

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision               | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| S101.005         | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Support         | Retain the definition of critical infrastructure as notified.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Definition appropriately includes "the electricity transmission network (the National Grid)" in a manner that reflects the national significance of the National Grid. Supports the PDP giving effect to the relevant provisions of the SRPS that relate to nationally significant, regionally significant and critical infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Accept in part         |
| S112.003         | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency        | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Support in part | Amend as follows: Means those necessary facilities, services, and installations which are critical or of significance to either New Zealand, Southland or Gore. This may include but is not limited to: Strategic land transport network <b>including the State highway network</b> and arterial roads; | <p>Generally supports the definition for critical infrastructure. However, notes that this definition includes the terms 'strategic land transport network' and 'arterial roads' and that neither of those terms are specifically defined in the Plan. While the Plan does include definition(s) for 'transport network' and 'roads' that incorporate the State highway network the limitations placed within the definition of 'critical infrastructure' require these to be strategic land transport networks or arterial roads.</p> <p>Waka Kotahi further notes the planning maps use the street categories from the ONF and do not identify the arterial roads within the District. Waka Kotahi is therefore concerned that the definition of critical infrastructure is unclear and recommend that this it be amended to specifically refer to the State</p> | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision               | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                |                         |          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | highway network to ensure it is appropriately captured within the definition.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                        |
| <b>S132.005</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                  | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Amend    | Amend 'critical infrastructure' definition:<br>Critical infrastructure means those necessary facilities, services, and installations which are critical or of significance to either New Zealand, Southland, or Gore. This may include but is not limited to:<br>- Strategic land transport network, <b>including the rail network</b> and arterial roads; <del>—rail corridor</del> | The definition as proposed includes 'strategic land transport network' however this is not defined in the Proposed Plan. For clarity, the definition should specify the rail network as critical infrastructure. It is noted that the listed activities include the rail corridor, however for clarity the term "rail corridor" does not capture all of KiwiRail's Critical Infrastructure. | Reject                 |
| <b>S147.001</b>  | New Zealand Defence Force              | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Amend    | Means those necessary facilities, services, and installations which are critical or of significance to either New Zealand, Southland, or Gore. This may include but is not limited to:<br>- strategic land transport network and arterial roads;<br>...<br>- transport hubs and bulk fuel supply infrastructure including terminals and pipelines.;<br>- <b>defence facilities</b>   | NZDF seeks national consistency throughout NZ district plans and seeks for future defence facilities to be provided for as critical infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision               | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reasons                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S130.001</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Support in part | Means those necessary facilities, services, and installations which are critical or of significance to either New Zealand, Southland, or Gore. This may include but is not limited to: strategic land transport network and arterial roads; rail corridor; - telecommunication facilities; national, regional and local renewable electricity generation activities of any scale; the electricity transmission network (the National Grid); sewage collection, treatment and disposal networks; community land drainage infrastructure; community potable water systems; established community-scale irrigation and stock water infrastructure; transport hubs and bulk fuel supply infrastructure including terminals and pipelines.<br><b>Infrastructure that delivers a service operated by a lifeline utility (as defined in the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act 2002)</b> | Suggested referring to CDEM Act.                                                                                                | Reject                 |
| <b>S154.001</b>  | Spark New Zealand                      | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Support in part | Include telecommunications as a defined term as 'telecommunications as means the conveyance by                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Requests the Telecommunications Act 2001 definition of telecommunication be added to the definition of critical infrastructure. | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision               | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Trading Limited                      |                |                         |                 | electromagnetic means from one device to another of any encrypted or non-encrypted sign, signal, impulse, writing, image, sound, instruction, information, or intelligence of any nature, whether for the information of any person using the device or not'.                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                 |                        |
| <b>S32.001</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Support in part | Include telecommunications as a defined term as 'telecommunications as means the conveyance by electromagnetic means from one device to another of any encrypted or non-encrypted sign, signal, impulse, writing, image, sound, instruction, information, or intelligence of any nature, whether for the information of any person using the device or not'. | Requests the Telecommunications Act 2001 definition of telecommunication be added to the definition of critical infrastructure. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S37.001</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Support in part | Include telecommunications as a defined term as 'telecommunications as means the conveyance by electromagnetic means from one device to another of any encrypted or non-encrypted sign, signal, impulse, writing, image, sound, instruction, information, or intelligence of any nature, whether                                                             | Requests the Telecommunications Act 2001 definition of telecommunication be added to the definition of critical infrastructure. | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision               | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                |                         |                | for the information of any person using the device or not'.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                        |
| <b>S134.005</b>  | Andrea McMillan & Tony Harpur          | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Amend          | Amend to exclude the East Gore Water Treatment Plant.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Given its unlawfulness in establishment and operation.                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reject                 |
| <b>S95.001</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Oppose in part | Amend as follows: means those necessary facilities, services, and installations which are critical or of significance to either New Zealand, Southland, or Gore. This may include but is not limited to: - strategic land transport network and arterial roads; - rail corridor; - telecommunication facilities; national, regional and local renewable electricity generation activities of any scale; - the electricity transmission network (the National Grid) <b>and distribution networks</b> ; - sewage collection, treatment and disposal networks; - community land drainage infrastructure; - community potable water systems; - established community-scale irrigation and stock water infrastructure; | The electricity distribution network comprises a 'lifeline utility', as defined by the Civil Defence and Emergency Management Act 2002 Act.1 PowerNet seeks that the electricity distribution network is included in the definition of Critical Infrastructure. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision               | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS26.005         | Mercury Ltd                            |                |                         | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Mercury agrees with PowerNet that the definition of critical infrastructure should include the electricity distribution network. This is consistent with the Civil Defence and Emergency Management Act 2002 Act definition of 'lifeline utility'. | Reject                 |
| S33.001          | FortySouth                             | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Support in part | Include telecommunications as a defined term as 'telecommunications as means the conveyance by electromagnetic means from one device to another of any encrypted or non-encrypted sign, signal, impulse, writing, image, sound, instruction, information, or intelligence of any nature, whether for the information of any person using the device or not'. | Requests the Telecommunications Act 2001 definition of telecommunication be added to the definition of critical infrastructure.                                                                                                                    | Accept in part         |
| S35.001          | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | Interpretation | critical infrastructure | Support in part | Include telecommunications as a defined term as 'telecommunications as means the conveyance by electromagnetic means from one device to another of any encrypted or non-encrypted sign, signal, impulse, writing, image, sound, instruction, information, or intelligence of any nature, whether                                                             | Requests the Telecommunications Act 2001 definition of telecommunication be added to the definition of critical infrastructure.                                                                                                                    | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)  | Section        | Provision   | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                         |                |             |                 | for the information of any person using the device or not'.                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                               |                        |
| <b>S110.005</b>  | Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc | Interpretation | Definitions | Amend           | Add: <b>Grey Infrastructure: Human-engineered traditional approaches to water management such as pipes and hard surfaces.</b> | No reasons given                                                                                                                                                                              | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.008</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited              | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Add a definition for Mast                                                                                                     | A definition of mast is needed to understand how it is different from a pole                                                                                                                  | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.009</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited              | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Provide a definition for support poles and support structures                                                                 | Rule INFR-R3 refers to support poles and Rule INFRR4 refers to support structures. A definition of each, or a rationalization between the two terms is necessary to understand what they mean | Accept in part         |
| <b>S35.010</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited              | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Cross reference to NESTF or provide definitions for Ancillary Equipment, Antenna, Cabinet, Pole and Small Cell Unit           | Telecommunications terms in the PDP defined under the RMA (being the NESTF). It needs to be made clear that the PDP adopts the same definition.                                               | Accept in part         |
| <b>S154.008</b>  | Spark New                               | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Add a definition for Mast                                                                                                     | A definition of mast is needed to understand how it is different from a pole                                                                                                                  | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision   | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Zealand Trading Limited                |                |             |                 |                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                               |                        |
| <b>S154.009</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Provide a definition for support poles and support structures                                                       | Rule INFR-R3 refers to support poles and Rule INFRR4 refers to support structures. A definition of each, or a rationalization between the two terms is necessary to understand what they mean | Accept in part         |
| <b>S154.010</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Cross reference to NESTF or provide definitions for Ancillary Equipment, Antenna, Cabinet, Pole and Small Cell Unit | Telecommunications terms in the PDP defined under the RMA (being the NESTF). It needs to be made clear that the PDP adopts the same definition.                                               | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.008</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Add a definition for Mast                                                                                           | A definition of mast is needed to understand how it is different from a pole                                                                                                                  | Reject                 |
| <b>S32.009</b>   | One New Zealand                        | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Provide a definition for support poles and support structures                                                       | Rule INFR-R3 refers to support poles and Rule INFRR4 refers to support structures. A definition of each, or a rationalization                                                                 | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision   | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Group Limited                          |                |             |                 |                                                                                                                     | between the two terms is necessary to understand what they mean                                                                                                                               |                        |
| <b>S32.010</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Cross reference to NESTF or provide definitions for Ancillary Equipment, Antenna, Cabinet, Pole and Small Cell Unit | Telecommunications terms in the PDP defined under the RMA (being the NESTF). It needs to made clear that the PDP adopts the same definition.                                                  | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.008</b>   | FortySouth                             | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Add a definition for Mast                                                                                           | A definition of mast is needed to understand how it is different from a pole                                                                                                                  | Reject                 |
| <b>S33.009</b>   | FortySouth                             | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Provide a definition for support poles and support structures                                                       | Rule INFR-R3 refers to support poles and Rule INFRR4 refers to support structures. A definition of each, or a rationalization between the two terms is necessary to understand what they mean | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.010</b>   | FortySouth                             | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Cross reference to NESTF or provide definitions for Ancillary Equipment, Antenna, Cabinet, Pole and Small Cell Unit | Telecommunications terms in the PDP defined under the RMA (being the NESTF). It needs to made clear that the PDP adopts the same definition.                                                  | Accept in part         |
| <b>S37.008</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Add a definition for Mast                                                                                           | A definition of mast is needed to understand how it is different from a pole                                                                                                                  | Reject                 |
| <b>S37.009</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Provide a definition for support poles and support structures                                                       | Rule INFR-R3 refers to support poles and Rule INFRR4 refers to support structures. A definition of each, or a rationalization                                                                 | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision   | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                |             |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | between the two terms is necessary to understand what they mean                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S37.010</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | Interpretation | Definitions | Support in part | Cross reference to NESTF or provide definitions for Ancillary Equipment, Antenna, Cabinet, Pole and Small Cell Unit                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Telecommunications terms in the PDP defined under the RMA (being the NESTF). It needs to made clear that the PDP adopts the same definition.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S95.010</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | Interpretation | Definitions | Not Stated      | Include a definition for: <b>ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION NETWORK: Means the conveyance of electricity via electricity subtransmission and distribution lines, cables, support structures, substations, transformers, switching stations, kiosks, cabinets, and ancillary buildings and structures, including communication equipment, by a network utility operator. This includes the assets shown on the planning maps.</b> | PowerNet seeks a definition for ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION NETWORK is included in the District Plan. The term Electricity Distribution Network is referred to in the rules PowerNet proposes (below) and therefore a definition for this activity is required. The suggested definition is considered to be appropriate as it encapsulates what the distribution network does, as well as the structures that are required for the conveyance of electricity. | Reject                 |
| <b>S95.011</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | Interpretation | Definitions | Not Stated      | Include a definition for: <b>Electricity Distribution Yard means a) the area located within 10m of the centreline of a 110kV, 66kV or 33 kV electricity distribution line on single poles / towers or within 10m of a foundation of an associated support structure. As depicted in Diagram 1</b>                                                                                                                          | PowerNet seeks a definition for Electricity Distribution Yard. The definition captures both distribution and subtransmission lines as well as the structures that are required for the conveyance of electricity.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)  | Section        | Provision       | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                         |                |                 |          | - Electricity Distribution Yard<br><b>Diagram 1 - Electricity Distribution Yard</b> (Diagram on pg 6 of original submission) <b>Note: The Electricity Distribution Yard does not apply to underground cables or any distribution lines (or sections of line) that are designated.</b>                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S110.006</b>  | Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc | Interpretation | Definitions     | Amend    | Add: <b>Nature Based Solutions: Solutions that are inspired and supported by nature, and provide environmental, social and economic benefits and help build resilience. Examples include: restoration or constructing wetlands, forest restoration, riparian planting, allowing rivers to reclaim parts of their natural flood plains in strategic places, rain gardens, green roofs and so on.</b> | No reasons given                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reject                 |
| <b>FS17.104</b>  | Federated Farmers                       |                |                 | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | The term 'nature based solutions' does not appear in the PDP. It does not add any value to the plan given its aspirational nature. Solutions being 'inspired and supported by nature' is a lofty goal with no legal mandate. | Accept                 |
| <b>S121.006</b>  | Alliance                                | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Alignment with the National Planning Standards 2019 is appropriate.                                                                                                                                                          | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section        | Provision       | Position | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Group Limited                              |                |                 |          |                               |                                                                  |                        |
| <b>S35.002</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited                 | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The definition of functional need is supported.                  | Accept                 |
| <b>S126.023</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards. | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.002</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited          | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The definition of functional need is supported.                  | Accept                 |
| <b>S32.002</b>   | One New Zealand                            | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The definition of functional need is supported.                  | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision       | Position | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Group Limited                          |                |                 |          |                               |                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S33.002</b>   | FortySouth                             | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The definition of functional need is supported.                                                                             | Accept                 |
| <b>S37.002</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The definition of functional need is supported.                                                                             | Accept                 |
| <b>S95.002</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain definition             | PowerNet supports the inclusion of this definition as it reflects the definition in the National Planning Standard ("NPS"). | Accept                 |
| <b>S115.024</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.                                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>S143.035</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.                                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>S148.036</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.                                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>S60.026</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | Interpretation | functional need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.                                                            | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)  | Section                       | Provision            | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S68.144</b>   | John Baynes                             | Interpretation                | functional need      | Support  | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | The notified definition follows the National Planning Standards.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.089</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited          | GIZ - General Industrial Zone | GIZ - General        | Oppose   | Amend Note 1 as follows: "The provisions in this chapter apply in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.<br><b>Infrastructure activities are provided for in the Infrastructure Chapter and the rules in this chapter do not apply to infrastructure activities."</b> | The Note is not sufficiently clear in terms of the rules that might apply to critical infrastructure generally, and the National Grid specifically. That is, as written, the Note could be understood to direct that the General Industrial Zone rules apply to infrastructure in addition to the rules in the Infrastructure Chapter. This would result in Rule GIZ-R12 applying and all infrastructure activities being non-complying activities. Transpower seeks that Note 1 is amended to explicitly set out that the General Industrial Zone rules do not apply to infrastructure | Accept in Part         |
| <b>S110.004</b>  | Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc | Interpretation                | green infrastructure | Amend    | Add blue infrastructure to definitions (change the current green infrastructure to blue and green infrastructure and add definition)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | At the moment green infrastructure is defined in the definitions, but not blue. The National Planning Framework includes examples of local urban design initiatives such as nature-based solutions (such as urban forestry and vertical greening) and blue and green infrastructure (such as water sensitive urban design)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reject                 |
| <b>S101.084</b>  | Transpower New                          | GRUZ - General Rural Zone     | GRUZ - General       | Oppose   | Amend Note 1 as follows: "The provisions in this chapter apply in addition to the provisions of the                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Transpower does not support Note 1 on the basis that the Note is not sufficiently clear in terms of the rules that might apply to critical                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                        | Provision       | Position   | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Zealand Limited                        |                                |                 |            | other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.<br><b>Infrastructure activities are provided for in the Infrastructure Chapter and the rules in this chapter do not apply to infrastructure activities."</b>                                                                                                     | infrastructure generally, and the National Grid specifically. That is, as written, the Note could be understood to direct that the General Rural Zone rules apply to infrastructure in addition to the rules in the Infrastructure Chapter. This would result in Rule GRUZ-R20 applying and all infrastructure activities being non-complying activities. Transpower seeks that Note 1 is amended to explicitly set out that the General Industrial Zone rules do not apply to infrastructure.                                                                                           |                        |
| <b>S101.081</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | GRZ - General Residential Zone | GRZ - General   | Oppose     | Amend Note 1 as follows: "The provisions in this chapter apply in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.<br><b>Infrastructure activities are provided for in the Infrastructure Chapter and the rules in this chapter do not apply to infrastructure activities."</b> | The Note is not sufficiently clear in terms of the rules that might apply to critical infrastructure generally, and the National Grid specifically. That is, as written, the Note could be understood to direct that the General Residential Zone rules apply to infrastructure in addition to the rules in the Infrastructure Chapter. This would result in Rule GRZ-R15 applying and all infrastructure activities being non-complying activities. Transpower seeks that Note 1 is amended to explicitly set out that the General Industrial Zone rules do not apply to infrastructure | Accept in part         |
| <b>S136.017</b>  | Jenny Campbell                         | INFR - Infrastructure          | INFR-Additional | Not Stated | Water use- grey water systems be encouraged in both town & country and especially new houses &                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision                          | Position   | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       | provisions sought                  |            | industrial buildings being made mandatory.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                            |                        |
| <b>S95.023</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR- Additional provisions sought | Not Stated | Include the following new rule: <b>INFR-RX Activities and development (other than earthworks and other than electricity distribution network activities) within the Electricity Distribution Yard All Zones Activity status: Permitted Where: (a) Any building or structure, excluding a fence, is located at least 10m from the centre line of a 66kV electricity distribution line or at least 10m of a foundation of an associated support structure; or(b) Any building or structure, excluding a fence, is located at least 5m from the centre line of a 33kV electricity distribution line or at least 5m of a foundation of an associated support structure; or (c) A fence not exceeding 2.5m in height above ground level; or (d) The building or structure is not used for the handling or storage of hazardous substances with explosive or flammable intrinsic properties (this does not apply to the accessory use and storage of hazardous</b> | PowerNet seeks a new rule in the Infrastructure Chapter to manage activities within the electricity distribution corridor. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision                          | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |                                    |                 | substances in domestic scale quantities). Note: The New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) contains restrictions on the location of buildings, structures, and activities in relation to the National Grid and electricity distribution lines. Buildings, structures, and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid or electricity distribution lines must comply with the NZECP 34:2001. Compliance with the rule requirements of the District Plan does not ensure compliance with NZECP 34:2001 or vice versa. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                        |
| <b>S35.038</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR- Additional provisions sought | Support in part | New rule permitting temporary network utilities. The only standard should be that the infrastructure must be removed within 12 months. The elevation can be to discretionary activity status                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Temporary infrastructure is used when<br>a) an event-with insufficient infrastructure for capacity expected<br>b) construction activity requiring existing networks turned off<br>c) fault or disaster<br>Limiting temporary infrastructure to 12 months means that there is a time limit for any resultant environmental effects. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS30.013</b>  | Transpower New                         |                       |                                    | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Transpower supports the relief sought on the basis that there are situations where temporary solutions are necessary in order to                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision                          | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Zealand Limited                        |                       |                                    |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                              | provide for the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. Allow the submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S37.038</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR- Additional provisions sought | Support in part | New rule permitting temporary network utilities. The only standard should be that the infrastructure must be removed within 12 months. The elevation can be to discretionary activity status | Temporary infrastructure is used when a) an event-with insufficient infrastructure for capacity expected b)construction activity requiring existing networks turned off c) fault or disaster Limiting temporary infrastructure to 12 months means that there is a time limit for any resultant environmental effects. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS30.017</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |                                    | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                        | Transpower supports the relief sought on the basis that there are situations where temporary solutions are necessary in order to provide for the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. Allow the submission.                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.038</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR- Additional provisions sought | Support in part | New rule permitting temporary network utilities. The only standard should be that the infrastructure must be removed within 12 months. The elevation can be to discretionary activity status | Temporary infrastructure is used when a) an event-with insufficient infrastructure for capacity expected b)construction activity requiring existing networks turned off c) fault or disaster Limiting temporary infrastructure to 12 months means that there is a time limit for any resultant environmental effects. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS30.029</b>  | Transpower New Zealand                 |                       |                                    | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                        | Transpower supports the relief sought on the basis that there are situations where temporary solutions are necessary in order to provide for the health, safety and wellbeing                                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision                          | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Limited                              |                       |                                    |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                              | of people and communities. Allow the submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                        |
| <b>FS14.008</b>  | NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi        |                       |                                    | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                     | Temporary infrastructure can adversely affect the safe and efficient functioning of the State highway network where it is located near to the road corridor, as it can obstruct views of intersections, accessways and oncoming traffic or create shading/icing on roads, or give rise to reflectivity/glare effects. It is unclear how the potential safety effects of the temporary telecommunications infrastructure would be addressed through the suggested approach. | Reject                 |
| <b>S32.038</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR- Additional provisions sought | Support in part | New rule permitting temporary network utilities. The only standard should be that the infrastructure must be removed within 12 months. The elevation can be to discretionary activity status | Temporary infrastructure is used when a) an event-with insufficient infrastructure for capacity expected b) construction activity requiring existing networks turned off c) fault or disaster Limiting temporary infrastructure to 12 months means that there is a time limit for any resultant environmental effects.                                                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS30.060</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |                                    | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                        | Transpower supports the relief sought on the basis that there are situations where temporary solutions are necessary in order to provide for the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. Allow the submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)  | Section               | Provision                          | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS14.007         | NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi         |                       |                                    | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Temporary infrastructure can adversely affect the safe and efficient functioning of the State highway network where it is located near to the road corridor, as it can obstruct views of intersections, accessways and oncoming traffic or create shading/icing on roads, or give rise to reflectivity/glare effects. It is unclear how the potential safety effects of the temporary telecommunications infrastructure would be addressed through the suggested approach | Reject                 |
| S110.019         | Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR- Additional provisions sought | Amend    | Add: <b>INFR-S10 - Minimum Setbacks from Significant Natural Areas All zones 1. Any infrastructure building or structure must be set back 10 metres from the closest boundary of any identified Significant Natural Area. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Whether there is a functional need for the building or structure to be located in the Significant Natural Area, and the extent to which alternative practicable options have been considered and their feasibility. 2. The effects on the indigenous</b> | There is no requirement in the plan to avoid SNAs. The addition of this rule would ensure that SNAs are protected so they can continue to perform their function.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |          | <b>biodiversity, habitats and ecosystem within the Significant Natural Area. 3. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW.2.3. 4. Landscape effects 5. Social effects</b> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |
| <b>FS30.069</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |         |           | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                           | Transpower does not support the relief sought on the basis that there is no clear effects-based rationale for requiring a setback from a SNA. Further, the submission suggests that the INF rules should include a requirement for infrastructure to avoid SNA's. In the case of the National Grid, the NPSET, and other higher order planning instruments include no such requirement. Disallow the submission. | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.066</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |         |           | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                           | There are technical constraints to where infrastructure needs to be located to deliver services. This includes enabling remote monitoring for biodiversity and pest control purposes. However it is accepted that infrastructure should not dominate a SNA where avoidable.                                                                                                                                      | Accept                 |
| <b>FS24.023</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |         |           | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                           | Contact considers the relief sought is too stringent and will create unnecessary constraints on the development of critical                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision                         | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |                                   |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                              | infrastructure. As such, Contact opposes the relief sought                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                        |
| <b>S154.038</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Additional provisions sought | Support in part | New rule permitting temporary network utilities. The only standard should be that the infrastructure must be removed within 12 months. The elevation can be to discretionary activity status | Temporary infrastructure is used when a) an event-with insufficient infrastructure for capacity expected b)construction activity requiring existing networks turned off c) fault or disaster Limiting temporary infrastructure to 12 months means that there is a time limit for any resultant environmental effects. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS30.082</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |                                   | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                        | Transpower supports the relief sought on the basis that there are situations where temporary solutions are necessary in order to provide for the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. Allow the submission.                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S35.003</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | Interpretation        | infrastructure                    | Support         | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                           | The definition of infrastructure is supported.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept                 |
| <b>S130.003</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | Interpretation        | infrastructure                    | Support         | Retain.                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.003</b>  | Spark New Zealand                      | Interpretation        | infrastructure                    | Support         | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                           | The definition of infrastructure is supported.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision      | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Trading Limited                      |                       |                |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S32.003</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | Interpretation        | infrastructure | Support         | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | The definition of infrastructure is supported.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>S33.003</b>   | FortySouth                             | Interpretation        | infrastructure | Support         | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | The definition of infrastructure is supported.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>S37.003</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | Interpretation        | infrastructure | Support         | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | The definition of infrastructure is supported.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>S95.003</b>   | PowerNet Limited                       | Interpretation        | infrastructure | Support         | Retain definition                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | PowerNet supports the inclusion of this definition as it reflects the definition in the RMA.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.023</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR - General | Support in part | Amend Note 3 as follows: " <b>Section 55 and</b> Section 75(3) of the RMA directs that the district plan must give effect to any National Policy Statement (NPS) and <b>Section 44A of the RMA, directs local authorities to ensure that there are no duplications or conflicts between the provisions of a National</b> | Generally supportive of the approach to including a note that references national policy statements and national environmental standards, however, Transpower considered that the 'Note' does not correctly reflect the relationship between a district plan and these higher order documents and is inconsistent with more explicit references elsewhere in | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reasons                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |          | <p><b>Environmental Standard (NES) and a proposed plan</b> National Environmental Standard (NES). NES's must be observed and enforced by local authorities. Section 43B of the RMA directs that a NES prevails over District Plan rules unless a NES expressly <del>states</del> states that it does not. <b>The National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2008 must be given effect to in respect of District Plan provisions that recognise and provide for the National Grid; manage the effects of the National Grid and manage the effects of other activities on the National Grid. The Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 include a suite of provisions that provide for the operation, maintenance and upgrading of existing transmission lines. These provisions prevail over any similar provisions in the District Plan."</b></p> | the Proposed District Plan. Transpower proposes some alternative wording |                        |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision      | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S144.001</b>  | Contact Energy Limited                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR - General | Support         | Retain provisions relating to renewable electricity generation activities in some form.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Contact supports the provisions relating to the development, operation, maintenance and protection of renewable electricity generation activities.                                        | Accept                 |
| <b>S146.012</b>  | Southland District Council             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR - General | Support in part | The Network Utilities and Network Utility Operator have conflict between their definitions. As part of National Planning Standards, it states in Section 14. Definitions, Point 1: "Where terms defined in the Definitions List are used in a policy statement or plan, and the term is used in the same context as the definition, local authorities must use the definition as defined in the Definitions List." Several councils either implement the Network Utility Operator definition on their own or have modified their Network Utility definition to become a subcategory of the RMA definition to remove the conflict. | Potential mistakes in the implementation of the National Planning Standards highlighted for accuracy and to ensure provisions between Councils are standardized.                          | Accept                 |
| <b>S124.011</b>  | Fire and Emergency NZ                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR - General | Support         | Retain objective and policy framework as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Fire and Emergency supports the objectives and policies within the Infrastructure chapter insofar that they recognise the importance of providing for effective, resilient, efficient and | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision      | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |                |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                        | safe infrastructure which maintains public health and safety.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S140.011</b>  | Robina Johnston                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR - General | Not Stated      | Considerations of the visual effects on the natural environment from infrastructure                                                                                                                    | infrastructure is important but beautification and screening off structures to camouflage and blend into a more natural environment is need especially with the acceleration of sustainable energy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reject                 |
| <b>S109.030</b>  | Department of Conservation             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR - General | Support in part | Amend the objectives and policies to reflect National Policy and Southland RPS provisions for nationally significant infrastructure, regionally significant infrastructure and 'other infrastructure'. | Overall, the D-G considers it necessary that the policies and provisions around infrastructure are set out to identify nationally significant infrastructure, regionally significant infrastructure and other infrastructure including critical infrastructure. This will help to inform how policies are developed for each type of infrastructure based on requirements in the relevant National Policy Statements and the Southland Regional Policy Statement. | Reject                 |
| <b>FS23.001</b>  | Power Net Limited                      |                       |                | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                  | PowerNet considers it necessary for the policies and provisions for infrastructure clearly refer to nationally significant infrastructure, regionally significant infrastructure and other infrastructure including critical infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                                         | Provision               | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S109.034</b>  | Department of Conservation             | INFR - Infrastructure                           | INFR - General          | Support in part | Retain with the following amendments (or words to similar effect): <b>Note 1 - Include a more specific description of what other provisions apply to this chapter such as the ECO chapter provisions.</b> | The D-G recommends amending Note 1 to identify which other provisions apply to provide clarity.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept                 |
| <b>FS30.020</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                                                 |                         | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Transpower supports the submission to the extent that the relief sought seeks to clarify where provisions in other chapters of the Proposed District Plan apply. Allow the submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept                 |
| <b>S10D1.098</b> | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | Energy, Infrastructure and Transport map layers | INFR-MAP- National Grid | Support in part | Revise the Planning Map as necessary to show all parts of the National Grid (including those parts of the National Grid that are designated)                                                              | Transpower acknowledges that the Planning Map, as notified, includes the National Grid transmission lines as a solid black line with the notation 'Transpower HV Lines'. Transpower supports the mapping of the National Grid and notes that this is a requirement of Policy 12 of the NPSET that states: "Territorial authorities must identify the electricity transmission network on their relevant planning maps whether or not the network is designated." Transpower also notes that the National Grid is shown as a solid black line as set out in the National Planning Standards. That said, Policy 12 of the NPSET requires all part of the transmission network to be mapped and | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | therefore Transpower suggests that the Planning Map is revised to include all parts of the National Grid (including substations). |                        |
| <b>S149.002</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O1   | Support        | Retain as notified.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                   | Reject                 |
| <b>S130.032</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O1   | Support        | Retain                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                   | Reject                 |
| <b>S154.013</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O1   | Oppose in part | Amend INFR-O1 as follows: <del>The operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided to meet the needs of the community and businesses.</del> <b>Critical infrastructure: 1. is efficient, effective and resilient; 2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional or national networks, including in emergencies; 3. coordinates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and 4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.</b> | The scope of INFR-O1 should be widened to fully recognize what critical infrastructure needs to achieve.                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>S37.013</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O1   | Oppose in part | Amend INFR-O1 as follows: <del>The operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided to meet the needs of the</del>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | The scope of INFR-O1 should be widened to fully recognize what critical infrastructure needs to achieve.                          | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                | community and businesses. <b>Critical infrastructure: 1. is efficient, effective and resilient; 2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional or national networks, including in emergencies; 3. coordinates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and 4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.</b>                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                          |                        |
| <b>S35.013</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O1   | Oppose in part | Amend INFR-O1 as follows: <del>The operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided to meet the needs of the community and businesses.</del> <b>Critical infrastructure: 1. is efficient, effective and resilient; 2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional or national networks, including in emergencies; 3. coordinates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and 4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.</b> | The scope of INFR-O1 should be widened to fully recognize what critical infrastructure needs to achieve. | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS23.004         | Power Net Limited                      |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | PowerNet agrees that the scope of INFR-O1 should be widened to fully recognize and provide for the operational requirements of critical infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| S101.016         | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O1   | Support in part | Amend Objective INFR-O1 as follows: "INFR-O1 <b>The benefits derived from infrastructure are realised and the</b> The operation, maintenance upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided for to meet the needs of the community and businesses"<br><b>Recognise the benefits to be derived from, and make provision for, the development, maintenance, upgrade and ongoing operation of regionally significant, nationally significant and critical infrastructure and associated activities."</b> | Transpower supports Objective INFR-O1 to the extent that the Objective sets out an outcome where the operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided for in a manner consistent with Policy 2 of the NPSET. It is considered that the Objective does not fully give effect to Policy INF.1 of the SRPS or Policy 1 of the NPSET because, the Objective does make reference to the benefits of the National Grid (albeit through generic reference to infrastructure). | Reject                 |
| FS26.018         | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Similar to the national grid, facilities for the generation of electricity and lines fall within the RMA definition of 'infrastructure'. Mercury supports the additional references in the policy to the benefits derived from infrastructure                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S95.013</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O1   | Support        | Retain objective                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | PowerNet supports this objective as it provides for infrastructure, including electricity distribution networks, and recognises the importance of infrastructure for communities and businesses.                                    | Reject                 |
| <b>FS21.024</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities.                                                                                                                                                                         | Reject                 |
| <b>S32.013</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O1   | Oppose in part | Amend INFR-O1 as follows: <del>The operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided to meet the needs of the community and businesses.</del> <b>Critical infrastructure: 1. is efficient, effective and resilient; 2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional or national networks, including in emergencies; 3. coordinates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and 4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.</b> | The scope of INFR-O1 should be widened to fully recognize what critical infrastructure needs to achieve.                                                                                                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>FS14.003</b>  | NZ Transport Agency                    |                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | The New Zealand Transport Agency does not oppose the objective sought by the submitter but considers it would be better as a separate objective and should not replace the notified objective. Reject submission, in so far that it | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Waka Kotahi                            |                       |           |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | seeks to replace notified objective, but support proposed amendment as a new separate objective                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                        |
| <b>S33.013</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O1   | Oppose in part | Amend INFR-O1 as follows: <del>The operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of infrastructure is provided to meet the needs of the community and businesses.</del> <b>Critical infrastructure: 1. is efficient, effective and resilient; 2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional or national networks, including in emergencies; 3. coordinates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and 4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.</b> | The scope of INFR-O1 should be widened to fully recognize what critical infrastructure needs to achieve.                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Accept in Part         |
| <b>FS14.004</b>  | NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi        |                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | The New Zealand Transport Agency does not oppose the objective sought by the submitter, but considers it would be better as a separate objective and should not replace the notified objective. Reject submission, in so far that it seeks to replace notified objective, but support proposed amendment as a new separate objective | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S112.013</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O2   | Support in part | Amend INFR - O2 as follows: Infrastructure within new subdivisions and developments integrates with existing infrastructure <b>in a safe, effective and efficient way</b> and provides for opportunities to link with future infrastructure in accordance with the Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 or any subsequent replacement document. | Waka Kotahi recommends an amendment to include the words 'safe, effective and efficient way ' to make the intent of the objective more explicit and maintain consistency with the terminology used within the Land Transport Management Act 2003.                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>S149.005</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O2   | Amend           | Delete " <del>.. and provides for opportunities to link with future infrastructure in accordance with the Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 or any subsequent replacement document</del> "                                                                                                                                                   | The provisions relating to infrastructure should be integrated into the plan instead of referring to and external document.                                                                                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>S35.014</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O2   | Support in part | Delete INFR-O2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | The proposed amendments to INFR-O1 result in INFR-O2 being superfluous and Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 does not need to be referenced in an objective, as it is not referenced in any subsequent rules or standards which is where its implementation could actually be followed. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS21.025</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Deletion is supported as it makes it clearer and more certain for plan users. Delete the                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 |                               | reference of the Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S32.014</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O2   | Support in part | Delete INFR-O2                | The proposed amendments to INFR-O1 result in INFR-O2 being superfluous and Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 does not need to be referenced in an objective, as it is not referenced in any subsequent rules or standards which is where its implementation could actually be followed. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS21.026</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                         | Deletion is supported as it makes it clearer and more certain for plan users. Deletion of the reference of the Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019.                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.014</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O2   | Support in part | Delete INFR-O2                | The proposed amendments to INFR-O1 result in INFR-O2 being superfluous and Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 does not need to be referenced in an objective, as it is not referenced in any subsequent rules or standards which is where its implementation could actually be followed. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS21.027</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                         | Deletion is supported as it makes it clearer and more certain for plan users. Deletion of the reference of the Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019.                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S37.014</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O2   | Support in part | Delete INFR-O2                | The proposed amendments to INFR-O1 result in INFR-O2 being superfluous and Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 does not need to be referenced in an objective, as it is not referenced in any subsequent rules or standards which is where its implementation could actually be followed. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS21.028</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                         | Deletion is supported as it makes it clearer and more certain for plan users. Deletion of the reference of the Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019.                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S154.014</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O2   | Support in part | Delete INFR-O2                | The proposed amendments to INFR-O1 result in INFR-O2 being superfluous and Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019 does not need to be referenced in an objective, as it is not referenced in any subsequent rules or standards which is where its implementation could actually be followed. | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS21.029</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                         | Deletion is supported as it makes it clearer and more certain for plan users. Deletion of the reference of the Gore District Subdivision and Land Development Bylaw 2019.                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S149.003</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O3   | Support         | Retain as notified.           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S35.015</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-O3 as follows:<br><del>Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure. The safe and efficient operation and security of critical infrastructure is not compromised by incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.</del> | INFR-O3 is clearly directed at reverse sensitivity. The objective should be rewritten to specifically state reverse sensitivity effects. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S154.015</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-O3 as follows:<br><del>Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure. The safe and efficient operation and security of critical infrastructure is not compromised by incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.</del> | INFR-O3 is clearly directed at reverse sensitivity. The objective should be rewritten to specifically state reverse sensitivity effects. | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S37.015</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-O3 as follows:<br><del>Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure. The safe and efficient operation and security of critical infrastructure is not compromised by incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.</del> | INFR-O3 is clearly directed at reverse sensitivity. The objective should be rewritten to specifically state reverse sensitivity effects.                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>S134.006</b>  | Andrea McMillan & Tony Harpur          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O3   | Oppose          | <del>Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure, is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure.</del>                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reject                 |
| <b>S101.017</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O3   | Support         | Retain Objective IFR-O3 as notified.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Transpower supports Objective INFR-O3 on the basis that the Objective gives effect to Policy INF.3 of the SRPS and because, insofar as it relates to the National Grid, the Objective reflects the concept of protection in Policies 10 and 11 of the NPSET. | Accept in Part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>FS23.005</b>  | Power Net Limited                      |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | PowerNet agrees that this objective is appropriate and should be retained.                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |
| <b>S130.033</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O3   | Support in part | The District Plan should incorporate regulations for sensitive activities under land use provisions to achieve this outcome.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | To address reverse sensitivity effects from non-infrastructure activities, objectives in relevant chapters, including the General Rural Zone, are crucial.                               | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS28.011</b>  | Kāinga Ora                             |                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Kāinga Ora considers, that consistent with its further submission, that the provisions relating to reverse sensitivity effects are appropriate and suited to the infrastructure chapter. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.015</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-O3 as follows:<br><del>Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure. The safe and efficient operation and security of critical infrastructure is not compromised by incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.</del> | INFR-O3 is clearly directed at reverse sensitivity. The objective should be rewritten to specifically state reverse sensitivity effects.                                                 | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>FS28.012</b>  | Kainga Ora                             |                       |           | Oppose   | Disallow                      | Kāinga Ora considers that the Objective as drafted is sufficient and in line with higher order policy. Reverse sensitivity in itself is not always an effect.                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS28.013</b>  | Kainga Ora                             |                       |           | Oppose   | Disallow                      | Kāinga Ora considers that the Objective as drafted is sufficient and in line with higher order policy. Reverse sensitivity in itself is not always an effect.                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS28.014</b>  | Kainga Ora                             |                       |           | Oppose   | Disallow                      | Kāinga Ora considers that the Objective as drafted is sufficient and in line with higher order policy. Reverse sensitivity in itself is not always an effect.                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS28.015</b>  | Kainga Ora                             |                       |           | Oppose   | Disallow                      | Kāinga Ora considers that the Objective as drafted is sufficient and in line with higher order policy. Reverse sensitivity in itself is not always an effect.                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS14.005</b>  | NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi        |                       |           | Oppose   | Disallow                      | The New Zealand Transport Agency does not support the amendments sought by the submitter, as the suggested wording does not expressly include land reserved for future infrastructure. | Accept                 |
| <b>S132.017</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O3   | Support  | Retain INFR-O3 as proposed.   | KiwiRail supports the provisions of Objective INF-03 which seeks to protect land reserved for future infrastructure from adverse effects of subdivision, use and                       | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure.                                                                   |                        |
| <b>FS21.030</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities.                                                                                                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.015</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-O3 as follows:<br><del>Infrastructure or land reserved for future infrastructure is protected from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that is incompatible or may compromise the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure. The safe and efficient operation and security of critical infrastructure is not compromised by incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.</del> | INFR-O3 is clearly directed at reverse sensitivity. The objective should be rewritten to specifically state reverse sensitivity effects.                                               | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS14.006</b>  | NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi        |                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | The New Zealand Transport Agency does not support the amendments sought by the submitter, as the suggested wording does not expressly include land reserved for future infrastructure. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S109.031</b>  | Department of                          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Oppose          | Amend objective INFR-O4 to have regard for the management of                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | As noted in the submission point above, this objective needs to deal with not only critical infrastructure but 'specified infrastructure'                                              | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)  | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Conservation                            |                       |           |                 | adverse effects and application of the effects management hierarchy.                                                                                                                                               | that provides significant national or regional public benefit and the management of adverse effects through the application of the effects management hierarchy in line with the NPSFM, NPS IB and Southland RPS.                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S110.016</b>  | Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Amend           | Add: <b>INFR-O4 Low-emission and climate-resilient urban environments are encouraged by considering blue and green infrastructure options and nature based solutions as first options before grey engineering.</b> | There is no reference to Nature Based Solutions in the plan as notified. Including this objective ties-in to the suggested addition in the overview, and encourages developers to look to these options as a first, before grey infrastructure. These options can provide significant benefit to the district in amenity, environment/natural values, hazard control, water quality and so on. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S132.018</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                   | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Amend           | Amend as follows:<br>Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure <b>including critical infrastructure</b> are managed.                                          | The objective relates to critical infrastructure, however, KiwiRail believe adverse effects arise from all forms of infrastructure and the objective should reflect this.                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accept in part         |
| <b>S149.004</b>  | Yrless                                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Support         | Retain as notified.                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S35.016</b>   | Chorus New Zealand                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Support in part | Amend INF-O4 as follows: <del>Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed.</del><br><b>The functional and operational</b>                           | It is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Limited                              |                       |           |                 | <b>needs of critical infrastructure are taken into account when managing any adverse effects of its location, design and operation on the natural and physical environment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                      |                        |
| <b>S130.034</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Support         | Retain.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                      | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.016</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Support in part | Amend INF-O4 as follows: <del>Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed.</del> <b>The functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure are taken into account when managing any adverse effects of its location, design and operation on the natural and physical environment</b> | It is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure. | Reject                 |
| <b>S33.016</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Support in part | Amend INF-O4 as follows: <del>Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed.</del> <b>The functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure are taken into account when managing any adverse effects of its location,</b>                                                              | It is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | <b>design and operation on the natural and physical environment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                      |                        |
| <b>S37.016</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Support in part | Amend INF-O4 as follows: <del>Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed.</del><br><b>The functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure are taken into account when managing any adverse effects of its location, design and operation on the natural and physical environment</b> | It is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure. | Reject                 |
| <b>S32.016</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Support in part | Amend INF-O4 as follows: <del>Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed.</del><br><b>The functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure are taken into account when managing any adverse effects of its location, design and operation on the natural and physical environment</b> | It is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure. | Reject                 |
| <b>FS23.006</b>  | Power Net Limited                      |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | PowerNet agrees that the functional and operational needs of infrastructure should be taken into consideration when managing effects of the infrastructure.                          | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S101.018</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Support        | Retain Objective INFR-O4 as notified.                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Transpower supports Objective INFR-O4 on the basis that the Objective reflects the range of responses to adverse effects directed by Policy INF.2 of the SRPS and, insofar as the Objective is relevant to the National Grid, appropriate reflects the range of responses to adverse effects directed by the NPSET. | Accept                 |
| <b>FS28.016</b>  | Kāinga Ora                             |                       |           | Oppose         | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Kāinga Ora considers that the Objective as drafted is sufficient and in line with higher order policy. Reverse sensitivity in itself is not always an effect.                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| <b>S134.007</b>  | Andrea McMillan & Tony Harpur          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Amend          | Amend as follows:<br>Adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of critical infrastructure are managed <b>to ensure that the effects on the receiving environment as commensurate with the effects anticipated in the receiving zone;</b> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reject                 |
| <b>FS28.017</b>  | Kāinga Ora                             |                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Kāinga Ora agrees with the proposed changes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 110.015<br>Reject      |
| <b>S85.070</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-O4   | Oppose in part | Broaden the objective to incorporate section 6 matters of National importance                                                                                                                                                                                      | There are no other objectives that reconcile the balance between providing infrastructure and managing the siting of infrastructure around significant landscapes, biodiversity, natural hazards etc. A precautionary                                                                                               | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision     | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |               |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | approach should be taken when siting infrastructure in important areas so that the infrastructure does not undermine key values. Some objectives and policies need to be established to guide decision making when consents are being sought under Rule 9.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |
| <b>FS26.019</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |               | Oppose in part  | Disallow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Further consideration is required of this the infrastructure chapter being stand-alone vs consistency with policy in other chapters. For example, Natural hazards are covered by NH04 "Regionally significant infrastructure is located outside hazard risk areas where practicable".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| <b>S101.015</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Amend the 'Overview' as follows: " ... It is recognised that infrastructure, and particularly critical infrastructure, is essential to providing for the health, safety and well-being of the people and communities of Gore <b>and beyond</b> . The purpose... (no change)... infrastructure. <b>This chapter must also give effect to the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2008 (NPSET) where the provisions relate to the National Grid. The NPSET identifies the need to operate, maintain, develop and</b> | Generally supports the 'Overview' but seeks limited amendments to (1) reflect that infrastructure located in Gore, such as the National Grid, may deliver benefits outside of the District also (2) mirror the approach taken in the Energy Sub-Chapter (that refers to the National Policy Statement on Renewable Electricity Generation 2011) by being explicit that the purpose of the Infrastructure Sub-Chapter is, at least in part, to give effect to the NPSET (3) make reference to reverse sensitivity 'effects'. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)  | Section               | Provision     | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                         |                       |               |          | upgrade the National Grid as a matter of national significance and directs decisionmakers to recognise and provide for the national, regional and local benefits of sustainable, secure and efficient electricity transmission. ... While the use and operation of infrastructure can have adverse effects on adjoining activities and sites, activities adjoining infrastructure can also have adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects, on infrastructure."                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| S110.015         | Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Amend    | Add: <b>The use of sustainable infrastructure, such as Nature Based Solutions and blue green infrastructure means infrastructure is often able to perform more effectively and efficiently than traditional grey infrastructure solutions. Nature Based Solutions provide opportunities to improve degraded natural environments, improve local amenity and enhance long-term environmental resilience. These options can also be used to purify water, as well as minimise floods and erosion, reduce the</b> | There is no reference to Nature Based Solutions in the plan as notified. Including this information as part of the overview (and in the definitions as suggested) encourages developers to look to these options as a first, before grey infrastructure. These options can provide significant benefit to the district in amenity, environment/natural values, hazard control, water quality and so on. | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision     | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |               |                 | urban heat island effect, deliver enhanced air quality and enhance people's mental and physical wellbeing. The overall cost of sustainable infrastructure can also be a fraction of grey infrastructure solutions, due to lower ongoing maintenance costs. These approaches help us to minimise the impacts of climate change by improving our resilience and allowing infrastructure to adapt to change |                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S35.011</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | In the second paragraph, update the word communication to telecommunication and radio communication.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | For consistency between what para. 2 states infrastructure is and the definition in the PDP | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.011</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | In the second paragraph, update the word communication to telecommunication and radio communication.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | For consistency between what para. 2 states infrastructure is and the definition in the PDP | Accept                 |
| <b>S32.011</b>   | One New Zealand                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | In the second paragraph, update the word communication to                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | For consistency between what para. 2 states infrastructure is and the definition in the PDP | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision     | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Group Limited                        |                       |               |                 | telecommunication and radio communication.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                |                        |
| <b>S33.011</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | In the second paragraph, update the word communication to telecommunication and radio communication.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | For consistency between what para. 2 states infrastructure is and the definition in the PDP                    | Accept                 |
| <b>S37.011</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | In the second paragraph, update the word communication to telecommunication and radio communication.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | For consistency between what para. 2 states infrastructure is and the definition in the PDP                    | Accept                 |
| <b>S95.012</b>   | PowerNet Limited                       | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support         | Retain this section                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | PowerNet supports the overview section which recognises the importance of infrastructure to the district.      | Accept in part         |
| <b>S115.060</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, <b>being highly productive land</b> or the underlying relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment. | Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision     | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S143.071</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, <b>being highly productive land</b> or the underlying relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment. | Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview. | Reject                 |
| <b>S148.072</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, <b>being highly productive land</b> or the underlying relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment. | Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview. | Reject                 |
| <b>S60.062</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, <b>being highly productive land</b> or the underlying                                                            | Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision     | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |               |                 | relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S68.180</b>   | John Baynes                            | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, <b>being highly productive land</b> or the underlying relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment. | Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview.                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.012</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Add a paragraph explaining how the infrastructure chapter interacts with other chapters of the DP noting that it is a standalone chapter and there are no rules in any other chapter which apply to the activities regulated under the INFR chapter.                                                                                   | Unclear how rules in the infrastructure chapter are to be applied. Under the NPS 'all provisions relating to...infrastructure that are not specific to the special purpose zone chapter or sections must be located in one or more chapters under the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Heading' | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS23.002</b>  | Power Net Limited                      |                       |               | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | PowerNet agrees that additional clarity to describe the standalone nature of the infrastructure chapters will assist with the efficient implementation of the District Plan.                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS30.011</b>  | Transpower New Zealand                 |                       |               | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Transpower generally supports the submission and is similarly of the view that the clear direction should be included in the Overview to explicitly explain how the INF                                                                                                                             | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section               | Provision     | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Limited                                  |                       |               |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Chapter relates to, and interacts with, other chapters in the Proposed District Plan. Allow the submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S126.059</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Amend INFR-Overview: However, some sites technically ideal for the location of infrastructure may be unsuitable having regard to their environmental effects, including impacts on landscape and biodiversity values, <b>being highly productive land</b> or the underlying relationships Ngāi Tahu hold with the natural environment. | Highly productive land may not be a suitable site for infrastructure and this should be noted in the overview.                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reject                 |
| <b>FS23.003</b>  | Power Net Limited                          |                       |               | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | PowerNet does not support this submission as the National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land includes a methodology for assessing the appropriateness of infrastructure in areas identified as highly productive land. The District Plan should not not contradict these national policy statement regulations | Accept                 |
| <b>S35.023</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Oppose          | Amend Note 1 as follows: The provisions in this chapter apply <del>in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements</del>                                                                                                                 | In order to comply with NPS, it must be a standalone chapter. Noting the proposed amendments to INFR9, the only other chapters which should be applicable to infrastructure are the subdivision and general district wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the                                                             | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision     | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |               |                 | <del>other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.</del> <b>on a district wide basis. As such the rules in the zone chapters do not apply. The provisions in the subdivision and General District Wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the District Plan are applicable.</b> | plan. All other actual and potential effects of infrastructure are provided for within the Infrastructure chapter                                                                                                                                                                                   |                        |
| <b>FS30.012</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |               | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Transpower supports the submission and is similarly of the view that the clear direction should be included in the Overview to explicitly explain how the INF Chapter relates to, and interacts with, other chapters in the Proposed District Plan. Allow the submission.                           | Accept in part         |
| <b>S37.012</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Add a paragraph explaining how the infrastructure chapter interacts with other chapters of the DP noting that it is a standalone chapter and there are no rules in any other chapter which apply to the activities regulated under the INFR chapter.                                                                                                               | Unclear how rules in the infrastructure chapter are to be applied. Under the NPS 'all provisions relating to...infrastructure that are not specific to the special purpose zone chapter or sections must be located in one or more chapters under the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Heading' | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS30.015</b>  | Transpower New Zealand                 |                       |               | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Transpower generally supports the submission and is similarly of the view that the clear direction should be included in the Overview to explicitly explain how the INF                                                                                                                             | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision     | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Limited                              |                       |               |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Chapter relates to, and interacts with, other chapters in the Proposed District Plan. Allow the submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                        |
| <b>S37.023</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Oppose          | Amend Note 1 as follows: The provisions in this chapter apply <del>in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.</del> <b>on a district wide basis. As such the rules in the zone chapters do not apply. The provisions in the subdivision and General District Wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the District Plan are applicable.</b> | In order to comply with NPS, it must be a standalone chapter. Noting the proposed amendments to INFRR9, the only other chapters which should be applicable to infrastructure are the subdivision and general district wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the plan. All other actual and potential effects of infrastructure are provided for within the Infrastructure chapter | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS30.016</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |               | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Transpower supports the submission and is similarly of the view that the clear direction should be included in the Overview to explicitly explain how the INF Chapter relates to, and interacts with, other chapters in the Proposed District Plan. Allow the submission.                                                                                                      | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.012</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Add a paragraph explaining how the infrastructure chapter interacts with                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Unclear how rules in the infrastructure chapter are to be applied. Under the NPS 'all                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision     | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |               |          | other chapters of the DP noting that it is a standalone chapter and there are no rules in any other chapter which apply to the activities regulated under the INFR chapter.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | provisions relating to...infrastructure that are not specific to the special purpose zone chapter or sections must be located in one or more chapters under the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Heading'                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |
| <b>FS30.027</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |               | Support  | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Transpower generally supports the submission and is similarly of the view that the clear direction should be included in the Overview to explicitly explain how the INF Chapter relates to, and interacts with, other chapters in the Proposed District Plan. Allow the submission.                                                                                            | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.023</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Oppose   | Amend Note 1 as follows: The provisions in this chapter apply <del>in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.</del> <b>on a district wide basis. As such the rules in the zone chapters do not apply. The provisions in the subdivision and General District Wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the District Plan are applicable.</b> | In order to comply with NPS, it must be a standalone chapter. Noting the proposed amendments to INFRR9, the only other chapters which should be applicable to infrastructure are the subdivision and general district wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the plan. All other actual and potential effects of infrastructure are provided for within the Infrastructure chapter | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision     | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>FS30.028</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |               | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Transpower supports the submission and is similarly of the view that the clear direction should be included in the Overview to explicitly explain how the INF Chapter relates to, and interacts with, other chapters in the Proposed District Plan. Allow the submission.                                    | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.012</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Add a paragraph explaining how the infrastructure chapter interacts with other chapters of the DP noting that it is a standalone chapter and there are no rules in any other chapter which apply to the activities regulated under the INFR chapter.                  | Unclear how rules in the infrastructure chapter are to be applied. Under the NPS 'all provisions relating to...infrastructure that are not specific to the special purpose zone chapter or sections must be located in one or more chapters under the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Heading'          | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS30.058</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |               | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Transpower generally supports the submission and is similarly of the view that the clear direction should be included in the Overview to explicitly explain how the INF Chapter relates to, and interacts with, other chapters in the Proposed District Plan. Allow the submission.                          | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.023</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Oppose          | Amend Note 1 as follows: The provisions in this chapter apply <del>in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan</del> and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements <del>other chapters in the District Plan</del> | In order to comply with NPS, it must be a standalone chapter. Noting the proposed amendments to INFRR9, the only other chapters which should be applicable to infrastructure are the subdivision and general district wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the plan. All other actual and potential effects of | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision     | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |               |                 | that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua. on a district wide basis. As such the rules in the zone chapters do not apply. The provisions in the subdivision and General District Wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the District Plan are applicable. | infrastructure are provided for within the Infrastructure chapter                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                        |
| <b>FS30.059</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |               | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Transpower supports the submission and is similarly of the view that the clear direction should be included in the Overview to explicitly explain how the INF Chapter relates to, and interacts with, other chapters in the Proposed District Plan. Allow the submission.                           | Accept in part         |
| <b>S154.012</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Support in part | Add a paragraph explaining how the infrastructure chapter interacts with other chapters of the DP noting that it is a standalone chapter and there are no rules in any other chapter which apply to the activities regulated under the INFR chapter.                                                         | Unclear how rules in the infrastructure chapter are to be applied. Under the NPS 'all provisions relating to...infrastructure that are not specific to the special purpose zone chapter or sections must be located in one or more chapters under the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Heading' | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS30.080</b>  | Transpower New Zealand                 |                       |               | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Transpower generally supports the submission and is similarly of the view that the clear direction should be included in the Overview to explicitly explain how the INF Chapter relates to, and interacts with, other                                                                               | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision     | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Limited                              |                       |               |          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | chapters in the Proposed District Plan. Allow the submission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |
| <b>S154.023</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-Overview | Oppose   | Amend Note 1 as follows: The provisions in this chapter apply <del>in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.</del> <b>on a district wide basis. As such the rules in the zone chapters do not apply. The provisions in the subdivision and General District Wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the District Plan are applicable.</b> | In order to comply with NPS, it must be a standalone chapter. Noting the proposed amendments to INFRR9, the only other chapters which should be applicable to infrastructure are the subdivision and general district wide matters chapters in Part 2 of the plan. All other actual and potential effects of infrastructure are provided for within the Infrastructure chapter | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS30.081</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |               | Support  | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Transpower supports the submission and is similarly of the view that the clear direction should be included in the Overview to explicitly explain how the INF Chapter relates to, and interacts with, other chapters in the Proposed District Plan. Allow the submission.                                                                                                      | Accept in part         |
| <b>S112.014</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ                         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P1       | Support  | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Waka Kotahi generally supports policy INFR - P1 in that it seeks to enable the provision and                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Transport Agency                       |                       |           |          |                                                                                                                                         | ongoing maintenance, upgrading and use of infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                      |                        |
| <b>S132.019</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P1   | Amend    | Amend as follows:<br>Enable the construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure <b>including critical infrastructure</b> . | KiwiRail supports the provision of the policy which seeks to enable construction, maintenance, upgrade and use of infrastructure. In line with the recommended amendment to INFR-04 KiwiRail seek amendment to policy INFR-P1. | Reject                 |
| <b>S149.006</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P1   | Support  | Retain as notified.                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.017</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P1   | Support  | Retain INFR-P1 as notified                                                                                                              | INFR-P1 clearly enables infrastructure                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept                 |
| <b>S35.017</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P1   | Support  | Retain INFR-P1 as notified                                                                                                              | INFR-P1 clearly enables infrastructure                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.031</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support  | Allow                                                                                                                                   | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities.                                                                                                                                                                    | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S32.017</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P1   | Support  | Retain INFR-P1 as notified         | INFR-P1 clearly enables infrastructure                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.032</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support  | Allow                              | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities.                                                                                                                                          | Accept                 |
| <b>S33.017</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P1   | Support  | Retain INFR-P1 as notified         | INFR-P1 clearly enables infrastructure                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.033</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support  | Allow                              | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities.                                                                                                                                          | Accept                 |
| <b>S37.017</b>   | Conex Limited                          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P1   | Support  | Retain INFR-P1 as notified         | INFR-P1 clearly enables infrastructure                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.034</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support  | Allow                              | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities.                                                                                                                                          | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.019</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P1   | Support  | Retain Policy INFR-P1 as notified. | Transpower supports Policy INFR-P1 because the Policy appropriately enables infrastructure in a manner that is generally consistent with Policies 1 and 2 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS. | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.036</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support  | Allow                              | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities.                                                                                                                                          | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S101.020</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P2   | Support in part | Amend Policy INFR-P2 as follows: "INFR-P2 Require the underground placement of network utilities where practical and appropriate <b>having regard to for</b> the functional needs and operational needs of the network utility." | Does not oppose Policy INFR-P2 on the basis that the Policy recognises that the underground placement may not be practicable or appropriate in all circumstances. In the case of the National Grid, undergrounding National Grid assets is not directed by the NPSET and, while undergrounding the National Grid may be technically feasible, such an outcome may not be appropriate for any number of reasons including cost/investment, security of supply, or ease of repair and maintenance. | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.018</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P2   | Support         | Retain INFR-P2 as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                       | INFR-P2 provides direction for infrastructure to be located underground but recognizes the practicalities that some infrastructure must be located above ground for functional and operational reasons.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.018</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P2   | Support         | Retain INFR-P2 as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                       | INFR-P2 provides direction for infrastructure to be located underground but recognizes the practicalities that some infrastructure must be located above ground for functional and operational reasons.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept                 |
| <b>S32.018</b>   | One New Zealand                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P2   | Support         | Retain INFR-P2 as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                       | INFR-P2 provides direction for infrastructure to be located underground but recognizes the practicalities that some infrastructure must                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Group Limited                          |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                        | be located above ground for functional and operational reasons.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S33.018</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P2   | Support         | Retain INFR-P2 as notified                                                                                                                                                                             | INFR-P2 provides direction for infrastructure to be located underground but recognizes the practicalities that some infrastructure must be located above ground for functional and operational reasons.                                                                                                             | Accept                 |
| <b>S37.018</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P2   | Support         | Retain INFR-P2 as notified                                                                                                                                                                             | INFR-P2 provides direction for infrastructure to be located underground but recognizes the practicalities that some infrastructure must be located above ground for functional and operational reasons.                                                                                                             | Accept                 |
| <b>S95.014</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P2   | Support in part | Amend as follows: <b>In urban areas,</b> require the underground placement of network utilities where practical and appropriate for the functional needs and operational needs of the network utility. | PowerNet considers that it is appropriate to encourage undergrounding of new power lines in urban environments. However, it is not practicable nor economically viable to underground new electricity lines in rural areas. PowerNet requests this policy is amended so that it only applies to urban environments. | Reject                 |
| <b>S109.032</b>  | Department of Conservation             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P3   | Support         | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                     | The D-G supports the encouragement of green infrastructure and renewable energy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)  | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S149.009</b>  | Yrless                                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P3   | Neutral        | -                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Accept                 |
| <b>S110.017</b>  | Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P4   | Amend          | Change: <b>Encourage blue and green infrastructure, nature based solutions and renewable energy generation.</b>    | There is no reference to Nature Based Solutions in the plan as notified. Including this policy ties-in to the suggested INFR-O4 addition, and encourages developers to look to these options as a first, before grey infrastructure. These options can provide significant benefit to the district in amenity, environment/natural values, hazard control, water quality and so on. | Reject                 |
| <b>S132.020</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                   | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P4   | Amend          | Amend as follows:<br>Encourage co-location <b>and sharing of infrastructure including critical infrastructure.</b> | KiwiRail supports the Policy but seeks to encourage co-location of infrastructure. It is noted that INFR-P5 is the essentially the same policy which includes 'sharing' of infrastructure. While it can be advantageous to share and co-locate infrastructure it should only be encouraged where it is appropriate and practicable which will not always be the case.               | Accept in part         |
| <b>S149.010</b>  | Yrless                                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P4   | Oppose in part | Amend Policy to recognise that technical limitations may prevent co-location and/or sharing of infrastructure.     | The policy needs to reflect that there can be technical limitations to co-location/sharing of infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.019</b>   | Chorus New                              | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P4   | Support        | Retain INFR-P4 as notified                                                                                         | The telecommunications industry looks for colocation opportunities wherever                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Zealand Limited                        |                       |           |          |                                                                               | practicable. A policy encouraging the same is welcomed.                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S154.019</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P4   | Support  | Retain INFR-P4 as notified                                                    | The telecommunications industry looks for colocation opportunities wherever practicable. A policy encouraging the same is welcomed. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.019</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P4   | Support  | Retain INFR-P4 as notified                                                    | The telecommunications industry looks for colocation opportunities wherever practicable. A policy encouraging the same is welcomed. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.019</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P4   | Support  | Retain INFR-P4 as notified                                                    | The telecommunications industry looks for colocation opportunities wherever practicable. A policy encouraging the same is welcomed. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S37.019</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P4   | Support  | Retain INFR-P4 as notified                                                    | The telecommunications industry looks for colocation opportunities wherever practicable. A policy encouraging the same is welcomed. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S132.021</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P5   | Oppose   | Delete INFR - P5. <i>Encourage co-location and sharing of infrastructure.</i> | KiwiRail considers INFR-P4 and P5 to be too similar and should be combined into one policy.                                         | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S149.011</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P5   | Oppose in part | Amend policy to recognise that technical limitations may prevent co-location and/or sharing of infrastructure. | The policy needs to reflect that there can be technical limitations to co-location/sharing of infrastructure.                                                     | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.020</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P5   | Oppose         | Delete INFR-P5                                                                                                 | INFR-P5 appears to be a repeat of INFR-P3, with the addition of the phrase shared which has the same basic meaning as co-location. Two policies are not required. | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.020</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P5   | Oppose         | Delete INFR-P5                                                                                                 | INFR-P5 appears to be a repeat of INFR-P3, with the addition of the phrase shared which has the same basic meaning as co-location. Two policies are not required. | Accept                 |
| <b>S32.020</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P5   | Oppose         | Delete INFR-P5                                                                                                 | INFR-P5 appears to be a repeat of INFR-P3, with the addition of the phrase shared which has the same basic meaning as co-location. Two policies are not required. | Accept                 |
| <b>S33.020</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P5   | Oppose         | Delete INFR-P5                                                                                                 | INFR-P5 appears to be a repeat of INFR-P3, with the addition of the phrase shared which has the same basic meaning as co-location. Two policies are not required. | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S37.020</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P5   | Oppose   | Delete INFR-P5                | INFR-P5 appears to be a repeat of INFR-P3, with the addition of the phrase shared which has the same basic meaning as co-location. Two policies are not required. | Accept                 |
| <b>S149.007</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P6   | Support  | Retain as notified.           |                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in part         |
| <b>S35.021</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P6   | Support  | Retain INFR-P6 as notified    | INFR-P6 enhances INFR-O3, and is explicit in its reference to reverse sensitivity effects.                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S154.021</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P6   | Support  | Retain INFR-P6 as notified    | INFR-P6 enhances INFR-O3, and is explicit in its reference to reverse sensitivity effects.                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.021</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P6   | Support  | Retain INFR-P6 as notified    | INFR-P6 enhances INFR-O3, and is explicit in its reference to reverse sensitivity effects.                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.021</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P6   | Support  | Retain INFR-P6 as notified    | INFR-P6 enhances INFR-O3, and is explicit in its reference to reverse sensitivity effects.                                                                        | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S37.021</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P6   | Support         | Retain INFR-P6 as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | INFR-P6 enhances INFR-O3, and is explicit in its reference to reverse sensitivity effects.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |
| <b>S101.021</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P6   | Support in part | Amend as follows: "INFR-P6 Adverse effects (including reverse sensitivity effects) are avoided, remedied or mitigated where activities are adjacent to critical infrastructure, including the <b>avoidance management of sensitive activities near the National Grid and the avoidance of and the effects (including reverse sensitivity effects)</b> of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid." | Generally supports Policy INFR-P6 to the extent that the Policy addresses effects on the National Grid. However, Transpower considers that the "management" of sensitive activities and the effects of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the National Grid is inconsistent and less stringent than the policy direction in the NPSET and SRPS. Transpower seeks amendments to the Policy in order to give effect to the NPSET (Policies 10 and 11). Transpower notes that an alternate approach would be to include a standalone policy in respect of the National Grid | Reject                 |
| <b>FS28.018</b>  | Kainga Ora                             |                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | While Kāinga Ora is comfortable with the recognition of the 'National Grid', the amendments regarding to 'avoidance' are overlay restrictive and not in line with Policy 10 of the NPS-ET                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept                 |
| <b>S112.015</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transp                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P6   | Support in part | Amend INFR - P6 with the following wording: Adverse effects (including reverse sensitivity effects) are avoided, remedied or mitigated where activities are adjacent to                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | We recommend that the policy be reworded to improve its clarity and interpretation so that it applies to the subdivision, use and development of land that creates reverse                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | ort Agency                             |         |           |                | critical infrastructure, including the management of sensitive activities and the effects of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid <b>and the State highway network.</b> Or replace it with the following: <b>Manage adverse effects including reverse sensitivity effects from subdivision, use and development on critical infrastructure and network utilities to ensure that they do not compromise their operation, maintenance, upgrade and development.</b> | sensitive effects on the State highway network as well as the National Grid.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                        |
| <b>FS28.019</b>  | Kainga Ora                             |         |           | Oppose in part | Allow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Kāinga Ora considers that reverse sensitivity in itself is not always an adverse effect and considers the following amendments to the submission more suited: Manage adverse effects including unacceptable reverse sensitivity effects from subdivision, use and development on critical infrastructure and network utilities to ensure that they do not compromise their operation, maintenance, upgrade | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S130.035</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P6   | Support in part | Adverse effects (including reverse sensitivity effects) <b>on critical infrastructure</b> are avoided, remedied or mitigated <del>where activities are adjacent to critical infrastructure,</del> including the management of sensitive activities and the effects of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. | The submitter supports this but should be applied in relevant chapters.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| <b>FS28.020</b>  | Kainga Ora                             |                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Kāinga Ora does not consider that the proposed amendments by this submitter reduce the targeted and measurable nature of the policy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Accept                 |
| <b>FS24.017</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Contact support the relief sought as it provides greater clarity and provision for critical infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reject                 |
| <b>S95.015</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P6   | Support in part | Amend as follows: Adverse effects (including reverse sensitivity effects) are avoided, remedied or mitigated where activities are adjacent to critical infrastructure, including the management of sensitive activities and the effects of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the operation,                                                                                       | PowerNet supports policy INFRP6 which seeks to protect the transmission network which is the National Grid. However, PowerNet seeks an amendment to this policy to provide a similar level of protection to PowerNet's important distribution network. This policy is part of the planning framework PowerNet is seeking alongside the mapping of its important lines, and including rules to | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |          | maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid <b>and the electricity distribution network.</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | manage incompatible activities close to these lines.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                        |
| <b>FS28.021</b>  | Kainga Ora                             |                       |           | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Kāinga Ora considers that the policy is clearly directed at 'critical infrastructure' which is defined in the Plan.                                                                                                                                                                     | Accept                 |
| <b>FS26.020</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Support  | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Mercury agrees that the policy should apply to the national grid and electricity distribution network. The 10 turbines operating at Kaiwera Downs wind farm connect to the local distribution network, crossing the Maitai River <sup>1</sup> before connecting to the Gore substation. | Reject                 |
| <b>S134.008</b>  | Andrea McMillan & Tony Harpur          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P6   | Oppose   | Policy INFR-P6 is deleted: <del>Adverse effects (including reverse sensitivity effects) are avoided, remedied or mitigated where activities are adjacent to critical infrastructure, including the management of sensitive activities and the effects of subdivision, use and development that may compromise the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid; and</del> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>FS30.008</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                 | Transpower opposes the deletion of Policy INFR-P6 because: - the submitter gives no rationale for seeking the deletion of the Policy; and - deleting the Policy would result in the Proposed District Plan failing to give effect to the NPSET (and particularly Policies 10 and 11 of the NPSET. Disallow the submission.   | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.022</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Support         | Retain Policy INFR-P7 as notified                                                                                                                                                        | Transpower supports Policy INFR-P7 on the basis that the Policy reflects the range of responses to adverse effects directed by Objective INFR-O4, Policy INF.2 of the SRPS and, insofar as the Policy is relevant to the National Grid, appropriate reflects the range of responses to adverse effects directed by the NPSET | Accept in part         |
| <b>S149.008</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Support         | Retain as notified.                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>S149.012</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Support in part | Amend Policy so that Council has a role in ensuring that cultural sites are appropriately defined or identified and/or there is a recognised mechanism to work collaboratively with iwi. | Avoidance of cultural sites due to the sites historical use or local understanding can only be made with the appropriate information - this needs to be available at the earliest possible stage of prospective development.                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.022</b>   | One New                                | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Support in part | Amend INFR-P7 as follows: Manage the development of infrastructure, so                                                                                                                   | As with INFR-O4, it is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Zealand Group Limited                  |                       |           |                 | that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated, <b>recognising the functional need and operational need of critical infrastructure</b>                                                                        | managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.                                                                                            |                        |
| <b>S33.022</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Support in part | Amend INFR-P7 as follows: Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated, <b>recognising the functional need and operational need of critical infrastructure</b> | As with INFR-O4, it is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure. | Accept                 |
| <b>S37.022</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Support in part | Amend INFR-P7 as follows: Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated, <b>recognising the functional need and operational need of critical infrastructure</b> | As with INFR-O4, it is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure. | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S85.071</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Support in part | Broaden the policy to incorporate section 6 matters of national importance                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | It's difficult to understand how these policies will balance the drivers for infrastructure and the wider benefits of matters under section 6 of the RMA such as significant indigenous vegetation, significant landscapes etc. Some objectives and policies need to be established to guide decision making when consents are being sought under Rule 9 | Accept in part         |
| <b>S154.022</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Support in part | Amend INFR-P7 as follows: Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated, <b>recognising the functional need and operational need of critical infrastructure</b> | As with INFR-O4, it is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                    | Accept                 |
| <b>FS23.007</b>  | Power Net Limited                      |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | PowerNet agrees it is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the operational requirements of and demand for the critical infrastructure by the community.                                                                                                   | Accept                 |
| <b>S109.033</b>  | Department of                          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Support in part | Retain with the following amendments (or words to similar effect): Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects                                                                                                                                                                   | The D-G supports the management of adverse effects on ecosystem health as a result of the development of infrastructure, however, to be consistent with other sections                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Conservation                           |         |           |          | on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, <del>remedied or mitigated.</del> <b>and, where there are no practicable alternative locations, applying the effects management hierarchy where adverse effects cannot be avoided.</b> | of the plan some minor amendments are recommended.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                        |
| <b>FS30.019</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |         |           | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Transpower does not support the relief sought because, insofar as the relief relates to the National Grid: - the NPSET does not direct that adverse effects are to be avoided; - the NPSET does not include a test of 'no practicable alternative locations; - avoiding effects on any adjoining activity or site is inappropriately stringent in the context of nationally significant infrastructure; - the NPSET does not include an effects management hierarchy akin to that contained in the NPSIB; and - the National Grid is explicitly excluded from the NPSIB. Disallow the submission. | Accept                 |
| <b>FS26.021</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |         |           | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | EMH is appropriately applied to indigenous biodiversity. The suggested and insertion apply the effects management hierarchy in the context of this policy is not appropriate.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position   | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS24.019         | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                       |           | Oppose     | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Contact opposes the relief sought as it does not appropriately recognise the functional and operational need of infrastructure activities.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Accept                 |
| S130.036         | Mercury NZ Limited                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Not Stated | Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied <del>or</del> mitigated, <b>or offset, or compensated for.</b> | Offsetting and compensation are relevant mechanisms to ensure infrastructure can be provided for                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reject                 |
| FS30.053         | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |           | Oppose     | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Transpower does not support the inclusion of a direction to offset or compensate for. Transpower does not oppose the offsetting of residual effects, but considers that (for the National Grid where the NPSIB does not apply) offsetting measures should be offered, as required by the RMA (for instance, as required by section 104). Similarly, the NPSET does not requiring offsetting. Disallow the submission or amend the relief as follows: "Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated, or offset, or compensated for, where any offsetting or compensation is | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)  | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                         |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | offered to, or agreed by, an applicant or requiring authority."                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                        |
| <b>FS24.020</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                      |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Contact support the relief sought as it recognises that offsetting and compensation measures are appropriate management measures to ensure the provision of infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                     | Reject                 |
| <b>S110.018</b>  | Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Amend           | Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, <b>indigenous biodiversity</b> , ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated. | The inclusion of indigenous biodiversity is reference to NPSIB 1.7(a) - maintaining indigenous biodiversity, and at least no overall reduction.                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS26.022</b>  | Mercury Ltd                             |                       |           | Support in part | Allow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                          | If reference to indigenous biodiversity is added, this should be in a separate policy which references the EMH and the principles for biodiversity offsetting and compensation should be consistent with the approach in the NPS-IB, noting the REG exemption contained in clause 1.3 (3) for renewable electricity generation. | Reject                 |
| <b>S139.045</b>  | Hokonui Rūnanga Inc                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Support in part | Amend to read:<br>"Manage the development of infrastructure, <del>so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu</del>                                                        | Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when                                                                                                          | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated to avoid, remedy, or mitigate any adverse effects on: - adjoining activities, sites or ecosystem health, and- any actual or potential effects on mana whenua and the values they associate with the area including whakapapa, the mauri of natural resources, wāhi tapu, mahinga kai, the ability to exercise manaakitanga, mātauranga associated with the current landscape, and the exercise of kaitiakitanga." | Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party.                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                        |
| <b>FS26.023</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Mercury agrees with Hokonui Rūnanga Inc that wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed. | Accept                 |
| <b>S35.022</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-P7   | Support in part | Amend INFR-P7 as follows: Manage the development of infrastructure, so that adverse effects on adjoining activities, sites, ecosystem health, and Ngāi Tahu cultural values are avoided, remedied or mitigated, <b>recognising the functional need and</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                           | As with INFR-O4, it is appropriate that the adverse effects of critical infrastructure are managed, but such management needs to be done in the context of the needs for the critical infrastructure.                                                | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                | <b>operational need of critical infrastructure</b>                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>FS24.018</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                    | Contact support the relief sought as it recognises that critical infrastructure often has a functional and operational need to be located in certain locations.                                                              | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.024</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Support        | Retain Rule INFR-R1 as notified.                                                                                         | Transpower supports Rules INFR-R1 on the basis that a permitted activity status for infrastructure that is located below ground appropriately recognises that the permanent effects of such activities are nil or very minor | Accept                 |
| <b>S132.022</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Support        | Retain as proposed.                                                                                                      | KiwiRail supports the Permitted Activity status of the Establishment, operation, maintenance, replacement, upgrade, repair or removal of infrastructure (including Green Infrastructure) below ground level.                 | Accept                 |
| <b>S149.013</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Oppose in part | Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities.                            | The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.                                                                                               | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.024</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Support        | Retain INFR-R1 as notified with potential to rewrite to refer to 'underground infrastructure' rather than 'below ground' | INFR-R1 an appropriate rule for the development and management of underground infrastructure. While the rule is supported, it could be rewritten so that it is consistent with the terminology used in INFR-P2.              | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S130.037</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Support in part | Seeks clarity on which rules are relevant to REG activities.                                                             | The submitter supports the intent of the rule though it is interpreted to provide for EV charging infrastructure including within public land.                                                                  | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.024</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Support         | Retain INFR-R1 as notified with potential to rewrite to refer to 'underground infrastructure' rather than 'below ground' | INFR-R1 an appropriate rule for the development and management of underground infrastructure. While the rule is supported, it could be rewritten so that it is consistent with the terminology used in INFR-P2. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.024</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Support         | Retain INFR-R1 as notified with potential to rewrite to refer to 'underground infrastructure' rather than 'below ground' | INFR-R1 an appropriate rule for the development and management of underground infrastructure. While the rule is supported, it could be rewritten so that it is consistent with the terminology used in INFR-P2. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.024</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Support         | Retain INFR-R1 as notified with potential to rewrite to refer to 'underground infrastructure' rather than 'below ground' | INFR-R1 an appropriate rule for the development and management of underground infrastructure. While the rule is supported, it could be rewritten so that it is consistent with the terminology used in INFR-P2. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S37.024</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Support         | Retain INFR-R1 as notified with potential to rewrite to refer to 'underground infrastructure' rather than 'below ground' | INFR-R1 an appropriate rule for the development and management of underground infrastructure. While the rule is supported, it could be rewritten so that it is                                                  | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | consistent with the terminology used in INFR-P2.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                        |
| <b>S95.016</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Support  | Retain rule                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | PowerNet supports the permitted activity status for below ground infrastructure as it encourages the undergrounding of infrastructure, where this is practicable.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept                 |
| <b>S85.072</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Oppose   | Establish a restricted discretionary status to this rule in order to trigger resource consents where underground infrastructure will be located in areas where there is likely to be an impact on section 6 matters, for example significant indigenous vegetation, significant landscapes etc | Permitted activity status of underground infrastructure may have temporary or permanent adverse effects on matters of national importance such as heritage, indigenous biodiversity or flood plain management. These matters should be specifically considered when new infrastructure is proposed or existing infrastructure is upgraded.                                                                                                                              | Reject                 |
| <b>FS11.007</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouheru Taonga    |                       |           | Support  | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | HNZPT supports the amendment proposed by Environment Southland of INFR-R1. Establishment, operation, maintenance, replacement, upgrade, repair or removal of infrastructure (including Green Infrastructure) below ground level should have a restricted discretionary activity status where underground infrastructure will be located in areas where there is likely to be an impact on RMA section 6 matters, specifically historic heritage, including archaeology. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S109.035</b>  | Department of Conservation             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R1   | Oppose   | Amend the rule to be restricted discretionary where located within specified areas. | The D-G considers that this activity should still be subject to a restricted discretionary status where new infrastructure is within a specified area such as an SNA, areas of highly mobile fauna or riparian margin.                                                          | Reject                 |
| <b>FS26.024</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                            | Below ground level infrastructure does not impact highly mobile fauna. Below ground infrastructure should be encouraged through retaining the permitted activity status.                                                                                                        | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.033</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R10  | Support  | Retain Rule INFR-R10 as notified.                                                   | Supports Rule INFR-R10 to the extent that the Rule provides a 'default' discretionary activity status for all other infrastructure on the basis that the rule enables a fulsome consideration of any adverse effects of such infrastructure through a resource consent process. | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.025</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R2   | Support  | Retain Rule INFR-R2 as notified.                                                    | Transpower supports Rules INFR-R2 on the basis that a permitted activity status for infrastructure that is located in a building appropriately recognises that the permanent effects of such activities are nil or very minor                                                   | Accept                 |
| <b>S132.023</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R2   | Support  | Retain as proposed.                                                                 | KiwiRail supports the Permitted Activity status of the Establishment, operation, maintenance, replacement, upgrade, repair or removal of infrastructure within a building.                                                                                                      | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                     | Reasons                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S149.014</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R2   | Oppose in part  | Amend the rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities. | The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making. | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.025</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R2   | Support         | Retain INFR-R2 as notified                                                                        | NFR-R2 provides absolute clarity that infrastructure placed within a building is permitted                                     | Accept                 |
| <b>S130.038</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R2   | Support in part | Seeks clarity on which rules are relevant to REG activities.                                      | The submitter supports the intent of the rule.                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.025</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R2   | Support         | Retain INFR-R2 as notified                                                                        | NFR-R2 provides absolute clarity that infrastructure placed within a building is permitted                                     | Accept                 |
| <b>S32.025</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R2   | Support         | Retain INFR-R2 as notified                                                                        | NFR-R2 provides absolute clarity that infrastructure placed within a building is permitted                                     | Accept                 |
| <b>S33.025</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R2   | Support         | Retain INFR-R2 as notified                                                                        | NFR-R2 provides absolute clarity that infrastructure placed within a building is permitted                                     | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S37.025</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R2   | Support         | Retain INFR-R2 as notified                                                                                                                   | NFR-R2 provides absolute clarity that infrastructure placed within a building is permitted                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept                 |
| <b>S95.017</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R2   | Support         | Retain rule                                                                                                                                  | PowerNet supports the permitted activity status for infrastructure within buildings.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept                 |
| <b>S112.016</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Support in part | Amend Matters for Discretion as follow: 4. Impact on the <b>safety</b> and function of the road, including any footpath <b>or cycleway</b> . | Waka Kotahi notes that this rule relates to the operation, maintenance, replacement, upgrade, repair or removal of existing above ground infrastructure and access tracks as a permitted activity in all zones. If the above works are required within the State highway corridor then the Government Roadway Powers Act 1989 (GRP) applies. This Act requires Waka Kotahi to permit utility authorities and Territorial Local Authorities (TLAs) to install services in State highway corridors subject to an application and necessary conditions. In this regard, we consider that the rule should be retained with the following amendments to the Matters of Discretion to include safety considerations and apply the provision to footpaths and cycleways. | Accept                 |
| <b>S132.024</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Amend           | Amend as follows:<br>Matters of discretion:<br>....                                                                                          | KiwiRail supports the permitted activity and matters of discretion, however, seek further matters of discretion to ensure that impacts                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | 4. Impacts on the function of the road, including any footpath. <b>5. Impacts on the function of the rail, including vehicle access tracks.</b> | on the function of the rail, including vehicle access tracks.                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S149.015</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Oppose in part  | Amend the rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities.                                               | The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.                                        | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.026</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R3(1)(d) as follows: maximum change in location of structure - <del>5m</del> <b>10m</b>                                              | Increasing the replacement location distance to 10m allows for greater flexibility when elements such as driveways, potential contamination areas and so forth occur. | Reject                 |
| <b>S130.039</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Support         | Seeks clarity on which rules are relevant to REG activities.                                                                                    | The submitter supports the intent of the rules.                                                                                                                       | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.026</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R3(1)(d) as follows: maximum change in location of structure - <del>5m</del> <b>10m</b>                                              | Increasing the replacement location distance to 10m allows for greater flexibility when elements such as driveways, potential contamination areas and so forth occur. | Reject                 |
| <b>S32.026</b>   | One New Zealand                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R3(1)(d) as follows: maximum change in location of structure - <del>5m</del> <b>10m</b>                                              | Increasing the replacement location distance to 10m allows for greater flexibility when elements such as driveways, potential contamination areas and so forth occur. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Group Limited                          |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S33.026</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R3(1)(d) as follows: maximum change in location of structure - <del>5m</del> <b>10m</b> | Increasing the replacement location distance to 10m allows for greater flexibility when elements such as driveways, potential contamination areas and so forth occur.                                                               | Reject                 |
| <b>S37.026</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R3(1)(d) as follows: maximum change in location of structure - <del>5m</del> <b>10m</b> | Increasing the replacement location distance to 10m allows for greater flexibility when elements such as driveways, potential contamination areas and so forth occur.                                                               | Reject                 |
| <b>S85.073</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Oppose in part  | Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance.      | Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards.                                                                  | Reject                 |
| <b>FS11.008</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouheru Taonga    |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                              | HNZPT supports amendments proposed by Environment Southland to infrastructure rules INFR R3R8. Consideration needs to go beyond amenity values and include section 6 matters of national importance, particularly historic heritage | Reject                 |
| <b>FS26.029</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Oppose in part  | Disallow in part                                                                                   | Mercury seeks that the rule be tailored to upgrading and removal of above ground infrastructure. The wording should be consistent with the policy direction, in                                                                     | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |          |                                  | particular natural hazards which are addressed in NH Chapter.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                        |
| <b>S101.026</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Support  | Retain Rule INFR-R3 as notified. | Transpower supports Rule INFR-R3 to the extent that the permitted activity conditions generally reflect the regulations for permitted activities in the NESETA, subject to matters raised in this submission in respect of the Standards that apply. In addition, Transpower supports the Matters of Discretion that apply because these matters allow for a consideration of the benefits of infrastructure in a manner that gives effect to the Objective and Policy 1 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS26.025</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Support  | Allow                            | Mercury supports the permitted activity status for above ground level infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.028</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Support  | Retain Rule INFR-R3 as notified. | Supports that the permitted activity conditions generally reflect the regulations for permitted activities in the NESETA, subject to matters raised in this submission in respect of the Standards that apply.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS26.026</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Support  | Allow                            | Mercury supports the permitted activity status for above ground level infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S109.036</b>  | Department of Conservation             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Support in part | Amend the restricted discretionary matters: Matters of Discretion .... <b>9. Impacts on indigenous biodiversity values including at risk and threatened species and their habitat ...</b> | The D-G considers that matters of discretion should include impacts on indigenous biodiversity values.                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reject                 |
| <b>FS26.027</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Oppose in part  | Disallow in part                                                                                                                                                                          | Mercury seeks tailored matters of discretion for upgrading and removal of above ground infrastructure. The wording should be consistent with the policy direction                                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>S95.018</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R3   | Support         | Retain rule                                                                                                                                                                               | PowerNet supports this rule. It is considered appropriate to provide for the upgrading and maintenance of infrastructure, within the parameters established by the conditions. PowerNet agrees that a restricted discretionary activity status is appropriate where the conditions cannot be achieved | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS26.028</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                     | Mercury supports the permitted activity status for above ground level infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>S149.016</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R4   | Oppose in part  | Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities.                                                                                             | The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.                                                                                                                                                                        | Reject                 |
| <b>S130.040</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R4   | Support in part | The submitter seeks the installation of EV charging infrastructure is permitted in all land use zones.                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S32.027</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R4   | Support        | Amend INFR-R4(1) as follows: Other than where existing <b>or replacement</b> support structures <b>(including buildings)</b> are used, lines are to be located underground. <b>Replacement support structures must meet the permitted provisions in INFR-R3(1), and compliance with all INFR Standards is achieved.</b> | The replacement of existing support structures should be permitted for above ground infrastructure in urban zones. It should also be made clear that existing support structure should include buildings.                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS23.008</b>  | Power Net Limited                      |                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | PowerNet agrees that the replacement of existing support structures should be permitted for above ground infrastructure in urban zones.                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS21.038</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Infrastructure is not always independent structures. The inclusion of buildings allows for maintenance work to not be unnecessarily impeded where the effects are the same. Amend to include buildings and above ground replacement support structures | Accept in part         |
| <b>S85.074</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R4   | Oppose in part | Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance.                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards                                                                                      | Reject                 |
| <b>FS31.011</b>  | Department                             |                       |           | Support        | Allow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | The D-G agrees that a matter of consideration should be included regarding Section 6                                                                                                                                                                   | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | of Conservation                        |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | matters of national importance such as biodiversity and landscapes. Support this submission                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>FS11.009</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouheru Taonga    |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                            | HNZPT supports amendments proposed by Environment Southland to infrastructure rules INFR R3R8. Consideration needs to go beyond amenity values and include section 6 matters of national importance, particularly historic heritage                                                      | Reject                 |
| <b>FS26.031</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Oppose in part  | Disallow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                 | The rule states that other than where existing support structures are used, lines are to be located underground (addressed above). Any changes to the matters of discretion should be consistent with policy direction, in particular natural hazards which are addressed in NH Chapter. | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.042</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Matters of national importance are already required to be assessed, and do not require being specifically referenced in a rule. Reject the request to broaden the scope of this rule.                                                                                                    | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.027</b>  | Transpower New Zealand                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R4   | Support in part | Amend Rule INFR-R4(1) and (2) to replicate the Matter of Discretion from Rule INFR-R3 as follows: " <b>The functional and operational needs of, and benefits derived from, the infrastructure, including the</b> | Transpower supports Rule INFR-R4 on the basis that the Rule provides a consenting pathway that generally gives effect to the NPSET. Transpower particularly supports the 'default' to restricted discretionary activity status where the permitted activity                              | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Limited                              |                       |           |          | <b>potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken."</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                            | conditions are not met. That said, Transpower does not support the Matters of Discretion in INFR4(1) and INFR-R4(2) because these matters do not provide for a consideration of the benefits of infrastructure and therefore do not give effect the Objective and Policy 1 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS. |                        |
| <b>FS26.030</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Support  | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Mercury agrees that the matters of discretion should be expanded to include consideration of the benefits of infrastructure, consistent with INFR-R3 and higher order policies.                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.027</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R4   | Support  | Amend INFR-R4(1) as follows: Other than where existing <b>or replacement support structures (including buildings)</b> are used, lines are to be located underground. <b>Replacement support structures must meet the permitted provisions in INFR-R3(1), and compliance with all INFR Standards is achieved.</b> | The replacement of existing support structures should be permitted for above ground infrastructure in urban zones. It should also be made clear that existing support structure should include buildings.                                                                                                             | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS21.037</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support  | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Infrastructure is not always independent structures. The inclusion of buildings allows for maintenance work to not be unnecessarily impeded where the effects are the same. Amend to include buildings and above ground                                                                                               | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | replacement support structures                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S33.027</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R4   | Support  | Amend INFR-R4(1) as follows: Other than where existing <b>or replacement support structures (including buildings)</b> are used, lines are to be located underground. <b>Replacement support structures must meet the permitted provisions in INFR-R3(1), and compliance with all INFR Standards is achieved.</b> | The replacement of existing support structures should be permitted for above ground infrastructure in urban zones. It should also be made clear that existing support structure should include buildings.                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS21.039</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support  | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Infrastructure is not always independent structures. The inclusion of buildings allows for maintenance work to not be unnecessarily impeded where the effects are the same. Amend to include buildings and above ground replacement support structures | Accept in part         |
| <b>S37.027</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R4   | Support  | Amend INFR-R4(1) as follows: Other than where existing <b>or replacement support structures (including buildings)</b> are used, lines are to be located underground. <b>Replacement support structures must meet the permitted provisions in INFR-R3(1), and compliance with all INFR Standards is achieved.</b> | The replacement of existing support structures should be permitted for above ground infrastructure in urban zones. It should also be made clear that existing support structure should include buildings.                                              | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS21.040         | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Infrastructure is not always independent structures. The inclusion of buildings allows for maintenance work to not be unnecessarily impeded where the effects are the same. Amend to include buildings and above ground replacement support structures | Accept in part         |
| S154.027         | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R4   | Support         | Amend INFR-R4(1) as follows: Other than where existing <b>or replacement</b> support structures <b>(including buildings)</b> are used, lines are to be located underground. <b>Replacement support structures must meet the permitted provisions in INFR-R3(1), and compliance with all INFR Standards is achieved.</b> | The replacement of existing support structures should be permitted for above ground infrastructure in urban zones. It should also be made clear that existing support structure should include buildings.                                              | Accept in part         |
| FS21.041         | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Infrastructure is not always independent structures. The inclusion of buildings allows for maintenance work to not be unnecessarily impeded where the effects are the same. Amend to include buildings and above ground replacement support structures | Accept in part         |
| S101.029         | Transpower New Zealand                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R4   | Support in part | Amend Rule INFR-R4(1) and (2) to replicate the Matter of Discretion from Rule INFR-R3 as follows: <b>"The functional and operational needs of,</b>                                                                                                                                                                      | Support on the basis that the Rule provides a consenting pathway that generally gives effect to the NPSET. Transpower particularly supports the 'default' to restricted                                                                                | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Limited                              |                       |           |                | <b>and benefits derived from, the infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken."</b> | discretionary activity status where the permitted activity conditions are not met. That said, Transpower does not support the Matters of Discretion in INFR4(1) and INFR-R4(2) because these matters do not provide for a consideration of the benefits of infrastructure and therefore do not give effect the Objective and Policy 1 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS. |                        |
| <b>FS26.035</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Support        |                                                                                                                                                                    | Mercury agrees that the matters of discretion should be expanded to include consideration of the benefits of infrastructure, consistent with INFR-R3 and higher order policies.                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reject                 |
| <b>S149.017</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R5   | Oppose in part | Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities.                                                                      | The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.028</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R5   | Support        | Retain INFR-R5 as notified                                                                                                                                         | The consistency in standard and approach (including activity status) between INFR-R5 and regulation 55 of the NESTF is supported.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.028</b>  | Spark New Zealand                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R5   | Support        | Retain INFR-R5 as notified                                                                                                                                         | The consistency in standard and approach (including activity status) between INFR-R5 and regulation 55 of the NESTF is supported.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Trading Limited                        |                       |           |                |                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S32.028</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R5   | Support        | Retain INFR-R5 as notified                                                                    | The consistency in standard and approach (including activity status) between INFR-R5 and regulation 55 of the NESTF is supported.                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>S33.028</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R5   | Support        | Retain INFR-R5 as notified                                                                    | The consistency in standard and approach (including activity status) between INFR-R5 and regulation 55 of the NESTF is supported.                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>S37.028</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R5   | Support        | Retain INFR-R5 as notified                                                                    | The consistency in standard and approach (including activity status) between INFR-R5 and regulation 55 of the NESTF is supported.                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>S146.107</b>  | Southland District Council             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R5   | Support        | No decision requested                                                                         | Setbacks from Rivers and Streams being tied to stream order is a useful idea, and the matters of discretion for INFR-S5 are fitting and should reduce impacts downstream.    | Accept                 |
| <b>S85.075</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R5   | Oppose in part | Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance. | Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards.           | Reject                 |
| <b>FS11.0010</b> | Heritage New Zealand                   |                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                         | HNZPT supports amendments proposed by Environment Southland to infrastructure rules INFR R3R8. Consideration needs to go beyond amenity values and include section 6 matters | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Pouher e Taonga                        |                       |           |                 |                                                                                               | of national importance, particularly historic heritage                                                                                                                                                                               |                        |
| <b>S101.030</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R6   | Support         | Retain Rule INFR-R6 as notified.                                                              | Transpower supports Rule INFR-R6 on the basis that it is consistent with, and gives effect to, Policy 9 of the NPSET and the NESETA Regulations.                                                                                     | Accept                 |
| <b>S149.018</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R6   | Oppose in part  | Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities. | The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| <b>S85.076</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R6   | Oppose in part  | Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance. | Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards.                                                                   | Reject                 |
| <b>FS11.011</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouher e Taonga   |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                         | HNZPT supports amendments proposed by Environment Southland to infrastructure rules INFR R3R8. Consideration needs to go beyond amenity values and include section 6 matters of national importance, particularly historic heritage. | Reject                 |
| <b>S101.031</b>  | Transpower New                         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R7   | Support in part | Amend Rule INFR-R7 as follows:<br>"Activity status where compliance is                        | Opposes the inclusion of a 'default' to discretionary activity status for the following reasons: (a) The Energy and Infrastructure                                                                                                   | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Zealand Limited                        |                       |           |                | not achieved: Discretionary – Non-complying"                                                  | Section 32 Report does not give explicit consideration to the necessary and appropriate activity status; (b) Discretionary activity status is inconsistent with, and does not give effect to, the firm statutory direction in Policies 10 and 11 of the NPSET(c) The High Court has considered Policy 10 and "... A mandatory requirement to ensure that an asset of national significance is not compromised is, in my judgment, a relatively strong directive." (d) Policy 11 of the NPSET requires the identification of a buffer corridor within which it can be expected that sensitive activities will "generally not be provided for in plans and/or given resource consent". (e) Non-complying activity status results in a consistent approach across jurisdictions. See complete submission for further detail. |                        |
| <b>S149.019</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R7   | Oppose in part | Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities. | The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reject                 |
| <b>S85.077</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R7   | Oppose in part | Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance. | Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reject                 |
| <b>FS11.012</b>  | Heritage New                           |                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                         | HNZPT supports amendments proposed by Environment Southland to infrastructure rules                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Zealand Pouher e Taonga                |                       |           |                 |                                                                                               | INFR R3R8. Consideration needs to go beyond amenity values and include section 6 matters of national importance, particularly historic heritage                                                                                     |                        |
| <b>S149.020</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R8   | Oppose in part  | Amend rule to provide the same status for above ground activities as below ground activities. | The proposed plan lacks technical analysis of the effects of below and above ground activities, and rationale for rule making.                                                                                                      | Reject                 |
| <b>S85.078</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R8   | Oppose in part  | Broaden the rule to have a greater consideration of section 6 matters of national importance. | Greater consideration is needed for upgrading infrastructure around section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity, landscapes and natural hazards.                                                                  | Reject                 |
| <b>FS31.012</b>  | Department of Conservation             |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                         | The D-G agrees that the rule should include consideration of Section 6 matters of national importance such as biodiversity and landscapes. Support this submission                                                                  | Reject                 |
| <b>FS11.013</b>  | Heritage New Zealand Pouher e Taonga   |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                         | HNZPT supports amendments proposed by Environment Southland to infrastructure rules INFR R3R8. Consideration needs to go beyond amenity values and include section 6 matters of national importance, particularly historic heritage | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.029</b>   | Chorus New                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R8   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R8 so that Controlled Activity status is afforded to the                           | Controlled Activity status should be afforded to those activities which are of sufficient size                                                                                                                                      | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Zealand Limited                        |                       |           |                 | following activities and sizes: <b>1. for panel antenna a width of 0.8m is not exceeded; 2. for dish antenna, the antenna does not exceed a diameter of: a. 1.2m in a residential zone; or b. 3m in all other zones. 3 Any activity which does not meet the NESTF permitted regulations but otherwise complies with the INFR standards.</b>                                                                     | to not have significant adverse effects on the environment, meet the infrastructure standards in the PDP, but cannot meet the NESTF permitted regulations.                                                                                                |                        |
| <b>FS21.043</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities. Amend to provide a controlled activity status, specifying the matters for control.                                                                                                            | Reject                 |
| <b>S32.029</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R8   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R8 so that Controlled Activity status is afforded to the following activities and sizes: <b>1. for panel antenna a width of 0.8m is not exceeded; 2. for dish antenna, the antenna does not exceed a diameter of: a. 1.2m in a residential zone; or b. 3m in all other zones. 3 Any activity which does not meet the NESTF permitted regulations but otherwise complies with the INFR standards.</b> | Controlled Activity status should be afforded to those activities which are of sufficient size to not have significant adverse effects on the environment, meet the infrastructure standards in the PDP, but cannot meet the NESTF permitted regulations. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS21.044         | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities. Amend to provide a controlled activity status, specifying the matters for control.                                                                                                            | Reject                 |
| S33.029          | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R8   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R8 so that Controlled Activity status is afforded to the following activities and sizes: <b>1. for panel antenna a width of 0.8m is not exceeded; 2. for dish antenna, the antenna does not exceed a diameter of: a. 1.2m in a residential zone; or b. 3m in all other zones. 3 Any activity which does not meet the NESTF permitted regulations but otherwise complies with the INFR standards.</b> | Controlled Activity status should be afforded to those activities which are of sufficient size to not have significant adverse effects on the environment, meet the infrastructure standards in the PDP, but cannot meet the NESTF permitted regulations. | Reject                 |
| FS21.045         | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities. Amend to provide a controlled activity status, specifying the matters for control.                                                                                                            | Reject                 |
| S37.029          | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R8   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R8 so that Controlled Activity status is afforded to the following activities and sizes: <b>1. for panel antenna a width of 0.8m is not exceeded; 2. for dish antenna, the</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Controlled Activity status should be afforded to those activities which are of sufficient size to not have significant adverse effects on the environment, meet the infrastructure standards in the PDP, but cannot meet the NESTF permitted regulations. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | antenna does not exceed a diameter of: a. 1.2m in a residential zone; or b. 3m in all other zones. 3 Any activity which does not meet the NESTF permitted regulations but otherwise complies with the INFR standards.                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                        |
| FS21.046         | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities. Amend to provide a controlled activity status, specifying the matters for control.                                                                                                            | Reject                 |
| S154.029         | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R8   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R8 so that Controlled Activity status is afforded to the following activities and sizes: 1. for panel antenna a width of 0.8m is not exceeded; 2. for dish antenna, the antenna does not exceed a diameter of: a. 1.2m in a residential zone; or b. 3m in all other zones. 3 Any activity which does not meet the NESTF permitted regulations but otherwise complies with the INFR standards. | Controlled Activity status should be afforded to those activities which are of sufficient size to not have significant adverse effects on the environment, meet the infrastructure standards in the PDP, but cannot meet the NESTF permitted regulations. | Reject                 |
| FS21.047         | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities Amend to provide a                                                                                                                                                                             | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | controlled activity status, specifying the matters for control.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                        |
| <b>S101.032</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R9   | Support  | Retain Rule INFR-R9 subject to relief sought below:<br>Rule INFR-R9 as follows: "Activity status: Discretionary Where: 1. The establishment of new infrastructure <b>that has foundations</b> within: (a) an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Feature (b) a Significant Natural Area (c) 20m of the Mataura River <b>or is critical infrastructure in the Mataura River Floodway</b> (d) a site containing an item on the Heritage Schedule, a Notable Tree, a Site of Significance to Māori or an Archaeological Site"(x) <b>land outside of a river and inside of the river side of a Southland Regional Council stopbank"(x) land subject to a high or very high risk of liquefaction, where the infrastructure is critical infrastructure"</b> | Subject to relief sought elsewhere, Transpower supports Rule INFR-R9 and considers that discretionary activity status is appropriate for new infrastructure in 'protected' areas and, insofar as the Rule relates to the National Grid, consistent with approaches taken to giving effect to the NPSET across New Zealand. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S149.021</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R9   | Oppose   | Amend rule status to controlled activity or restricted activity at most.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Effects of the activity cannot be fully anticipated. Where specific consideration has been given to infrastructure development and it deemed inappropriate due to the effects, this should be specified in the plan as                                                                                                     | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | non-complying or prohibited, otherwise should be at a lower level of control                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                        |
| <b>S85.079</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R9   | Support in part | Reconcile the interplay between Rule INFR 9 and Rules INFR 1&2. Additionally, add in wider section 6 matters such as natural hazards.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Rules 1&2 permit the establishment of new infrastructure in all areas (no consent needed). Whereas Rule 9 triggers consent for new infrastructure when located in significant landscapes and biodiversity. Some clarity needed about how the two rules work together, as they seem to be working against each other. Consents should also be triggered when infrastructure (particularly lifelines infrastructure) is proposed to be established in areas of known natural hazards. | Accept                 |
| <b>S109.037</b>  | Department of Conservation             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R9   | Oppose          | Retain with the following amendments (or words to similar effect): Activity status: <del>Discretionary</del> <b>Non complying</b> Where: 1. The establishment of new infrastructure within: (a) an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Feature (b) a Significant Natural Area <b>or unmapped SNA that has been assessed as significant against the criteria in Appendix 4, areas of highly mobile fauna</b> (c) 20m of the Mataura River, <b>or within a riparian margin</b> (d) a site containing an item on the Heritage Schedule, a | The D-G considers that the consenting process for new infrastructure within specified areas is assessed as a non complying activity. Further the rule should be amended to identify any unmapped areas of significant indigenous biodiversity, areas of highly mobile fauna and riparian margins.                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |          | Notable Tree, a Site of Significance to Māori or an Archaeological Site |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                        |
| <b>FS30.021</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |         |           | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                | Transpower opposes the relief sought because: - insofar as the Rule relates to the National Grid, non-complying activity status fails to give effect to the NPSET and would be inconsistent with district plan provisions throughout New Zealand; - the submission does not provide sufficient justification in terms of the appropriateness and necessity of non-complying activity status (as required by section 32 of the RMA); - including unmapped areas is not sufficiently certain in the context of a Rule such that plan users are unable to determine whether an activity is compliant with the rule. Disallow the submission. | Accept                 |
| <b>FS14.009</b>  | NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi        |         |           | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                | The New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) does not support the suggested change in activity status from discretionary to non-complying. NZTA also does not support the suggested inclusion of unmapped SNAs. From our interpretation of the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPS-IB), this request is inconsistent with, Subpart 2, Section 3.9(2) of the NPS-IB. Any new SNA needs to be spatially identified in the District Plan; it cannot be left to the landowner to determine this through criteria. This further                                                                                              | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | submission applies to the other provisions in other chapters where the submitter has made the same submission points.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                        |
| FS24.021         | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                       |           | Oppose          | Disallow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Contact considers the non-complying status sought for these activities is too onerous given the significance of infrastructure and therefore opposes the relief sought.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Accept                 |
| S35.030          | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R9   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R9 so that the activity status in the specified areas is <b>restricted discretionary</b> not discretionary and add effects on the matter listed in (a) to (d) as well as the <b>functional needs and operational needs of, and benefits derived from critical infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken as matters of discretion</b> . Further, an update is required to INFR-R9.1 to include the contaminated land, hazardous substances, natural hazards (excluding telecommunications) ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity and public access chapters. | INFR-R9 should include all overlays so that there is no requirement for infrastructure applications to consider other chapters. Activity status should be made restricted discretionary, with matters of discretion being effect on the overlay the infrastructure is within, and the functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure. (in line with changes requested to INFR-04 and INFR-P7). Last, to provide consistency with NESTF Regulation 57, telecommunications should not have to meet this rule for natural hazards as they are accounted for in the Civil Defense Emergency Management Act 2001 | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS21.048         | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities. Amend to provide clarity and consistency for all height limits for infrastructure in zones and overlays, taking into account the technical constraints that may apply.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| S32.030          | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R9   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R9 so that the activity status in the specified areas is <b>restricted discretionary</b> not discretionary and add effects on the matter listed in (a) to (d) as well as the <b>functional needs and operational needs of, and benefits derived from critical infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken as matters of discretion</b> . Further, an update is required to INFR-R9.1 to include the contaminated land, hazardous substances, natural hazards (excluding telecommunications) ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity and public access chapters. | INFR-R9 should include all overlays so that there is no requirement for infrastructure applications to consider other chapters. Activity status should be made restricted discretionary, with matters of discretion being effect on the overlay the infrastructure is within, and the functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure. (in line with changes requested to INFR-04 and INFR-P7). Last, to provide consistency with NESTF Regulation 57, telecommunications should not have to meet this rule for natural hazards as they are accounted for in the Civil Defense Emergency Management Act 2001 | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS21.049         | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities. Amend to provide clarity and consistency for all height limits for infrastructure in zones and overlays, taking into account the technical constraints that may apply.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| S33.030          | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R9   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R9 so that the activity status in the specified areas is <b>restricted discretionary</b> not discretionary and add effects on the matter listed in (a) to (d) as well as the <b>functional needs and operational needs of, and benefits derived from critical infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken as matters of discretion</b> . Further, an update is required to INFR-R9.1 to include the contaminated land, hazardous substances, natural hazards (excluding telecommunications) ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity and public access chapters. | INFR-R9 should include all overlays so that there is no requirement for infrastructure applications to consider other chapters. Activity status should be made restricted discretionary, with matters of discretion being effect on the overlay the infrastructure is within, and the functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure. (in line with changes requested to INFR-04 and INFR-P7). Last, to provide consistency with NESTF Regulation 57, telecommunications should not have to meet this rule for natural hazards as they are accounted for in the Civil Defense Emergency Management Act 2001 | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS21.050         | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities. Amend to provide clarity and consistency for all height limits for infrastructure in zones and overlays, taking into account the technical constraints that may apply.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| S37.030          | Connex a Limited                       | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R9   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R9 so that the activity status in the specified areas is <b>restricted discretionary</b> not discretionary and add effects on the matter listed in (a) to (d) as well as the <b>functional needs and operational needs of, and benefits derived from critical infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken as matters of discretion</b> . Further, an update is required to INFR-R9.1 to include the contaminated land, hazardous substances, natural hazards (excluding telecommunications) ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity and public access chapters. | INFR-R9 should include all overlays so that there is no requirement for infrastructure applications to consider other chapters. Activity status should be made restricted discretionary, with matters of discretion being effect on the overlay the infrastructure is within, and the functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure. (in line with changes requested to INFR-04 and INFR-P7). Last, to provide consistency with NESTF Regulation 57, telecommunications should not have to meet this rule for natural hazards as they are accounted for in the Civil Defense Emergency Management Act 2001 | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FS21.051         | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities. Amend to provide clarity and consistency for all height limits for infrastructure in zones and overlays, taking into account the technical constraints that may apply.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| S154.030         | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-R9   | Support in part | Amend INFR-R9 so that the activity status in the specified areas is <b>restricted discretionary</b> not discretionary and add effects on the matter listed in (a) to (d) as well as the <b>functional needs and operational needs of, and benefits derived from critical infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken as matters of discretion</b> . Further, an update is required to INFR-R9.1 to include the contaminated land, hazardous substances, natural hazards (excluding telecommunications) ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity and public access chapters. | INFR-R9 should include all overlays so that there is no requirement for infrastructure applications to consider other chapters. Activity status should be made restricted discretionary, with matters of discretion being effect on the overlay the infrastructure is within, and the functional and operational needs of critical infrastructure. (in line with changes requested to INFR-04 and INFR-P7). Last, to provide consistency with NESTF Regulation 57, telecommunications should not have to meet this rule for natural hazards as they are accounted for in the Civil Defense Emergency Management Act 2001 | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>FS21.052</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities. Amend to provide clarity and consistency for all height limits for infrastructure in zones and overlays, taking into account the technical constraints that may apply.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reject                 |
| <b>S101.034</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S1   | Support in part | Amend Standard INFR-S1 as follows: "1. Above-ground lines are to be at least 10m above the water level of a navigable waterbody when at its maximum navigable flow or attached to, and no lower than, existing structures over a navigable waterbody <b>or otherwise comply with NZECP34:2001.</b> " | Does not oppose Standard INFR-S1, but notes that NZECP34:2001 includes a suite of regulations to manage conductor clearances, including over navigable waterways. Transpower considers that where compliance with the requirements in NZECP34:2001 is achieved, requiring a resource consent is unnecessary and inappropriate duplication of regulation. Transpower therefore seeks that the Standard be amended to ensure that conductors that achieve compliance with NZECP34:2001 do not also trigger the requirement for resource consent. In addition, Transpower supports the Matters of Discretion that apply because these matters allow for a consideration of the benefits of infrastructure in a manner that gives effect to the Objective and Policy 1 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS. | Accept                 |
| <b>S149.022</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S1   | Oppose in part  | Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of                                                                                                                                                                                                          | There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                     | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | infrastructure and the effects of their activities.                                                                                                                                                | unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S35.031</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S1   | Support in part | Retain INFR-S1, but detail navigable waterbodies on the planning maps, and ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S1 is to interact with INFR-S5. | Identification of lines over waterbodies on planning maps is needed so it is apparent which waterbody the standard applies to and clarify how INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 interact. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S154.031</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S1   | Support in part | Retain INFR-S1, but detail navigable waterbodies on the planning maps, and ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S1 is to interact with INFR-S5. | Identification of lines over waterbodies on planning maps is needed so it is apparent which waterbody the standard applies to and clarify how INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 interact. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.031</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S1   | Support in part | Retain INFR-S1, but detail navigable waterbodies on the planning maps, and ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S1 is to interact with INFR-S5. | Identification of lines over waterbodies on planning maps is needed so it is apparent which waterbody the standard applies to and clarify how INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 interact. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.031</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S1   | Support in part | Retain INFR-S1, but detail navigable waterbodies on the planning maps, and ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S1 is to interact with INFR-S5. | Identification of lines over waterbodies on planning maps is needed so it is apparent which waterbody the standard applies to and clarify how INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 interact. | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S37.031</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S1   | Support in part | Retain INFR-S1, but detail navigable waterbodies on the planning maps, and ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S1 is to interact with INFR-S5. | Identification of lines over waterbodies on planning maps is needed so it is apparent which waterbody the standard applies to and clarify how INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 interact.                                                                                                                                                | Accept in part         |
| <b>S95.019</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S1   | Support         | Retain standard                                                                                                                                                                                    | PowerNet supports the requirement for lines to be 10m above navigable waterbodies, or attached to and no lower than existing structures.                                                                                                                                                                                   | Accept in part         |
| <b>S101.035</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S2   | Support         | Retain Standard INFR-S2 as notified.                                                                                                                                                               | Support as it sets a clear standard for the permitted height of utility poles and masts in various zones. Transpower's support for this Standard, is based on the assumption that all transmission line support structures would be considered utility poles or masts. Also supports the Matters of Discretion that apply. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S139.046</b>  | Hokonui Rūnanga Inc                    | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S2   | Support in part | Make consequential amendments to align with the amendments sought to INFR-P7.                                                                                                                      | Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party.                                                       | Accept                 |
| <b>S149.023</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S2   | Oppose in part  | Amend to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for                                                                                                                               | There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                     | Reasons                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |          | categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities. | buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers. |                        |
| <b>S35.032</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S2   | Support  | Retain INFR-S2 as notified                                        | It is noted INFR-S2 does not apply to poles and antennas.                                    | Accept in part         |
| <b>S154.032</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S2   | Support  | Retain INFR-S2 as notified                                        | It is noted INFR-S2 does not apply to poles and antennas.                                    | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.032</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S2   | Support  | Retain INFR-S2 as notified                                        | It is noted INFR-S2 does not apply to poles and antennas.                                    | Accept in part         |
| <b>S33.032</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S2   | Support  | Retain INFR-S2 as notified                                        | It is noted INFR-S2 does not apply to poles and antennas.                                    | Accept in part         |
| <b>S37.032</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S2   | Support  | Retain INFR-S2 as notified                                        | It is noted INFR-S2 does not apply to poles and antennas.                                    | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S95.020</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S2   | Support         | Retain standard                                                                                                                                 | PowerNet supports this standard, and the exclusion for masts, poles, lines and support structures.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept in part         |
| <b>S139.047</b>  | Hokonui Rūnanga Inc                    | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Make consequential amendments to align with the amendments sought to INFR-P7.                                                                   | Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party.                                                                                             | Accept                 |
| <b>S149.024</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Oppose in part  | Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities. | There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |
| <b>S124.012</b>  | Fire and Emergency NZ                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend as follows: <b>Excluding poles and masts used for emergency service facilities, are exempt from this rule.</b>                            | Fire and Emergency support the height of poles and mast in the Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle, General Industrial and Light Industrial Zone. However, as part of Fire and Emergency fire station operational requirements many stations include a multipurpose tower or pole between 12-15m in height. Poles can support sirens, aerals and hose drying activities. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                              | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S115.061</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m.<br>Add additional matter of discretion:<br><b>5. consent with landowner.</b> | SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and Industrial Zone and 13m for all other zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered. | Reject                 |
| <b>S143.072</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m.<br>Add additional matter of discretion:<br><b>5. consent with landowner.</b> | SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and Industrial Zone and 13m for all other zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered. | Reject                 |
| <b>S148.073</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m.<br>Add additional matter of discretion:<br><b>5. consent with landowner.</b> | SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and Industrial Zone and 13m for all other zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered. | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S60.063</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m. Add additional matter of discretion: <b>5. consent with landowner.</b>                                                                                           | SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and Industrial Zone and 13m for all other zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered. | Reject                 |
| <b>S68.181</b>   | John Baynes                            | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m. Add additional matter of discretion: <b>5. consent with landowner.</b>                                                                                           | SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and Industrial Zone and 13m for all other zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered. | Reject                 |
| <b>S130.041</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Oppose          | The maximum height of any utility pole or mast, <b>and associated electricity lines</b> shall be:<br>Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone<br><b>1. 2530m</b><br>All other zones | Essential that electricity generated can be conveyed to National Grid.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                         | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | 2. 13m There is no voltage limit for electricity lines.                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                        |
| <b>FS30.054</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                 | Transpower generally supports the submission and agrees that the Proposed District Plan does not set voltage limits or standards for electricity lines. This is appropriate because the voltage of electricity carried on a transmission line does not have adverse effects where standards that manage electric and magnetic fields are complied with. Allow the submission. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S101.101</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support         | Retain Standard INFR-S3 as notified. Transpower notes that there may be merit in defining poles and masts to include all types of support structures. | Transpower supports Standard INFR-S3 on the basis that it sets a clear standard for the permitted height of utility poles and masts in various zones. Transpower's support for this Standard, is based on the assumption that all transmission line support structures would be considered utility poles or masts. In addition, Transpower supports the Matters of Discretion | Accept in part         |
| <b>FS26.032</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                 | Mercury supports at least a 25m permitted height for utility poles and masts in the Rural zone.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>S126.060</b>  | Southland Federated                    | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend INFR-S3 to be the same across zones, either 25m or 13m.                                                                                         | SFF questions why there is a different maximum height of any utility pole or mast as 25m for Rural Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, General Industrial Zone and Light and                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Farmer s of New Zealand                |                       |           |                 | Add additional matter of discretion: <b>5. consent with landowner.</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Industrial Zone and 13m for all other zones. The maximum height should be the same across all zones. There should also be a requirement for landowner consultation, particularly when consent is triggered.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                        |
| <b>FS26.033</b>  | Mercury Ltd                            |                       |           | Oppose in part  | Disallow in part                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Mercury supports at least a 25m permitted height for utility poles and masts in the Rural zone and opposes standardization and reduction of pole height to 13m.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Accept                 |
| <b>S35.033</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend wording: The maximum height ( <b>excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna</b> ) of any utility pole or mast shall be.<br>INFR-S3.1 - 25m for one <b>telecommunications provider and 30m for two or more telecommunications providers in the General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone, 35m for one telecommunications provider and 40m for two or more telecommunications providers in the Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone.</b><br>INFR-S3.2 so that the permitted height in all other zones is the underlying building height in the zone, plus 5m (for one telecommunication provider) and | By adding the words (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) from the measurement of height provides alignment with the NESTF. The permitted heights should encourage co-location of telecommunication providers onto a single structure and to achieve this separation between the antennas, which is best achieved via height is needed in alignment with INFR-P4. The permitted height for one provider in the industrial zones is supported but due to large trees in the rural area greater height is sought to prevent blocking signal. Under INFR-S3.2, antenna which are attached to poles and masts need to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | plus a further 5m if the facility provides for the co-location of two or more telecommunication providers.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                        |
| <b>FS21.053</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Encouraging colocation provides a range of positive effects. Amend heights to allow for antenna attached to poles and masts to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reject                 |
| <b>S32.033</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend wording: The maximum height ( <b>excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna</b> ) of any utility pole or mast shall be.<br>INFR-S3.1 - 25m for one <b>telecommunications provider and 30m for two or more telecommunications providers in the General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone, 35m for one telecommunications provider and 40m for two or more telecommunications providers in the Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone.</b><br>INFR-S3.2 so that the permitted height in all other zones is the underlying building height in the zone, plus 5m (for one | By adding the words (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) from the measurement of height provides alignment with the NESTF. The permitted heights should encourage co-location of telecommunication providers onto a single structure and to achieve this separation between the antennas, which is best achieved via height is needed in alignment with INFR-P4. The permitted height for one provider in the industrial zones is supported but due to large trees in the rural area greater height is sought to prevent blocking signal. Under INFR-S3.2, antenna which are attached to poles and masts need to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | telecommunication provider) and plus a further 5m if the facility provides for the co-location of two or more telecommunication providers.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| FS21.054         | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Encouraging colocation provides a range of positive effects. Amend heights to allow for antenna attached to poles and masts to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reject                 |
| S33.033          | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend wording: The maximum height ( <b>excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna</b> ) of any utility pole or mast shall be.<br>INFR-S3.1 - 25m <b>for one telecommunications provider and 30m for two or more telecommunications providers in the General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone, 35m for one telecommunications provider and 40m for two or more telecommunications providers in the Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone.</b><br>INFR-S3.2 so that the permitted height in all other zones is the underlying building height in the | By adding the words (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) from the measurement of height provides alignment with the NESTF. The permitted heights should encourage co-location of telecommunication providers onto a single structure and to achieve this separation between the antennas, which is best achieved via height is needed in alignment with INFR-P4. The permitted height for one provider in the industrial zones is supported but due to large trees in the rural area greater height is sought to prevent blocking signal. Under INFR-S3.2, antenna which are attached to poles and masts need to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | zone, plus 5m (for one telecommunication provider) and plus a further 5m if the facility provides for the co-location of two or more telecommunication providers.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                        |
| <b>FS21.055</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Encouraging colocation provides a range of positive effects. Amend heights to allow for antenna attached to poles and masts to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reject                 |
| <b>S37.033</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend wording: The maximum height ( <b>excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna</b> ) of any utility pole or mast shall be.<br>INFR-S3.1 - 25m <b>for one telecommunications provider and 30m for two or more telecommunications providers in the General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone, 35m for one telecommunications provider and 40m for two or more telecommunications providers in the Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone.</b><br>INFR-S3.2 so that the permitted height in all other zones is the | By adding the words (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) from the measurement of height provides alignment with the NESTF. The permitted heights should encourage co-location of telecommunication providers onto a single structure and to achieve this separation between the antennas, which is best achieved via height is needed in alignment with INFR-P4. The permitted height for one provider in the industrial zones is supported but due to large trees in the rural area greater height is sought to prevent blocking signal. Under INFR-S3.2, antenna which are attached to poles and masts need to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | underlying building height in the zone, plus 5m (for one telecommunication provider) and plus a further 5m if the facility provides for the co-location of two or more telecommunication providers.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                        |
| <b>FS21.056</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Encouraging colocation provides a range of positive effects. Amend heights to allow for antenna attached to poles and masts to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reject                 |
| <b>S154.033</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | Amend wording: The maximum height ( <b>excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna</b> ) of any utility pole or mast shall be.<br>INFR-S3.1 - 25m <b>for one telecommunications provider and 30m for two or more telecommunications providers in the General Industrial Zone and Light Industrial Zone, 35m for one telecommunications provider and 40m for two or more telecommunications providers in the Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone.</b><br>INFR-S3.2 so that the permitted | By adding the words (excluding any lightning rod or GPS antenna) from the measurement of height provides alignment with the NESTF. The permitted heights should encourage co-location of telecommunication providers onto a single structure and to achieve this separation between the antennas, which is best achieved via height is needed in alignment with INFR-P4. The permitted height for one provider in the industrial zones is supported but due to large trees in the rural area greater height is sought to prevent blocking signal. Under INFR-S3.2, antenna which are attached to poles and masts need to have clearance above built structures to | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                | height in all other zones is the underlying building height in the zone, plus 5m (for one telecommunication provider) and plus a further 5m if the facility provides for the co-location of two or more telecommunication providers. | meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>FS21.057</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support        | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Encouraging colocation provides a range of positive effects. Amend heights to allow for antenna attached to poles and masts to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements.                                                                                                                                                    | Reject                 |
| <b>S95.021</b>   | Power Net Limited                      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Oppose in part | Amend clause (1) of the standard to apply a 16m height limit to all other zones.                                                                                                                                                     | PowerNet supports the 25m height limit for utility poles and masts, and the restricted discretionary activity status where 25m cannot be achieved. PowerNet does not support the 13m height limit for poles and masts in all other zones as poles and masts in other zones are sometimes over 13m. PowerNet seeks that this height limit should be 16m to recognise the operational and functional constructions of electricity distribution activities. | Reject                 |
| <b>FS21.058</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Neutral        | Not stated                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Recognise the technical constraints of infrastructure in the Plan by providing                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                              | consistent standards for activities. Amend heights to allow for poles and masts to have clearance above built structures to meet radiofrequency standards, and provide line of sight connection to end users for functional and operational requirements.                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                        |
| <b>S85.080</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S3   | Support in part | There is no consideration for poles or masts in outstanding landscapes, 25m high it very tall for an outstanding landscape. Suggest blanket consent for any building or structure in an ONL. | Establish a permitted height for poles and masts in outstanding landscapes.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reject                 |
| <b>FS21.059</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support in part | Allow in part                                                                                                                                                                                | Recognise the technical constraints of infrastructure in the Plan by providing consistent standards for activities Amend to provide clarity and consistency for all height limits for infrastructure in zones and overlays, taking into account the technical constraints that may apply. Amend to provide clarity and consistency for all height limits for infrastructure in zones and overlays, taking into account the technical constraints that may apply. | Reject                 |
| <b>S112.017</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transp                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S4   | Support in part | Amend Matters of Discretion as follows: 1. Alternative locations for the <b>building structure</b> . 2. The functional needs and operational                                                 | Waka Kotahi notes that the Matters of discretion include the wording 'structure' which appears to be incorrect and should be amended to include the wording 'building' to                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | ort Agency                             |                       |           |          | needs of, and benefits derived from, the <b>building structure</b> , including the potential impact on the levels of service if a <b>building structure</b> of the proposed size is not approved. ... 4. Impacts on the <b>safety and</b> functionality of the road, including <b>any</b> footpath <b>or</b> cycleway.                                                                                                                                                                                                              | align with the terminology used in the standard. Amendments are also sought to Point 4 of the Matters of discretion to include safety considerations and apply to both footpaths and cycleways.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                        |
| <b>S132.025</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S4   | Amend    | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Setbacks from boundaries</p> <p>1. Where the utility is a building, it must be set back in accordance with the side, rear and road boundary setbacks for buildings in the zone in which it is located. <b>2. All buildings or structures shall be setback a minimum of 5m from any rail corridor boundary.</b></p> <p>Matters of discretion: <b>5. The safe and efficient operation of the rail network 6. Compatibility with the surrounding environment 7. The reason for the reduced setback</b></p> | <p>KiwiRail generally supports standard INFR-S4 Setbacks from boundaries. In the submission on the draft plan, KiwiRail sought a "no build" setback within 5m of the railway corridor for new buildings or structures on sites adjoining the railway corridor in all zones. This is to ensure that people can use and maintain their land and buildings safely without needing access to the railway corridor, minimizing the risks of physical interference with railway operations and avoiding health and safety hazards for residents.</p> <p>It is noted that there are a variety of building setback requirements in the proposed plan, however no setbacks relate specifically to the rail corridor.</p> | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S139.048</b>  | Hokonui Rūnanga Inc                    | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S4   | Support in part | Make consequential amendments to align with the amendments sought to INFR-P7.                                                                   | Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party. | Reject                 |
| <b>S149.025</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S4   | Oppose in part  | Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities. | There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.                                                                           | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.034</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S4   | Support         | Retain INFR-S4 as notified                                                                                                                      | The exceptions to INFR-S4 are supported                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>S154.034</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S4   | Support         | Retain INFR-S4 as notified                                                                                                                      | The exceptions to INFR-S4 are supported                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.034</b>   | One New Zealand                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S4   | Support         | Retain INFR-S4 as notified                                                                                                                      | The exceptions to INFR-S4 are supported                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Group Limited                        |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>S33.034</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S4   | Support         | Retain INFR-S4 as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | The exceptions to INFR-S4 are supported                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |
| <b>S37.034</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S4   | Support         | Retain INFR-S4 as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | The exceptions to INFR-S4 are supported                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept in part         |
| <b>S95.022</b>   | PowerNet Limited                       | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S4   | Oppose in part  | Amend standard as follows: ... Except this rule does not apply to: (a) poles, antennas, and associated cabinets <b>and equipment</b> (cabinets <b>and equipment</b> up to 10m2 in area and 3m in height, exclusive of any plinth or other foundation), for telecommunication and radio communication <b>and electricity distribution activities</b> ; (b) lines and support structures for telecommunications; (c) network utilities within legal road. | PowerNet supports the exemption for utility buildings to comply with the underlying zone setback requirements, however it seeks clarification that the exemption will apply to structures and equipment for electricity distribution purposes.          | Accept in part         |
| <b>S101.036</b>  | Transpower New Zealand                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S4   | Support in part | Amend Standard INFR-S4 as follows: "1. Where the utility is a building, it must be set back in accordance with the side, rear and road boundary setbacks for buildings in the zone in which it is located. Except this rule                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Supports Standard INFR-S4 to the extent that it 'imports' the zone setbacks for buildings subject to exemptions. Transpower does not support the exemptions included in the Standard on the basis that the exemptions are limited to telecommunications | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Limited                              |                       |           |                 | does not apply to: (a) poles, antennas, and associated cabinets (cabinets up to 10m2 in area and 3m in height, exclusive of any plinth or other foundation), for <b>electricity transmission, electricity distribution</b> , telecommunication and radio communication; (b) lines and support structures for telecommunications <b>or electricity transmission and electricity distribution</b> ; (c) network utilities within legal road." | infrastructure. Transpower does not consider that there is clear rationale for providing an exemption for telecommunications infrastructure, but not electricity infrastructure, where the effects of such infrastructure may be the same or similar in character. Transpower seeks that the Standard be expanded to include electricity infrastructure. In addition, Transpower supports the Matters of Discretion that apply                                                                         |                        |
| <b>FS24.022</b>  | Contact Energy Ltd                     |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Contact supports the relief sought as it appropriately seeks to provide for transmission infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.037</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Support in part | Amend Standard INFR-S5 as follows: "1. <b>The foundation of a</b> Any infrastructure building or structure must <b>not be located within the following</b> be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (...) Amend Standard INFR-S5 Matters of Discretion as follows: "x. <b>The benefits derived from, the infrastructure, including the potential impact on the levels of</b>                                    | Does not oppose Standard INFR-S5, but seeks that the Standard is clarified to confirm that the Standard is not intended to regulate activities that are managed by the Regional Council or activities that traverse (but do not disturb) the areas subject to the setbacks in the Standard. That said, Transpower does not support the Matters of Discretion because these matters do not provide for a consideration of the benefits of infrastructure and therefore do not give effect the Objective | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | <b>service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken."</b>                                                                             | and Policy 1 of the NPSET and Policy INF.1 of the SRPS.                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S109.038</b>  | Department of Conservation             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Support         | Retain as notified                                                                                                                              | The D-G supports the requirements for infrastructure building or structure setbacks and matters of discretion.                                                                                                                                                       | Accept in part         |
| <b>S133.003</b>  | David Pullar                           | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Amend           | Exclude fences for primary production purposes.                                                                                                 | Fences that subdivide paddocks adjacent to waterways need to extend to the edge of waterways to be effective.                                                                                                                                                        | Accept                 |
| <b>S139.049</b>  | Hokonui Rūnanga Inc                    | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Support in part | Make consequential amendments to align with the amendments sought to INFR-P7.                                                                   | Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party. | Accept                 |
| <b>S149.026</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Oppose in part  | Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities. | There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.                                                                           | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.035</b>   | Chorus New Zealand                     | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Support in part | Ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S5 is to interact with INFR-S1.                         | INFR-S5 is supported, however it is currently unclear as to what the interaction between INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 is.                                                                                                                                                     | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Limited                                  |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                        |
| <b>S126.061</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Oppose in part  | Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence <b>which is not subject to setbacks</b> ) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). | SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivize an activity like fencing, which has ultimate positive benefits for biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed. | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.035</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Support in part | Ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S5 is to interact with INFR-S1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | INFR-S5 is supported, however it is currently unclear as to what the interaction between INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 is.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>S32.035</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited              | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Support in part | Ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S5 is to interact with INFR-S1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | INFR-S5 is supported, however it is currently unclear as to what the interaction between INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 is.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S33.035</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Support in part | Ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S5 is to interact with INFR-S1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | INFR-S5 is supported, however it is currently unclear as to what the interaction between INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 is.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>S37.035</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Support in part | Ensure that there is a clause in the standard which provides clarification for how INFR-S5 is to interact with INFR-S1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | INFR-S5 is supported, however it is currently unclear as to what the interaction between INFR-S1 and INFR-S5 is.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |
| <b>S115.062</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Oppose in part  | Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence <b>which is not subject to setbacks</b> ) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). | SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivize an activity like fencing, which has ultimate positive benefits for biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed. | Accept                 |
| <b>S143.073</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Oppose in part  | Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence <b>which is not subject to setbacks</b> ) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b)                                                                                                                     | SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivize an activity like fencing, which                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position       | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                | 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | has ultimate positive benefits for biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                        |
| <b>S148.074</b>  | Alistair & Bernadette Hunt             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Oppose in part | Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence <b>which is not subject to setbacks</b> ) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). | SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivize an activity like fencing, which has ultimate positive benefits for biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed. | Accept                 |
| <b>S60.064</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Oppose in part | Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence <b>which is not subject to setbacks</b> ) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). | SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivize an activity like fencing, which has ultimate positive benefits for biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by                                                                                    | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S68.182</b>   | John Baynes                            | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Oppose in part  | Amend INFR-S5: 1. Any infrastructure building or structure (not including a farm fence <b>which is not subject to setbacks</b> ) must be set back from the closest bank of any stream or river as follows: (a) 20m for high order rivers or streams (order 5 and above); (b) 10m for middle order rivers or streams (order 3 and 4); and (c) 5m for low order rivers or streams (order 1 and 2). | SFF does not oppose the setback distances provided these do not apply to farm fencing. We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fencing' and it is crucial that this is accepted for farmers to be able to carry out daily farming operations. There is no need to disincentivize an activity like fencing, which has ultimate positive benefits for biodiversity, by requiring a resource consent to construct a fence. Fencing setbacks are covered by regional plans and therefore the duplication contained in the PDP must be removed. | Accept                 |
| <b>S85.081</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S5   | Support in part | Infrastructure should be managed around existing flood control measures.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Broaden to include stop banks and flood control infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reject                 |
| <b>S112.018</b>  | Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S6   | Support in part | Amend the Matters of discretion as follows: .... <b>5. Impact on safe, efficient and effective function or operation of roads including State highways including any obstruction of views of intersections, accessways or ongoing traffic and any shading over roads.</b>                                                                                                                        | Waka Kotahi supports INFR - S6 maximum footprint limitations of any utility building or structure as permitted activity in the respective zones. While the road boundary setbacks are to be adhered to as stated in Rule INFR - S4 there may be some circumstances where large utilities near the road corridor obstruct views of intersections, accessways and oncoming traffic or create                                                                                                                                                     | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                 | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 |                                                                               | shading over roads. We recommend that an additional Matters of discretion be included to address safety considerations of the roads.                                                                                                                                 |                        |
| <b>S139.050</b>  | Hokonui Rūnanga Inc                    | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S6   | Support in part | Make consequential amendments to align with the amendments sought to INFR-P7. | Wherever possible the plan provisions should specify the particular effects of concern to mana whenua to ensure the plan provisions are clear and that appropriate effects are identified and assessed, including when Hokonui Rūnanga Inc may be an affected party. | Accept                 |
| <b>S35.036</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S6   | Support         | Retain INFR-S6 as notified                                                    | INFR-S6 provides appropriate footprints for telecommunication infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S154.036</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S6   | Support         | Retain INFR-S6 as notified                                                    | INFR-S6 provides appropriate footprints for telecommunication infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |
| <b>S32.036</b>   | One New Zealand                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S6   | Support         | Retain INFR-S6 as notified                                                    | INFR-S6 provides appropriate footprints for telecommunication infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept in part         |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Group Limited                          |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                        |
| <b>S33.036</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S6   | Support         | Retain INFR-S6 as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                   | INFR-S6 provides appropriate footprints for telecommunication infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>S37.036</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S6   | Support         | Retain INFR-S6 as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                   | INFR-S6 provides appropriate footprints for telecommunication infrastructure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Accept in part         |
| <b>S85.082</b>   | Environment Southland                  | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S6   | Support in part | Listed criteria may be too permissive in sensitive areas.                                                                                                                                                                    | Broaden to also consider size of buildings in ONL and SNAs                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reject                 |
| <b>S101.038</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S6   | Oppose          | Amend Standard INFR-S6 as follows:<br>"The maximum footprint of any utility building or structure shall <b>comply with the relevant building coverage provisions for the zone they are located in or otherwise be:</b> (...) | The Standard has the potential to impose more stringent requirements on buildings for infrastructure, when compared to the same footprint requirements in any given zone. The Energy and Infrastructure Section 32 Report does not give any rationale for taking a more stringent approach to the footprint of infrastructure buildings when compared to other buildings. Transpower seeks that the Standard is amended so that infrastructure buildings may be developed in a manner that is consistent with the zone in which they are located. | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.060</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Recognises the importance of infrastructure to communities Amend to enable                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | infrastructure buildings to be developed in a manner that is consistent with the zone in which they are located.                                                                                                                             |                        |
| <b>S149.027</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S7   | Oppose in part  | Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.                                                   | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.037</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S7   | Support in part | Amend INFR-S7 as follows: 1. That for panel antennas the maximum width is 0.7m, <del>and for all other dish antenna types the maximum surface area diameter</del> <b>is no greater than 1.5m 0.6m in the residential zones and 1.2m in all other zones</b> and for whip <del>and dipole</del> <b>antennas, the maximum length is</b> <del>are less than 4m in length.</del> 2. For small cells <del>and ancillary equipment</del> , the volume of the unit must not exceed 2.5m <sup>3</sup> . | Some finessing of the rule is proposed to better reflect the different antenna types used in the telecommunication industry.                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.061</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Clarity on what is permitted in the plan allows planning constraints to be applied on those structures that require specific consideration. Amend to specify maximum permitted panel antenna widths and dish types applicable for each zone. | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S32.037</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S7   | Support in part | Amend INFR-S7 as follows: 1. That for panel antennas the maximum width is 0.7m, <del>and for all other dish antenna types the maximum surface area diameter</del> <b>is no greater than 1.5m<sup>2</sup> 0.6m in the residential zones and 1.2m in all other zones</b> and for whip <del>and dipole</del> <b>antennas, the maximum length is</b> <del>are</del> <b>less than 4m in length.</b> 2. For small cells <del>and ancillary equipment</del> <b>the volume of the unit must not exceed 2.5m<sup>3</sup>.</b> | Some finessing of the rule is proposed to better reflect the different antenna types used in the telecommunication industry.                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.062</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Clarity on what is permitted in the plan allows planning constraints to be applied on those structures that require specific consideration. Amend to specify maximum permitted panel antenna widths and dish types applicable for each zone. | Accept                 |
| <b>S33.037</b>   | FortySouth                             | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S7   | Support in part | Amend INFR-S7 as follows: 1. That for panel antennas the maximum width is 0.7m, <del>and for all other dish antenna types the maximum surface area diameter</del> <b>is no greater than 1.5m<sup>2</sup> 0.6m in the residential zones and 1.2m in all other zones</b> and for whip <del>and dipole</del> <b>antennas, the maximum length is</b> <del>are</del> <b>less than 4m in length.</b> 2. For small cells <del>and</del>                                                                                     | Some finessing of the rule is proposed to better reflect the different antenna types used in the telecommunication industry.                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                       |           |                 | <b>ancillary equipment</b> , the volume of the unit must not exceed 2.5m3.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>FS21.063</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Clarity on what is permitted in the plan allows planning constraints to be applied on those structures that require specific consideration. Amend to specify maximum permitted panel antenna widths and dish types applicable for each zone. | Accept                 |
| <b>S37.037</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S7   | Support in part | Amend INFR-S7 as follows: 1. That for panel antennas the maximum width is 0.7m, <del>and for all other dish antenna types the maximum surface area diameter</del> <b>is no greater than 1.5m 0.6m in the residential zones and 1.2m in all other zones</b> and for whip <del>and dipole</del> <b>antennas, the maximum length is</b> <del>are less than 4m in length</del> . 2. For small cells <del>and ancillary equipment</del> , the volume of the unit must not exceed 2.5m3. | Some finessing of the rule is proposed to better reflect the different antenna types used in the telecommunication industry.                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.064</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Clarity on what is permitted in the plan allows planning constraints to be applied on those structures that require specific consideration. Amend to specify maximum permitted panel antenna widths and dish types applicable for each zone. | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section               | Provision | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S154.037</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S7   | Support in part | Amend INFR-S7 as follows: 1. That for panel antennas the maximum width is 0.7m, and for all other dish antenna types the maximum surface area diameter is no greater than 1.5m <sup>2</sup> <b>0.6m in the residential zones and 1.2m in all other zones</b> and for whip and dipole antennas, the maximum length is less than 4m in length. 2. For small cells and ancillary equipment, the volume of the unit must not exceed 2.5m <sup>3</sup> . | Some finessing of the rule is proposed to better reflect the different antenna types used in the telecommunication industry.                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>FS21.065</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                       |           | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Clarity on what is permitted in the plan allows planning constraints to be applied on those structures that require specific consideration. Amend to specify maximum permitted panel antenna widths and dish types applicable for each zone. | Accept                 |
| <b>S149.028</b>  | Yrless                                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S8   | Oppose in part  | Amend standard to better reflect the height and occupational requirements for categories of infrastructure and the effects of their activities.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | There is no technical basis or justification for imposing building height or usage of utility buildings. These restrictions add a layer of unnecessary cost for infrastructure developers.                                                   | Reject                 |
| <b>S101.039</b>  | Transpower New Zealand                 | INFR - Infrastructure | INFR-S9   | Support         | Retain Standard INFR-S9 as notified.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Transpower supports Standard INFR-S9 on the basis that it is consistent with, and gives effect to, Policy 19 of the NPSET and the NESETA Regulations.                                                                                        | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                           | Provision             | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | d Limited                              |                                   |                       |          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                        |
| <b>S101.078</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | LLRZ - Large Lot Residential Zone | LLRZ - General        | Oppose   | Amend Note 1 as follows: "The provisions in this chapter apply in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.<br><b>Infrastructure activities are provided for in the Infrastructure Chapter and the rules in this chapter do not apply to infrastructure activities."</b> | The Note is not sufficiently clear in terms of the rules that might apply to critical infrastructure generally, and the National Grid specifically. That is, as written, the Note could be understood to direct that the Large Lot Residential Zone rules apply to infrastructure in addition to the rules in the Infrastructure Chapter. This would result in Rule LLRZ-R12 applying and all infrastructure activities being non-complying activities. Transpower seeks that Note 1 is amended to explicitly set out that the General Industrial Zone rules do not apply to infrastructure. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S132.007</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                  | Interpretation                    | maintenance or repair | Amend    | Amend 'maintenance or repair' definition means: <b>(a) In relation to infrastructure, any work or activity necessary to continue the operation and / or functioning of existing infrastructure. (b)</b> In relation to historic heritage, to replace or mend in-situ, decayed or damaged .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | The definition only relates to historic heritage and does not include maintenance and repair in relation to existing infrastructure. To ensure that KiwiRail's existing infrastructure is protected and provided for in relation to maintenance and repair the following is recommended.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Reject                 |
| <b>S148.046</b>  | Alistair & Bernad                      | Interpretation                    | national grid yard    | Oppose   | Amend the definition to align with NZECP34 Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, particularly                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | The National Grid Yard definition needs to be amended so it is consistent with NZECP34 Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances,                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision          | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                   | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | ette Hunt                              |                |                    |          | Section 2.4.1, and make any consequential amendments            | particularly Section 2.4.1 around support structures. This definition and the yard widths are not consistent and unnecessarily onerous compared to the Code. It is vital to remember that the National Grid runs over private property, and farmers will be overwhelmingly affected by any regulation that exceeds the Code. This means the 12m distance around any structure is in excess of what is in the Code, and what is needed for engineering safety. The Code requires a safe distance of 8m around a 110kv pole. [Refer to original submission for full reasons at pg. 15] |                        |
| <b>FS30.004</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                |                    | Oppose   | Disallow                                                        | The purpose of the National Grid Yard is to give effect to the NPSET, as opposed to implementing NZECP34:2001. The National Grid Yard is measured from the centre line of a transmission line and the foundation of structures and is based on the position of conductors in everyday wind conditions. The identification of such a 'buffer' is a requirement of Policy 11 of the NPSET. In addition, it is noted that the definition of 'National Grid Yard' is part of Transpower's nationally consistent approach to giving effect to the NPSET. Disallow the submission.         | Accept                 |
| <b>S68.154</b>   | John Baynes                            | Interpretation | national grid yard | Oppose   | Amend the definition to align with NZECP34 Code of Practice for | The National Grid Yard definition needs to be amended so it is consistent with NZECP34                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section | Provision | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |         |           |          | Electrical Safe Distances, particularly Section 2.4.1, and make any consequential amendments | Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, particularly Section 2.4.1 around support structures. This definition and the yard widths are not consistent and unnecessarily onerous compared to the Code. It is vital to remember that the National Grid runs over private property, and farmers will be overwhelmingly affected by any regulation that exceeds the Code. This means the 12m distance around any structure is in excess of what is in the Code, and what is needed for engineering safety. The Code requires a safe distance of 8m around a 110kv pole. [Refer to original submission for full reasons at pg. 15] |                        |
| <b>FS30.041</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |         |           | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                     | The purpose of the National Grid Yard is to give effect to the NPSET, as opposed to implementing NZECP34:2001. The National Grid Yard is measured from the centre line of a transmission line and the foundation of structures and is based on the position of conductors in everyday wind conditions. The identification of such a 'buffer' is a requirement of Policy 11 of the NPSET. In addition, it is noted that the definition of 'National Grid Yard' is part of Transpower's nationally consistent approach to giving effect to the NPSET. Disallow the submission.                                                         | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision          | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>FS30.042</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                |                    | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                     | The purpose of the National Grid Yard is to give effect to the NPSET, as opposed to implementing NZECP34:2001. The National Grid Yard is measured from the centre line of a transmission line and the foundation of structures and is based on the position of conductors in everyday wind conditions. The identification of such a 'buffer' is a requirement of Policy 11 of the NPSET. In addition, it is noted that the definition of 'National Grid Yard' is part of Transpower's nationally consistent approach to giving effect to the NPSET.                                                                                       | Accept                 |
| <b>S115.034</b>  | Kevin Robertson                        | Interpretation | national grid yard | Oppose   | Amend the definition to align with NZECP34 Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, particularly Section 2.4.1, and make any consequential amendments | The National Grid Yard definition needs to be amended so it is consistent with NZECP34 Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, particularly Section 2.4.1 around support structures. This definition and the yard widths are not consistent and unnecessarily onerous compared to the Code. It is vital to remember that the National Grid runs over private property, and farmers will be overwhelmingly affected by any regulation that exceeds the Code. This means the 12m distance around any structure is in excess of what is in the Code, and what is needed for engineering safety. The Code requires a safe distance of | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision          | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                |                    |          |                                                                                                                                                              | 8m around a 110kv pole. [Refer to original submission for full reasons at pg. 15]                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                        |
| <b>FS30.046</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                |                    | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                     | The purpose of the National Grid Yard is to give effect to the NPSET, as opposed to implementing NZECP34:2001. The National Grid Yard is measured from the centre line of a transmission line and the foundation of structures and is based on the position of conductors in everyday wind conditions. The identification of such a 'buffer' is a requirement of Policy 11 of the NPSET. In addition, it is noted that the definition of 'National Grid Yard' is part of Transpower's nationally consistent approach to giving effect to the NPSET. Disallow the submission.                 | Accept                 |
| <b>S60.036</b>   | Ronald Crispin                         | Interpretation | national grid yard | Oppose   | Amend the definition to align with NZECP34 Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, particularly Section 2.4.1, and make any consequential amendments | The National Grid Yard definition needs to be amended so it is consistent with NZECP34 Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, particularly Section 2.4.1 around support structures. This definition and the yard widths are not consistent and unnecessarily onerous compared to the Code. It is vital to remember that the National Grid runs over private property, and farmers will be overwhelmingly affected by any regulation that exceeds the Code. This means the 12m distance around any structure is in excess of what is in the Code, and what is needed for engineering | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision          | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                |                    |          |                                                                                                                                                              | safety. The Code requires a safe distance of 8m around a 110kv pole. [Refer to original submission for full reasons at pg. 15]                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                        |
| <b>FS30.066</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                |                    | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                     | The purpose of the National Grid Yard is to give effect to the NPSET, as opposed to implementing NZECP34:2001. The National Grid Yard is measured from the centre line of a transmission line and the foundation of structures and is based on the position of conductors in everyday wind conditions. The identification of such a 'buffer' is a requirement of Policy 11 of the NPSET. In addition, it is noted that the definition of 'National Grid Yard' is part of Transpower's nationally consistent approach to giving effect to the NPSET. Disallow the submission. | Accept                 |
| <b>S143.045</b>  | Rural Ratepayers Group                 | Interpretation | national grid yard | Oppose   | Amend the definition to align with NZECP34 Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, particularly Section 2.4.1, and make any consequential amendments | The National Grid Yard definition needs to be amended so it is consistent with NZECP34 Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, particularly Section 2.4.1 around support structures. This definition and the yard widths are not consistent and unnecessarily onerous compared to the Code. It is vital to remember that the National Grid runs over private property, and farmers will be overwhelmingly affected by any regulation that exceeds the Code. This means the 12m distance around any structure is in excess of what is in the                          | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)     | Section        | Provision          | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                            |                |                    |          |                                                                                                                                                              | Code, and what is needed for engineering safety. The Code requires a safe distance of 8m around a 110kv pole. [Refer to original submission for full reasons at pg. 15]                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                        |
| <b>FS30.071</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited             |                |                    | Oppose   | Disallow                                                                                                                                                     | The purpose of the National Grid Yard is to give effect to the NPSET, as opposed to implementing NZECP34:2001. The National Grid Yard is measured from the centre line of a transmission line and the foundation of structures and is based on the position of conductors in everyday wind conditions. The identification of such a 'buffer' is a requirement of Policy 11 of the NPSET. In addition, it is noted that the definition of 'National Grid Yard' is part of Transpower's nationally consistent approach to giving effect to the NPSET. Disallow the submission. | Accept                 |
| <b>S126.033</b>  | Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | Interpretation | national grid yard | Oppose   | Amend the definition to align with NZECP34 Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, particularly Section 2.4.1, and make any consequential amendments | The National Grid Yard definition needs to be amended so it is consistent with NZECP34 Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, particularly Section 2.4.1 around support structures. This definition and the yard widths are not consistent and unnecessarily onerous compared to the Code. It is vital to remember that the National Grid runs over private property, and farmers will be overwhelmingly affected by any regulation that exceeds the Code. This means the 12m distance around                                                                       | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision         | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                             | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  |                                        |                |                   |          |                                                           | any structure is in excess of what is in the Code, and what is needed for engineering safety. The Code requires a safe distance of 8m around a 110kv pole. [Refer to original submission for full reasons at pg. 15]                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>FS30.077</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         |                |                   | Oppose   | Disallow                                                  | The purpose of the National Grid Yard is to give effect to the NPSET, as opposed to implementing NZECP34:2001. The National Grid Yard is measured from the centre line of a transmission line and the foundation of structures and is based on the position of conductors in everyday wind conditions. The identification of such a 'buffer' is a requirement of Policy 11 of the NPSET. In addition, it is noted that the definition of 'National Grid Yard' is part of Transpower's nationally consistent approach to giving effect to the NPSET. Disallow the submission. | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.009</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | Interpretation | network utilities | Support  | Retain the definition of 'network utilities' as notified. | Transpower supports the definition of 'network utilities' to the extent that the definition appropriately includes the "transformation, transmission, or distribution of electricity" in a manner that is generally consistent with the definitions in section 166 of the RMA.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision         | Position | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S132.008</b>  | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd                  | Interpretation | network utilities | Amend    | Amend 'network utilities' to mean:<br>Any activity relating to any or all of the following: ...<br>Includes:<br>(a) All structures necessary for operation; and<br>(b) <b>the development, upgrading, operation and maintenance</b> of the network. | Supportive of inclusion of rail corridor in the definition but seeks an amendment to ensure the definition applies to all activities necessary for the safe and efficient operation of the rail network. | Reject                 |
| <b>S35.004</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | Interpretation | network utilities | Support  | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Definition of network utilities is supported.                                                                                                                                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.004</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | Interpretation | network utilities | Support  | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Definition of network utilities is supported.                                                                                                                                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>S32.004</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | Interpretation | network utilities | Support  | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Definition of network utilities is supported.                                                                                                                                                            | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision                | Position | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                      | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S33.004</b>   | FortySouth                             | Interpretation | network utilities        | Support  | Retain as notified            | Definition of network utilities is supported.                                                                                                                | Accept                 |
| <b>S37.004</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | Interpretation | network utilities        | Support  | Retain as notified            | Definition of network utilities is supported.                                                                                                                | Accept                 |
| <b>S95.004</b>   | PowerNet Limited                       | Interpretation | network utilities        | Support  | Retain definition             | PowerNet supports the definition for Network Utilities as it includes the electricity distribution activities, which is appropriate and aligns with the RMA. | Accept                 |
| <b>S35.005</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | Interpretation | network utility operator | Support  | Retain as notified            | Definition of network utility operator is supported                                                                                                          | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.005</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | Interpretation | network utility operator | Support  | Retain as notified            | Definition of network utility operator is supported                                                                                                          | Accept                 |
| <b>S32.005</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | Interpretation | network utility operator | Support  | Retain as notified            | Definition of network utility operator is supported                                                                                                          | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision                | Position | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S33.005</b>   | FortySouth                             | Interpretation | network utility operator | Support  | Retain as notified            | Definition of network utility operator is supported                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>S37.005</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | Interpretation | network utility operator | Support  | Retain as notified            | Definition of network utility operator is supported                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Accept                 |
| <b>S95.005</b>   | PowerNet Limited                       | Interpretation | network utility operator | Support  | Retain as notified            | PowerNet supports the inclusion of this definition as it reflects the definition in the RMA.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Accept                 |
| <b>S121.012</b>  | Alliance Group Limited                 | Interpretation | operational need         | Support  | Retain as notified            | Agree that this definition should align with that given in the National Planning Standards 2019 to ensure consistency.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Accept                 |
| <b>S125.004</b>  | Ministry of Education                  | Interpretation | operational need         | Support  | Retain as proposed.           | The submitter supports the inclusion of the 'operational need' definition as proposed as it is consistent with the National Planning Standard.<br>In some cases, the Ministry has an operational need to establish educational facilities in areas prone to natural hazards or other environmental risks to provide social infrastructure for existing communities. | Accept                 |
| <b>S35.006</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | Interpretation | operational need         | Support  | Retain as notified            | The definition of 'Operational Need is supported                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision        | Position | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S124.007</b>  | Fire and Emergency NZ                  | Interpretation | operational need | Support  | Retain as notified            | Fire and Emergency support the definition for operational need. The ability to construct and operate fire stations in locations which will enable reasonable response times to fire and other emergencies is paramount to the health, safety and wellbeing of people and the community. Fire stations therefore need to be strategically located within and throughout communities to maximise their coverage so that they can efficiently and effectively respond to emergency call outs. | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.006</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | Interpretation | operational need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The definition of 'Operational Need is supported                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept                 |
| <b>S32.006</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | Interpretation | operational need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The definition of 'Operational Need is supported                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept                 |
| <b>S33.006</b>   | FortySouth                             | Interpretation | operational need | Support  | Retain as notified            | The definition of 'Operational Need is supported                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section        | Provision                                                 | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Reasons                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S37.006</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | Interpretation | operational need                                          | Support         | Retain as notified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | The definition of 'Operational Need' is supported                                                          | Accept                 |
| <b>S95.006</b>   | PowerNet Limited                       | Interpretation | operational need                                          | Support         | Retain definition                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | PowerNet supports the inclusion of this definition as it reflects the definition in the NPS.               | Accept                 |
| <b>S130.004</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | Interpretation | renewable electricity generating activities               | Support in part | Insert text from NPS-REG 2011.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | The NPS-REG 2011 defines Renewable electricity generation and Renewable electricity generation activities. | Accept                 |
| <b>S130.006</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | Interpretation | renewable electricity generation activities - large scale | Support in part | Means electricity generation activities utilising renewable energy sources with a capacity of 20kW or greater for the purpose of exporting electricity directly into the distribution network or National Grid. It includes all ancillary components, <b>structures</b> and activities such as substations, climate/environmental monitoring equipment, earthworks, roading, maintenance buildings, temporary concrete batching plants, internal transmission and fibre networks, vegetation clearance, and site rehabilitation works. | Structures covers some essential components.                                                               | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section                    | Provision                                                 | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S109.011</b>  | Department of Conservation             | Interpretation             | renewable electricity generation activities - small scale | Support in part | Renewable electricity generation activities - small <b>and community</b> scale                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | The title should be extended to include the words 'small and community scale' to clarify that this definition covers community scale REG projects, and that community scale REG does not have a different meaning.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reject                 |
| <b>S130.005</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | Interpretation             | renewable electricity generation activities - small scale | Support         | Gives effect to NPS-REG 2011.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Accept                 |
| <b>S101.087</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone | RLZ - General                                             | Oppose          | Amend Note 1 as follows: "The provisions in this chapter apply in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua.<br><b>Infrastructure activities are provided for in the Infrastructure Chapter and the rules in this chapter do not apply to infrastructure activities.</b> " | Transpower does not support Note 1 on the basis that the Note is not sufficiently clear in terms of the rules that might apply to critical infrastructure generally, and the National Grid specifically. That is, as written, the Note could be understood to direct that the Rural Lifestyle Zone rules apply to infrastructure in addition to the rules in the Infrastructure Chapter. This would result in Rule RLZ-R13 applying and all infrastructure activities being non-complying activities. Transpower seeks that Note 1 is amended to explicitly set out that the General Industrial Zone rules do not apply to infrastructure. | Accept in part         |
| <b>S147.002</b>  | New Zealand                            | Interpretation             | specified infrastructure                                  | Support         | Retain definition as notified.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | NZDF supports the inclusion of defence facilities in the definition.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Accept                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section           | Provision                | Position | Summary of Decision Requested | Reasons                                                                                        | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Defence Force                          |                   |                          |          |                               |                                                                                                |                        |
| <b>S130.008</b>  | Mercury NZ Limited                     | Interpretation    | specified infrastructure | Support  | None specified.               | Supports inclusion of term to support provision of REG activities.                             | Accept                 |
| <b>S95.009</b>   | PowerNet Limited                       | Interpretation    | specified infrastructure | Support  | Retain definition             | PowerNet supports the inclusion of this definition as it reflects the definition in the NPSIB. | Accept                 |
| <b>S154.045</b>  | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited      | SUB - Subdivision | SUB-P9                   | Oppose   | Delete SUB-P9                 | SUB-P9 is not necessary, as this matter is regulated under the Infrastructure chapter          | Reject                 |
| <b>S32.045</b>   | One New Zealand Group Limited          | SUB - Subdivision | SUB-P9                   | Oppose   | Delete SUB-P9                 | SUB-P9 is not necessary, as this matter is regulated under the Infrastructure chapter          | Reject                 |
| <b>S33.045</b>   | FortySouth                             | SUB - Subdivision | SUB-P9                   | Oppose   | Delete SUB-P9                 | SUB-P9 is not necessary, as this matter is regulated under the Infrastructure chapter          | Reject                 |
| <b>S37.045</b>   | Connexa Limited                        | SUB - Subdivision | SUB-P9                   | Oppose   | Delete SUB-P9                 | SUB-P9 is not necessary, as this matter is regulated under the Infrastructure chapter          | Reject                 |

## Appendix B – Recommendations on Submissions for Infrastructure Chapter

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Section           | Provision         | Position        | Summary of Decision Requested                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Reasons                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Officer recommendation |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>S35.045</b>   | Chorus New Zealand Limited             | SUB - Subdivision | SUB-P9            | Oppose          | Delete SUB-P9                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | SUB-P9 is not necessary, as this matter is regulated under the Infrastructure chapter                                                                                                                                 | Reject                 |
| <b>FS21.082</b>  | Yrless Limited                         |                   |                   | Support         | Allow                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Removing duplicate policies improves clarity of the plan.                                                                                                                                                             | Reject                 |
| <b>S101.012</b>  | Transpower New Zealand Limited         | Interpretation    | transmission line | Support in part | Amend the definition of "transmission line" as follows: " <del>Has the same meaning as per the National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities (2009)</del> Means: (a) the facilities and structures used for, or associated with, the overhead or underground transmission of electricity <del>in</del> on the National Grid; and ..." | Transpower supports the definition of 'transmission line' on the basis that the definition is consistent with the definition included in the NESETA. Transpower suggests limited amendments to refine the definition. | Reject                 |