

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S101.070	Transpower New Zealand Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Support in part	Amend the Rules as follows: Earthworks and Land Disturbance of the following activities are exempt from the rules in this chapter, other than Rule EWR12 , of the District Plan: ... As a consequence, embed the exemptions in NZECP34:2001 in Rule EW-R12.	Generally supports the concept of including a list of limited earthworks and land disturbance activities that are exempt from the Rules in the Proposed District Plan. However, Transpower is concerned that the exemptions are inconsistent with NZECP34:2001 such that, activities that are regulated by NZECP34:2001 and have the potential to have an impact on the National Grid are included in the exemptions and are therefore not regulated by Rule EW-R12. Transpower seeks that, for the purposes of Rule EW-R12 align with the exemption in 2.2.4 of NZECP34:2001 as follows: "2.2.4 Nothing in clauses 2.2.1 - 2.2.3 applies in respect of normal agricultural cultivation or the repair, sealing, or resealing of the existing surface of any road, footpath, or driveway"	Accept in part
S104.023	McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Amend	Clarification is required whether areas subject to the ONL are excluded from the provisions in the earthworks chapter.	The overview states that ONL's are excluded, although, some rules state all areas.	Accept in part
S111.011	Aggregate and Quarry Association	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Support	Retain as notified	We are pleased that quarrying is covered separately from the Earthworks chapter which was not the case in the Draft Plan. Quarrying activities are significantly broader than just earthworks and there is some risk having it within an earthworks chapter where there is scope for confusion or misinterpretation.	Accept
S122.011	Fulton Hogan	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose in part	Amend exemption 7 using the below or other similar wording: 7. Filling ~~of building foundation~~ with granular fill, such as under concrete slab building foundations, and sub-grade for roads and other sealed areas.	Rules: Earthworks and Land Disturbance Exempt from District Plan Rules in this Chapter. In general, we support two key principles set out in these exemptions: 1. Providing for small scale activities that are unlikely to have adverse effects 2. avoiding overlap between Regional and District Plan rules We suggest that clause 7 could be expanded to make it clear that other similar activities are included, such as the placement of granular fill for road sub-base.	Accept in part
S123.015	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Amend	Amend All earthworks chapter rules to consistent restate or not state that Historic Heritage sites are exempt.	The Earthworks chapter overview states that "This chapter excludes earthworks provisions relating to Historic Heritage sites, Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features and Amenity Landscapes. For earthworks rules relating to these topics, refer to those chapters". Some of the rules under this chapter restate that these provisions exclude historic heritage sites and some of the rules do not. This may lead to plan users incorrectly applying certain rules in the earthworks chapter to historic	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
						heritage sites. HNZPT recommends either restating the exemption of historic heritage sites under all rules within the earthworks chapter or under none of them.	
S123.016	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Amend	Amend: Activity status where compliance not achieved: ...Visual amenity, heritage values including archaeology , and landscape effects.	Related to: EW-R1-R14 and any Relevant standards- HNZPT recommends an amendment to the Earthworks chapter rules to reflect the potential adverse effects on archaeological values. Land use affecting scheduled archaeological sites is mainly addressed under the Historic Heritage chapter. However, consideration of the effects on archaeological sites, including any sites not entered on the GDP schedule, should also be appropriately addressed under the Earthworks chapter. EW-P5(3) requires that the "design, location and undertaking of earthworks...protects archaeological sites". In order to give effect to this policy, the matters of discretion should provide for the consideration of archaeology.	Reject
S128.031	Greenbriar Ltd.	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Support	No amendments required	Considered appropriate to manage EW as proposed- support full chapter	Accept in part
S144.011	Contact Energy Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Not Stated	Contact seeks that the provisions in the District Wide section of the Proposed Plan do not conflict with any of the more specific provisions which relate to renewable electricity generation activities in other chapters of the Plan.	There does not appear to be recognition within district wide matters that in developing large scale renewable electricity generation activities there may be associated earthworks.	~~Reject~~ Accept in part
S146.062	Southland District Council	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Support in part	None specified.	Supports the setbacks from Rivers and Streams being tied to the stream order and the matters of discretion for EW-S3 are fitting and should reduce impacts downstream. The explicit mention of the archaeological alert layer in Note 4 is of interest to Southland District Council as a way of reducing risk to archaeological sites.	Accept
S148.007	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Earthworks for essential farm services (drainage, water supply etc) should not be restricted to an amount per year. We oppose the restriction on these activities in these provisions.	Land disturbance / earthworks for farm drainage, water supply and other essential services should not be restricted to a limited distance per year. If these jobs are being carried out, the work needs to be able to be completed at the time, not a limited section per year. For example, if upgrading or mending water pipes or drains, you can't stop after 100m and leave the rest in its old state as the new capacity will cause damage to the rest.	Accept in part
S3.037	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Amend table headings from 'Standards' to 'Standards for Permitted Activities'. Insert a guidance note clarifying whether Standards are	It is unclear how Rules and Standards interact and there is no guidance clarifying the applicability of standards. The activity status should be set by the rule and not the standard,	Reject

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					applicable to all activities or only those listed within the relevant Rule. Clarify the relationship between rules and standards and whether standards are to be treated as standalone rules.	otherwise it is implied activities require consent under both the rule and the standard.	
S105.023	Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Amend	Clarification is required whether areas subject to the ONL are excluded from the provisions in the earthworks chapter.	The overview states that ONLs are excluded, although, some rules state all areas.	Accept in part
S127.023	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Amend	Clarification is required whether areas subject to the ONL are excluded from the provisions in the earthworks chapter.	The overview states that ONL's are excluded, although, some rules state all areas.	Accept in part
S113.034	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.	Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.	Reject Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
S113.037	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Amend table headings from 'Standards' to 'Standards for Permitted Activities'. Insert a guidance note clarifying whether Standards are applicable to all activities or only those listed within the relevant Rule. Clarify the relationship between rules and standards and whether standards are to be treated as standalone rules.	It is unclear how Rules and Standards interact and there is no guidance clarifying the applicability of standards. The activity status should be set by the rule and not the standard, otherwise it is implied activities require consent under both the rule and the standard.	Reject
S116.034	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.	Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.	Reject Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
S116.037	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Amend table headings from 'Standards' to 'Standards for Permitted Activities'. Insert a guidance note clarifying whether Standards are applicable to all activities or only those listed within the relevant Rule. Clarify the relationship between rules and standards and whether standards are to be treated as standalone rules.	It is unclear how Rules and Standards interact and there is no guidance clarifying the applicability of standards. The activity status should be set by the rule and not the standard, otherwise it is implied activities require consent under both the rule and the standard.	Reject
S118.034	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities	Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities,	Reject

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.	however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.	Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
S118.037	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Amend table headings from 'Standards' to 'Standards for Permitted Activities'. Insert a guidance note clarifying whether Standards are applicable to all activities or only those listed within the relevant Rule. Clarify the relationship between rules and standards and whether standards are to be treated as standalone rules.	It is unclear how Rules and Standards interact and there is no guidance clarifying the applicability of standards. The activity status should be set by the rule and not the standard, otherwise it is implied activities require consent under both the rule and the standard.	Reject
S127.070	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.	Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.	Reject Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
S127.073	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Amend table headings from 'Standards' to 'Standards for Permitted Activities'. Insert a guidance note clarifying whether Standards are applicable to all activities or only those listed within the relevant Rule. Clarify the relationship between rules and standards and whether standards are to be treated as standalone rules.	It is unclear how Rules and Standards interact and there is no guidance clarifying the applicability of standards. The activity status should be set by the rule and not the standard, otherwise it is implied activities require consent under both the rule and the standard.	Reject
S18.036	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.	Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.	Reject Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
S18.039	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Amend table headings from 'Standards' to 'Standards for Permitted Activities'. Insert a guidance note clarifying whether Standards are applicable to all activities or only those listed within the relevant Rule. Clarify the relationship between rules and standards and whether standards are to be treated as standalone rules.	It is unclear how Rules and Standards interact and there is no guidance clarifying the applicability of standards. The activity status should be set by the rule and not the standard, otherwise it is implied activities require consent under both the rule and the standard.	Reject

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S19.036	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.	Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.	Reject Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
S19.039	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Amend table headings from 'Standards' to 'Standards for Permitted Activities'. Insert a guidance note clarifying whether Standards are applicable to all activities or only those listed within the relevant Rule. Clarify the relationship between rules and standards and whether standards are to be treated as standalone rules.	It is unclear how Rules and Standards interact and there is no guidance clarifying the applicability of standards. The activity status should be set by the rule and not the standard, otherwise it is implied activities require consent under both the rule and the standard.	Reject
S20.036	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.	Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.	Reject Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
S20.039	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Amend table headings from 'Standards' to 'Standards for Permitted Activities'. Insert a guidance note clarifying whether Standards are applicable to all activities or only those listed within the relevant Rule. Clarify the relationship between rules and standards and whether standards are to be treated as standalone rules.	It is unclear how Rules and Standards interact and there is no guidance clarifying the applicability of standards. The activity status should be set by the rule and not the standard, otherwise it is implied activities require consent under both the rule and the standard.	Reject
S24.036	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.	Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.	Reject Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
S24.039	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Amend table headings from 'Standards' to 'Standards for Permitted Activities'. Insert a guidance note clarifying whether Standards are applicable to all activities or only those listed within the relevant Rule.	It is unclear how Rules and Standards interact and there is no guidance clarifying the applicability of standards. The activity status should be set by the rule and not the standard, otherwise it is implied activities require consent under both the rule and the standard.	Reject

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					Clarify the relationship between rules and standards and whether standards are to be treated as standalone rules.		
S68.038	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.	Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.	Reject Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
S68.041	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Amend table headings from 'Standards' to 'Standards for Permitted Activities'. Insert a guidance note clarifying whether Standards are applicable to all activities or only those listed within the relevant Rule. Clarify the relationship between rules and standards and whether standards are to be treated as standalone rules.	It is unclear how Rules and Standards interact and there is no guidance clarifying the applicability of standards. The activity status should be set by the rule and not the standard, otherwise it is implied activities require consent under both the rule and the standard.	Reject
S117.034	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.	Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.	Reject Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
S117.037	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Amend table headings from 'Standards' to 'Standards for Permitted Activities'. Insert a guidance note clarifying whether Standards are applicable to all activities or only those listed within the relevant Rule. Clarify the relationship between rules and standards and whether standards are to be treated as standalone rules.	It is unclear how Rules and Standards interact and there is no guidance clarifying the applicability of standards. The activity status should be set by the rule and not the standard, otherwise it is implied activities require consent under both the rule and the standard.	Reject
S140.012	Robina Johnston	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Not Stated	Considers that land with more than 60% over 10 degrees should provide swales and a vegetation to mitigate the runoff from the new dwelling and buildings to stabilize slopes and beautification.		Reject
S123.013	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Amend	Amend: ~~Historic Heritage site~~ Heritage Item	Historic Heritage sites are referred to throughout the Earthworks chapter, however, Historic Heritage site has not been included within the pGDP definitions. HNZPT recommends that the defined term 'Heritage Item' is referred to instead, which will achieve consistency and certainty throughout the pGDP. As stated in the definitions, 'heritage	Reject

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
						item' means any type of historic heritage sites, buildings, structures and areas listed in HH-SCHED2 fulfilling the criteria as identified in HH-SCHED 1.	
FS5.116	Hamish Weir			Oppose	Disallow		Accept
S3.034	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW - General	Oppose	Preferred relief: Insert an exemption in the preamble of the chapter for any earthworks and land disturbance activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct. Develop appropriate earthwork provisions for the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, in consultation with key stakeholders, that reflect the site specific conditions and challenges of this area.	Stoney Creek supports the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities, however considers that the rules contained within the Earthworks Chapter do not reflect the specific constraints and complexities of the Hokonui Hills environment.	Reject Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
FS11.038	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			Neutral	Disallow in part	There is a significant amount of recorded archaeological sites across the Hokonui Hills (see Attachment B) with the majority of the sites being Māori associated sites such as ovens, middens, pā, terraces, adze find spots, and urupā indicating a distinct cultural landscape. As these sites have not been recently assessed, their current condition is unknown. The new Precinct sought appears to focus primarily on enabling the existing agricultural land use at Stoney Creek Station. In order to give effect to section 6(f) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA), the provisions relating to the Precinct should provide for acknowledgment of the cultural landscape and the conservation of historic heritage, including archaeology and sites of significance to Māori, as a priority. Should the proposed Precinct be established, the objectives, policies and rules, provide for the conservation of historic heritage, including archaeology and sites of significance to Māori, as a priority.	Accept in part Hokonui Hills Special Precinct - Hearing Stream 9
FS17.060	Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Federated Farmers supports this request as the Hokonui Hills Precinct has site specific challenges and thus would benefit from involvement of key stakeholders.	Reject
S138.005	Port Blakely Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW- Additional provisions sought	Oppose	Insert a new rule for earthworks associated with commercial forestry, permitting those activities where they comply with regulations 24 to 33 of the NES-CF.	As per NES-CF, when the earthworks no longer meet the permitted standards, the regional council that has jurisdiction to consider an application for a resource consent, not the territorial authority.	Reject
S146.066	Southland District Council	EW - Earthworks	EW- Additional provisions sought	Support	No decision requested.	Note 4 is of interest to SDC as a way of reducing risk to archaeological sites.	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S126.010	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Interpretation	bore	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept
S115.011	Kevin Robertson	Interpretation	bore	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept
S143.022	Rural Ratepayers Group	Interpretation	bore	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept
S148.023	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	Interpretation	bore	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept
S60.013	Ronald Crispin	Interpretation	bore	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept
S68.131	John Baynes	Interpretation	bore	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept
S126.015	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain as notified	SFF supports the inclusion of this definition.	Accept
S3.085	Stoney Creek Station Limited	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain 'dead holes' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S113.085	Trudy Bokser	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain 'dead holes' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S116.085	Nathan Bokser	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain 'dead holes' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S118.085	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain 'dead holes' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S127.121	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain 'dead holes' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S18.087	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain 'dead holes' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S19.087	Karen Temple	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain 'dead holes' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S20.087	Sarah and Peter Barclay	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain 'dead holes' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S24.087	Helen McIntosh	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain 'dead holes' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S68.089	John Baynes	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain 'dead holes' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S115.016	Kevin Robertson	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain as notified	SFF supports the inclusion of this definition.	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S143.027	Rural Ratepayers Group	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain as notified	SFF supports the inclusion of this definition.	Accept
S148.028	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain as notified	SFF supports the inclusion of this definition.	Accept
S60.018	Ronald Crispin	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain as notified	SFF supports the inclusion of this definition.	Accept
S68.136	John Baynes	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain as notified	SFF supports the inclusion of this definition.	Accept
S117.085	Greg Bokser	Interpretation	dead holes	Support	Retain 'dead holes' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S126.016	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept
S3.086	Stoney Creek Station Limited	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S113.086	Trudy Bokser	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S116.086	Nathan Bokser	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S118.086	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S127.122	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S18.088	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S19.088	Karen Temple	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S20.088	Sarah and Peter Barclay	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S24.088	Helen McIntosh	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S68.090	John Baynes	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S115.017	Kevin Robertson	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept
S143.028	Rural Ratepayers Group	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept
S148.029	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S60.019	Ronald Crispin	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept
S68.137	John Baynes	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain as notified	The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.	Accept
S117.086	Greg Bokser	Interpretation	earthworks	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as drafted.	Supports this definition.	Accept
S126.018	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Interpretation	farm track	Support	Retain as notified	This definition captures the normal use of a farm track.	Accept in part
S113.088	Trudy Bokser	Interpretation	farm track	Amend	Amend the definition for farm track to read: Means ~~vehicle access~~ -tracks for the movement of vehicles and/or stock associated with agriculture, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Supportive of the definition but wishes to de-couple the definition from 'vehicle access' as farm tracks do not provide vehicle access to legal roads.	Accept
S116.088	Nathan Bokser	Interpretation	farm track	Amend	Amend the definition for farm track to read: Means ~~vehicle access~~ -tracks for the movement of vehicles and/or stock associated with agriculture, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Supportive of the definition but wishes to de-couple the definition from 'vehicle access' as farm tracks do not provide vehicle access to legal roads.	Accept
S118.088	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	Interpretation	farm track	Amend	Amend the definition for farm track to read: Means ~~vehicle access~~ -tracks for the movement of vehicles and/or stock associated with agriculture, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Supportive of the definition but wishes to de-couple the definition from 'vehicle access' as farm tracks do not provide vehicle access to legal roads.	Accept
S127.124	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	Interpretation	farm track	Amend	Amend the definition for farm track to read: Means ~~vehicle access~~ -tracks for the movement of vehicles and/or stock associated with agriculture, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Supportive of the definition but wishes to de-couple the definition from 'vehicle access' as farm tracks do not provide vehicle access to legal roads.	Accept
S18.090	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	Interpretation	farm track	Amend	Amend the definition for farm track to read: Means ~~vehicle access~~ -tracks for the movement of vehicles and/or stock associated with agriculture, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Supportive of the definition but wishes to de-couple the definition from 'vehicle access' as farm tracks do not provide vehicle access to legal roads.	Accept
S19.090	Karen Temple	Interpretation	farm track	Amend	Amend the definition for farm track to read: Means ~~vehicle access~~ -tracks for the movement of vehicles and/or stock associated with agriculture, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Supportive of the definition but wishes to de-couple the definition from 'vehicle access' as farm tracks do not provide vehicle access to legal roads.	Accept
S20.090	Sarah and Peter Barclay	Interpretation	farm track	Amend	Amend the definition for farm track to read: Means ~~vehicle access~~ -tracks for the movement of vehicles and/or stock associated with agriculture, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Supportive of the definition but wishes to de-couple the definition from 'vehicle access' as farm tracks do not provide vehicle access to legal roads.	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S24.090	Helen McIntosh	Interpretation	farm track	Amend	Amend the definition for farm track to read: Means ~~vehicle access~~ -tracks for the movement of vehicles and/or stock associated with agriculture, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Supportive of the definition but wishes to de-couple the definition from 'vehicle access' as farm tracks do not provide vehicle access to legal roads.	Accept
S68.092	John Baynes	Interpretation	farm track	Amend	Amend the definition for farm track to read: Means ~~vehicle access~~ -tracks for the movement of vehicles and/or stock associated with agriculture, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Supportive of the definition but wishes to de-couple the definition from 'vehicle access' as farm tracks do not provide vehicle access to legal roads.	Accept
S115.019	Kevin Robertson	Interpretation	farm track	Support	Retain as notified	This definition captures the normal use of a farm track.	Accept in part
S143.030	Rural Ratepayers Group	Interpretation	farm track	Support	Retain as notified	This definition captures the normal use of a farm track.	Accept in part
S148.031	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	Interpretation	farm track	Support	Retain as notified	This definition captures the normal use of a farm track.	Accept in part
S60.021	Ronald Crispin	Interpretation	farm track	Support	Retain as notified	This definition captures the normal use of a farm track.	Accept in part
S68.139	John Baynes	Interpretation	farm track	Support	Retain as notified	This definition captures the normal use of a farm track.	Accept in part
S117.088	Greg Bokser	Interpretation	farm track	Amend	Amend the definition for farm track to read: Means ~~vehicle access~~ -tracks for the movement of vehicles and/or stock associated with agriculture, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Supportive of the definition but wishes to de-couple the definition from 'vehicle access' as farm tracks do not provide vehicle access to legal roads.	Accept
S3.088	Stoney Creek Station Limited	Interpretation	farm track	Amend	Amend the definition for farm track to read: Means ~~vehicle access~~ -tracks for the movement of vehicles and/or stock associated with agriculture, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Supportive of the definition but wishes to de-couple the definition from 'vehicle access' as farm tracks do not provide vehicle access to legal roads.	Accept
FS17.095	Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Federated Farmers supports this amendment as 'farm tracks' and 'vehicle access' must be differentiated to ensure clarity.	Accept
S104.019	McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	Interpretation	land disturbance	Amend	Amend all sections that land disturbance appears in to reflect its true meaning or remove if applicable. As it states that land disturbance does not permanently alter the profile, contour or height of the land, therefore, why have it?	Land disturbance is controlled in the ECO and NFL chapters, given its meaning this is inappropriate.	Reject
S3.095	Stoney Creek Station Limited	Interpretation	land disturbance	Support	Retain 'land disturbance' definition as drafted.	Supportive of the definitions with National Planning Standards.	Accept
S105.019	Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	Interpretation	land disturbance	Amend	Amend all sections that land disturbance appears in to reflect its true meaning or remove if applicable. As it states that land disturbance does not permanently alter the profile, contour or height of the land, therefore, why have it?	Land disturbance is controlled in the ECO and NFL chapters, given its meaning this is inappropriate.	Reject

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S127.019	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	Interpretation	land disturbance	Amend	Amend all sections that land disturbance appears in to reflect its true meaning or remove if applicable. As it states that land disturbance does not permanently alter the profile, contour or height of the land, therefore, why have it?	Land disturbance is controlled in the ECO and NFL chapters, given its meaning this is inappropriate.	Reject
S113.095	Trudy Bokser	Interpretation	land disturbance	Support	Retain 'land disturbance' definition as drafted.	Supportive of the definitions with National Planning Standards.	Accept
S116.095	Nathan Bokser	Interpretation	land disturbance	Support	Retain 'land disturbance' definition as drafted.	Supportive of the definitions with National Planning Standards.	Accept
S118.095	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	Interpretation	land disturbance	Support	Retain 'land disturbance' definition as drafted.	Supportive of the definitions with National Planning Standards.	Accept
S127.131	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	Interpretation	land disturbance	Support	Retain 'land disturbance' definition as drafted.	Supportive of the definitions with National Planning Standards.	Accept
S18.097	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	Interpretation	land disturbance	Support	Retain 'land disturbance' definition as drafted.	Supportive of the definitions with National Planning Standards.	Accept
S19.097	Karen Temple	Interpretation	land disturbance	Support	Retain 'land disturbance' definition as drafted.	Supportive of the definitions with National Planning Standards.	Accept
S20.097	Sarah and Peter Barclay	Interpretation	land disturbance	Support	Retain 'land disturbance' definition as drafted.	Supportive of the definitions with National Planning Standards.	Accept
S24.097	Helen McIntosh	Interpretation	land disturbance	Support	Retain 'land disturbance' definition as drafted.	Supportive of the definitions with National Planning Standards.	Accept
S68.099	John Baynes	Interpretation	land disturbance	Support	Retain 'land disturbance' definition as drafted.	Supportive of the definitions with National Planning Standards.	Accept
S117.095	Greg Bokser	Interpretation	land disturbance	Support	Retain 'land disturbance' definition as drafted.	Supportive of the definitions with National Planning Standards.	Accept
S126.029	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Interpretation	landscape gardening	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: The small scale design, construction and maintenance of ornamental gardens and outdoor areas and growing and caring for plants. It includes the alteration or disturbance of land (or any matter constituting the land including soil, clay, sand and rock) that does not permanently alter the profile, contour or height of the land. To avoid doubt, this definition excludes agricultural activities.	The current definition is too broad and general, and does not appear to be scale-limited, as gardening usually is. It extends into agricultural activities like pastoral farming, arable growing, and horticulture. This definition can be remedied by excluding agricultural definitions and bringing in the concept of scale.	Accept in part
S115.030	Kevin Robertson	Interpretation	landscape gardening	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: The small scale design, construction and maintenance of ornamental gardens and outdoor areas and growing and caring for plants. It includes the alteration or disturbance of land (or any matter constituting the land	The current definition is too broad and general, and does not appear to be scale-limited, as gardening usually is. It extends into agricultural activities like pastoral farming, arable growing, and horticulture. This definition can be remedied by	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					including soil, clay, sand and rock) that does not permanently alter the profile, contour or height of the land. To avoid doubt, this definition excludes agricultural activities.	excluding agricultural definitions and bringing in the concept of scale.	
S143.041	Rural Ratepayers Group	Interpretation	landscape gardening	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: The small scale design, construction and maintenance of ornamental gardens and outdoor areas and growing and caring for plants. It includes the alteration or disturbance of land (or any matter constituting the land including soil, clay, sand and rock) that does not permanently alter the profile, contour or height of the land. To avoid doubt, this definition excludes agricultural activities.	The current definition is too broad and general, and does not appear to be scale-limited, as gardening usually is. It extends into agricultural activities like pastoral farming, arable growing, and horticulture. This definition can be remedied by excluding agricultural definitions and bringing in the concept of scale.	Accept in part
S148.042	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	Interpretation	landscape gardening	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: The small scale design, construction and maintenance of ornamental gardens and outdoor areas and growing and caring for plants. It includes the alteration or disturbance of land (or any matter constituting the land including soil, clay, sand and rock) that does not permanently alter the profile, contour or height of the land. To avoid doubt, this definition excludes agricultural activities.	The current definition is too broad and general, and does not appear to be scale-limited, as gardening usually is. It extends into agricultural activities like pastoral farming, arable growing, and horticulture. This definition can be remedied by excluding agricultural definitions and bringing in the concept of scale.	Accept in part
S60.032	Ronald Crispin	Interpretation	landscape gardening	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: The small scale design, construction and maintenance of ornamental gardens and outdoor areas and growing and caring for plants. It includes the alteration or disturbance of land (or any matter constituting the land including soil, clay, sand and rock) that does not permanently alter the profile, contour or height of the land. To avoid doubt, this definition excludes agricultural activities.	The current definition is too broad and general, and does not appear to be scale-limited, as gardening usually is. It extends into agricultural activities like pastoral farming, arable growing, and horticulture. This definition can be remedied by excluding agricultural definitions and bringing in the concept of scale.	Accept in part
S68.150	John Baynes	Interpretation	landscape gardening	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: The small scale design, construction and maintenance of ornamental gardens and outdoor areas and growing and caring for plants. It includes the alteration or disturbance of land (or any matter constituting the land including soil, clay, sand and rock) that does not permanently alter the profile, contour or height of the land. To avoid doubt, this definition excludes agricultural activities.	The current definition is too broad and general, and does not appear to be scale-limited, as gardening usually is. It extends into agricultural activities like pastoral farming, arable growing, and horticulture. This definition can be remedied by excluding agricultural definitions and bringing in the concept of scale.	Accept in part
S126.047	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Interpretation	silage pit	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: Means an area constructed and used for the purpose of ensilement and fermentation of pasture , and storage of silage for animal feed.	More clarity is required for this definition.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence
 Blue Strikethrough – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S113.113	Trudy Bokser	Interpretation	silage pit	Oppose	Amend the definition of silage pit as follows: Means ~~an area constructed and used for the purpose of fermentation and storage for animal feed.~~ land or structures on which silage (plant material harvested while green for fodder and kept succulent by partial fermentation, but does not include baleage or hay) is stored, processed or directly utilised, excluding the storage of bales of plant material completely encapsulated in plastic.	This definition is broad and could be taken to include areas for the storage of hay or grain within a silo/container, or small scale storage of bagged feed. Alignment with the definition as per the Proposed Southland Land and Water plan is suggested.	Accept in part
S116.113	Nathan Bokser	Interpretation	silage pit	Oppose	Amend the definition of silage pit as follows: Means ~~an area constructed and used for the purpose of fermentation and storage for animal feed.~~ land or structures on which silage (plant material harvested while green for fodder and kept succulent by partial fermentation, but does not include baleage or hay) is stored, processed or directly utilised, excluding the storage of bales of plant material completely encapsulated in plastic.	This definition is broad and could be taken to include areas for the storage of hay or grain within a silo/container, or small scale storage of bagged feed. Alignment with the definition as per the Proposed Southland Land and Water plan is suggested.	Accept in part
S118.113	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	Interpretation	silage pit	Oppose	Amend the definition of silage pit as follows: Means ~~an area constructed and used for the purpose of fermentation and storage for animal feed.~~ land or structures on which silage (plant material harvested while green for fodder and kept succulent by partial fermentation, but does not include baleage or hay) is stored, processed or directly utilised, excluding the storage of bales of plant material completely encapsulated in plastic.	This definition is broad and could be taken to include areas for the storage of hay or grain within a silo/container, or small scale storage of bagged feed. Alignment with the definition as per the Proposed Southland Land and Water plan is suggested.	Accept in part
S127.149	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	Interpretation	silage pit	Oppose	Amend the definition of silage pit as follows: Means ~~an area constructed and used for the purpose of fermentation and storage for animal feed.~~ land or structures on which silage (plant material harvested while green for fodder and kept succulent by partial fermentation, but does not include baleage or hay) is stored, processed or directly utilised, excluding the storage of bales of plant material completely encapsulated in plastic.	This definition is broad and could be taken to include areas for the storage of hay or grain within a silo/container, or small scale storage of bagged feed. Alignment with the definition as per the Proposed Southland Land and Water plan is suggested.	Accept in part
S18.115	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	Interpretation	silage pit	Oppose	Amend the definition of silage pit as follows: Means ~~an area constructed and used for the purpose of fermentation and storage for animal feed.~~ land or structures on which silage (plant material harvested while green for fodder and kept succulent by partial fermentation, but does not include baleage or hay) is stored, processed or directly utilised, excluding the storage of bales of plant material completely encapsulated in plastic.	This definition is broad and could be taken to include areas for the storage of hay or grain within a silo/container, or small scale storage of bagged feed. Alignment with the definition as per the Proposed Southland Land and Water plan is suggested.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S19.115	Karen Temple	Interpretation	silage pit	Oppose	Amend the definition of silage pit as follows: Means ~~an area constructed and used for the purpose of fermentation and storage for animal feed.~~ land or structures on which silage (plant material harvested while green for fodder and kept succulent by partial fermentation, but does not include baleage or hay) is stored, processed or directly utilised, excluding the storage of bales of plant material completely encapsulated in plastic.	This definition is broad and could be taken to include areas for the storage of hay or grain within a silo/container, or small scale storage of bagged feed. Alignment with the definition as per the Proposed Southland Land and Water plan is suggested.	Accept in part
S20.115	Sarah and Peter Barclay	Interpretation	silage pit	Oppose	Amend the definition of silage pit as follows: Means ~~an area constructed and used for the purpose of fermentation and storage for animal feed.~~ land or structures on which silage (plant material harvested while green for fodder and kept succulent by partial fermentation, but does not include baleage or hay) is stored, processed or directly utilised, excluding the storage of bales of plant material completely encapsulated in plastic.	This definition is broad and could be taken to include areas for the storage of hay or grain within a silo/container, or small scale storage of bagged feed. Alignment with the definition as per the Proposed Southland Land and Water plan is suggested.	Accept in part
S24.115	Helen McIntosh	Interpretation	silage pit	Oppose	Amend the definition of silage pit as follows: Means ~~an area constructed and used for the purpose of fermentation and storage for animal feed.~~ land or structures on which silage (plant material harvested while green for fodder and kept succulent by partial fermentation, but does not include baleage or hay) is stored, processed or directly utilised, excluding the storage of bales of plant material completely encapsulated in plastic.	This definition is broad and could be taken to include areas for the storage of hay or grain within a silo/container, or small scale storage of bagged feed. Alignment with the definition as per the Proposed Southland Land and Water plan is suggested.	Accept in part
S68.117	John Baynes	Interpretation	silage pit	Oppose	Amend the definition of silage pit as follows: Means ~~an area constructed and used for the purpose of fermentation and storage for animal feed.~~ land or structures on which silage (plant material harvested while green for fodder and kept succulent by partial fermentation, but does not include baleage or hay) is stored, processed or directly utilised, excluding the storage of bales of plant material completely encapsulated in plastic.	This definition is broad and could be taken to include areas for the storage of hay or grain within a silo/container, or small scale storage of bagged feed. Alignment with the definition as per the Proposed Southland Land and Water plan is suggested.	Accept in part
S115.048	Kevin Robertson	Interpretation	silage pit	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: Means an area constructed and used for the purpose of ensilement and fermentation of pasture , and storage of silage for animal feed.	More clarity is required for this definition.	Accept in part
S143.059	Rural Ratepayers Group	Interpretation	silage pit	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: Means an area constructed and used for the purpose of ensilement and fermentation of pasture , and storage of silage for animal feed.	More clarity is required for this definition.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S148.060	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	Interpretation	silage pit	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: Means an area constructed and used for the purpose of ensilement and fermentation of pasture , and storage of silage for animal feed.	More clarity is required for this definition.	Accept in part
S60.050	Ronald Crispin	Interpretation	silage pit	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: Means an area constructed and used for the purpose of ensilement and fermentation of pasture , and storage of silage for animal feed.	More clarity is required for this definition.	Accept in part
S68.168	John Baynes	Interpretation	silage pit	Support in part	Amend definition and make any necessary consequential amendments: Means an area constructed and used for the purpose of ensilement and fermentation of pasture , and storage of silage for animal feed.	More clarity is required for this definition.	Accept in part
S117.113	Greg Bokser	Interpretation	silage pit	Oppose	Amend the definition of silage pit as follows: Means ~~an area constructed and used for the purpose of fermentation and storage for animal feed.~~ land or structures on which silage (plant material harvested while green for fodder and kept succulent by partial fermentation, but does not include baleage or hay) is stored, processed or directly utilised, excluding the storage of bales of plant material completely encapsulated in plastic.	This definition is broad and could be taken to include areas for the storage of hay or grain within a silo/container, or small scale storage of bagged feed. Alignment with the definition as per the Proposed Southland Land and Water plan is suggested.	Accept in part
S3.113	Stoney Creek Station Limited	Interpretation	silage pit	Oppose	Amend the definition of silage pit as follows: Means ~~an area constructed and used for the purpose of fermentation and storage for animal feed.~~ land or structures on which silage (plant material harvested while green for fodder and kept succulent by partial fermentation, but does not include baleage or hay) is stored, processed or directly utilised, excluding the storage of bales of plant material completely encapsulated in plastic.	This definition is broad and could be taken to include areas for the storage of hay or grain within a silo/container, or small scale storage of bagged feed. Alignment with the definition as per the Proposed Southland Land and Water plan is suggested.	Accept in part
FS17.0100	Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Federated Farmers supports the amendment as the submitter's proposed definition aligns with the definitions of 'silage' and 'silage storage facility' in the proposed Southland Water and Land Plan	Accept in part
S104.024	McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Support	Include the list of exemptions as permitted activities in the Natural Features and Landscapes (ONL) along with farm fencing (as previously mentioned, proposed rule NFL- R5).	The submitter supports the list of exemptions under the earthworks section. However, wants them included in the NFL chapter as a permitted activity.	Reject
S109.110	Department of Conservation	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Support	Retain as notified	The D-G supports the exemption from the rules for earthworks associated with the restoration of indigenous vegetation	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S112.087	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports the earthworks and land disturbance exemptions, in particular points 10 and 11, because Waka Kotahi undertake earthworks in compliance with resource consents issued by Environment Southland and / or permitted by rules in regional plans as part of its operation of the State highway network.	Accept in part
S133.004	David Pullar	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Include fences for primary production purposes in the list of exempt activities.	To enable land users to effectively fence and manage their land, including excluding stock from areas requiring protection from grazing.	Reject
S3.038	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Convert the exclusions note to a permitted activity rule in the Earthworks chapter.	Stoney Creek supports the listed exemptions from the Earthworks chapter, but questions the status of a note as opposed to a policy or rule.	Accept in part
S132.073	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Support	Retain EW- Exemptions as proposed.	KiwiRail supports the earthworks and land disturbance exemption from District Plan Rules in this Chapter, specifically point 10 and 11.	Accept in part
S105.024	Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Support	Include the list of exemptions as permitted activities in the Natural Features and Landscapes (ONL) along with farm fencing (as previously mentioned, proposed rule NFL-R5).	The submitter supports the list of exemptions under the earthworks section. However, wants them included in the NFL chapter as a permitted activity.	Reject
S127.024	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Support	Include the list of exemptions as permitted activities in the Natural Features and Landscapes (ONL) along with farm fencing (as previously mentioned, proposed rule NFL- R5).	The submitter supports the list of exemptions under the earthworks section. However, wants them included in the NFL chapter as a permitted activity.	Reject
S33.052	FortySouth	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Support in part	Add trenching no deeper than 1.0m and wider than 1.0m for underground infrastructure, provided that no more than 120m of trench is open at any one time to the list of earthworks and land disturbance activities that are exempt from the rules in this chapter	As the infrastructure chapter encourages and permits underground infrastructure, trenching is required. Trenching is generally limited in extent, does not alter the ground level, and is backfilled as work progresses along lineal infrastructure. It has limited effects and should be exempted	Reject
S37.052	Connexa Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Support in part	Add trenching no deeper than 1.0m and wider than 1.0m for underground infrastructure, provided that no more than 120m of trench is open at any one time to the list of earthworks and land disturbance activities that are exempt from the rules in this chapter	As the infrastructure chapter encourages and permits underground infrastructure, trenching is required. Trenching is generally limited in extent, does not alter the ground level, and is backfilled as work progresses along lineal infrastructure. It has limited effects and should be exempted	Reject
S113.038	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Convert the exclusions note to a permitted activity rule in the Earthworks chapter.	Stoney Creek supports the listed exemptions from the Earthworks chapter, but questions the status of a note as opposed to a policy or rule.	Accept in part
S116.038	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Convert the exclusions note to a permitted activity rule in the Earthworks chapter.	Stoney Creek supports the listed exemptions from the Earthworks chapter, but questions the status of a note as opposed to a policy or rule.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S118.038	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Convert the exclusions note to a permitted activity rule in the Earthworks chapter.	Stoney Creek supports the listed exemptions from the Earthworks chapter, but questions the status of a note as opposed to a policy or rule.	Accept in part
S127.074	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Convert the exclusions note to a permitted activity rule in the Earthworks chapter.	Stoney Creek supports the listed exemptions from the Earthworks chapter, but questions the status of a note as opposed to a policy or rule.	Accept in part
S18.040	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Convert the exclusions note to a permitted activity rule in the Earthworks chapter.	Stoney Creek supports the listed exemptions from the Earthworks chapter, but questions the status of a note as opposed to a policy or rule.	Accept in part
S19.040	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Convert the exclusions note to a permitted activity rule in the Earthworks chapter.	Stoney Creek supports the listed exemptions from the Earthworks chapter, but questions the status of a note as opposed to a policy or rule.	Accept in part
S20.040	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Convert the exclusions note to a permitted activity rule in the Earthworks chapter.	Stoney Creek supports the listed exemptions from the Earthworks chapter, but questions the status of a note as opposed to a policy or rule.	Accept in part
S24.040	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Convert the exclusions note to a permitted activity rule in the Earthworks chapter.	Stoney Creek supports the listed exemptions from the Earthworks chapter, but questions the status of a note as opposed to a policy or rule.	Accept in part
S68.042	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Convert the exclusions note to a permitted activity rule in the Earthworks chapter.	Stoney Creek supports the listed exemptions from the Earthworks chapter, but questions the status of a note as opposed to a policy or rule.	Accept in part
S117.038	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Amend	Convert the exclusions note to a permitted activity rule in the Earthworks chapter.	Stoney Creek supports the listed exemptions from the Earthworks chapter, but questions the status of a note as opposed to a policy or rule.	Accept in part
S35.052	Chorus New Zealand Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Support in part	Add trenching no deeper than 1.0m and wider than 1.0m for underground infrastructure, provided that no more than 120m of trench is open at any one time to the list of earthworks and land disturbance activities that are exempt from the rules in this chapter	As the infrastructure chapter encourages and permits underground infrastructure, trenching is required. Trenching is generally limited in extent, does not alter the ground level, and is backfilled as work progresses along lineal infrastructure. It has limited effects and should be exempted	Reject
FS5.119	Hamish Weir			Support	Allow		Reject
FS21.085	Yrless Limited			Support	Allow	Trenching can be an efficient way of conducting earthworks while minimising environmental impacts. Amend to allow for trenching to be exempted provided it operates within specified limits.	Reject
S32.052	One New Zealand Group Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Support in part	Add trenching no deeper than 1.0m and wider than 1.0m for underground infrastructure, provided that no more than 120m of trench is open at any one time to the list of	As the infrastructure chapter encourages and permits underground infrastructure, trenching is required. Trenching is generally limited in extent, does not alter the ground level,	Reject

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence
~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					earthworks and land disturbance activities that are exempt from the rules in this chapter	and is backfilled as work progresses along lineal infrastructure. It has limited effects and should be exempted	
FS11.003	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			Oppose	Disallow	The Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 makes it unlawful for any person to destroy, damage or modify the whole or any part of an archaeological site without the prior authority of HNZPT. Most archaeological sites are not recorded and are therefore at risk of damage when landowners, developers and contractors are unaware of them and undertake works. The extent of earthworks required for the trenching may affect identified or unknown archaeological sites. HNZPT submits that this activity should not be assigned a permitted status as the proposed works should be assessed to determine the effects on historic heritage, including archaeology. As previously submitted, HNZPT recommends that all relevant standards under Earthworks Rules 1-15 include the consideration of heritage values, including archaeology, as a matter of discretion.	Accept
S154.052	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Support in part	Add trenching no deeper than 1.0m and wider than 1.0m for underground infrastructure, provided that no more than 120m of trench is open at any one time to the list of earthworks and land disturbance activities that are exempt from the rules in this chapter	As the infrastructure chapter encourages and permits underground infrastructure, trenching is required. Trenching is generally limited in extent, does not alter the ground level, and is backfilled as work progresses along lineal infrastructure. It has limited effects and should be exempted	Reject
FS11.004	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			Oppose	Disallow	The Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 makes it unlawful for any person to destroy, damage or modify the whole or any part of an archaeological site without the prior authority of HNZPT. Most archaeological sites are not recorded and are therefore at risk of damage when landowners, developers and contractors are unaware of them and undertake works. The extent of earthworks required for the trenching may affect identified or unknown archaeological sites. HNZPT submits that this activity should not be assigned a permitted status as the proposed works should be assessed to determine the effects on historic heritage, including archaeology. As previously submitted, HNZPT recommends that all relevant standards under Earthworks Rules 1-15 include the consideration of heritage values, including archaeology, as a matter of discretion	Accept
S16.043	Fuel Companies	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Oppose	Add a new exclusion at the beginning of the earthworks rules table as follows: Earthworks and Land Disturbance of the following activities are exempt from the rules in this chapter of the District Plan:	Concerned that the exemption for "Earthworks undertaken as permitted activities in conformity with National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health Regulations	Reject

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					1. ... 12. Replacement, removal and installation of underground storage tanks undertaken in accordance with the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health) Regulations 2011.	2011" as notified in the draft plan, has been removed from the Earthworks chapter in the PDP. Earthworks for replacement fuel storage tanks often breach PA standards (EW-S1 in this instance). The NESCS sufficiently provides for remediation measures where earthworks are undertaken to install / remove underground fuel storage tanks. Therefore, it's appropriate to exempt this activity from the Earthwork provisions. To ensure that duplication with the provisions of the NESCS are avoided, we seek that rather than providing for Replacement, removal and installation of underground storage tanks as a permitted activity under EW-R5, this provision is deleted and added as an activity that is exempt from the earthworks provisions where it is undertaken in accordance with the NESCS.	
FS11.040	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			Oppose	Disallow	The Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 makes it unlawful for any person to destroy, damage or modify the whole or any part of an archaeological site without the prior authority of HNZPT. Most archaeological sites are not recorded and are therefore at risk of damage when landowners, developers and contractors are unaware of them and undertake works. The depth of earthworks required for the installation of underground storage tanks may affect identified or unknown archaeological sites. HNZPT submits that this activity should not be assigned a permitted status as the proposed works should be assessed to determine the effects on historic heritage, including archaeology. As previously submitted, HNZPT recommends that all relevant standards under Earthworks Rules 1-15 include the consideration of heritage values, including archaeology, as a matter of discretion.	Accept
S138.004	Port Blakely Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-Exemptions	Oppose	Amend the list of activities exempt from the Earthworks rules, to include earthworks carried out in relation to commercial forestry; or insert new rule for earthworks associated with commercial forestry, permitting those activities where they comply with regulations 24 to 33 of the NES-CF.	As per NES-CF, when the earthworks no longer meet the permitted standards, it is the regional council that has jurisdiction to consider an application for a resource consent, not the territorial authority.	Reject
FS11.055	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT acknowledges that the dominant land use within the district is agriculture and that the District Plan needs to enable sustainable primary production within appropriate zones. The previously stated activities will involve earthworks and land disturbance during establishment, maintenance, and use, which may affect identified and unknown archaeological sites.	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
						As stated in one of the submissions lodged, activities such as fencing require a significant amount of earthworks to provide a buffer for vegetation and allow heavy vehicle access for establishment and maintenance. Ongoing agricultural land use in areas that have undergone previous soil disturbance, such as ploughing, tilling, etc., are unlikely to encounter archaeology. However, earthworks or ground disturbance to areas that have been previously undisturbed, or go below the topsoil have the potential to uncover archaeology. Activities within these areas should have sufficient triggers in the District Plan to create awareness of the plan users' potential obligation under the archaeological provisions of the HNZPTA. For activities involving significant volumes of earthworks, such as quarries, mines, commercial forestry, and renewable electricity generation activities, or areas that have been largely undisturbed, such as significant natural landscapes or features, the effects on archaeology require assessment and a permitted activity status is not appropriate.	
S109.107	Department of Conservation	EW - Earthworks	EW-Overview	Support	Retain reference to which rules apply for SNAs and ONL/Fs.	The D-G supports the clarify around what the chapter doesn't include and that the provisions relating to SNAs and ONL/F are dealt within those specific chapters.	Accept in part
S109.108	Department of Conservation	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support	Retain as notified	The D-G supports the objective to ensure that earthworks and land disturbance are managed to minimise adverse effects on the surrounding environment and to protect the natural environment and Ngāi Tahu cultural values.	Accept
S121.062	Alliance Group Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support	Retain as notified	It is appropriate to facilitate earthworks for development while "minimising" adverse effects and protecting the specified values. Effects associated with earthworks can typically be managed by way of standard construction management procedures, however the outright "avoidance" of all adverse effects would be impractical, given effects associated with dust and accidental discoveries (for example) can be unavoidable in some cases.	Accept
S146.063	Southland District Council	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support in part	Separate provision into more than one objective.	This provision covers a lot of ground and may be better separated into more than one objective.	Reject
S35.050	Chorus New Zealand Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support	Retain EW-O1 as notified	EW0-O1 appropriately sets out the requisite direction for earthworks	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S40.044	Silver Fern Farms	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support	Retain as notified	It is appropriate to facilitate earthworks for development while "minimizing" adverse effects and protecting the specified values.	Accept
S132.068	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support	Retain EW-O1 as proposed.	KiwiRail supports minimising adverse effects from earthworks and land disturbance on the surrounding environment.	Accept
S154.050	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support	Retain EW-O1 as notified	EW0-O1 appropriately sets out the requisite direction for earthworks	Accept
S32.050	One New Zealand Group Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support	Retain EW-O1 as notified	EW0-O1 appropriately sets out the requisite direction for earthworks	Accept
S33.050	FortySouth	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support	Retain EW-O1 as notified	EW0-O1 appropriately sets out the requisite direction for earthworks	Accept
S37.050	Connexa Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support	Retain EW-O1 as notified	EW0-O1 appropriately sets out the requisite direction for earthworks	Accept
S113.035	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Oppose	Amend EW-O1 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that avoids, remedies or minimises adverse effects on the ~~surrounding~~ environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.	Minor changes to this Objective are proposed to reflect the purpose of the RMA.	Reject
S116.035	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Oppose	Amend EW-O1 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that avoids, remedies or minimises adverse effects on the ~~surrounding~~ environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.	Minor changes to this Objective are proposed to reflect the purpose of the RMA.	Reject
S118.035	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Oppose	Amend EW-O1 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that avoids, remedies or minimises adverse effects on the ~~surrounding~~ environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.	Minor changes to this Objective are proposed to reflect the purpose of the RMA.	Reject
S127.071	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Oppose	Amend EW-O1 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that avoids, remedies or minimises adverse effects on the ~~surrounding~~ environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.	Minor changes to this Objective are proposed to reflect the purpose of the RMA.	Reject

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S146.108	Southland District Council	EW - Earthworks	EW-01	Support in part	Review EW-01 and environmental areas, such as sedimentation, water quality risks from earthworks and visual effects in landscapes adjoining Southland District, particularly in Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Visual Amenity Landscapes.	We have some concerns EW-01 and environmental areas, such as sedimentation, water quality risks from earthworks and visual effects in landscapes adjoining Southland District, particularly in Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Visual Amenity Landscapes.	Reject
S18.037	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-01	Oppose	Amend EW-01 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that avoids, remedies or minimises adverse effects on the ~~surrounding~~ environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.	Minor changes to this Objective are proposed to reflect the purpose of the RMA.	Reject
S19.037	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW-01	Oppose	Amend EW-01 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that avoids, remedies or minimises adverse effects on the ~~surrounding~~ environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.	Minor changes to this Objective are proposed to reflect the purpose of the RMA.	Reject
S20.037	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW-01	Oppose	Amend EW-01 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that avoids, remedies or minimises adverse effects on the ~~surrounding~~ environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.	Minor changes to this Objective are proposed to reflect the purpose of the RMA.	Reject
S24.037	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW-01	Oppose	Amend EW-01 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that avoids, remedies or minimises adverse effects on the ~~surrounding~~ environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.	Minor changes to this Objective are proposed to reflect the purpose of the RMA.	Reject
S68.039	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-01	Oppose	Amend EW-01 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that avoids, remedies or minimises adverse effects on the ~~surrounding~~ environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.	Minor changes to this Objective are proposed to reflect the purpose of the RMA.	Reject
S117.035	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-01	Oppose	Amend EW-01 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that avoids, remedies or minimises adverse effects on the	Minor changes to this Objective are proposed to reflect the purpose of the RMA.	Reject

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					~~surrounding~~ environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.		
S139.063	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support in part	Amend to read: "Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that ~~minimises adverse effects on the surrounding environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.~~ ways that: i. protect the natural environment including the mauri of whenua or wai; ii. recognise and protect silent files and other wāhi tapu; iii. avoid adverse effects on mahinga kai and other customary uses; andiv. minimise adverse effects on the surrounding environment. "	Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party.	Reject
FS5.117	Hamish Weir			Oppose	Disallow	This is unnecessary expansion of the rule wording	Accept
S3.035	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Oppose	Amend EW-O1 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance for subdivision, land use and development are facilitated and undertaken in a manner that avoids, remedies or minimises adverse effects on the ~~surrounding~~ environment and protects the natural environment, Ngāi Tahu cultural values, people and property.	Minor changes to this Objective are proposed to reflect the purpose of the RMA.	Reject
FS17.061	Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Federated Farmers supports this change which adds value to EW-O1.	Reject
S112.084	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports this policy in particular Point 3. that provides for the construction, upgrade, maintenance or repair of the transport network.	Accept
S121.063	Alliance Group Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Alliance agrees that earthworks and land disturbance should be enabled where appropriate to reflect the character and amenity of the zone.	Accept
S122.009	Fulton Hogan	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support in part	Amend EW-P1 as follows: EW-P1 Recognise the benefits and necessity of earthworks for the subdivision, use and development of land and the provision of utilities, by enabling earthworks and land disturbance: (...) 2. associated with ~~rural~~ primary production, where these are located in the General Rural Zone; 3 (...)	In general, we are supportive of this policy and the concept of providing for activities that are necessary, or have effects that are anticipated by the Plan. We note that "rural production" is not a defined term, and this could be confusing for Plan users. We request this be replaced with a defined term, such as Primary Production, which is a defined and understood term.	Accept
S126.121	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as notified.	Support is given for recognising the role (purpose) and character of a zone and the earthworks within it, meaning farming related earthworks in the rural zone should be considered appropriate and acceptable. While being in	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
						character with the surrounding land, the functionality of the earthworks is also important. Earthworks for farm tracking needs to be functional to allow safe passage across steep terrain. Visual effects must not take precedence over functionality.	
S132.069	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as proposed.	KiwiRail supports the recognition of benefits of earthworks by enabling earthworks and land disturbance. In particular supportive of point 3.	Accept
S154.051	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as notified	Recognizing the benefits of earthworks for network utilities is supported.	Accept
S32.051	One New Zealand Group Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as notified	Recognizing the benefits of earthworks for network utilities is supported.	Accept
S33.051	FortySouth	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as notified	Recognizing the benefits of earthworks for network utilities is supported.	Accept
S37.051	Connexa Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as notified	Recognizing the benefits of earthworks for network utilities is supported.	Accept
S113.036	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1(2) as drafted.	Supportive of the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities.	Accept
S116.036	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1(2) as drafted.	Supportive of the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities.	Accept
S118.036	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1(2) as drafted.	Supportive of the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities.	Accept
S127.072	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1(2) as drafted.	Supportive of the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities.	Accept
S18.038	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1(2) as drafted.	Supportive of the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities.	Accept
S19.038	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1(2) as drafted.	Supportive of the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities.	Accept
S20.038	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1(2) as drafted.	Supportive of the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities.	Accept
S24.038	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1(2) as drafted.	Supportive of the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities.	Accept
S68.040	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1(2) as drafted.	Supportive of the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities.	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S115.122	Kevin Robertson	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as notified.	Support is given for recognising the role (purpose) and character of a zone and the earthworks within it, meaning farming related earthworks in the rural zone should be considered appropriate and acceptable. While being in character with the surrounding land, the functionality of the earthworks is also important. Earthworks for farm tracking needs to be functional to allow safe passage across steep terrain. Visual effects must not take precedence over functionality.	Accept
S143.133	Rural Ratepayers Group	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as notified.	Support is given for recognising the role (purpose) and character of a zone and the earthworks within it, meaning farming related earthworks in the rural zone should be considered appropriate and acceptable. While being in character with the surrounding land, the functionality of the earthworks is also important. Earthworks for farm tracking needs to be functional to allow safe passage across steep terrain. Visual effects must not take precedence over functionality.	Accept
S148.134	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as notified.	Support is given for recognising the role (purpose) and character of a zone and the earthworks within it, meaning farming related earthworks in the rural zone should be considered appropriate and acceptable. While being in character with the surrounding land, the functionality of the earthworks is also important. Earthworks for farm tracking needs to be functional to allow safe passage across steep terrain. Visual effects must not take precedence over functionality.	Accept
S60.124	Ronald Crispin	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as notified.	Support is given for recognising the role (purpose) and character of a zone and the earthworks within it, meaning farming related earthworks in the rural zone should be considered appropriate and acceptable. While being in character with the surrounding land, the functionality of the earthworks is also important. Earthworks for farm tracking needs to be functional to allow safe passage across steep terrain. Visual effects must not take precedence over functionality.	Accept
S68.242	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as notified.	Support is given for recognising the role (purpose) and character of a zone and the earthworks within it, meaning farming related earthworks in the rural zone should be considered appropriate and acceptable. While being in	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
						character with the surrounding land, the functionality of the earthworks is also important. Earthworks for farm tracking needs to be functional to allow safe passage across steep terrain. Visual effects must not take precedence over functionality.	
S117.036	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1(2) as drafted.	Supportive of the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities.	Accept
S3.036	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1(2) as drafted.	Supportive of the recognition of the need for earthworks associated with rural production activities.	Accept
FS5.118	Hamish Weir			Support	Allow		Accept
S35.051	Chorus New Zealand Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-P1	Support	Retain EW-P1 as notified	Recognizing the benefits of earthworks for network utilities is supported.	Accept
FS21.084	Yrless Limited			Support	Allow	Earthworks are necessary in the installation, maintenance and advancement of infrastructure development	Accept
S101.069	Transpower New Zealand Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-P2	Support	Retain Policy EW-P2 as notified.	Transpower supports Policy EW-P2 because the Policy is consistent with, and gives effect (in part) to, Policy 10 of the NPSET.	Accept
S112.085	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-P3	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports this policy in that it recognises the need to limit the bulk and scale of earthworks to ensure that it does not exacerbate inundation and flooding on other sites. Waka Kotahi is aware that poorly planned and timed earthworks can result on adverse effects on the State highway network such as build up of debris blocking drains and surface water flooding.	Accept
S121.064	Alliance Group Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-P3	Support	Retain as notified	The provision for a limited amount of earthwork in flood prone areas as a permitted activity is appropriate for efficiency.	Accept
S40.045	Silver Fern Farms	EW - Earthworks	EW-P3	Support	Retain as notified	The provision for a limited amount of earthwork in flood prone areas as a permitted activity is appropriate for efficiency.	Accept
S132.070	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-P3	Support	Retain EW-P3 as proposed.	Supports the restriction of earthworks within areas of potential inundation to ensure these do not exacerbate inundation or flooding effects on other sites.	Accept
S122.010	Fulton Hogan	EW - Earthworks	EW-P3	Support in part	Amend EW-P3 as follows: EW-P3 Allow for earthworks of a limited bulk and scale within areas of potential inundation to	In general, we support the concept of providing for some earthworks in potential inundation areas, where the impacts	Reject

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					~~ensure~~ provided these do not exacerbate inundation or flooding effects on other	of these are likely to be low. We suggest that this policy could be worded differently to make its intent clearer.	
FS28.049	Kainga Ora			Support	Allow	Kāinga Ora agrees with this submission point.	Reject
S123.014	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	EW - Earthworks	EW-P4	Amend	Amend EW-P4 Require earthworks to be appropriately designed, located and undertaken so that: ... 8. historic heritage values are maintained or enhanced.	HNZPT recommends an amendment to policy 4, to reflect the potential adverse effects on heritage values, including cultural and archaeological. The values of heritage sites, buildings and surrounding areas beyond amenity and character needs to be managed. The current proposed policy structure may not provide for the protection of historic heritage where these values aren't recognised as contributing to the character and amenity of the wider zone.	Reject
S132.071	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-P4	Oppose in part	Amend as follows: 1. the cut depth and fill height does not detract from the amenity or stability of other sites	KiwRail opposes the wording in point 1. due to typographical error.	Accept
S112.086	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-P5	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi generally supports this policy in that it seeks in Point 5. to ensure that earthworks do not impact on the safe and efficient functioning of the transport network or regionally significant infrastructure.	Accept
S139.064	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	EW - Earthworks	EW-P5	Support in part	Amend to read: "Manage earthworks that are of a nature, scale or in a location where there may be adverse effects to ensure that: ... 2. the natural character of rivers and their margins are preserved and water quality, stream health, the intrinsic values of ecosystems, ~~Ngāi Tahu cultural~~ mauri and mahinga kai values, and any public access are maintained or enhanced; 3. the design, location and undertaking of earthworks recognise Ngāi Tahu cultural values associated with the area, including whakapapa and a consideration of cultural landscapes, protects archaeological sites and cultural sites of significance and any silent files or other wāhi tapu , and ~~limits any adverse effects on the underlying relationship Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment~~ mitigates any other adverse effects on mana whenua; "	Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party.	Accept in part
S132.072	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-P5	Support in part	Amend as follows: Manage earthworks that are of a nature, scale or in a location where there may be adverse effects to ensure that: 2. the natural character of rivers and their margins are protected preserved and water quality, stream health, the intrinsic values of	KiwiRail generally supports EW-P5 but seeks to clarify "preserved" meaning in point 2 as it seems to contradict point 5. Preserve means to maintain something in its original or existing state. The word 'preserve' at point two means that point 5 cannot be achieved when working in rivers and their margins. It is common following high flows, weather events and	Reject

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					ecosystems, Ngai Tahu cultural values, and public access are maintained or enhanced.	general maintenance for earthworks to be required within the rivers / streams and their margins for example abutment protection, scour remediation works. High flows and weather events can cause a buildup of gravel or debris which can restrict the freeboard under the bridges, putting the rail network at significant risk of failure. Failure to remove this gravel/debris or undertake the necessary repairs / protection put the operation of the safe and efficient functioning of the transport network / critical infrastructure at risk.	
S110.042	Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	EW - Earthworks	EW-P5	Amend	Add: 7. Indigenous biodiversity and ecological connections are maintained or enhanced, including consideration given to nesting/roosting times of rare or threatened indigenous species or taonga species.	Policy EW-P5 (2) requires that natural character of rivers and their margins are preserved and water quality, stream health, the intrinsic values of ecosystems are maintained/enhanced, but there is no mention of terrestrial ecosystems/biodiversity. It is also important that, given the significant effects that earthworks can have on nesting species (particularly birds) that these effects are taken into account, especially for large scale earthworks.	Reject
FS17.062	Federated Farmers			Oppose	Disallow	Federated Farmers does not support the proposed addition to EW-P5. 'Ecological connections' is not defined in the PDP (or proposed to be defined by the submitter). It is important that farmers are able to carry out earthworks required for day-to-day farming operations which have a functional need, such as creation of farm tracks.	Accept
S109.109	Department of Conservation	EW - Earthworks	EW-P5	Support	Retain as notified	The D-G supports the objective to ensure that earthworks and land disturbance are managed to minimise adverse effects on the surrounding environment and to protect the natural environment and Ngāi Tahu cultural values.	Accept
FS27.019	Alliance Group Limited			Support	Allow	Alliance agrees with the position of DoC.	Accept
S109.111	Department of Conservation	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Support	Retain as notified	The D-G supports the clarification about what rules apply for earthworks for sites located within SNAs, heritage sites and ONL or ONFs	Accept
S142.004	Alan Taylor	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences (All zones expect ONLs) Activity status: Permitted ~~Where: 1. Any land disturbance does~~	Fencing presents a linear, small scale of land disturbance, and the proposed rule seeks to heavily restrict fencing activities across the district regardless of zoning. The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					~~not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~	those proposed within the NFL chapter. The permitted width of land disturbance is not achievable for the installation of fences, as sufficient space for access is required to ensure vegetation doesn't interfere with the fence and maintenance. Equipment ranges from (1.2m for 4-wheelers used to inspect and transport material) to 3. 55m (small tractors used with post drivers). Clearance is required for ongoing inspections generally done by four-wheelers (1.2m) or Utes (2.9m). The provision as drafted is unworkable for farming operations and will restrict the ability for farmers to effectively manage farming operations.	
S113.039	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences(All zones except ONLs) Activity status: PermittedWhere: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.	The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than those proposed in the NFL chapter. The width of land disturbance permitted by the rule is not achievable for the installation of fences and is unworkable for a farming operation. General earthworks are permitted up to 1000m3, there is no commentary in the S32 report why fences are further restricted.	Accept in part
S116.039	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences(All zones except ONLs) Activity status: PermittedWhere: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.	The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than those proposed in the NFL chapter. The width of land disturbance permitted by the rule is not achievable for the installation of fences and is unworkable for a farming operation. General earthworks are permitted up to 1000m3, there is no commentary in the S32 report why fences are further restricted.	Accept in part
S118.039	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences(All zones except ONLs) Activity status: PermittedWhere: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~	The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than those proposed in the NFL chapter. The width of land disturbance permitted by the rule is not achievable for the installation of fences and is unworkable for a farming operation. General earthworks are permitted up to 1000m3, there is no commentary in the S32 report why fences are further restricted.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence
~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.		
S127.075	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences(All zones except ONLs) Activity status: PermittedWhere: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.	The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than those proposed in the NFL chapter. The width of land disturbance permitted by the rule is not achievable for the installation of fences and is unworkable for a farming operation. General earthworks are permitted up to 1000m3, there is no commentary in the S32 report why fences are further restricted.	Accept in part
S18.041	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences(All zones except ONLs) Activity status: PermittedWhere: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.	The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than those proposed in the NFL chapter. The width of land disturbance permitted by the rule is not achievable for the installation of fences and is unworkable for a farming operation. General earthworks are permitted up to 1000m3, there is no commentary in the S32 report why fences are further restricted.	Accept in part
S19.041	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences(All zones except ONLs) Activity status: PermittedWhere: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.	The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than those proposed in the NFL chapter. The width of land disturbance permitted by the rule is not achievable for the installation of fences and is unworkable for a farming operation. General earthworks are permitted up to 1000m3, there is no commentary in the S32 report why fences are further restricted.	Accept in part
S20.041	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences(All zones except ONLs) Activity status: PermittedWhere: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more~~	The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than those proposed in the NFL chapter. The width of land disturbance permitted by the rule is not achievable for the installation of fences and is unworkable for a farming operation. General earthworks are permitted up to 1000m3,	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					~~than 10 metres in any 100-metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.	there is no commentary in the S32 report why fences are further restricted.	
S24.041	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences(All zones except ONLs) Activity status: PermittedWhere: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100-metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.	The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than those proposed in the NFL chapter. The width of land disturbance permitted by the rule is not achievable for the installation of fences and is unworkable for a farming operation. General earthworks are permitted up to 1000m3, there is no commentary in the S32 report why fences are further restricted.	Accept in part
S68.043	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences(All zones except ONLs) Activity status: PermittedWhere: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100-metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.	The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than those proposed in the NFL chapter. The width of land disturbance permitted by the rule is not achievable for the installation of fences and is unworkable for a farming operation. General earthworks are permitted up to 1000m3, there is no commentary in the S32 report why fences are further restricted.	Accept in part
S115.123	Kevin Robertson	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend EW-R1 as below or exclude farm fences from this rule Land disturbance for the construction of fences Activity status: Permitted Where: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100-metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.	SFF finds this rule unnecessarily restrictive and is unsure why the Council is taking this approach to restrict fencing when the s 32 report provides no rationale. EW-R1 is inconsistent with rules elsewhere in the PDP, such as the NFL chapter. SFF suggest amendments to this rule which will allow farmers the ability to carry out land disturbance as required for fencing. Imposing restrictions on this, and therefore forcing farmers to apply for a resource consent, will disrupt farming operations.	Accept in part
S143.134	Rural Ratepayers Group	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend EW-R1 as below or exclude farm fences from this rule Land disturbance for the construction of fences Activity status: Permitted Where: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100-metre~~	SFF finds this rule unnecessarily restrictive and is unsure why the Council is taking this approach to restrict fencing when the s 32 report provides no rationale. EW-R1 is inconsistent with rules elsewhere in the PDP, such as the NFL chapter. SFF	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					~~length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.~~	suggest amendments to this rule which will allow farmers the ability to carry out land disturbance as required for fencing. Imposing restrictions on this, and therefore forcing farmers to apply for a resource consent, will disrupt farming operations.	
S148.135	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend EW-R1 as below or exclude farm fences from this rule Land disturbance for the construction of fences Activity status: Permitted Where: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.~~	SFF finds this rule unnecessarily restrictive and is unsure why the Council is taking this approach to restrict fencing when the s 32 report provides no rationale. EW-R1 is inconsistent with rules elsewhere in the PDP, such as the NFL chapter. SFF suggest amendments to this rule which will allow farmers the ability to carry out land disturbance as required for fencing. Imposing restrictions on this, and therefore forcing farmers to apply for a resource consent, will disrupt farming operations.	Accept in part
S60.125	Ronald Crispin	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend EW-R1 as below or exclude farm fences from this rule Land disturbance for the construction of fences Activity status: Permitted Where: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.~~	SFF finds this rule unnecessarily restrictive and is unsure why the Council is taking this approach to restrict fencing when the s 32 report provides no rationale. EW-R1 is inconsistent with rules elsewhere in the PDP, such as the NFL chapter. SFF suggest amendments to this rule which will allow farmers the ability to carry out land disturbance as required for fencing. Imposing restrictions on this, and therefore forcing farmers to apply for a resource consent, will disrupt farming operations.	Accept in part
S68.243	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend EW-R1 as below or exclude farm fences from this rule Land disturbance for the construction of fences Activity status: Permitted Where: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.~~	SFF finds this rule unnecessarily restrictive and is unsure why the Council is taking this approach to restrict fencing when the s 32 report provides no rationale. EW-R1 is inconsistent with rules elsewhere in the PDP, such as the NFL chapter. SFF suggest amendments to this rule which will allow farmers the ability to carry out land disturbance as required for fencing. Imposing restrictions on this, and therefore forcing farmers to apply for a resource consent, will disrupt farming operations.	Accept in part
S117.039	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences(All zones except ONLs) Activity status: PermittedWhere: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with~~	The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than those proposed in the NFL chapter. The width of land disturbance permitted by the rule is not achievable for the installation of fences and is unworkable for a farming operation. General earthworks are permitted up to 1000m3, there is no commentary in the S32 report why fences are further restricted.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.		
S153.004	Oakland Farming Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Land disturbance for the construction of fences Activity status: Permitted ~~Where: 1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. the ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~	Fencing is a small scale land disturbance and should not be so restrictive and the rule is inconsistent with the NFL chapter. The restrictions do not provide for sufficient space to access fences for inspections and maintenance.	Accept in part
FS5.120	Hamish Weir			Support	Allow		Accept in part
S3.039	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R1 to read: Land disturbance for the construction of fences(All zones except ONLs) Activity status: Permitted ~~Where: 1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100-metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.	The permitted provisions of EW-R1 are inconsistent and more restrictive than those proposed in the NFL chapter. The width of land disturbance permitted by the rule is not achievable for the installation of fences and is unworkable for a farming operation. General earthworks are permitted up to 1000m3, there is no commentary in the S32 report why fences are further restricted.	Accept in part
FS5.121	Hamish Weir			Support	Allow		Accept in part
FS17.063	Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Federated Farmers supports the relief sought for EW-R1 as it aligns with our submission points.	Accept in part
FS11.043	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT acknowledges that the dominant land use within the district is agriculture and that the District Plan needs to enable sustainable primary production within appropriate zones. The previously stated activities will involve earthworks and land disturbance during establishment, maintenance, and use, which may affect identified and unknown archaeological sites. As stated in one of the submissions lodged, activities such as fencing require a significant amount of earthworks to provide a buffer for vegetation and allow heavy vehicle access for establishment and maintenance. Ongoing agricultural land use in areas that have undergone previous soil disturbance, such as ploughing, tilling, etc., are unlikely to encounter archaeology. However, earthworks or ground disturbance to areas that have been previously undisturbed, or go below the topsoil have the potential to uncover archaeology. Activities within these areas should have sufficient triggers in	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence
~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
						the District Plan to create awareness of the plan users' potential obligation under the archaeological provisions of the HNZPTA. For activities involving significant volumes of earthworks, such as quarries, mines, commercial forestry, and renewable electricity generation activities, or areas that have been largely undisturbed, such as significant natural landscapes or features, the effects on archaeology require assessment and a permitted activity status is not appropriate.	
S126.122	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Oppose	Amend EW-R1 as below or exclude farm fences from this rule Land disturbance for the construction of fences Activity status: Permitted Where: ~~1. Any land disturbance does not exceed: (a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100-metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7. No other Earthworks Standards apply.	SFF finds this rule unnecessarily restrictive and is unsure why the Council is taking this approach to restrict fencing when the s 32 report provides no rationale. EW-R1 is inconsistent with rules elsewhere in the PDP, such as the NFL chapter. SFF suggest amendments to this rule which will allow farmers the ability to carry out land disturbance as required for fencing. Imposing restrictions on this, and therefore forcing farmers to apply for a resource consent, will disrupt farming operations.	Accept in part
FS5.122	Hamish Weir			Support	Allow		Accept in part
FS25.119	Stoney Creek Station Ltd			Support	Allow	Stoney Creek supports this submission	Accept in part
FS11.052	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT acknowledges that the dominant land use within the district is agriculture and that the District Plan needs to enable sustainable primary production within appropriate zones. The previously stated activities will involve earthworks and land disturbance during establishment, maintenance, and use, which may affect identified and unknown archaeological sites. As stated in one of the submissions lodged, activities such as fencing require a significant amount of earthworks to provide a buffer for vegetation and allow heavy vehicle access for establishment and maintenance. Ongoing agricultural land use in areas that have undergone previous soil disturbance, such as ploughing, tilling, etc., are unlikely to encounter archaeology. However, earthworks or ground disturbance to areas that have been previously undisturbed, or go below the topsoil have the potential to uncover archaeology. Activities within these areas should have sufficient triggers in the District Plan to create awareness of the plan users' potential obligation under the archaeological provisions of the HNZPTA. For activities involving significant volumes of earthworks, such as quarries, mines, commercial forestry, and	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
						renewable electricity generation activities, or areas that have been largely undisturbed, such as significant natural landscapes or features, the effects on archaeology require assessment and a permitted activity status is not appropriate.	
S74.023	Hamish Weir	EW - Earthworks	EW-R1	Support in part	The permitted baseline for fencing construction needs to be expanded; Rewritten as follows; 1. Any land disturbance ~~does not exceed:~~ is limited to the amount practically required to install the fence. ~~(a) 1 metre in width for more than 10 metres in any 100 metre length; and/or (b) 1 metre in height or depth; and 2. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S3, EW-S5 and EW-S7.	Ground disturbance is variable dependent on topography for installation of fence lines. A level surface is required for fence lines to occupy for H&S reasons during construction and as an efficient stock barrier. E.g. if the ground cut was placed adjacent to the fence, stock would be able to jump over the fence. High costs associated with earth moving equipment naturally restricts work to the minimal necessary. (Farmers are in business and wont waste money) so no requirement for a specific standard. The submitter cannot identify when excessive earthworks resulted from fence construction.	Accept in part
FS25.120	Stoney Creek Station Ltd			Support	Allow	We support the intention of this submission to incorporate practical considerations into the rule framework.	Accept in part
FS11.046	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT acknowledges that the dominant land use within the district is agriculture and that the District Plan needs to enable sustainable primary production within appropriate zones. The previously stated activities will involve earthworks and land disturbance during establishment, maintenance, and use, which may affect identified and unknown archaeological sites. As stated in one of the submissions lodged, activities such as fencing require a significant amount of earthworks to provide a buffer for vegetation and allow heavy vehicle access for establishment and maintenance. Ongoing agricultural land use in areas that have undergone previous soil disturbance, such as ploughing, tilling, etc., are unlikely to encounter archaeology. However, earthworks or ground disturbance to areas that have been previously undisturbed, or go below the topsoil have the potential to uncover archaeology. Activities within these areas should have sufficient triggers in the District Plan to create awareness of the plan users' potential obligation under the archaeological provisions of the HNZPTA. For activities involving significant volumes of earthworks, such as quarries, mines, commercial forestry, and renewable electricity generation activities, or areas that have been largely undisturbed, such as significant natural landscapes or features, the effects on archaeology require assessment and a permitted activity status is not appropriate.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S132.074	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R2	Support	Retain EW-R2 as proposed.	Supportive of the permitted status (subject to standards) test pits or bore holes for geotech assessment and disposal of spoil from drain clearance work within the site the drain is located.	Accept in part
S146.064	Southland District Council	EW - Earthworks	EW-R3	Support	None specified.	Supports the setback from river and streams being tied to a stream order. Supports the matters of discretion noting they should reduce downstream impacts.	Accept
S132.075	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R3	Support	Retain EW-R3 as proposed.	Supportive of the permitted status (subject to standards) test pits or bore holes for geotech assessment and disposal of spoil from drain clearance work within the site the drain is located.	Accept in part
S122.013	Fulton Hogan	EW - Earthworks	EW-R4	Oppose in part	Amend the conditions for EW-R2 to EW-R6 as follows: EW-R2 to EW-R6 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The ground is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed, with the exception of work undertaken under EW-R4 . 2. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EWS1, EW-S3, EW-S4 EW-S5 and EW-S7.	We support the concept of having a permitted activity rule that provides for small scale and low-impact activities. However, it is not clear how condition 1 would be met in the instance of drain clearance, because by its nature this activity will make a small alteration to ground levels.	Accept in part
S132.076	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R4	Support	Retain EW-R4 as proposed.	Supportive of the permitted status (subject to standards) test pits or bore holes for geotech assessment and disposal of spoil from drain clearance work within the site the drain is located.	Accept in part
S132.077	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R5	Support	Retain EW-R5 as proposed.	Supportive of the permitted status (subject to standards) test pits or bore holes for geotech assessment and disposal of spoil from drain clearance work within the site the drain is located.	Accept in part
S16.044	Fuel Companies	EW - Earthworks	EW-R5	Oppose	Delete rule EW-R5	The replacement or removal of underground fuel storage tanks often breach the permitted activity standards for earthworks (EW-S1 in this case) and cut depths for their installation can often be up to 4 or 5 metres. The Fuel Companies are of the opinion that the NESCS sufficiently provides for remediation measures where earthworks are undertaken to install and remove underground fuel storage tanks. Therefore, it is appropriate to exempt this activity from the earthworks provisions in the District Plan. In the alternative, if there is a clear reason to retain EW-R5 (in addition to the controls set out in the NESCS), then EW-R5 should not be subject to Earthworks Standard EW-S1.	Accept in part
FS11.002	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			Oppose	Disallow	The Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 makes it unlawful for any person to destroy, damage or modify the whole or any part of an archaeological site without the prior authority of HNZPT. Most archaeological sites are not recorded and are therefore at risk of damage when	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
						landowners, developers and contractors are unaware of them and undertake works. The depth of earthworks required for the installation of underground storage tanks may affect identified or unknown archaeological sites. HNZPT submits that this activity should not be assigned a permitted status as the proposed works should be assessed to determine the effects on historic heritage, including archaeology. As previously submitted, HNZPT recommends that all relevant standards under Earthworks Rules 1-15 include the consideration of heritage values, including archaeology, as a matter of discretion	
S132.078	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R6	Support	Retain EW-R6 as proposed.	Supportive of the permitted status (subject to standards) test pits or bore holes for geotech assessment and disposal of spoil from drain clearance work within the site the drain is located.	Accept <u>in part</u>
S112.088	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports this rule and the activity status that applies to the earthwork provisions for each of the zones. In particular Point 3 & 5 that recognise earthworks can in certain weather conditions create an erosion, sediment or dust hazard(s) to the State highway network and Point 7 that recognises vehicle movements to and from a site related to earthworks can have an effect on traffic safety. Vehicles accessing earthwork sites can drag soil onto the State highway creating a hazard and there can be safety concerns about the number of vehicle movements including heavy vehicles using an access.	Accept
S126.123	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Support	Retain EW-R7 as notified.	Support is given for digging of dead holes and farm rubbish pits being a permitted activity	Accept
S113.040	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R7 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R7 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4,EW-S5 and EWS7.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence
~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whetherthere is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S116.040	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R7 as follows: General Rural ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R7 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4,EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whetherthere is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed~~	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					~~Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S118.040	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R7 as follows: General Rural ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R7 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4,EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whetherthere is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S127.076	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R7 as follows: General Rural ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R7 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence
~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4,EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whetherthere is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S18.042	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R7 as follows: General Rural ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R7 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4,EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whetherthere is a functional or operational need for the location	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence
~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S19.042	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R7 as follows: General Rural ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R7 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4,EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whetherthere is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S20.042	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R7 as follows: General Rural ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R7 Activity status: Permitted Where:	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence
~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4,EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whetherthere is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	
S24.042	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R7 as follows: General Rural ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R7 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4,EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust,	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whetherthere is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S68.044	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R7 as follows: General Rural ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R7 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4,EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whetherthere is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S115.124	Kevin Robertson	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Support	Retain EW-R7 as notified.	Support is given for digging of dead holes and farm rubbish pits being a permitted activity	Accept

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S143.135	Rural Ratepayers Group	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Support	Retain EW-R7 as notified.	Support is given for digging of dead holes and farm rubbish pits being a permitted activity	Accept
S148.136	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Support	Retain EW-R7 as notified.	Support is given for digging of dead holes and farm rubbish pits being a permitted activity	Accept
S60.126	Ronald Crispin	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Support	Retain EW-R7 as notified.	Support is given for digging of dead holes and farm rubbish pits being a permitted activity	Accept
S68.244	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Support	Retain EW-R7 as notified.	Support is given for digging of dead holes and farm rubbish pits being a permitted activity	Accept
S117.040	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R7 as follows: General Rural ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R7 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4,EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whetherthere is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S3.040	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R7 as follows: General Rural ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					EW-R7 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4,EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whetherthere is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	
FS5.123	Hamish Weir			Support	Allow		Accept in part
FS17.064	Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Federated Farmers supports the submitters amendments as these add clarity for plan users and align with the conclusions made in the s 32 report.	Accept in part
S112.089	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports this rule and the activity status that applies to the earthwork provisions for each of the zones. In particular Point 3 & 5 that recognise earthworks can in certain weather conditions create an erosion, sediment or dust hazard(s) to the State highway network and Point 7 that recognises vehicle movements to and from a site related to earthworks can have an effect on traffic safety. Vehicles accessing earthwork sites can drag soil onto the State highway creating a hazard and there can be safety concerns about the number of vehicle movements including heavy vehicles using an access.	Accept

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S126.124	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Support	Retain EW-R8 as notified.	Support is given for earthworks for silage pits being a permitted activity.	Accept
S113.041	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R8 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R8 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S116.041	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R8 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R8 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence
~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S118.041	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R8 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R8 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S127.077	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R8 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R8 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S18.043	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R8 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R8 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S19.043	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R8 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R8 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S20.043	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R8 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R8 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	
S24.043	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R8 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R8 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S68.045	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R8 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R8 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S115.125	Kevin Robertson	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Support	Retain EW-R8 as notified.	Support is given for earthworks for silage pits being a permitted activity.	Accept
S143.136	Rural Ratepayers Group	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Support	Retain EW-R8 as notified.	Support is given for earthworks for silage pits being a permitted activity.	Accept
S148.137	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Support	Retain EW-R8 as notified.	Support is given for earthworks for silage pits being a permitted activity.	Accept
S60.127	Ronald Crispin	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Support	Retain EW-R8 as notified.	Support is given for earthworks for silage pits being a permitted activity.	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S68.245	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Support	Retain EW-R8 as notified.	Support is given for earthworks for silage pits being a permitted activity.	Accept
S117.041	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R8 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R8 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S3.041	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R8	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R8 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R8 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
FS5.124	Hamish Weir			Support	Allow		Accept in part
FS17.065	Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Federated Farmers supports the submitters amendments as these add clarity for plan users and align with the conclusions made in the s 32 report.	Accept in part
S112.090	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports this rule and the activity status that applies to the earthwork provisions for each of the zones. In particular Point 3 & 5 that recognise earthworks can in certain weather conditions create an erosion, sediment or dust hazard(s) to the State highway network and Point 7 that recognises vehicle movements to and from a site related to earthworks can have an effect on traffic safety. Vehicles accessing earthwork sites can drag soil onto the State highway creating a hazard and there can be safety concerns about the number of vehicle movements including heavy vehicles using an access.	Accept
S113.042	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R9 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S116.042	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R9 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S118.042	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R9 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks areafor any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s). 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	
S127.078	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R9 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks areafor any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S18.044	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R9 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks areafor any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S19.044	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R9 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks areafor any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S20.044	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R9 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks areafor any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S24.044	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R9 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks areafor any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S68.046	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R9 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks areafor any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.		
S117.042	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R9 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area for any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility.... ~~9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s).~~ 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	Accept in part
S3.042	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	Amend Rule EW-R9 as follows: General Rural Zone Excluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks relating to common farming activities. However, maintenance, repair and upgrade of existing facilities should be excluded from the volume limitations given that these	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					Where: 1. The total earthworks areafor any new dead hole, farm rubbish pit, silage pit or farm track in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EWS7. No other Earthworks Standards apply. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. The timing and duration of the works. 2. Effects on ground stability. 3. Effects on any adjoining site, including visual effects, dust, noise, vibration or loss of privacy. 4. Visual amenity and landscape effects, and whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility....9. The matters of discretion of any infringed Standard(s). 10. Whether the earthworks are of a type, scale and form that is appropriate for the location and character of the zone.	areas are already disturbed. It is not considered necessary to further restrict earthwork activities in the ONL.	
FS17.066	Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Federated Farmers supports the submitters amendments as these add clarity for plan users and align with the conclusions made in the s 32 report.	Accept in part
S130.030	Mercury NZ Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R9	Oppose	RW 9 - Earthworks for the construction, maintenance and repair or upgrade of a farm track, including associated with Renewable Electricity Generation activities. EW-R7 to EW-R9 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area in any 12 month period does not exceed 2,500 m2 per site. 2. The area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5 and EW-S7.	Changes to RW9 or RW11 to provide for earthworks associated with REG activities, which will algin with the NPS-REG.	Reject
FS24.051	Contact Energy Ltd			Support	Allow	Contact support the relief sought as it provides clarity.	Reject

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
FS11.054	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT acknowledges that the dominant land use within the district is agriculture and that the District Plan needs to enable sustainable primary production within appropriate zones. The previously stated activities will involve earthworks and land disturbance during establishment, maintenance, and use, which may affect identified and unknown archaeological sites. As stated in one of the submissions lodged, activities such as fencing require a significant amount of earthworks to provide a buffer for vegetation and allow heavy vehicle access for establishment and maintenance. Ongoing agricultural land use in areas that have undergone previous soil disturbance, such as ploughing, tilling, etc., are unlikely to encounter archaeology. However, earthworks or ground disturbance to areas that have been previously undisturbed, or go below the topsoil have the potential to uncover archaeology. Activities within these areas should have sufficient triggers in the District Plan to create awareness of the plan users' potential obligation under the archaeological provisions of the HNZPTA. For activities involving significant volumes of earthworks, such as quarries, mines, commercial forestry, and renewable electricity generation activities, or areas that have been largely undisturbed, such as significant natural landscapes or features, the effects on archaeology require assessment and a permitted activity status is not appropriate.	Accept in part
S112.091	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi support EW R10 for the construction, upgrade, maintenance and repair of the transport network and recreational walking and cycling tracks as a permitted activity subject to development standards and non-compliance as a restricted discretionary activity.	Accept
S112.092	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports these Matters of discretion in particular, Point 4 & 6, that recognise earthworks can create an erosion, sediment or dust hazard(s) to users of the State highway and Point 7 that recognises that earthworks can impact on natural drainage patterns and redirect overland flow paths or flood flows and Point 8 that recognises vehicle movements to and from a site related to earthworks can have an effect on traffic safety. Vehicles accessing earthwork sites can drag soil onto the State highway creating a hazard and	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
						there can be safety concerns about the number of vehicle movements including heavy vehicles using an access.	
S132.079	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R10	Support	Retain EW-R10 as proposed.	supports earthworks for construction, upgrade or maintenance and repair of the transport network.	Accept
S110.043	Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	EW - Earthworks	EW-R10	Amend	Add: Matters of discretion 11. Effects on indigenous biodiversity and ecological connections.	With the permitted volume being 2,500m2, volumes above this have the potential to cause significant effects to both indigenous biodiversity and ecological connections. This should be a matter of discretion when assessing earthworks consents of this scale/volume, regardless of the reason for the earthworks.	Reject
FS5.125	Hamish Weir			Oppose	Disallow		Accept
S101.071	Transpower New Zealand Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R11	Support	Retain Rule EW-R11 as notified.	Transpower supports the inclusion of a specific rule that relates to earthworks and land disturbance for network utilities, including access tracks. Transpower particularly supports the approach to having a maximum area open at any time (as opposed to a maximum per year or per site). For the avoidance of doubt, Transpower notes that this rule should apply in all areas of the District including those subject to overlays.	Accept
S35.053	Chorus New Zealand Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R11	Support	Retain EW-R11 as notified	The volumes and requirements of this rule are appropriate.	Accept
S132.080	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R11	Support	Retain EW-R11 as proposed.	Supports earthworks and land disturbance for network utilities, including associated vehicle access tracks as a permitted activity subject to standards.	Accept
S130.031	Mercury NZ Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R11	Oppose	RW 11 Earthworks and land disturbance for network utilities, and Renewable Electricity Generation activities , including associated vehicle access tracks EW-R10 to EW-R11 Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The total earthworks area open at any one time does not exceed 2,500 m2. 2. The earthworks area is progressively stabilised, filled or recontoured. 3. The works are undertaken in accordance with Earthworks Standards EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4, EW-S5, and EW-S7.	To align with the NPS-REG consideration and provision for earthworks in the General Rural Zone related to REG activities are essential. Amendments to either RW9 or RW11 should provide for earthworks associated with REG activities. REG activities are not considered a Network Utility.	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S154.053	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R11	Support	Retain EW-R11 as notified	The volumes and requirements of this rule are appropriate.	Accept
S32.053	One New Zealand Group Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R11	Support	Retain EW-R11 as notified	The volumes and requirements of this rule are appropriate.	Accept
S33.053	FortySouth	EW - Earthworks	EW-R11	Support	Retain EW-R11 as notified	The volumes and requirements of this rule are appropriate.	Accept
S37.053	Connexa Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R11	Support	Retain EW-R11 as notified	The volumes and requirements of this rule are appropriate.	Accept
S110.044	Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	EW - Earthworks	EW-R11	Amend	Add: Matters of discretion 11. Effects on indigenous biodiversity and ecological connections.	With the permitted volume being 2,500m2, volumes above this have the potential to cause significant effects to both indigenous biodiversity and ecological connections. This should be a matter of discretion when assessing earthworks consents of this scale/volume, regardless of the reason for the earthworks.	Reject
FS5.126	Hamish Weir			Oppose	Disallow		Accept
FS25.121	Stoney Creek Station Ltd			Oppose	Disallow	Stoney Creek does not support the inclusion of an additional matter of discretion, as these matters have been provided for in the ECO chapter.	Accept
S101.072	Transpower New Zealand Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R12	Support in part	Amend Rule EW-R12 as follows: Rule EWR12 Earthworks and land disturbance within National Grid Yards: All Zones- ~~Excluding Historic Heritage sites, Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ Earthworks and land disturbance within National Grid Yards Activity status: Permitted Where, within the National Grid Yard: 1. The depth of the earthworks or land disturbance is no greater than 300mm within 6m of the outer edge of the visible foundation of a National Grid support structure; and x. The depth of the earthworks or land disturbance is no deeper than 3 metres when located between 6 metres and 12 metres from the National Grid Support Structure; and 2. The stability of the National Grid support structure is not compromised; and 3. Physical and practical access to the National Grid support structures is maintained; and 4. The earthworks or land disturbance does not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances, below what is required by Table 4 of NZECP34:2001; and 5. ~~The e~~ Earthworks that otherwise comply with clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001. Note: ~~Clauses (1) Rule EW-R12 above~~ does not apply to earthworks or land	Transpower supports Rule EW-R12 on the basis that the Rule reflects Transpower's nationally consistent approach to the management of earthworks in the vicinity of the National Grid in order to give effect to Policy 10 of the NPSET. That said, Transpower considers that there is no need for the Rule to exclude specified overlays and therefore seeks that the exclusions be deleted. Further, there are some land disturbance activities (for instance, the installation of fence posts) that are regulated by NZECP34:2001. For this reason, Transpower has amended the rule to also apply to land disturbance.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					disturbance: 1. (...) Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Noncomplying		
FS11.020	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			Oppose	Disallow	The depth of earthworks, and distance from the support structure, may affect identified or unknown archaeological sites. For example, an Archaeological Authority (2021/719) was required and obtained by Transpower New Zealand Ltd to "undertake work to reform an access track to allow for strengthening the foundations of a power line tower at Channel Road, Naseby." This authority was granted as this work to Tower A0304 had the potential to impact H41/89, an archaeological site relating to gold mining activities that covered a large area. HNZPT submits that this activity should not be assigned a permitted status as the proposed works should be assessed to determine the effects on historic heritage, including archaeology	Accept in part
S112.093	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-R13	Support in part	Amend Rule EW - R13 Matters of Discretion as follows: ... 6. Vehicle movements to and from the area is related to the earthworks and the controls to manage adverse effects on traffic safety and amenity.	Waka Kotahi generally supports the EW - R13 Matters of direction. However, we seek an additional matter that recognises that vehicle movements to and from a site related to earthworks can have an adverse effect on traffic safety, be included. Vehicles accessing earthwork sites can drag soil onto the State highway creating a hazard and there can be safety concerns about the number of vehicle movements including heavy vehicles using an access. This amendment aligns to other Matters of discretion such as EW - R10 8.	Reject
S121.065	Alliance Group Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R13	Support	Retain as notified	The consenting framework for earthworks in the mapped Actual or Potential Inundation Area appropriately distinguishes (by way of activity status) between the varying environmental risk presented by different consenting scenarios.	Accept in part
S40.046	Silver Fern Farms	EW - Earthworks	EW-R13	Support	Retain as notified	The consenting framework for earthworks in the mapped Actual or Potential Inundation Area appropriately distinguishes (by way of activity status) between the varying environmental risk presented by different consenting scenarios.	Accept in part
S132.081	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R13	Oppose	Amend as follows: Where: 4. Earthworks and land disturbance on critical infrastructure such as rail is exempt from this rule	KiwiRail opposes this rule as it put limitations on KiwiRail to provide a safe and efficient functioning rail network. KiwiRail seek amendment to this rule to include an exemption for critical infrastructure such as rail.	Reject

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S110.045	Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	EW - Earthworks	EW-R13	Amend	Add: Matters of discretion 6. Effects on indigenous biodiversity and ecological connections.	Areas such as those prone to Natural Hazards (Flooding) have the potential to contain high ecological/biodiverse values due to it proximity to a water body and (usually) undeveloped nature. Allowing earthworks in these areas without regard to ecology/biodiversity could result in significant effects to both indigenous biodiversity and ecological connections. This should be a matter of discretion when assessing earthworks consents in these areas	Reject
FS5.127	Hamish Weir			Oppose	Disallow		Accept
S85.110	Environment Southland	EW - Earthworks	EW-R13	Oppose in part	Remove from permitted status	Earthworks cut or fill within a flood zone should trigger a resource consent.	Reject
FS5.128	Hamish Weir			Oppose	Disallow		Accept
FS28.050	Kainga Ora			Oppose	Disallow	Kāinga Ora considers that some earthworks should be permitted in this area with appropriate standards associated.	Accept
S110.046	Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Amend	Add: Matters of discretion 11. Effects on indigenous biodiversity and ecological connections	With the permitted volume being 250m3/1000m3 (depending on zone) in a 12-month period, volumes above this have the potential to cause significant effects to both indigenous biodiversity and ecological connections. This should be a matter of discretion when assessing earthworks consents of this scale/volume.	Reject
S112.094	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports this rule and these Matters of discretion in particular, Point 4, 6, 7 and 8. Vehicles accessing earthwork sites can drag soil onto the State highway creating a hazard and there can be safety concerns about the number of vehicle movements including heavy vehicles using an access.	Accept
S121.066	Alliance Group Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Support	Retain as notified	Alliance supports the consenting framework for earthworks in the General Industrial Zone.	Accept
S147.007	New Zealand Defence Force	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Support	Retain rule as notified or wording of similar effect.	NZDF may require earthworks for some TMTA-related activities and supports the permitted threshold for earthworks and land disturbance.	Accept
S16.045	Fuel Companies	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Support	Retain as notified	The Fuel Companies support the wording of Rule EW-R14 as notified as it appropriately provides for small scale earthworks associated with the Fuel Companies sites, subject to compliance with the Earthworks standards.	Accept
S40.047	Silver Fern Farms	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Support	Retain as notified	supports the consenting framework for earthworks in the General Industrial Zone.	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S113.043	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend EW-R14 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance not otherwise provided for General Rural Zone, Industrial Zone, Airport ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ Permitted 1. In any 12 month period, the total volume of earthworks does not exceed 1,000m3 per site. 2. All Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: ...11. Whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks not otherwise provided for. It is considered existing protection of ONLs is achieved through other chapters and further restrictions are unnecessary in the Earthworks chapter.	Accept in part
S116.043	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend EW-R14 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance not otherwise provided for General Rural Zone, Industrial Zone, Airport ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ Permitted 1. In any 12 month period, the total volume of earthworks does not exceed 1,000m3 per site. 2. All Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: ...11. Whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks not otherwise provided for. It is considered existing protection of ONLs is achieved through other chapters and further restrictions are unnecessary in the Earthworks chapter.	Accept in part
S118.043	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend EW-R14 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance not otherwise provided for General Rural Zone, Industrial Zone, Airport ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ Permitted 1. In any 12 month period, the total volume of earthworks does not exceed 1,000m3 per site. 2. All Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion:	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks not otherwise provided for. It is considered existing protection of ONLs is achieved through other chapters and further restrictions are unnecessary in the Earthworks chapter.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					...11. Whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity		
S127.079	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend EW-R14 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance not otherwise provided for General Rural Zone, Industrial Zone, Airport ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ Permitted 1. In any 12 month period, the total volume of earthworks does not exceed 1,000m3 per site. 2. All Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: ...11. Whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks not otherwise provided for. It is considered existing protection of ONLs is achieved through other chapters and further restrictions are unnecessary in the Earthworks chapter.	Accept in part
S18.045	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend EW-R14 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance not otherwise provided for General Rural Zone, Industrial Zone, Airport ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ Permitted 1. In any 12 month period, the total volume of earthworks does not exceed 1,000m3 per site. 2. All Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: ...11. Whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks not otherwise provided for. It is considered existing protection of ONLs is achieved through other chapters and further restrictions are unnecessary in the Earthworks chapter.	Accept in part
S19.045	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend EW-R14 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance not otherwise provided for General Rural Zone, Industrial Zone, Airport ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ Permitted 1. In any 12 month period, the total volume of earthworks does not exceed 1,000m3 per site. 2. All Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks not otherwise provided for. It is considered existing protection of ONLs is achieved through other chapters and further restrictions are unnecessary in the Earthworks chapter.	Accept in part

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					Matters of discretion: ... 11. Whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity		
S20.045	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend EW-R14 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance not otherwise provided for General Rural Zone, Industrial Zone, Airport ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features~~ .: Permitted 1. In any 12 month period, the total volume of earthworks does not exceed 1,000m3 per site. 2. All Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: ... 11. Whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks not otherwise provided for. It is considered existing protection of ONLs is achieved through other chapters and further restrictions are unnecessary in the Earthworks chapter.	Accept in part
S24.045	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend EW-R14 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance not otherwise provided for General Rural Zone, Industrial Zone, Airport ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features~~ .: Permitted 1. In any 12 month period, the total volume of earthworks does not exceed 1,000m3 per site. 2. All Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: ... 11. Whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks not otherwise provided for. It is considered existing protection of ONLs is achieved through other chapters and further restrictions are unnecessary in the Earthworks chapter.	Accept in part
S68.047	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend EW-R14 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance not otherwise provided for General Rural Zone, Industrial Zone, Airport ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features~~ .: Permitted 1. In any 12 month period, the total volume of earthworks does not exceed 1,000m3 per site. 2. All Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks not otherwise provided for. It is considered existing protection of ONLs is achieved through other chapters and further restrictions are unnecessary in the Earthworks chapter.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					Discretionary Matters of discretion: ... 11. Whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity		
S117.043	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend EW-R14 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance not otherwise provided for General Rural Zone, Industrial Zone, Airport ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ Permitted 1. In any 12 month period, the total volume of earthworks does not exceed 1,000m3 per site. 2. All Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: ... 11. Whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks not otherwise provided for. It is considered existing protection of ONLs is achieved through other chapters and further restrictions are unnecessary in the Earthworks chapter.	Accept in part
S122.012	Fulton Hogan	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend the volume of earthworks that can be undertaken in the General Rural or Industrial zones, we request the following, which are consistent with the Christchurch District Plan: General Rural -100m3/ha Industrial - 1000m3/ha	While these volumes of earthworks may be appropriate for some sites (for example residential scale properties) we consider they are too restrictive for larger sites. We request more reasonable volumes be provided for as a permitted activity in rural, industrial and commercial zones, where appropriate for the scale of the lot.	Accept in part
FS5.129	Hamish Weir			Support	Allow		Accept in part
S3.043	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-R14	Oppose	Amend EW-R14 as follows: Earthworks and land disturbance not otherwise provided for General Rural Zone, Industrial Zone, Airport ZoneExcluding Historic Heritage sites, ~~Significant Natural Areas, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Features.~~ Permitted 1. In any 12 month period, the total volume of earthworks does not exceed 1,000m3 per site. 2. All Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: ... 11. Whether there is a functional or operational need for the location of the activity	Stoney Creek supports a permitted activity standard for earthworks not otherwise provided for. It is considered existing protection of ONLs is achieved through other chapters and further restrictions are unnecessary in the Earthworks chapter.	Accept in part
FS5.130	Hamish Weir			Support	Allow		Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
FS17.067	Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Federated Farmers supports the submitters amendments as these add clarity for plan users and align with the conclusions made in the s 32 report.	Accept in part
S112.095	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-S1	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports these standards and the Matters of discretion that address these adverse effects arising from earthworks.	Accept
S74.024	Hamish Weir	EW - Earthworks	EW-S1	Support in part	Conflict with urban setback rules need to be resolved. Rewrite as follows: 1. Cut depth or fill height shall not: (b) exceed a cut depth or fill height (measured vertically) of 3 meters; or (c) exceed a cut depth or fill height (measured vertically) of 0.5 meters within ~~1.5m~~ 1m of a boundary with a site in different ownership	Batters of 1 in 2 are usually stable which the requested change reflects. To avoid unnecessary complexity, aligning the setback rules for both earthworks and general setbacks (1m in urban areas) is recommended. This simplification will significantly reduce compliance conflicts and costs in consent processes.	Accept in part
S132.082	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-S1	Support	Retain EW-S1 as proposed.	KiwiRail supports standards that set out requirements to be meet for sediment, erosion and dust control when undertaking earthworks and land disturbances.	Accept
S99.017	Clark Fortune McDonald & Associates	EW - Earthworks	EW-S1	Not Stated	Amend EW-S1 to be a batter slope of no more than 1 in 1 as measured from the boundary.	No justification for limiting any cut and fill within 1.5m of a boundary to 0.5m. A more practical limit would be an effective batter slope of 1 in 1 from the boundary - i.e., 0.5m deep 0.5m from the boundary, 1m deep 1m from the boundary. Or a 1 in 2 batter slope (as per EW-S2) would equate to 0.5m deep 1m from the boundary.	Reject
FS28.051	Kainga Ora			Support in part	Allow in part	Kāinga Ora agrees that setbacks relating to earthworks should be practical and workable. Kāinga Ora considers that earthwork setbacks should also consider what is permitted with relation to building setbacks and the earthworks that would be permitted through a building consent process. There is a risk that provisions to control earthworks within boundary setbacks could be a duplication of what is controlled elsewhere in the plan, or through other processes	Reject
S112.096	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-S2	Support	retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports these standards and the Matters of discretion that address these adverse effects arising from earthworks.	Accept
S122.014	Fulton Hogan	EW - Earthworks	EW-S2	Oppose in part	Either provide a definition of "moisture content susceptible soils", or use an alternative term for this in standard EW-S2(b).	"moisture content susceptible soils" is not a commonly used term, and it is not defined by the Plan. It will be difficult for most Planners and laypeople using the plan to determine whether they comply with this requirement.	Accept

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence
~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S132.083	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-S2	Support	Retain EW-S2 as proposed.	KiwiRail supports standards that set out requirements to be meet for sediment, erosion and dust control when undertaking earthworks and land disturbances.	Accept
S109.112	Department of Conservation	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Support in part	Amend EW-S3 to include an appropriate setback from any wetland . Amend the assessment matters listed in matters of discretion to include (or words to similar effect): (...) 2. The effects on water quality and the stability and health of the stream bed and banks or wetland , including erosion and sedimentation control measures. 3. The nature of existing riparian vegetation and ~~the effects~~ opportunities for enhancement and restoration of proposed planting ~~or modification on~~ for indigenous biodiversity and /or habitats. (...) 6. The effects on public and customary access to the stream, ~~or river~~ , or wetland . 7. The potential for flooding and to create new or exacerbate existing natural hazards, impact natural drainage patterns, redirect overland flow paths or flood flows, including on to other sites. X. any adverse effects on indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna and proposed mitigation measures. Y. methods proposed to monitor or inspect the works undertaken z.the timing of works to minimise adverse effects on threatened or at risk indigenous species. x. management of potential adverse effects through a Council Authorised Biodiversity Management Plan.	The D-G supports the standards and assessment matters for a minimum setback from high, middle and low order rivers or streams. However, it considers that a setback requirement for earthworks from wetlands is included in this rule. This is in line with the requirements of the NPS-FM Policy 6. Further wording is suggested for the assessment matters to align better with the NPS-IB, NPS-FM and the Southland RPS and to consider proposed mitigation and opportunities for enhancement and restoration.	Accept in part
S112.097	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports these standards and the Matters of discretion that address these adverse effects arising from earthworks.	Accept
S142.003	Alan Taylor	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setback from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distance from the top of the closest bank of a river or a stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	Earthworks that relate to fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part
S153.003	Oakland Farming Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be setback the minimum distance from the top	Earthworks relating to fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 or 2).		
S132.084	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Support	Retain EW-S3 as proposed.	KiwiRail supports standards that set out requirements to be met for sediment, erosion and dust control when undertaking earthworks and land disturbances.	Accept
S113.044	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	Earthworks for fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part
S116.044	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	Earthworks for fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part
S118.044	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	Earthworks for fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part
S127.080	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows:	Earthworks for fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					(a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders		
S18.046	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	Earthworks for fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part
S19.046	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	Earthworks for fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part
S20.046	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	Earthworks for fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part
S24.046	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	Earthworks for fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S68.048	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	Earthworks for fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part
S115.126	Kevin Robertson	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding earthworks required for farm fencing for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways or pest control) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	SFF appreciates the need for some setbacks from rivers and streams for earthworks. However, as currently drafted, EW-S3 would limit earthworks for fencing which may be required for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways e.g. putting in drains, maintaining drains, replacing a culvert and pest control.	Accept in part
S143.137	Rural Ratepayers Group	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding earthworks required for farm fencing for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways or pest control) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	SFF appreciates the need for some setbacks from rivers and streams for earthworks. However, as currently drafted, EW-S3 would limit earthworks for fencing which may be required for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways e.g. putting in drains, maintaining drains, replacing a culvert and pest control.	Accept in part
S148.138	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding earthworks required for farm fencing for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways or pest control) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	SFF appreciates the need for some setbacks from rivers and streams for earthworks. However, as currently drafted, EW-S3 would limit earthworks for fencing which may be required for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways e.g. putting in drains, maintaining drains, replacing a culvert and pest control.	Accept in part
S60.128	Ronald Crispin	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding earthworks required for farm fencing for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways or pest control) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top	SFF appreciates the need for some setbacks from rivers and streams for earthworks. However, as currently drafted, EW-S3 would limit earthworks for fencing which may be required for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways e.g.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	putting in drains, maintaining drains, replacing a culvert and pest control.	
S68.246	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding earthworks required for farm fencing for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways or pest control) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	SFF appreciates the need for some setbacks from rivers and streams for earthworks. However, as currently drafted, EW-S3 would limit earthworks for fencing which may be required for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways e.g. putting in drains, maintaining drains, replacing a culvert and pest control.	Accept in part
S117.044	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	Earthworks for fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part
S3.044	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3 as follows: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding where required for fencing for stock exclusion) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	Earthworks for fencing activities for stock exclusion should be exempt from these requirements.	Accept in part
FS17.068	Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Federated Farmers supports the submitters amendment for EW-S3 as it aligns with our submission. However, the Federated Farmers submission provides further detail therefore the wording we propose is preferred.	Accept in part
S126.125	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	EW - Earthworks	EW-S3	Oppose	Amend EW-S3: Setbacks from rivers and streams 1. Earthworks (excluding earthworks required for farm fencing for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways or pest control) shall be set back the minimum distances from the top	SFF appreciates the need for some setbacks from rivers and streams for earthworks. However, as currently drafted, EW-S3 would limit earthworks for fencing which may be required for stock exclusion, drainage, including around waterways e.g.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					of the closest bank of a river or stream as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). Refer to Appendix 3 for river and stream orders	putting in drains, maintaining drains, replacing a culvert and pest control.	
FS25.122	Stoney Creek Station Ltd			Support	Allow	Stoney Creek supports this submission	Accept in part
S112.098	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports these standards and the Matters of discretion that address these adverse effects arising from earthworks.	Reject
S133.005	David Pullar	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Amend	Amend to: Earthworks shall not be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding an average of 15 degrees measured over a horizontal distance of 50 meters.	The rule needs a horizontal measurement requirement to allow for minor variances in slope.	Reject
S74.025	Hamish Weir	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose in part	I propose the removal of the following matter of discretion; ~~5. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3.~~	Specific cultural consideration for earthworks on steeper ground when not required elsewhere does not make sense.	Accept
S132.085	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Support	Retain EW-S4 as proposed.	KiwiRail supports standards that set out requirements to be meet for sediment, erosion and dust control when undertaking earthworks and land disturbances.	Reject
S105.022	Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Delete in full Rule EW-S4	This rule is inappropriate for anyone who owns rural land with any sort of hills, as a resource consent would be required along with a costly geotechnical assessment. Not all 15 degree slopes are unstable, therefore, the rule is over the top.	Accept
S127.022	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Delete in full Rule EW - S4	This rule is inappropriate for anyone who owns rural land with any sort of hills, as a resource consent would be required along with a costly geotechnical assessment. Not all 15-degree slopes are unstable, therefore, the rule is over the top.	Accept
S113.045	Trudy Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Instability of Land 1. Earthworks shall not result in any instability of land or structures beyond the boundary of the site where the earthworks occur. ~~be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding 15 degrees.~~	It is onerous to consider that any earthworks on land over 15 degrees require a site specific geotechnical assessment, where these could be very minor activities with no adverse effects.	Accept in part
S116.045	Nathan Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Instability of Land 1. Earthworks shall not result in any instability of land or	It is onerous to consider that any earthworks on land over 15 degrees require a site specific geotechnical assessment, where these could be very minor activities with no adverse effects.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
					structures beyond the boundary of the site where the earthworks occur. ~~be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding 15 degrees.~~		
S118.045	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Instability of Land 1. Earthworks shall not result in any instability of land or structures beyond the boundary of the site where the earthworks occur. ~~be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding 15 degrees.~~	It is onerous to consider that any earthworks on land over 15 degrees require a site specific geotechnical assessment, where these could be very minor activities with no adverse effects.	Accept in part
S127.081	Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Instability of Land 1. Earthworks shall not result in any instability of land or structures beyond the boundary of the site where the earthworks occur. ~~be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding 15 degrees.~~	It is onerous to consider that any earthworks on land over 15 degrees require a site specific geotechnical assessment, where these could be very minor activities with no adverse effects.	Accept in part
S18.047	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Instability of Land 1. Earthworks shall not result in any instability of land or structures beyond the boundary of the site where the earthworks occur. ~~be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding 15 degrees.~~	It is onerous to consider that any earthworks on land over 15 degrees require a site specific geotechnical assessment, where these could be very minor activities with no adverse effects.	Accept in part
S19.047	Karen Temple	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Instability of Land 1. Earthworks shall not result in any instability of land or structures beyond the boundary of the site where the earthworks occur. ~~be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding 15 degrees.~~	It is onerous to consider that any earthworks on land over 15 degrees require a site specific geotechnical assessment, where these could be very minor activities with no adverse effects.	Accept in part
S20.047	Sarah and Peter Barclay	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Instability of Land 1. Earthworks shall not result in any instability of land or structures beyond the boundary of the site where the earthworks occur. ~~be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding 15 degrees.~~	It is onerous to consider that any earthworks on land over 15 degrees require a site specific geotechnical assessment, where these could be very minor activities with no adverse effects.	Accept in part
S24.047	Helen McIntosh	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Instability of Land 1. Earthworks shall not result in any instability of land or structures beyond the boundary of the site where the earthworks occur. ~~be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding 15 degrees.~~	It is onerous to consider that any earthworks on land over 15 degrees require a site specific geotechnical assessment, where these could be very minor activities with no adverse effects.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S68.049	John Baynes	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Instability of Land 1. Earthworks shall not result in any instability of land or structures beyond the boundary of the site where the earthworks occur. ~~be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding 15 degrees.~~	It is onerous to consider that any earthworks on land over 15 degrees require a site specific geotechnical assessment, where these could be very minor activities with no adverse effects.	Accept in part
S117.045	Greg Bokser	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Instability of Land 1. Earthworks shall not result in any instability of land or structures beyond the boundary of the site where the earthworks occur. ~~be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding 15 degrees.~~	It is onerous to consider that any earthworks on land over 15 degrees require a site specific geotechnical assessment, where these could be very minor activities with no adverse effects.	Accept in part
S3.045	Stoney Creek Station Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Instability of Land 1. Earthworks shall not result in any instability of land or structures beyond the boundary of the site where the earthworks occur. ~~be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding 15 degrees.~~	It is onerous to consider that any earthworks on land over 15 degrees require a site specific geotechnical assessment, where these could be very minor activities with no adverse effects.	Accept in part
FS5.131	Hamish Weir			Support	Allow		Accept in part
FS17.069	Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Federated Farmers agrees with the submitter that alignment with the rule against EW-S4 is required.	Accept in part
S99.018	Clark Fortune McDonald & Associates	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Not Stated	Delete EW-S4.	There is no justification for a 15° slope limit. This is not a particularly steep slope (1 in 3.73 or 26.8%) and would exclude a reasonable amount of land.	Accept
FS28.052	Kainga Ora			Support	Allow	Kāinga Ora agrees with this submission point.	Accept
FS25.125	Stoney Creek Station Ltd			Support	Allow	Stoney Creek agrees with this submission.	Accept
S32.054	One New Zealand Group Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose in part	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Earthworks shall not be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding ~~15~~ 34 degrees.	Access tracks to telecommunication sites are often on steep land. 15 degrees is considered to restrictive. Wellington has slope angle of 34 degrees	Accept in part
FS28.053	Kainga Ora			Support	Allow	Kāinga Ora agrees with this submission point	Accept in part
FS30.061	Transpower New Zealand Limited			Support	Allow	Transpower supports the submission and similarly notes that access tracks to National Grid transmission line support structures and communications sites can be on steep land. Allow the submission.	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
FS25.126	Stoney Creek Station Ltd			Support in part	Allow in part	Stoney Creek supports this submission point in that limiting earthworks on slopes greater than 15 degrees is too restrictive.	Accept in part
S35.054	Chorus New Zealand Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose in part	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Earthworks shall not be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding ~~15~~ 34 degrees.	Access tracks to telecommunication sites are often on steep land. 15 degrees is considered to restrictive. Wellington has slope angle of 34 degrees	Accept in part
FS30.014	Transpower New Zealand Limited			Support	Allow	Transpower supports the submission and similarly notes that access tracks to National Grid transmission line support structures and communications sites can be on steep land. Allow the submission.	Accept in part
FS25.123	Stoney Creek Station Ltd			Support in part	Allow in part	Stoney Creek supports this submission point in that limiting earthworks on slopes greater than 15 degrees is too restrictive	Accept in part
S37.054	Connexa Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose in part	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Earthworks shall not be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding ~~15~~ 34 degrees.	Access tracks to telecommunication sites are often on steep land. 15 degrees is considered to restrictive. Wellington has slope angle of 34 degrees	Accept in part
FS30.018	Transpower New Zealand Limited			Support	Allow	Transpower supports the submission and similarly notes that access tracks to National Grid transmission line support structures and communications sites can be on steep land. Allow the submission.	Accept in part
FS25.128	Stoney Creek Station Ltd			Support in part	Allow in part	Stoney Creek supports this submission point in that limiting earthworks on slopes greater than 15 degrees is too restrictive.	Accept in part
S33.054	FortySouth	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose in part	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Earthworks shall not be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding ~~15~~ 34 degrees.	Access tracks to telecommunication sites are often on steep land. 15 degrees is considered to restrictive. Wellington has slope angle of 34 degrees	Accept in part
FS30.030	Transpower New Zealand Limited			Support	Allow	Transpower supports the submission and similarly notes that access tracks to National Grid transmission line support structures and communications sites can be on steep land. Allow the submission.	Accept in part
FS25.127	Stoney Creek Station Ltd			Support in part	Allow in part	Stoney Creek supports this submission point in that limiting earthworks on slopes greater than 15 degrees is too restrictive.	Accept in part
S154.054	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose in part	Amend EW-S4 as follows: Earthworks shall not be undertaken on land with an existing slope angle exceeding ~~15~~ 34 degrees.	Access tracks to telecommunication sites are often on steep land. 15 degrees is considered to restrictive. Wellington has slope angle of 34 degrees	Accept in part

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table of Recommendations – Earthworks

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
FS30.083	Transpower New Zealand Limited			Support	Allow	Transpower supports the submission and similarly notes that access tracks to National Grid transmission line support structures and communications sites can be on steep land. Allow the submission.	Accept in part
FS25.129	Stoney Creek Station Ltd			Support in part	Allow in part	Stoney Creek supports this submission point in that limiting earthworks on slopes greater than 15 degrees is too restrictive.	Accept in part
S104.022	McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	EW - Earthworks	EW-S4	Oppose	Delete in full Rule EW - S4	This rule is inappropriate for anyone who owns rural land with any sort of hills, as a resource consent would be required along with a costly geotechnical assessment. Not all 15-degree slopes are unstable, therefore, the rule is over the top.	Accept
FS25.124	Stoney Creek Station Ltd			Support	Allow	Stoney Creek supports this submission	Accept
S112.099	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-S5	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports these standards and the Matters of discretion that address these adverse effects arising from earthworks.	Accept
S132.088	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-S5	Support in part	Amend as follows: 1. For the duration of earthworks or land disturbance measures must be implemented to: (a) ~~(b)~~ prevent silt or sediment from entering the stormwater system, overland flow paths, rail , or roads; (b) ~~(c)~~ control erosion; and (c) ~~(d)~~ control dust beyond the boundaries of the site.	KiwiRail generally supports setting out the requirement for erosion, sediment and dust control in all areas. KiwiRail land and infrastructure can be subject to damage caused by earthworks and land disturbance on adjacent land where stormwater has not been appropriately managed.	Accept
S112.100	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-S6	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports these standards and the Matters of discretion that address these adverse effects arising from earthworks.	Accept
S132.086	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-S6	Support	Retain EW-S6 as proposed.	KiwiRail supports standards that set out requirements to be meet for sediment, erosion and dust control when undertaking earthworks and land disturbances.	Accept
S112.101	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	EW - Earthworks	EW-S7	Support	Retain as notified	Waka Kotahi supports these standards and the Matters of discretion that address these adverse effects arising from earthworks.	Accept
S132.087	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	EW - Earthworks	EW-S7	Support	Retain EW-S7 as proposed.	KiwiRail supports standards that set out requirements to be meet for sediment, erosion and dust control when undertaking earthworks and land disturbances.	Accept

Blue Underlined – Additions recommended as part of right of reply evidence

~~Blue Strikethrough~~ – Deletions recommended as part of right of reply evidence
