for the district, however the rules, objectives and policies in the District Plan do not acknowledge the importance of farming to the values of the ONL and the importance of maintaining existing farming activities in the district. It makes it difficult to interpret. | Reject                 |
| <b>S127.002</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Amend           | Amend NFL-P1.2. as follows:<br>2. Recognising <del>the</del> <b>that working pastoral farms exist within the ONL and as such contribute to existence of working</b>                                                                                                                              | NFL-P1.2 notes that the ONL contains working pastoral farms. The rules in the NFL and ECO section for SNA does not consider this aspect. The s32 report for the NFL                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <del>pastoral farms and their contribution to</del> the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Chapter states that the NFL policies acknowledge the importance of farming and maintenance of existing activities for the district, however the rules, objectives and policies in the District Plan do not acknowledge the importance of farming to the values of the ONL and the importance of maintaining existing farming activities in the district. It makes it difficult to interpret. |                        |
| <b>S113.017</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:<br>...<br>2. Recognising the <b>benefits provided by existing</b> <del>existence of</del> working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes; | Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |
| <b>S116.017</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:<br>...<br>2. Recognising the <b>benefits provided by existing</b> <del>existence of</del> working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of                                              | Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | outstanding natural features and landscapes;                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S118.017</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:<br>...<br>2. Recognising the <b>benefits provided by existing</b> <del>existence</del> of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes; | Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.053</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:<br>...<br>2. Recognising the <b>benefits provided by existing</b> <del>existence</del> of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes; | Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S18.019</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reasons                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>...</p> <p>2. Recognising the <b>benefits provided by existing existence</b> of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;</p>                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S19.019</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose         | <p>Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. Recognising the <b>benefits provided by existing existence</b> of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;</p> | Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S20.019</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose         | <p>Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. Recognising the <b>benefits provided by existing existence</b> of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;</p> | Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S24.019</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:<br>...<br>2. Recognising the <b>benefits provided by existing existence</b> of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes; | Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.021</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:<br>...<br>2. Recognising the <b>benefits provided by existing existence</b> of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes; | Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S115.100</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Support        | Retain NFL-P1 as notified.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District's natural | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                |                               | and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S143.111</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Support        | Retain NFL-P1 as notified.    | We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District's natural and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed. | Accept                 |
| <b>S148.112</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Support        | Retain NFL-P1 as notified.    | We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District's natural and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed. | Accept                 |
| <b>S60.102</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Support        | Retain NFL-P1 as notified.    | We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District's natural and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S68.220</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Support        | Retain NFL-P1 as notified.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District's natural and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed. | Accept                 |
| <b>S117.017</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:<br>...<br>2. Recognising the <b>benefits provided by existing</b> <del>existence</del> of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes; | Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S143.009</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Amend          | In areas of significant natural tussock, i.e. high country, discourage heavy stocking rates.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Light stocking rates benefit tussocks, heavy stocking degrades and harms the resource.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)  | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS5.49           | Hamish Weir                             |                                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reject                 |
| S3.017           | Stoney Creek Station Limited            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:<br>...<br>2. Recognising the <b>benefits provided by existing existence</b> of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes; | Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.                                                       | Accept in part         |
| FS17.047         | Federated Farmers                       |                                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | The submitter's addition is supported as it emphasises the benefits of pastoral farming                                                                                                                            | Accept in part         |
| S110.036         | Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Amend          | Change: 4. Avoiding activities that are incompatible with the identified values, including plantation forestry, <b>renewable energy</b> and mineral extraction,                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | As in the renewable energy section, the location of large-scale developments of this nature have the potential to cause adverse effects on natural landscapes/features, as well as to biodiversity and ecosystems. | Reject                 |
| FS17.048         | Federated Farmers                       |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Federated Farmers opposes the proposed addition to NFL-P1 as under s 7(j) of the RMA, the Council must have particular regard to 'the benefits to be derived from the use and development of renewable energy'.    | Accept                 |
| FS24.038         | Contact Energy Ltd                      |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Contact opposes the relief sought as it considers avoiding locating renewable                                                                                                                                      | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | electricity generation activities in these locations does not appropriately recognise the regional and national significance of these activities and is inconsistent with the NPSREG. |                        |
| <b>S130.051</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Not Stated     | <p><del>Avoid</del> <b>manage</b> adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Avoiding development and land use that results in significant adverse effects on landscape values and avoiding or appropriately mitigating other adverse effects on landscape values.</li> <li>2. Recognising the existence of working <b>structures within the rural environment, including</b> pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;</li> <li>3. Demonstrating how development can be visually integrated into the landscape by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) remedying or mitigating the impacts on the visual continuity of the Hokonui Hills Skyline and visually prominent hillslopes;</li> <li>(b) ensuring buildings and structures are appropriately sited, designed, finished, and if required, mitigated by appropriate plantings, to have minimal impact on the landscape values.</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | Effects on landscape values need to be assessed against functional needs of critical infrastructure.                                                                                  | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | (c) ensuring that building density and form maintains the identified landscape values. <b>(d) the functional needs of infrastructure.</b><br>4. Avoiding activities that are incompatible with the identified values, including plantation forestry and mineral extraction,<br>5. Recognising and providing protection for Ngāi Tahu cultural values as they relate to natural features and landscapes. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                        |
| <b>FS24.039</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Contact support the relief sought as appropriate recognition should be given to the functional need of critical infrastructure when effects on natural features and landscapes can be suitably managed.                                                                                                                                   | Reject                 |
| <b>S109.072</b>  | Department of Conservation             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Support        | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Support this policy                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept                 |
| <b>FS25.080</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Policy NFL-P1 does not adequately recognise the benefits of pastoral farming to the values of the ONL.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reject                 |
| <b>S104.002</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P1    | Amend          | Amend NFL-P1.2. as follows:<br>2. Recognising <del>the that working pastoral farms exist within the ONL and as such contribute to existence of working pastoral farms and their contribution to</del> the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes                                                                                                               | NFL-P1.2 notes that the ONL contains working pastoral farms. The rules in the NFL and ECO section for SNA does not consider this aspect. The s32 report for the NFL Chapter states that the NFL policies acknowledge the importance of farming and maintenance of existing activities for the district, however the rules, objectives and | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                            |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | policies in the District Plan do not acknowledge the importance of farming to the values of the ONL and the importance of maintaining existing farming activities in the district. It makes it difficult to interpret. |                        |
| <b>FS25.081</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd                   |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Stoney Creek supports this submission.                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reject                 |
| <b>S109.073</b>  | Department of Conservation                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P2    | Support         | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | The D-G supports this policy, in particular point 5 and 6 which are in line with the NPS-FM.                                                                                                                           | Accept                 |
| <b>S121.054</b>  | Alliance Group Limited                     | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P2    | Support         | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Alliance agrees with the restoration and enhancement measures suggested.                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>S126.100</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P2    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Matura Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems and angling <b>and native</b> fisheries species; | The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species.                           | Reject                 |
| <b>S115.101</b>  | Kevin Robertson                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P2    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It                                                                                              | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems and angling <b>and native</b> fisheries species;                                                                                                        | is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species.                                                                                                                           |                        |
| <b>S143.112</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P2    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems and angling <b>and native</b> fisheries species; | The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species. | Reject                 |
| <b>S148.113</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P2    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity                                                                | The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species. | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)  | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                         |                                       |           |                 | or ecosystems and angling <b>and native</b> fisheries species;                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S60.103</b>   | Ronald Crispin                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P2    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Maitara Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems and angling <b>and native</b> fisheries species; | The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species. | Reject                 |
| <b>S68.221</b>   | John Baynes                             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P2    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Maitara Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems and angling <b>and native</b> fisheries species; | The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species. | Reject                 |
| <b>S110.037</b>  | Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P2    | Amend           | Change: 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems <del>and angling fisheries species;</del> and/or                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | The restoration or enhancement of angling fisheries species could adversely impact indigenous fish by increasing predation by exotic species.                                                | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS5.50           | Hamish Weir                            |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | The Mataura is an international acclaimed trout fishery and should be recognised as such in the plan.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept                 |
| S146.051         | Southland District Council             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P2    | Oppose in part  | Move this Policy to the Natural Character chapter and should have a specific objective for restoration and/ or enhancement to fully support the policy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | This provision is unique compared to the rest as it does not have a larger Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape clause, which may require it to be located in the NFL chapter.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accept in part         |
| FS27.016         | Alliance Group Limited                 |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | No wording for a new objective is proposed, and there is therefore no opportunity to provide meaningful comment. Whilst the policy NFL-P2 is unique on promoting enhancement rather than protection it is considered to be within reasonable scope of Objective NFL-1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in part.        |
| S101.058         | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P3    | Support in part | Amend Policy NFL-P3 as follows: "NFL-P3 Avoid the placement of critical infrastructure within identified outstanding natural features and landscapes, unless, <b>having regard to the operational needs or functional needs of the activity</b> 1. There is no reasonable alternative due to the operational or functional need for the location; and 2. Adverse effects on landscape values can be avoided or appropriately mitigated <b>to the extent feasible.</b> " | Policy 3 of the NPSET requires that: "When considering measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse environmental effects of transmission activities, decision-makers must consider the constraints imposed on achieving those measures by the technical and operational requirements of the network." It is considered that clause 1 of the Policy, subject to limited amendments mirrors the recognition of technical and operational requirements in respect of location. However, clause 2 of the Policy fails to give similar consideration to the extent to which it is practicable for the effects of the National Grid to be avoided or mitigated. The Preamble to the NPSET acknowledges this | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | and states that: "Technical, operational and security requirements associated with the transmission network can limit the extent to which it is feasible to avoid or mitigate all adverse environmental effects." Transpower therefore seeks an amendment to clause 2 to reflect the limitations on the able to avoid or mitigate adverse effects and to therefore give effect to the NPSET. |                        |
| <b>S112.072</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P3    | Support        | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Waka Kotahi supports the general intent of this policy because it provides for new critical infrastructure to locate in ONF and ONL where there is an operational need or functional need to do so, and no alternative locations are available.                                                                                                                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>S109.074</b>  | Department of Conservation             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P3    | Oppose         | Amend P3 with the following amendments (or words to similar effect):<br>NFL-P3: Avoid the placement of <del>critical</del> infrastructure within identified outstanding natural features and landscapes, unless 1. There is no reasonable alternative due to the operational or functional need for the location; and 2. Adverse effects on landscape <b>and indigenous biodiversity</b> values can be avoided or appropriately mitigated <b>by applying the effects management hierarchy</b> . | The D-G considers that this policy should include reference to the effects management hierarchy in line with the NPS-FM and NPS-IB and consideration of the indigenous biodiversity values. There should also be consideration given to 'all infrastructure' instead of just 'critical infrastructure'.                                                                                      | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS5.51</b>    | Hamish Weir                            |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | It is not practical to avoid these areas for infrastructure such as wireless telecommunications.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>FS30.024</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Subject to the relief sought in Transpower's primary submission, Transpower does not support the relief sought because there is no clear reason to extend the Policy to address indigenous biodiversity values where these values are identified and addressed in the ECO Chapter. Further, there is not rationale for extending the effects management hierarchy for the management of freshwater and indigenous biodiversity to also apply to landscape value. Disallow this submission. | Accept                 |
| <b>FS24.040</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Contact opposes the relief sought as the provisions relating to indigenous biodiversity are addressed in the ECO chapter and including indigenous biodiversity in this policy is beyond the scope of this chapter                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept                 |
| <b>FS24.042</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Contact opposes the relief sought as the provisions relating to indigenous biodiversity are appropriately addressed in the ECO chapter and including indigenous biodiversity in this policy is beyond the scope of this chapter.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept                 |
| <b>S130.052</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P3    | Oppose         | Avoid the placement of critical infrastructure within identified outstanding natural features and landscapes, unless<br>1. There is a no reasonable alternative due to the operational or functional need for the location; and<br>2. Adverse effects on landscape values | The submitter seeks to ensure that development within areas of significant landscape value are well justified in terms of functional need.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | can be avoided or appropriately mitigated.                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                        |
| <b>FS24.041</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow in part                                                                                                                                                                    | Contact support the relief sought as it recognises the functional need of critical infrastructure and is consistent with the NPS-REG, however Contact considers it important to retain reference to "operational need". This is defined separately in the planning standards, and Contact is of the view that ancillary activities associated with infrastructure such as renewable electricity generation may have operational needs rather than functional needs when those different definitions are applied without any degree of pragmatism. Allow but retain operational needs in this provision. Allow but retain operational needs in this provision. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S130.053</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Support         | None specified.                                                                                                                                                                  | The submitter seeks to ensure that development within areas of significant landscapes are well justified in terms of functional need.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept                 |
| <b>S105.003</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-P4 to add:<br><b>4. In the Hokonui Hills ONL, whether the site is a working farm and the contribution this makes to the values of the Outstanding Natural Feature.</b> | NFL-P4 should give weight to existing activities for example normal farming practices.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.003</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-P4 to add:<br><b>4. In the Hokonui Hills ONL, whether the site is a working farm and the contribution this makes to the values of the Outstanding Natural Feature.</b> | NFL-P4 should give weight to existing activities for example normal farming practices.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S113.018</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... <b>4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.</b> | When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S116.018</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... <b>4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.</b> | When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S118.018</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... <b>4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.</b> | When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.054</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... <b>4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.</b> | When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S18.020</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... <b>4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.</b> | When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S19.020</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... <b>4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.</b> | When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S20.020</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... <b>4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.</b> | When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S24.020</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters;... <b>4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.</b>  | When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S68.022</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... <b>4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.</b> | When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S115.102</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). <b>The presence of existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character</b>         | Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.                                           | Accept in part         |
| <b>S143.113</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). <b>The presence of existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character</b>         | Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.                                           | Accept in part         |
| <b>S148.114</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). <b>The presence of existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character</b>         | Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.                                           | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S60.104</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). <b>The presence of existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character</b>                                                                                             | Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.222</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). <b>The presence of existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character</b>                                                                                             | Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>S117.018</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters;... <b>4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.</b>                                                                                      | When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S85.038</b>   | Environment Southland                  | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Support in part | There should be some acknowledgement that cultural landscapes are not mapped and this work will occur at some stage during the life of the plan. An additional policy is needed to identify that the Apiti Hono Tatai Hono framework is an approach (but not the only approach) to identifying cultural values. An additional policy will provide a way to incorporate | Identifying and protecting cultural values is an important matter of sections 6,7 & 8 of the RMA. The Gore District Plan should include a mechanism for considering effects using the Apiti Hono Tatai Hono framework (or other approaches) which is appended to the Gore DP notification documents. | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                            |                                       |           |                 | cultural landscape values into decision making on resource consents.                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                            |                        |
| <b>FS5.52</b>    | Hamish Weir                                |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | This is uncertain and should be left until legislation requires it.                                                                                                        | Accept                 |
| <b>S126.101</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). <b>The presence of existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character</b>         | Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS5.53</b>    | Hamish Weir                                |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.085</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd                   |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Stoney Creek supports this submission.                                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>S3.018</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited               | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... <b>4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.</b> | When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.                                      | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS17.049</b>  | Federated Farmers                          |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Federated Farmers agrees with the submitter and makes the same submission point.                                                                                           | Accept in part         |
| <b>S109.075</b>  | Department of Conservation                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose          | Amend P4 with the following amendment (or words to similar effect): When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and                                                                                                                                       | The D-G opposes this policy as it should consider indigenous biodiversity values in addition to landscape values and manage effects through the application of the effects | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                | Landscapes, consider the following matters; 1. The extent to which adverse effects on landscape <b>and indigenous biodiversity</b> values can be <del>managed</del> , avoided or appropriately mitigated <b>through the application of the effects management hierarchy</b> ; and 2. The extent to which the proposed activity recognises and provides for Ngāi Tahu cultural values, rights and interests; and 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape. | management hierarchy in line with the NPS-IB and NPS-FM.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |
| <b>FS27.017</b>  | Alliance Group Limited                 |         |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Indigenous biodiversity is addressed in the relevant chapter of the plan (e.g. refer ECO-P10). Addition here is unnecessary duplication.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept                 |
| <b>FS30.025</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |         |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Subject to the relief sought in Transpower's primary submission, Transpower does not support the relief sought because there is no clear reason to extend the Policy to address indigenous biodiversity values where these values are identified and addressed in the ECO Chapter. Further, there is no rationale for extending the effects management hierarchy for the management of freshwater and indigenous biodiversity to also apply to landscape values. Disallow the submission. | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>FS25.083</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Effects on indigenous biodiversity are more appropriately covered off in the ECO chapter. Where indigenous biodiversity forms part of the values of the ONL, this is already sufficiently provided for in the objectives and policies protecting the values of the ONL.                                                                                                                                                        | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.059</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Support in part | Amend Policy NFL-P4 as follows: NFL-P4: (...) 3. The operational <b>needs</b> or functional needs <del>of for</del> the activity <del>to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</del> <b>x. The benefits of the proposed activity to the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities."</b> | Transpower generally supports Policy NFL-P4 but seeks limited amendments to clause (3) to better refer to operational needs and to delete the superfluous reference to Outstanding Natural Features or Landscapes. In addition, Transpower seeks the inclusion of reference to the benefits of the proposed activity as a relevant consideration. Such an approach is consistent with the Objective and Policy 1 of the NPSET. | Accept                 |
| <b>FS25.082</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Stoney Creek supports the recognition of benefits provided by activities within the ONL                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept                 |
| <b>S104.003</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-P4    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-P4 to add:<br><b>4. In the Hokonui Hills ONL, whether the site is a working farm and the contribution this makes to the values of the Outstanding Natural Feature.</b>                                                                                                                                                 | NFL-P4 should give weight to existing activities for example normal farming practices.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.084</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Stoney Creek supports this submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>S105.004</b>  | Farm Manager -                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-R1 as follows:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a)                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Restrictions for buildings/ structures for farming purposes are not practical. For example, sheep/ cattle yards would be too                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/ oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Wantwood Station                       |                                       |           |                 | Does not exceed <del>30m2</del> <b>300m2</b> in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed <del>6m 3m</del> ;<br>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed <del>2m 5m</del> ; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than <del>5m2</del> <b>30m2</b> . <b>3. All non-farming</b> buildings and structures must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%.                                                                                                                  | small at 30m2 in area. Only few buildings and structures would meet the permitted size limits and farm buildings are generally Zinc Alum Corrugated Iron. This rulnig comes at a higher cost to landowners. Overtime corrugated iron dulls. Based on the s32 comments of the area being managed effectively. It seems extreme to propose these changes.                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S127.004</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-R1 as follows:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed <del>30m2</del> <b>300m2</b> in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed <del>6m 3m</del> ;<br>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed <del>2m 5m</del> ; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than <del>5m2</del> <b>30m2</b> . <b>3. All non-farming</b> buildings and structures must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%. | Restrictions for buildings/ structures for farming purposes are not practical. For example, sheep/ cattle yards would be too small at 30m2 in area. Only few buildings and structures would meet the permitted size limits and farm buildings are generally Zinc Alum Corrugated Iron. This rulnig comes at a higher cost to landowners. Overtime corrugated iron dulls. Based on the s32 comments of the area being managed effectively. It seems extreme to propose these changes. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.020</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-R1 to read:<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, <del>excluding residential use</del> ;<br>(a) Does not exceed <del>500</del> <b>30m2</b> in area; and<br>(b) Height shall not exceed <del>8 3m</del> ;                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework                                                                                                                          | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes;<br/> (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and<br/> (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m<sup>2</sup><br/> 3. All buildings and structures <b>(excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes)</b> must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S116.020</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose         | <p>Amend NFL-R1 to read:<br/> Activity status: Permitted<br/> Where:<br/> 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, <del>excluding residential use</del>;<br/> (a) Does not exceed <b>500 30</b>m<sup>2</sup>in area;<br/> and<br/> (b) Height shall not exceed <b>8 3</b>m;<br/> 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes;<br/> (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and<br/> (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m<sup>2</sup><br/> 3. All buildings and structures <b>(excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes)</b> must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%</p> | The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| S118.020         | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R1 to read:<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, <del>excluding residential use</del> ;<br>(a) Does not exceed <del>500 30</del> m <sup>2</sup> in area; and<br>(b) Height shall not exceed <del>8 3</del> m;<br>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes;<br>(a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and<br>(b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m <sup>2</sup><br>3. All buildings and structures ( <b>excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes</b> ) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30% | The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects. | Accept in part         |
| S127.056         | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R1 to read:<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, <del>excluding residential use</del> ;<br>(a) Does not exceed <del>500 30</del> m <sup>2</sup> in area; and<br>(b) Height shall not exceed <del>8 3</del> m;<br>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes;<br>(a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and<br>(b) The maximum gross floor area is no                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | more than 5m2<br>3. All buildings and structures <b>(excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes)</b> must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S18.022</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R1 to read:<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, <del>excluding residential use</del> ;<br>(a) Does not exceed <del>500 30</del> m <sup>2</sup> in area; and<br>(b) Height shall not exceed <del>8 3</del> m;<br>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes;<br>(a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and<br>(b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m <sup>2</sup><br>3. All buildings and structures <b>(excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes)</b> must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30% | The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S19.022</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R1 to read:<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, <del>excluding residential use</del> ;<br>(a) Does not exceed <del>500 30</del> m <sup>2</sup> in area; and                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>(b) Height shall not exceed <del>8.3</del> 3m;</p> <p>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes;</p> <p>(a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and</p> <p>(b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m<sup>2</sup></p> <p>3. All buildings and structures <b>(excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes)</b> must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                        |
| <b>S20.022</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose         | <p>Amend NFL-R1 to read:</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, <del>excluding residential use</del>;</p> <p>(a) Does not exceed <del>500.30</del> 30m<sup>2</sup> in area; and</p> <p>(b) Height shall not exceed <del>8.3</del> 3m;</p> <p>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes;</p> <p>(a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and</p> <p>(b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m<sup>2</sup></p> <p>3. All buildings and structures <b>(excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes)</b> must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%</p> | The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| S24.022          | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R1 to read:<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, <del>excluding residential use</del> ;<br>(a) Does not exceed <del>500 30</del> m <sup>2</sup> in area; and<br>(b) Height shall not exceed <del>8 3</del> m;<br>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes;<br>(a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and<br>(b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m <sup>2</sup><br>3. All buildings and structures ( <b>excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes</b> ) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30% | The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects. | Accept in part         |
| S68.024          | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R1 to read:<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, <del>excluding residential use</del> ;<br>(a) Does not exceed <del>500 30</del> m <sup>2</sup> in area; and<br>(b) Height shall not exceed <del>8 3</del> m;<br>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes;<br>(a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and<br>(b) The maximum gross floor area is no                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | more than 5m <sup>2</sup><br>3. All buildings and structures <b>(excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes)</b> must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |
| <b>S115.104</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed <del>30</del> <b>500m<sup>2</sup></b> in area; (b) Height shall not exceed <del>83</del> m; 3. All buildings and structures <b>(excluding those for farming purposes)</b> | SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m <sup>2</sup> limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S143.115</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed <del>30</del> <b>500m<sup>2</sup></b> in area; (b) Height shall not exceed <del>83</del> m; 3. All buildings and structures <b>(excluding those for farming purposes)</b> | SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m <sup>2</sup> limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S148.116</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed <del>30</del> <b>500m<sup>2</sup></b> in area; (b) Height shall not exceed <del>83</del> m; 3. All buildings and structures ( <b>excluding those for farming purposes</b> ) | SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m <sup>2</sup> limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S60.106</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed <del>30</del> <b>500m<sup>2</sup></b> in area; (b) Height shall not exceed <del>83</del> m; 3. All buildings and structures ( <b>excluding those for farming purposes</b> ) | SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m <sup>2</sup> limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.224</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed <del>30</del> <b>500m<sup>2</sup></b> in area; (b) Height shall not exceed <del>83</del> m; 3. All buildings and structures ( <b>excluding those for farming purposes</b> ) | SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m <sup>2</sup> limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's                                                                                                                                                                      | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                        |
| <b>S117.020</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-R1 to read:<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, <del>excluding residential use</del> ;<br>(a) Does not exceed <del>500</del> <b>30</b> m <sup>2</sup> in area;<br>and<br>(b) Height shall not exceed <del>8.3</del> <b>3</b> m;<br>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes;<br>(a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and<br>(b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m <sup>2</sup><br>3. All buildings and structures ( <b>excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes</b> ) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30% | The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S109.076</b>  | Department of Conservation             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Support in part | Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect):<br>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values <b>including indigenous biodiversity values</b> as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reject                 |
| <b>FS5.54</b>    | Hamish Weir                            |                                       |           | Oppose          | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Already covered in ECO section no need to duplicate                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>FS27.018</b>  | Alliance Group Limited                 |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Indigenous biodiversity is addressed in the relevant chapter of the plan. Addition here is unnecessary duplication.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>FS24.043</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Contact opposes the relief sought as the provisions relating to indigenous biodiversity are appropriately addressed in the ECO chapter and including indigenous biodiversity in this policy is beyond the scope of this chapter.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Accept                 |
| <b>FS25.087</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Stoney Creek considers that the values identified Appendix 5 are sufficient in this context, as these will include indigenous biodiversity values where appropriate. Including the proposed wording is duplication of the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter where these values may not be relevant to the proposed activity or ONL.                                                                                                                                                                    | Accept                 |
| <b>S3.020</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R1 to read:<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, <del>excluding residential use</del> ;<br>(a) Does not exceed <del>500</del> 30m <sup>2</sup> in area; and<br>(b) Height shall not exceed <del>8.3</del> 3m;<br>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes;<br>(a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and<br>(b) The maximum gross floor area is no | The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                            |                                       |           |                 | more than 5m <sup>2</sup><br>3. All buildings and structures <b>(excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes)</b> must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |
| FS5.55           | Hamish Weir                                |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept in part         |
| FS17.051         | Federated Farmers                          |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | The submitter's proposed amendments align with the Federated Farmers submission. The difference between the submitter's amendments and our submission is in relation to fences. We suggest 'farm fences' is distinguished from fences and if the submitter's wording is preferred then 'farm fences' must be excluded from clause 3.                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept in part         |
| S126.103         | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed <del>30</del> <b>500m<sup>2</sup></b> in area; (b) Height shall not exceed <del>83</del> m; 3. All buildings and structures <b>(excluding those for farming purposes)</b> | SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m <sup>2</sup> limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations. | Accept in part         |
| FS5.56           | Hamish Weir                                |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS25.095         | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Stoney Creek supports this submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |
| S79.001          | Andrew Welsh                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose          | Section to be removed                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | This section is specific to farming purposes- most farm buildings need to be higher than 3m, to contain tractors, equipment etc. Working with stock is not possible in an area less than 30m2. Unnecessary to pay for consent under this provision when it is already subject to building consent. Council is trying to double dip on fees and control the operations of people's farms and livelihoods. There is no need to restrict the size of farm buildings/structures.         | Reject                 |
| FS25.086         | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Stoney Creek support the intent of this submission to remove unnecessary restrictions on normal farming practices within the ONL where these are existing activities.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| S104.004         | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-R1 as follows:<br>1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed <del>30m2</del> <b>300m2</b> in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed <del>6m</del> <b>3m</b> ;<br>2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed <del>2m</del> <b>5m</b> ; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than <del>5m2</del> <b>30m2</b> . <b>3. All non-farming</b> buildings and structures must be finished in materials | Restrictions for buildings/ structures for farming purposes are not practical. For example, sheep/ cattle yards would be too small at 30m2 in area. Only few buildings and structures would meet the permitted size limits and farm buildings are generally Zinc Alum Corrugated Iron. This ruling comes at a higher cost to landowners. Overtime corrugated iron dulls. Based on the s32 comments of the area being managed effectively. It seems extreme to propose these changes. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | with a maximum reflectance value of 30%.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                        |
| <b>FS25.094</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Stoney Creek support the intent of this submission to remove unnecessary restrictions on normal farming practices within the ONL.                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |
| <b>S53.005</b>   | Katherine Welsh                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R1    | Oppose          | Provision to be removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Most farm buildings need to be higher than 3m to contain tractors, equipment etc and larger than 30m2 to work with stock. Building consent already required, council trying to double dip on fees. No need to restrict the size of farm buildings and structures. | Reject                 |
| <b>FS25.096</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Stoney Creek support the intent of this submission to remove unnecessary restrictions on normal farming practices within the ONL where these are existing activities                                                                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.021</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Amend           | Amend NFL-R2 to read:<br>Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The tree planting is of indigenous species <b>or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion.</b><br>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary | Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.                       | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.</p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.3. <b>Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S116.021</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Amend          | <p>Amend NFL-R2 to read:</p> <p>Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>1. The tree planting is of indigenous species <b>or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion.</b></p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.</p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.3. <b>Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b></p> | Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S118.021</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Amend          | <p>Amend NFL-R2 to read:</p> <p>Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous                                                             | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>1. The tree planting is of indigenous species <b>or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion.</b></p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.</p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.3. <b>Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b></p>                                                                                                             | species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.                                                                                                                                                                                 |                        |
| <b>S127.057</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Amend          | <p>Amend NFL-R2 to read:</p> <p>Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>1. The tree planting is of indigenous species <b>or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion.</b></p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.</p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.3. <b>Any</b></p> | Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <b>benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S18.023</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Amend          | <p>Amend NFL-R2 to read:<br/>Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L<br/>Activity status: Permitted<br/>Where:<br/>1. The tree planting is of indigenous species <b>or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion.</b><br/>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary<br/>Matters of discretion:<br/>1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br/>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.3. <b>Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b></p> | Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S19.023</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Amend          | <p>Amend NFL-R2 to read:<br/>Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L<br/>Activity status: Permitted<br/>Where:<br/>1. The tree planting is of indigenous species <b>or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p><b>erosion.</b></p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Matters of discretion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.</li> <li>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.3. <b>Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b></li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S20.023</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Amend          | <p>Amend NFL-R2 to read:</p> <p>Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The tree planting is of indigenous species <b>or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion.</b></li> </ol> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Matters of discretion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.</li> <li>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.3. <b>Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b></li> </ol> | Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| S24.023          | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Amend          | <p>Amend NFL-R2 to read:<br/>Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted<br/>Where:</p> <p>1. The tree planting is of indigenous species <b>or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion.</b></p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary<br/>Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br/>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.3. <b>Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b></p> | Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc. | Accept in part         |
| S68.025          | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Amend          | <p>Amend NFL-R2 to read:<br/>Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted<br/>Where:</p> <p>1. The tree planting is of indigenous species <b>or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion.</b></p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | <p>achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.</p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.3. <b>Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S115.105</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Support in part | <p>Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures. <b>2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...)</b> Matters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b></p> | <p>This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this permitted rule. As above, it is recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity.</p> | Accept in part         |
| <b>S143.116</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Support in part | <p>Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | <p>This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | measures. <b>2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...)</b> Matters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b>                                                                                                                                                       | planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this permitted rule. As above, it is recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity.                                             |                        |
| <b>S148.117</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures. <b>2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...)</b> Matters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b> | This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this permitted rule. As above, it is | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S60.107</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures. <b>2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...) Matters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b> | This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this permitted rule. As above, it is recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.225</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures. <b>2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...) Matters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu</b>                                                                                                           | This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this permitted rule. As above, it is recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity. |                        |
| <b>S117.021</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Amend          | <p>Amend NFL-R2 to read:<br/>Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L<br/>Activity status: Permitted<br/>Where:</p> <p>1. The tree planting is of indigenous species <b>or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion.</b></p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary<br/>Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br/>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.3. <b>Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b></p> | Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S109.077</b>  | Department of Conservation             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Support in part | Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect):<br>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values including indigenous biodiversity values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.     | The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reject                 |
| <b>FS5.57</b>    | Hamish Weir                            |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Unnecessary duplication                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept                 |
| <b>FS24.044</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Contact opposes the relief sought as the provisions relating to indigenous biodiversity are appropriately addressed in the ECO chapter and including indigenous biodiversity in this policy is beyond the scope of this chapter.                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>FS25.088</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Stoney Creek considers that the values identified Appendix 5 are sufficient in this context, as these will include indigenous biodiversity values where appropriate. Including the proposed wording is duplication of the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter where these values may not be relevant to the proposed activity or ONL. | Accept                 |
| <b>S3.021</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Amend           | Amend NFL-R2 to read:<br>Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The tree planting is of indigenous species <b>or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard</b> | Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.                                                                                                      | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                            |                                       |           |                 | <p><b>prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion.</b></p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.</p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.3. <b>Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b></p>                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                        |
| <b>FS17.052</b>  | Federated Farmers                          |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Federated Farmers supports the submitter's proposed changes, however in our submission we suggest that the impact on Ngāi Tahu cultural values as a matter of discretion should be limited to those listed in the Mana Whenua Chapter 2.3. If the submitter's proposed wording is preferred this change must also be reflected.                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S126.104</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R2    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures. <b>2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...) Matters of Discretion:</b> 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.</b> | This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 |                               | Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this permitted rule. As above, it is recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>FS25.097</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow                         | Stoney Creek supports this submission as alternative relief to that proposed within our submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>S112.073</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Support         | Retain as notified            | Waka Kotahi supports this rule as it permits minor scale land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF and ONL, and provides a consenting pathway for such activities that do not comply with this Rule.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>S79.002</b>   | Andrew Welsh                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose          | Section to be removed         | Specific to farmland: Provision points and why they are not acceptable for farming practice. 1) If drainage is required- may need to be deeper than 1m. This cannot be known prior to digger arriving- based on fall and where water is coming. Unnecessary cost to get consent. 2) May be necessary to build up the track for safety. Also need to be built up to create sediment traps. These are a great way to reduce nutrient run-off and help the environment. 3) If drain is blocked/needs replacing- 100m is not enough area and size of property should be considered. Earthworks for fencing need also be considered- would allow for only 20m of | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                |                               | fencing per year. It appears from Section 32 4.1 that farmers are already doing a good job of caring for their land. 4) ECO-R1 allows 1.5m width of land disturbance for fencing and fencing requires the use of trackers which are wider than 1m. NFL-R5 states that Post and wire fences are a permitted activity and therefore not restricted, but the land disturbance provision limits it so substantially 5) Returning ground 48hrs after fencing completed is too restrictive. Consider "the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels as soon as possible and within 1 month of work being completed."                                                                                                                                                       |                        |
| <b>S53.001</b>   | Katherine Welsh                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | Remove this provision.        | <p>1. If drainage is required- may need to be deeper than 1m. This cannot be known prior to digger arriving- based on fall and where water is coming. Unnecessary cost to get consent. 2. May be necessary to build up the track for safety and to create sediment traps. These are a great way to reduce nutrient run-off and help the environment. 3. If drain is blocked/needs replacing- 100m is not enough area and size of property should be considered. Earthworks for fencing need also be considered- would allow for only 20m of fencing per year. It appears from Section 32 4.1 that farmers are already doing a good job of caring for their land. 4. Fencing requires tractors for health and safety, limiting the width to 1m is therefore impractical. ECO-R1 allows 1.5m width of</p> | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | land disturbance for fencing and fencing requires the use of trackers which are wider than 1m. NFL-R5 states that Post and wire fences are a permitted activity and therefore not restricted, but the land disturbance provision limits it so substantially. 5. Returning ground 48hrs after fencing completed is too restrictive. Consider "the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels as soon as possible and within 1 month of work being completed."                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                        |
| <b>S105.005</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | <p>Ideally, the whole clause should be removed especially points 3,4 and 5. As they are unachievable when looked at from a fencing perspective. <b>Where it is needed for the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 meters in width or requiring more than 2 meters of cut or fill.</b></p> <p>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height</b> of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12-month period; and</p> <p>4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and</p> <p>5. the ground for fencing related land</p> | <p>This rule is overly restrictive when you look at the references in the NFL Chapter s32 report as it says that activities are effectively managed under the Operative District Plan and should not apply to normal farm fencing activities and other typical farming activities in the rural zone such as cultivation. Restrictions of a meter wide and only 100 meters in length and ground to be reinstated within 48 hours are impossible to achieve and not practical for hill country farming. I have fences that need replacing which on one side alone are over a kilometre long. Clarification is required to determine whether the references in R3 are relating to a farm post and wire fence. Fences are already controlled under NFL-R5, and this is a duplication.</p> | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <del>disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed</del>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                        |
| <b>S105.013</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Amend          | Remove reference from NFL- R3 with regards to fencing based on the earthworks definition.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | The definition for earthworks excludes fencing, therefore the reference to fencing in NFL-R3 should be removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| <b>S127.005</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | <p>Ideally, the whole clause should be removed especially points 3,4 and 5. As they are unachievable when looked at from a fencing perspective. <b>Where it is needed for the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 meters in width or requiring more than 2 meters of cut or fill.</b></p> <p>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height</b> of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12-month period; and</p> <p><del>4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed</del></p> | <p>This rule is overly restrictive when you look at the references in the NFL Chapter s32 report as it says that activities are effectively managed under the Operative District Plan and should not apply to normal farm fencing activities and other typical farming activities in the rural zone such as cultivation. Restrictions of a meter wide and only 100 meters in length and ground to be reinstated within 48 hours are impossible to achieve and not practical for hill country farming. I have fences that need replacing which on one side alone are over a kilometre long. Clarification is required to determine whether the references in R3 are relating to a farm post and wire fence. Fences are already controlled under NFL-R5, and this is a duplication.</p> | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.013</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Amend          | Remove reference from NFL- R3 with regards to fencing based on the earthworks definition.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | The definition for earthworks excludes fencing, therefore the reference to fencing in NFL-R3 should be removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| S113.022         | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read:<br/> Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L<br/> Activity status: Permitted<br/> Where: <b>X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and</b><br/> 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and<br/> 2. the <del>depth</del><b>height</b> of fill <b>or stockpiles</b> shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and<br/> 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; <del>and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</del></p> | S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently. | Accept in part         |
| S116.022         | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read:<br/> Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L<br/> Activity status: Permitted<br/> Where: <b>X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X.</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and</p> <p>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height</b> of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                        |
| <b>S118.022</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read:</p> <p>Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where: <b>X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>2. the <del>depth</del><b>height</b> of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; and</p> <p><del>4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</del></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                        |
| <b>S127.058</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read:<br/>Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L<br/>Activity status: Permitted<br/>Where: <b>X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and</b></p> <p>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>2. the <del>depth</del><b>height</b> of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>3. the area of the earthworks shall not</p> | S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                        |
| <b>S18.024</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read:<br/>Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L<br/>Activity status: Permitted<br/>Where: <b>X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and</b><br/>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and<br/>2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height</b> of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and<br/>3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</p> | S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| S19.024          | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read:<br/> Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L<br/> Activity status: Permitted<br/> Where: <b>X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and</b><br/> 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and<br/> 2. the <del>depth</del><b>height</b> of fill <b>or stockpiles</b> shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and<br/> 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; <del>and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</del></p> | S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently. | Accept in part         |
| S20.024          | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read:<br/> Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L<br/> Activity status: Permitted<br/> Where: <b>X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X.</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and</p> <p>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height</b> of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                        |
| <b>S24.024</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read:</p> <p>Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where: <b>X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>2. the <del>depth</del><b>height</b> of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; and</p> <p><del>4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</del></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                        |
| S68.026          | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read:<br/>Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L<br/>Activity status: Permitted<br/>Where: <b>X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and</b></p> <p>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>2. the <del>depth</del><b>height</b> of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <del>1m</del><b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>3. the area of the earthworks shall not</p> | S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | exceed 100m <sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; <del>and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</del>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                        |
| <b>S115.106</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L <b>(excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion)</b> Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>12m</del> below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height of fill or stockpiles</b> shall not exceed <del>13m</del> above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m <sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; <del>and/or 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</del> <b>4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.</b> | SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m <sup>2</sup> in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/ oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                 |                               | <p>which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. To ensure that farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade</p> |                        |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S143.117</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L <b>(excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion)</b> Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>12m</del> below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height of fill or stockpiles</b> shall not exceed <del>13m</del> above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; <del>and/or 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed</del> <b>4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use</b> | SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                | on the same property to maintain tracks. | <p>suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks</p> |                        |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | which may exceed the proposed 100m <sup>2</sup> in a 12-month period. To ensure that farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job.                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S148.118</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L <b>(excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion)</b> Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>12m</del> below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height of fill or stockpiles</b> shall not exceed <del>13m</del> above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m <sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; <del>and/or 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48</del> | SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m <sup>2</sup> in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                | <p><del>hours of work being completed</del> <b>4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.</b></p> | <p>earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also</p> |                        |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m <sup>2</sup> in a 12-month period. To ensure that farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job. |                        |
| <b>S60.108</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L <b>(excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion)</b> Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <b>±2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height</b> of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <b>±3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m <sup>2</sup> in | SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                | <p>any 12 month period; <del>and/or 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed</del> <b>4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.</b></p> | <p>need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-</p> |                        |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m <sup>2</sup> in a 12-month period. To ensure that farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job. |                        |
| <b>S68.226</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L <b>(excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion)</b> Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <b>±2m</b> below the original | SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                | <p>surface of the ground; and 2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height of fill or stockpiles</b> shall not exceed <del>13m</del> above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; <del>and/or 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed</del> <b>4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.</b></p> | <p>can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that</p> |                        |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                |                               | <p>farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m<sup>2</sup> in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m<sup>2</sup> in a 12-month period. To ensure that farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job.</p> |                        |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| S117.022         | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose          | Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read:<br>Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where: <b>X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and</b><br>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and<br>2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height</b> of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and<br>3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m <sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; <del>and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</del> | S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently. | Accept in part         |
| S109.078         | Department of Conservation             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Support in part | Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect):<br>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values <b>including indigenous biodiversity values</b> as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.                                                                                          | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS5.58           | Hamish Weir                            |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept                 |
| FS24.045         | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Contact opposes the relief sought as the provisions relating to indigenous biodiversity are appropriately addressed in the ECO chapter and including indigenous biodiversity in this policy is beyond the scope of this chapter.                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| FS25.089         | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Stoney Creek considers that the values identified Appendix 5 are sufficient in this context, as these will include indigenous biodiversity values where appropriate. Including the proposed wording is duplication of the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter where these values may not be relevant to the proposed activity or ONL. | Accept                 |
| S3.022           | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read:<br>Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where: <b>X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and</b><br>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not | S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | <p>exceed <del>1m-2m</del> below the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>2. the <del>depth</del> height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed <del>1m-3m</del> above the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; and</p> <p><del>4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</del></p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                        |
| FS5.59           | Hamish Weir                            |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |
| FS17.053         | Federated Farmers                      |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | <p>Federated Farmers supports the submitter's proposed changes as they align with points made in our submission. However, there are further farming activities which we identify in our submission necessary to be included in NFL-R3 in defining permitted activities.</p> <p>Allow the submission point with the addition of 'laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes or stock exclusion' as detailed. (refer to further submission FS17 p18 for details)</p> | Accept in part         |
| S104.005         | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Oppose          | Ideally, the whole clause should be removed especially points 3,4 and 5. As they are unachievable when looked at from a fencing perspective. <b>Where it is needed for the construction of any road</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | This rule is overly restrictive when you look at the references in the NFL Chapter s32 report as it says that activities are effectively managed under the Operative District Plan and should not apply to normal farm fencing                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                            |                                       |           |                 | <p><b>or track no greater than 7 meters in width or requiring more than 2 meters of cut or fill.</b></p> <p>1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>2m</b> below the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height</b> of fill <b>or stockpiles</b> shall not exceed <del>1m</del> <b>3m</b> above the original surface of the ground; and</p> <p>3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12-month period; and</p> <p><del>4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed</del></p> | activities and other typical farming activities in the rural zone such as cultivation. Restrictions of a meter wide and only 100 meters in length and ground to be reinstated within 48 hours are impossible to achieve and not practical for hill country farming. I have fences that need replacing which on one side alone are over a kilometre long. Clarification is required to determine whether the references in R3 are relating to a farm post and wire fence. Fences are already controlled under NFL-R5, and this is a duplication.                     |                        |
| <b>FS25.098</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd                   |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Stoney Creek supports this submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>S126.105</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Support in part | <p>Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L <b>(excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion)</b> Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed <del>12m</del> below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the <del>depth</del> <b>height</b> of fill <b>or stockpiles</b> shall not exceed <del>13m</del> above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m<sup>2</sup> in any 12 month period; <del>and/or 4. the</del></p>                                                                                                                  | SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                | <p><del>width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.</del> <b>4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.</b></p> | <p>exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most</p> |                        |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                           | activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m <sup>2</sup> in a 12-month period. To ensure that farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job. |                        |
| <b>FS25.099</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow                                                                                     | Stoney Creek supports this submission as alternative relief to that proposed within our submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>S104.013</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Want             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R3    | Amend           | Remove reference from NFL- R3 with regards to fencing based on the earthworks definition. | The definition for earthworks excludes fencing, therefore the reference to fencing in NFL-R3 should be removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | wood Station                           |         |           |                |                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>FS11.048</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga    |         |           | Oppose         | Disallow                      | <p>HNZPT acknowledges that the dominant land use within the district is agriculture and that the District Plan needs to enable sustainable primary production within appropriate zones.</p> <p>The previously stated activities will involve earthworks and land disturbance during establishment, maintenance, and use, which may affect identified and unknown archaeological sites. As stated in one of the submissions lodged, activities such as fencing require a significant amount of earthworks to provide a buffer for vegetation and allow heavy vehicle access for establishment and maintenance.</p> <p>Ongoing agricultural land use in areas that have undergone previous soil disturbance, such as ploughing, tilling, etc., are unlikely to encounter archaeology. However, earthworks or ground disturbance to areas that have been previously undisturbed, or go below the topsoil have the potential to uncover archaeology.</p> <p>Activities within these areas should have sufficient triggers in the District Plan to create awareness of the plan users' potential obligation under the archaeological provisions of the HNZPTA. For activities involving significant volumes of earthworks, such as quarries, mines, commercial forestry, and renewable electricity generation</p> | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | activities, or areas that have been largely undisturbed, such as significant natural landscapes or features, the effects on archaeology require assessment and a permitted activity status is not appropriate.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                        |
| <b>S112.074</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Support        | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Waka Kotahi supports this rule as it permits maintenance of critical infrastructure, such as the state highway network, within an ONL and ONF, and provides a consenting pathway for those situations where maintenance works would increase the scale of the critical infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept                 |
| <b>S79.003</b>   | Andrew Welsh                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose         | Maintenance of critical infrastructure to be a permitted activity even if it does increase the scale of the critical infrastructure                                                                                                                                                               | Machinery and equipment are getting bigger- important that safety comes first and if tracks, lanes, etc need to be widened for machinery, then this should be a permitted activity. The world is currently looking for ways to reduce net carbon emissions- this may be through forestry, which in time will need to be harvested and replanted. Infrastructure must be able to accommodate the necessary equipment. Remove the limit to the scale of critical infrastructure. | Reject                 |
| <b>S3.023</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read: Maintenance <b>repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences)</b> , critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings</b> , or <b>improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L | Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reasons                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>1. The scale of the <del>activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail</del> is not increased.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 <b>resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity.</b></p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b></p> |                                                                                                                                                                       |                        |
| <b>S113.023</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:</p> <p>Maintenance <b>repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences)</b>, critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>1. The scale of the <del>activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail</del> is not increased.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Matters of discretion:</p>                                                  | Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 <b>resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity.</b></p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                       |                        |
| S116.023         | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:<br/> Maintenance <b>repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences)</b>, critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L<br/> Activity status: Permitted<br/> Where:<br/> 1. The scale of the <del>activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail</del> <b>activity</b> is not increased.<br/> Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary<br/> Matters of discretion:<br/> 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 <b>resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity.</b><br/> 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an</b></p> | Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <b>Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                       |                        |
| <b>S118.023</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:<br/> Maintenance <b>repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences)</b>, critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted<br/> Where:<br/> 1. The scale of the <del>activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail</del> is not increased.<br/> Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary<br/> Matters of discretion:<br/> 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 <b>resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity.</b><br/> 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b></p> | Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.059</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:<br/> Maintenance <b>repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences)</b>, critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>1. The scale of the <del>activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail</del> is not increased.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 <b>resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity.</b></p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b></p> |                                                                                                                                                                       |                        |
| <b>S18.025</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:</p> <p>Maintenance <b>repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences)</b>, critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>1. The scale of the <del>activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail</del> is not increased.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 <b>resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity.</b></p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S19.025</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:</p> <p>Maintenance <b>repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences)</b>, critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>1. The scale of the <del>activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail</del> <b>activity</b> is not increased.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 <b>resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity.</b></p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. The</b></p> | <p>Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.</p> | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <b>operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                       |                        |
| <b>S20.025</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:<br/> Maintenance <b>repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences)</b>, critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L<br/> Activity status: Permitted<br/> Where:<br/> 1. The scale of the <del>activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail</del> <b>activity</b> is not increased.<br/> Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary<br/> Matters of discretion:<br/> 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 <b>resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity.</b><br/> 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b></p> | Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S24.025</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:<br/> Maintenance <b>repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing                                                                                   | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <p><b>(excluding fences)</b>, critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>1. The scale of the <b>activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail</b> is not increased.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Matters of discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 <b>resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity.</b></p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b></p> | facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S68.027</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose         | <p>Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:</p> <p>Maintenance <b>repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences)</b>, critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>1. The scale of the <b>activity critical</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | infrastructure, road, farm or trail is not increased.<br>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 <b>resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity.</b><br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S115.107</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, <b>repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences)</b> critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L.                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S143.118</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, <b>repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences)</b> critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L.                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S148.119</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, <b>repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences)</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an                                                                                         | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted.                                                                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S60.109</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, <b>repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences)</b> critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L.                                                                                                                                                           | The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.227</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, <b>repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences)</b> critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L.                                                                                                                                                           | The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S117.023</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose          | Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:<br>Maintenance <b>repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences)</b> , critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The scale of the <del>activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail</del> is not increased. | Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.                                                       | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                            |                                       |           |                 | Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 <b>resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity.</b><br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. <b>3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.</b> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S126.106</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, <b>repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences)</b> critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, <b>waterway crossings, or improved pasture</b> within an identified ONF/L.                                                                                                                                                              | The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS5.60</b>    | Hamish Weir                                |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.101</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd                   |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Stoney Creek supports this submission as alternative relief to that proposed within our submission.                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>S101.060</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose          | Delete Rule NFL-R4 As a consequence, amend Note 1. (Amendments listed under NFL- general)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | In Transpower's view there are opportunities to set out the provisions more clearly by relocating Rule NFL-R4 to the Infrastructure Sub-Chapter and including further direction in the Note.                                | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>FS21.076</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities. Delete Rule NFL-R4.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reject                 |
| <b>FS25.0100</b> | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | The relief sought in this submission would have consequences for activities other than critical infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>S53.002</b>   | Katherine Welsh                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Oppose          | Maintenance of critical infrastructure to be a permitted activity even if it does increase the scale of the critical infrastructure                                                                                                                | Machinery and equipment are getting bigger- important that safety comes first and if tracks, lanes, etc need to be widened for machinery, then this should be a permitted activity. World is currently looking for ways to reduce net carbon emissions- this may be through forestry, which in time will need to be harvested and replanted. Infrastructure must be able to accommodate the necessary equipment. Remove the limit to the scale of critical infrastructure. | Reject                 |
| <b>FS21.077</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities. Amend to allow infrastructure maintenance, and remove from NFL section                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reject                 |
| <b>S109.079</b>  | Department of Conservation             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Support in part | Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect): Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values including indigenous biodiversity values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5 | The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reject                 |
| <b>FS24.046</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Contact opposes the relief sought as the provisions relating to indigenous biodiversity are appropriately addressed in the ECO chapter and including indigenous biodiversity                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | in this policy is beyond the scope of this chapter.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>FS25.090</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Stoney Creek considers that the values identified Appendix 5 are sufficient in this context, as these will include indigenous biodiversity values where appropriate. Including the proposed wording is duplication of the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter where these values may not be relevant to the proposed activity or ONL.                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>S130.054</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R4    | Support in part | Activity status: Permitted Where: Maintenance of critical infrastructure, <b>including</b> existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails within an identified ONF/L<br>1. The scale of the critical infrastructure, <b>or</b> road, farm or trail is not increased. | Scope of critical infrastructure needs to encompass all critical infrastructure. The submitter aims to ensure that a permissive framework for critical infrastructure is not unintentionally impacted by rule wording. The justification for including a farm track is not clear unless it is serving critical infrastructure like a wind farm site or other lifeline utilities. | Reject                 |
| <b>FS25.102</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Farm tracks and other infrastructure are required within the ONL for purposes other than the maintenance of critical infrastructure. The relief sought in this submission point does not recognise this.                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept                 |
| <b>S105.006</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose          | Amend as follows:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate</b>                                                                                       | Farm fencing is required for health and safety and stock management. Unless native bush is being removed, normal farm fencing including earthworks and land disturbance (if required) should be permitted.                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <b>property boundaries. a post and wire fence;</b><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                        |
| <b>S127.006</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose         | Amend as follows:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries. a post and wire fence;</b><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Farm fencing is required for health and safety and stock management. Unless native bush is being removed, normal farm fencing including earthworks and land disturbance (if required) should be permitted.                                                                                                             | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.024</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries. a post and wire fence;</b><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules<br>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary<br>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. | Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLS. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S116.024</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries.</b> <del>a post and wire fence;</del><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules<br><del>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</del><br><del>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.</del> | Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S118.024</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries.</b> <del>a post and wire fence;</del><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules<br><del>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</del><br><del>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.</del> | Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S127.060</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries.</b> <del>a post and wire fence;</del><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules<br><del>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</del><br><del>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.</del> | Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S18.026</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries.</b> <del>a post and wire fence;</del><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules<br><del>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</del><br><del>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.</del> | Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S19.026</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries.</b> <del>a post and wire fence;</del><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules<br><del>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</del><br><del>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.</del> | Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S20.026</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries.</b> <del>a post and wire fence;</del><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules<br><del>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</del><br><del>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.</del> | Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| S24.026          | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries.</b> <del>a post and wire fence;</del><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules<br><del>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</del><br><del>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.</del> | Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs. | Accept in part         |
| S68.028          | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries.</b> <del>a post and wire fence;</del><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules<br><del>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</del><br><del>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.</del> | Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S115.108</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence<br><del>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules. Activity Status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW – 2.3.</del> | Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on farmers' ability to carry out day-to-day farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fences' and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S143.119</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence<br><del>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules. Activity Status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW – 2.3.</del> | Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | farmers' ability to carry out day-to-day farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fences' and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                        |
| <b>S148.120</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence<br><del>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules.</del> Activity Status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted discretionary<br><del>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW – 2.3.</del> | Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on farmers' ability to carry out day-to-day farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fences' and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S60.110</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence<br><del>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback</del>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | <del>rules. Activity Status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW – 2.3.</del>                                                                                                                                                         | fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on farmers' ability to carry out day-to-to farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fences' and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose.                                                                               |                        |
| S68.228          | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence<br><del>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules. Activity Status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW – 2.3.</del> | Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on farmers' ability to carry out day-to-to farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included We have proposed a new definition for 'farm | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | fences' and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose.                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S117.024</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose          | Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries.</b> <del>a post and wire fence;</del><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules <del>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</del><br><del>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.</del> | Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S109.080</b>  | Department of Conservation             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Support in part | Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect):<br>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values <b>including indigenous biodiversity values</b> as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| <b>FS5.61</b>    | Hamish Weir                            |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | duplication                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>FS24.047</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Contact opposes the relief sought as the provisions relating to indigenous biodiversity are appropriately addressed in the ECO chapter and including indigenous biodiversity                                                                                                                                           | Accept                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                            |                                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | in this policy is beyond the scope of this chapter.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>FS25.091</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd                   |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Stoney Creek considers that the values identified Appendix 5 are sufficient in this context, as these will include indigenous biodiversity values where appropriate. Including the proposed wording is duplication of the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter where these values may not be relevant to the proposed activity or ONL. | Accept                 |
| <b>S104.006</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station     | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose          | Amend as follows:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to mark property boundaries. a post and wire fence;</b><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules | Farm fencing is required for health and safety and stock management. Unless native bush is being removed, normal farm fencing including earthworks and land disturbance (if required) should be permitted.                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.103</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd                   |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Stoney Creek supports this submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept in part         |
| <b>S126.107</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Support in part | Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted<br>Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence<br><del>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules. Activity Status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted discretionary</del><br>Matters of discretion: 1.                            | Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a                                             | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | <del>Effects on the values listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW – 2.3.</del>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on farmers' ability to carry out day-to-day farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fences' and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose. |                        |
| <b>FS25.104</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Stoney Creek supports this submission as alternative relief to that proposed within our submission                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Accept in part         |
| <b>S3.024</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R5    | Oppose          | Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read:<br>Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted<br>Where:<br>1. The fence is <b>required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries.</b> <del>a post and wire fence;</del><br>Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules.<br><del>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary</del><br><del>Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.</del> | Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS11.039         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga    |         |           | Oppose         | Disallow                      | <p>HNZPT acknowledges that the dominant land use within the district is agriculture and that the District Plan needs to enable sustainable primary production within appropriate zones.</p> <p>The previously stated activities will involve earthworks and land disturbance during establishment, maintenance, and use, which may affect identified and unknown archaeological sites. As stated in one of the submissions lodged, activities such as fencing require a significant amount of earthworks to provide a buffer for vegetation and allow heavy vehicle access for establishment and maintenance.</p> <p>Ongoing agricultural land use in areas that have undergone previous soil disturbance, such as ploughing, tilling, etc., are unlikely to encounter archaeology. However, earthworks or ground disturbance to areas that have been previously undisturbed or go below the topsoil have the potential to uncover archaeology.</p> <p>Activities within these areas should have sufficient triggers in the District Plan to create awareness of the plan users' potential obligation under the archaeological provisions of the HNZPTA. For activities involving significant volumes of earthworks, such as quarries, mines, commercial forestry, and renewable electricity generation activities, or areas that have been largely undisturbed, such as significant natural</p> | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | landscapes or features, the effects on archaeology require assessment and a permitted activity status is not appropriate.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                        |
| <b>S79.004</b>   | Andrew Welsh                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose in part | Allowance for control of gorse, broom and noxious weeds, irrespective of whether there are indigenous plants nearby.                                                                                                  | Seems now that councils are so tied up with protecting indigenous vegetation that they are allowing gorse to take over. Protecting indigenous vegetation is important, I believe in order to control gorse in the region a small amount of collateral should be allowed. Gorse will grow faster and smother out the native plant eventually. Also there are some indigenous plants which if they take over can cause harm to people and animals. Farmers should have the right to maintain these plants to ensure the safety of their staff and their stock. Please ensure control of noxious weeds is still a permitted activity. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S3.025</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.                                                                                                                                                                                   | NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Accept in part         |
| <b>S53.003</b>   | Katherine Welsh                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose in part | Requests caution with provision, allow control of gorse, broom and noxious weeds, irrespective of whether there are indigenous plants nearby and ensure that control of noxious weeds are still a permitted activity. | Protection of indigenous vegetation is important, however small amounts of collateral should be allowed to control gorse, gorse will grow faster and smother native plants eventually. Some indigenous plants can cause harm to people and animals if they take over. Farmers shall have the right to maintain these plants to ensure the safety of their staff and stock.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S105.007</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete rule completely.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Fences are required in many situations. Not only in relation to national regulations or regional rules relating to stock exclusion. This rule duplicates rule ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion.                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S105.008</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R6 as follows:<br>New Roads, <del>farm tracks</del> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L.<br><br>All zones Activity status: Restricted Discretionary <b>New farm tracks within the Rural Zone. Activity Status: Permitted</b> | New farm tracks are required for a variety of reasons, for example health and safety and the protection of vulnerable areas. Applying for resource consent as a Restricted Discretionary activity is likely to be time consuming and impractical in situations where there is urgent fixing required. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.007</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete rule completely.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Fences are required in many situations. Not only in relation to national regulations or regional rules relating to stock exclusion. This rule duplicates rule ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion.                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.008</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R6 as follows:<br>New Roads, <del>farm tracks</del> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L .<br>All zones Activity status: Restricted Discretionary <b>New farm tracks within the Rural Zone. Activity Status: Permitted</b>    | New farm tracks are required for a variety of reasons, for example health and safety and the protection of vulnerable areas. Applying for resource consent as a Restricted Discretionary activity is likely to be time consuming and impractical in situations where there is urgent fixing required. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.025</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S113.027</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks <b>greater than 7 metres in width</b> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of Discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. <del>3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.</del><br>4. Mitigation measures proposed. <b>5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity</b> | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S116.025</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>S116.027</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks <b>greater than 7 metres in width</b> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of Discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. <del>3. The necessity of what is proposed and any</del>                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <del>alternatives.</del><br>4. Mitigation measures proposed. <b>5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S118.025</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>S118.027</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks <b>greater than 7 metres in width</b> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status:<br>Restricted Discretionary Matters of Discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. <del>3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.</del><br>4. Mitigation measures proposed. <b>5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity</b> | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.061</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S127.063</b>  | Peters Farm Ltd<br>Karen Peters        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks <b>greater than 7 metres in width</b> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of Discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. <del>3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.</del><br>4. Mitigation measures proposed.<br><b>5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape</b> <b>6. The benefits of the proposed activity</b> | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S18.027</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>S18.029</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks <b>greater than 7 metres in width</b> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of Discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. <del>3. The necessity of what is proposed and any</del>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <del>alternatives.</del><br>4. Mitigation measures proposed. <b>5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S19.027</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>S19.029</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks <b>greater than 7 metres in width</b> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of Discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. <del>3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.</del><br>4. Mitigation measures proposed. <b>5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity</b> | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S20.027</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S20.029</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks <b>greater than 7 metres in width</b> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of Discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. <del>3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.</del><br>4. Mitigation measures proposed. <b>5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity</b> | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S24.027</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>S24.029</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks <b>greater than 7 metres in width</b> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of Discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. <del>3. The necessity of what is proposed and any</del>                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                | <del>alternatives.</del><br>4. Mitigation measures proposed. <b>5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S68.029</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.031</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks <b>greater than 7 metres in width</b> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of Discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. <del>3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.</del><br>4. Mitigation measures proposed. <b>5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity</b> | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S115.109</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete NFL-R6                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.                                                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S115.110</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R67: New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: <del>Restricted Discretionary</del><br><b>Permitted Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.</b> | SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S143.120</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete NFL-R6                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S143.121</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R67: New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: <del>Restricted Discretionary</del><br><b>Permitted Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.</b> | SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S148.121</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete NFL-R6                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S148.122</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R67: New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: <del>Restricted Discretionary</del><br><b>Permitted Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.</b> | SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S60.111</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete NFL-R6                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S60.112</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R67: New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: <del>Restricted Discretionary</del><br><b>Permitted Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.</b> | SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.229</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete NFL-R6                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| S68.230          | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R6 <del>7</del> : New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: <del>Restricted Discretionary</del><br><b>Permitted Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.</b>                                                                                                                                       | SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted. | Accept in part         |
| S117.025         | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |
| S117.027         | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks <b>greater than 7 metres in width</b> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of Discretion:<br>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.<br>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. <del>3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.</del><br>4. Mitigation measures proposed. <b>5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or</b> | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                 | <b>Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |
| <b>S109.081</b>  | Department of Conservation             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Support in part | Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect): Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values <b>including indigenous biodiversity values</b> as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. | The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reject                 |
| <b>FS5.62</b>    | Hamish Weir                            |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept                 |
| <b>FS24.048</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Contact opposes the relief sought as the provisions relating to indigenous biodiversity are appropriately addressed in the ECO chapter and including indigenous biodiversity in this policy is beyond the scope of this chapter.                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>FS25.092</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Stoney Creek considers that the values identified Appendix 5 are sufficient in this context, as these will include indigenous biodiversity values where appropriate. Including the proposed wording is duplication of the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter where these values may not be relevant to the proposed activity or ONL. | Accept                 |
| <b>S3.027</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose          | Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks <b>greater than 7 metres in width</b> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of                                                       | Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.                                                                                                                                          | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |                | <p>Discretion:</p> <p>1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.</p> <p>2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. <del>3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.</del></p> <p>4. Mitigation measures proposed.</p> <p><b>5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape</b></p> <p><b>6. The benefits of the proposed activity</b></p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                        |
| <b>FS5.63</b>    | Hamish Weir                            |         |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS17.054</b>  | Federated Farmers                      |         |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Federated Farmers makes the same submission point.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS11.040</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga    |         |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | <p>HNZPT acknowledges that the dominant land use within the district is agriculture and that the District Plan needs to enable sustainable primary production within appropriate zones.</p> <p>The previously stated activities will involve earthworks and land disturbance during establishment, maintenance, and use, which may affect identified and unknown archaeological sites. As stated in one of the submissions lodged, activities such as fencing require a significant amount of earthworks to provide a buffer for vegetation and allow heavy vehicle access for establishment and</p> | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                            |                                       |           |                |                               | <p>maintenance.</p> <p>Ongoing agricultural land use in areas that have undergone previous soil disturbance, such as ploughing, tilling, etc., are unlikely to encounter archaeology. However, earthworks or ground disturbance to areas that have been previously undisturbed, or go below the topsoil have the potential to uncover archaeology.</p> <p>Activities within these areas should have sufficient triggers in the District Plan to create awareness of the plan users' potential obligation under the archaeological provisions of the HNZPTA. For activities involving significant volumes of earthworks, such as quarries, mines, commercial forestry, and renewable electricity generation activities, or areas that have been largely undisturbed, such as significant natural landscapes or features, the effects on archaeology require assessment and a permitted activity status is not appropriate.</p> |                        |
| <b>S126.108</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose         | Delete NFL-R6                 | SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS5.64</b>    | Hamish Weir                                |                                       |           | Support        | Allow                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>FS25.108</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd                   |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Stoney Creek supports the deletion of NFL-R5 in relation to indigenous vegetation removal.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accept in part         |
| <b>S126.109</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-R67: New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: <del>Restricted Discretionary</del><br><b>Permitted</b> Matters of discretion: 1. <del>Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW 2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.</del> | SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS5.65</b>    | Hamish Weir                                |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.109</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd                   |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Stoney Creek supports this submission as alternative relief to that proposed within our submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept in part         |
| <b>S104.007</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station     | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose          | Delete rule completely.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Fences are required in many situations. Not only in relation to national regulations or regional rules relating to stock exclusion. This rule duplicates rule ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS21.078</b>  | Yrless Limited                             |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Fencing is required in rural areas to protect infrastructure from stock, and create an exclusion zone. It can also aid pest control and management within the active infrastructure area. amend to improve clarity                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S112.075</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Support in part | Amend rule heading as follows, or wording of the same intent: <b>New critical infrastructure</b> , New Roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L                                                                        | In respect to rule NFL-R6 titled "New Roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L", Waka Kotahi support this rule in part as it provides for the construction of new roads and walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF and ONL. However, for clarity and consistency with the activities listed in NFL-R4, we recommend that the term 'critical infrastructure' should also be added to the rule heading. This would give more certainty that state highways and other critical infrastructure are provided for in ONF and ONL. | Reject                 |
| <b>FS24.049</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Contact support the relief sought as this ensures consistency with the other provisions of the Chapter, including NFL-R4 and gives more certainty to critical infrastructure activities.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reject                 |
| <b>S104.008</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-R6 as follows:<br>New Roads, <del>farm tracks</del> , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L .<br>All zones Activity status: Restricted Discretionary <b>New farm tracks within the Rural Zone. Activity Status: Permitted</b> | New farm tracks are required for a variety of reasons, for example health and safety and the protection of vulnerable areas. Applying for resource consent as a Restricted Discretionary activity is likely to be time consuming and impractical in situations where there is urgent fixing required.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.105</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Support in part | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Stoney Creek supports this submission as alternative relief to that proposed within our submission                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose  | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation  |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>S112.071</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Not Stated      | Correct the rule numbering as noted and make any consequential changes to other provisions as necessary to ensure the correct rule is referenced within them.                                      | We note that two rules, relating to "Indigenous Vegetation Clearance within an identified ONF/L" and "New Roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L" respectively have been erroneously given the rule reference 'NFL-R6'                                              | Accept                  |
| <b>FS25.106</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd                   |                                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                              | Stoney Creek supports the correction of rule wording.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept                  |
| <b>S152.009</b>  | Nigel Cowburn                              | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R6    | Support in part | Requests provision for removal of trees with a large DBH of 200mm non-complying, unless covered by NFL R6.2                                                                                        | This represents major canopy loss and attendant effects on catchment hydrology and visibility changes                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Deferred to ECO Chapter |
| <b>FS25.107</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd                   |                                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                           | Stoney Creek does not support non-complying activity status for vegetation removal.                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Deferred to ECO chapter |
| <b>S126.110</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose          | Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: <del>Non-complying</del><br><b>Discretionary</b> Activity status where compliance is not achieved: N/A | Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values.                                                                            | Reject                  |
| <b>S105.009</b>  | Farm Manager - Wantwood Station            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose          | Amend NFL-R7 as follows:<br>Mining and quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity Status: Non complying.                                                  | The difference between the extraction for commercial use or use outside the farm as opposed to on farm use (which should be allowed in any farming situation) needs to be stated in the rule. The definition of farm quarrying in the interpretation section needs to be allowed under an ONL as well. | Accept in part          |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S127.009</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL- R7 as follows:<br>Mining and quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity Status: Non complying. | The difference between the extraction for commercial use or use outside the farm as opposed to on farm use (which should be allowed in any farming situation) needs to be stated in the rule. The definition of farm quarrying in the interpretation section needs to be allowed under an ONL as well. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S113.028</b>  | Trudy Bokser                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R7 as follows: NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L<br>Non-Complying                | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                                                                                           | Accept in part         |
| <b>S116.028</b>  | Nathan Bokser                          | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R7 as follows: NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L<br>Non-Complying                | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                                                                                           | Accept in part         |
| <b>S118.028</b>  | AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R7 as follows: NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L<br>Non-Complying                | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                                                                                           | Accept in part         |
| <b>S127.064</b>  | Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R7 as follows: NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L<br>Non-Complying                | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                                                                                           | Accept in part         |
| <b>S18.030</b>   | Reaby Downs Farm Ltd                   | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R7 as follows: NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L<br>Non-Complying                | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                                                                                           | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S19.030</b>   | Karen Temple                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R7 as follows: NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying                                                             | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S20.030</b>   | Sarah and Peter Barclay                | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R7 as follows: NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying                                                             | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S24.030</b>   | Helen McIntosh                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R7 as follows: NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying                                                             | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S68.032</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R7 as follows: NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying                                                             | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S115.111</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L Activity status: <del>Non-complying</del> <b>Discretionary</b> Activity status where compliance is not achieved: N/A | Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values. | Reject                 |
| <b>S143.122</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L Activity status: <del>Non-complying</del> <b>Discretionary</b> Activity status where compliance is not achieved: N/A | Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values. | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S148.123</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: <del>Non-complying</del><br><b>Discretionary</b> Activity status where compliance is not achieved: N/A | Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values. | Reject                 |
| <b>S60.113</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: <del>Non-complying</del><br><b>Discretionary</b> Activity status where compliance is not achieved: N/A | Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values. | Reject                 |
| <b>S68.231</b>   | John Baynes                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L<br>Activity status: <del>Non-complying</del><br><b>Discretionary</b> Activity status where compliance is not achieved: N/A | Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values. | Reject                 |
| <b>S117.028</b>  | Greg Bokser                            | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R7 as follows: NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L<br>Non-Complying                                                                | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S109.082</b>  | Department of Conservation             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Support        | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                 | The D-G supports the non complying status for mining, quarrying and plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L.                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.093</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                           | Stoney Creek considers that the values identified Appendix 5 are sufficient in this context, as these will include indigenous biodiversity values where appropriate.                                                        | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                |                                                                                                                                                 | Including the proposed wording is duplication of the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter where these values may not be relevant to the proposed activity or ONL.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                        |
| <b>S104.009</b>  | McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL- R7 as follows:<br>Mining and quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L Activity Status: Non complying. | The difference between the extraction for commercial use or use outside the farm as opposed to on farm use (which should be allowed in any farming situation) needs to be stated in the rule. The definition of farm quarrying in the interpretation section needs to be allowed under an ONL as well.                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS25.110</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                           | Stoney Creek supports this submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |
| <b>S3.028</b>    | Stoney Creek Station Limited           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R7    | Oppose         | Amend NFL-R7 as follows: NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying ( <b>excluding farm quarries</b> ) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying                | NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS11.041</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga    |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                        | HNZPT acknowledges that the dominant land use within the district is agriculture and that the District Plan needs to enable sustainable primary production within appropriate zones.<br>The previously stated activities will involve earthworks and land disturbance during establishment, maintenance, and use, which may affect identified and unknown archaeological sites. As stated in one of the submissions lodged, activities such as fencing require a significant amount of earthworks to | Accept in part         |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                                       |           |                |                               | <p>provide a buffer for vegetation and allow heavy vehicle access for establishment and maintenance.</p> <p>Ongoing agricultural land use in areas that have undergone previous soil disturbance, such as ploughing, tilling, etc., are unlikely to encounter archaeology. However, earthworks or ground disturbance to areas that have been previously undisturbed or go below the topsoil have the potential to uncover archaeology.</p> <p>Activities within these areas should have sufficient triggers in the District Plan to create awareness of the plan users' potential obligation under the archaeological provisions of the HNZPTA. For activities involving significant volumes of earthworks, such as quarries, mines, commercial forestry, and renewable electricity generation activities, or areas that have been largely undisturbed, such as significant natural landscapes or features, the effects on archaeology require assessment and a permitted activity status is not appropriate.</p> |                        |
| <b>S79.005</b>   | Andrew Welsh                           | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R8    | Oppose         | Section removed               | <p>Those who already have forestry in place need the right to replant it after harvest. Those who already had a rolling plantation plan in place should not be forced to stop by the council. The prohibition of forestry planting will negatively affect land prices.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reject                 |

## Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                               | Provision | Support/oppose | Summary of Decision Requested                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S53.004</b>   | Katherine Welsh                        | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R8    | Oppose         | Section to removed.                                  | Those with forestry planted, need the right to replant it after harvest. The prohibition of forestry planting will negatively affect land prices. | Reject                 |
| <b>S85.039</b>   | Environment Southland                  | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R8    | Support        | Retain non-complying status for plantation forestry. |                                                                                                                                                   | Accept                 |
| <b>FS5.66</b>    | Hamish Weir                            |                                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                |                                                                                                                                                   | Accept                 |
| <b>S109.083</b>  | Department of Conservation             | NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes | NFL-R8    | Support        | Retain as notified                                   | The D-G supports the non complying status for mining, quarrying and plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L.                               | Accept                 |
| <b>FS25.111</b>  | Stoney Creek Station Ltd               |                                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                             | There are instances where quarrying (e.g. farm quarries) are essential to support existing activities within the ONL.                             | Accept in part         |