

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.001	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose in part	Adopts submission points within Appendix A of Stoney Creek's submission. Considers there is a lack of evidence for the mapped extent of the Hokonui Hills ONL and Plan provisions. Concerned that limits on development potential are not proportionate to the potential environmental effects. The provisions do not recognise the benefits of farming activities which have low environmental effects.	Seeks the relief sought within the Stoney Creek submission
Helen McIntosh	S24.001	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	Adopts submission points within Appendix A of Stoney Creek's submission. Considers there is a lack of evidence for the mapped extent of the Hokonui Hills ONL and Plan provisions. Concerned that limits on development potential are not proportionate to the potential environmental effects. The provisions do not recognise the benefits of farming activities which have low environmental effects.	Seeks the relief sought within the Stoney Creek submission
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.001	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	Adopts submission points within Appendix A of Stoney Creek's submission. Considers there is a lack of evidence for the mapped extent of the Hokonui Hills ONL and Plan provisions. Concerned that limits on development potential are not proportionate to the potential environmental effects. The provisions do not recognise the benefits of farming activities which have low environmental effects.	Seeks the relief sought within the Stoney Creek submission. Change of chapter rules to allow good farming practices.
Karen Temple	S19.001	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	Adopts submission points within Appendix A of Stoney Creek's submission. Considers there is a lack of evidence for the mapped extent of the Hokonui Hills ONL and Plan provisions. Concerned that limits on development potential are not proportionate to the potential environmental effects. The provisions do not recognise the benefits of farming activities which have low environmental effects.	Seeks the relief sought within the Stoney Creek submission.Opposes the mapping, vehicle tracks and limited grazing.Change of chapter rules to allow good farming practices.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.005	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	Pastoral and arable farming is a key natural feature and landscape in the district, including on the Hokonui Hills. Landowners need the ability to adapt their operation to meet changing climate, market, economic considerations and a range of other factors without being heavily constrained by the need for resource consents at every turn.	The provisions of these chapters are opposed and prefer broader consultation with the landowners affected. Pastoral and arable farming should be permitted without restrictions on day to day activities.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.061	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose in part	Transpower does not support Note 1 on the basis that the Note is not sufficiently clear in terms of the rules that might apply to critical infrastructure generally, and the National Grid specifically. That is, as written, the Note could be understood to direct that rules apply to infrastructure in addition to the rules in the Infrastructure Chapter generally. Further, The Natural Features and Landscapes Sub-Chapter includes a rule in relation to the maintenance of critical infrastructure but does not address	Amend Note 1 as follows: “The provisions in this chapter apply in addition to the provisions of the other chapters in the District Plan and the plan should be read as a whole. This chapter also implements other chapters in the District Plan that do not have specific rules such as Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and Mana Whenua. Infrastructure activities are provided for in the Infrastructure Chapter and the rules in this chapter do not apply to infrastructure activities.”

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					upgraded or new infrastructure. This would result in Rule LLRZ-R12 applying and all infrastructure activities being noncomplying activities. Transpower seeks that Note 1 is amended to explicitly set out that the General Industrial Zone rules do not apply to infrastructure.	
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.001	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	The provisions of this chapter do not acknowledge working farms are present in the ONL area, which have existing use rights. This chapter is interpreted differently by various people.	To amend the provisions to acknowledge the continued presence of existing activities, particularly farming, within the ONL.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.070	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	The terminology and abbreviations used to refer to outstanding natural landscapes and outstanding natural features varies throughout the provisions and headings of this chapter. We suggest that consistent terminology and abbreviations should be used throughout the text of the NFL chapter, and the associated Appendix 5 and the Planning Map index.	Amend the text of the NFL chapter, Appendix 5 and the Planning Map Index, to use consistent terminology and abbreviations to identify outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.003	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	Light stocking rates benefit tussocks, heavy stocking degrades and harms the resource. br /	In areas of significant natural tussock, i.e. high country, discourage heavy stocking rates.
Hamish Weir	S74.009	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose in part	It is acknowledged that protections should be afforded to distinctive areas such as the Hokonui Hills. The submitter is concerned that the proposed regulatory approach could be contrary to this.Having been a frequent user of the Croydon Bush Reserve and adjacent trails in Dolamore Park and East Peak areas I have noticed the frequent incursion of pest plant species, especially gorse. If resource consent processes are required to farm the hills around these areas then there will be no economic incentive to manage the pest species present. Regulating to require this will only result in the property being abandoned with the cost ultimately falling to the rate payer. It would be an indictment on the district to see the Hokonui Hills turn yellow each spring.To date the majority of work done to construct mountain bike and walking trails in the Hokonui's has been voluntary. Given there is limited funding for this work it would be counterproductive to trigger resource consent requirements that would likely soak up all the funds available. I suspect that resource consents will be a significant barrier to any further trail development.	1) Inclusion of permitted rules for fencing capable of preventing movement of all stock and feral animals including deer.2) Inclusion of permitted rules for establishment of additional recreational assets such as mountain bike tracks 3) Protections against establishment of any form of forestry on the Hills should be enforced to prevent a loss of their natural and distinctive rugged character.
Southland District Council	S146.039	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose in part	The Southland District Council is undertaking a Plan Change associated with the Natural Features and Landscape section of the District Plan and there may be cross-boundary issues. Submitter has raised some issues to mitigate cross-boundary issues and to provide	Work on cross-boundary issues with SDC, particularly adverse effects on the Hokonui Hills ONFL area. Lack of objective for maintaining or enhancing their ONFL landscapes in addition to inappropriate direct-use objectives. The Proposed Plan does not have an objective for identifying ONFLs and alignment with Objective LNF.2 of the Southland Regional Policy Statement. Consideration of geological sites of national or higher importance, that may need ONFL level protections. Integrating

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					consistency between the districts. br /br /Potential mistakes in the implementation of the National Planning br /Standards highlighted for accuracy and to ensure provisions between Councils are standardised. br /br /The National Planning Standard in Section 7. District Wide Matters point 20 states “if provisions to protect the natural character of wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins are addressed, they must be located in the Natural character chapter.” Other br /councils within New Zealand have interpreted this requirement and broadened it beyond wetlands, rivers, and lakes to include all significant freshwater bodies.	geoheritage sites into the Natural Features and Landscapes section. There is a strip of land between the Hokonui Hills ONFL and Southland District that we think may be best zoned as Visual Amenity Landscape (VAL) or equivalent, and another area further south that adjoins Southland District along native vegetation that should also be zoned as VAL.
Jenny Campbell	S136.016	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated		Significant landscapes retained – having community engagement before any decisions are made
Jenny Campbell	S136.018	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated		Ensure significant landscapes are retained with consultation with the local community & wider population, especially tangata whenua values , before making decisions
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.016	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC’s own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.	Preferred Relief: Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station. Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.019	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in “All zones”. This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.	Amend “All zones” under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read “All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps”.
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.178	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters	We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.115	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. br /br /No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.	Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.001	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	The provisions of this chapter do not acknowledge working farms are present in the ONL area, which have existing use rights. This chapter is interpreted differently by various people.	To amend the provisions to acknowledge the continued presence of existing activities, particularly farming, within the ONL.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.001	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	The provisions of this chapter do not acknowledge working farms are present in the ONL area, which have existing use rights. This chapter is interpreted differently by various people.	To amend the provisions to acknowledge the continued presence of existing activities, particularly farming, within the ONL.
Ian Davidson-Watts	S69.007	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Support	We fully support the proposed objectives and rules for NATURAL FEATURES AND LANDSCAPES.	We fully support the proposed objectives and rules for NATURAL FEATURES AND LANDSCAPES.
Trudy Bokser	S113.016	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.	Preferred Relief: Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station. Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct
Trudy Bokser	S113.019	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.	Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".
Trudy Bokser	S113.115	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.	Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay
Nathan Bokser	S116.016	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.	Preferred Relief: Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station. Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct
Nathan Bokser	S116.019	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in "All zones". This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.	Amend "All zones" under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read "All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps".
Nathan Bokser	S116.115	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for	Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.	
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.016	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided be existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC’s own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.	Preferred Relief: Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.019	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in “All zones”. This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.	Amend “All zones” under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read “All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps”.
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.115	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.	Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.018	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided be existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC’s own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.	Preferred Relief: Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station.Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.021	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in “All zones”. This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.	Amend “All zones” under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read “All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps”.
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.117	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.	Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Karen Temple	S19.018	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC’s own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.	Preferred Relief: Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station. Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct
Karen Temple	S19.021	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in “All zones”. This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.	Amend “All zones” under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read “All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps”.
Karen Temple	S19.117	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.	Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.018	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC’s own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.	Preferred Relief: Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station. Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.021	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in “All zones”. This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.	Amend “All zones” under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read “All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps”.
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.117	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.	Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay
Helen McIntosh	S24.018	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no	Preferred Relief: Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station. Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.	resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct
Helen McIntosh	S24.021	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in “All zones”. This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.	Amend “All zones” under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read “All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps”.
Helen McIntosh	S24.117	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.	Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay
John Baynes	S68.020	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.	Preferred Relief: Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station. Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct
John Baynes	S68.023	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in “All zones”. This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.	Amend “All zones” under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read “All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps”.
John Baynes	S68.119	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.	Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.052	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy	Preferred Relief: Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station. Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC's own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.	would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.055	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in “All zones”. This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.	Amend “All zones” under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read “All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps”.
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.151	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.	Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.010	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	We agree with concerns of Stoney Creek and Federated Farmers regarding the rushed inclusion of this chapter.	We oppose the provisions of these chapters and support broader consultation with the landowners affected.
Kevin Robertson	S115.179	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters	We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach
Ronald Crispin	S60.181	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters	We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.190	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters	We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.191	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters	We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach
John Baynes	S68.299	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	Lack of consultation on the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter and the Natural Features and Landscapes chapters	We note that the Council did not include these chapters in the early consultation on the exposure draft. We believe these chapters need to be removed and rewritten with a more practical and enabling approach
Robina Johnston	S140.002	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	Considers that the Hokonui Hills is not the only outstanding landscape significant to the urban centre of Gore and that the slopes on the eastern side of Mataura River that are equally dominant and have incredible character, cultural value, and are highly visible and should also equally be classed. Marauwai is blessed to be a basin surrounded by slopes that add beautification. Gore Council need to protect these ranges as they also have the strike ridges of the southern syncline fault-line making quarrying accessible. br /	protect the slopes on the eastern side of Mataura River, and the ranges around the Marauwai basin
Robina Johnston	S140.005	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Not Stated	Observing infrastructure on whenua for telecommunications, wifi, wind and solar the lack of policy to make these structures blend into the natural world. Commercial developments need a higher degree of mitigation than domestic with vegetation in the landscape. Consideration needed for colour and effect of lights on the landscape. Uses Kaiwera wind farm as an example.	Policy consideration of visual effects on landscape values from infrastructure and commercial developments

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Greg Bokser	S117.016	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	As drafted, the ONL chapter is fundamentally flawed as it fails to acknowledge the established presence of pastoral farming within mapped ONL areas and would significantly restrict farming activities. There seems to be no justification for this as comments in the S32 seem to contradict the change. Considers the evaluation and assessment in the report insufficient. The rule framework is overly restrictive particularly when the policy framework acknowledges the presence and benefits provided by existing pastoral farming within the Hokonui Hills ONL which, by GDC’s own assessment, has not resulted in significant adverse effects under the current framework.	Preferred Relief: Withdraw the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter in its entirety and redraft in consultation with key stakeholders, including Stony Creek Station. Stony Creek Station considers that an appropriate resolution would be to create a Hokonui Hills Special Precinct, within which rural activities associated with pastoral farming are permitted, with appropriate and practical limits set. The provisions of the other chapters of the PGDP would not apply to farming activities within the Hokonui Hills Special Precinct
Greg Bokser	S117.019	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Amend	The Rule table within the NFL chapter states that the rules are applicable in “All zones”. This is misleading as the rules only apply within an identified ONL or ONF.	Amend “All zones” under rules NFL-R1 to NFL-R8 to read “All areas identified as ONL/ONF in the Planning Maps”.
Greg Bokser	S117.115	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL - General	Oppose	The mapped extent of Hokonui Hills ONL extends beyond the area of outstanding natural values and there is no justification provided for the extent. No evidence provided to suggest that the level of protection provided by the Operative Gore District Plan was insufficient.	Modified pastoral landscape should not be mapped as ONL Reduce restrictions relating to the Hokonui Hills ONL overlay
Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	S110.035	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Overview	Amend	The current explanation of Gores landscape is that it is all farmland and contains no natural value. This is not true, and there are in fact pockets of highly valuable indigenous biodiversity which used to occupy the whole district, and could naturally extend its range if given the opportunity. It is important that this is recognised. The Southland Syncline parallel ridges are also a special feature of the district which has been omitted from this list.	Change: The Landscape of the Gore District can largely be characterised as pastoral and arable farmland along with pockets of tussock grasslands, native forest, shrubland, scrubland, grassland and wetlands. There are with ~~two~~ three prominent natural landscapes / features: the Hokonui Hills, ~~and~~ the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls and the Southland Syncline parallel ridges.
Environment Southland	S85.036	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Overview	Support in part	Sets the context of why these aspects need managed. It should also identify how cultural landscapes should be identified and protected into the future with the AHTH framework.	Include in the overview some anticipated impacts on these features eg indigenous biodiversity loss and afforestation. Acknowledge Apiti Hono Tatai Hono framework for managing cultural landscapes.
Department of Conservation	S109.071	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-O1	Support	The D-G supports this objective as it is in line with the provisions of the RMA and gives effect to the Southland RPS.	Retain as notified
Southland District Council	S146.049	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-O1	Oppose in part	The PDP lacks an objective to protect (ONFL) from adverse effects beyond direct use. This gap may result in activities on adjacent properties, like the spread of Wilding Trees reducing the biotic/abiotic values highlighted in Appendix 5. Several Councils have objective for maintaining or enhancing their ONFL landscapes in addition to inappropriate direct-use objectives. Adopting an umbrella objective focused on maintaining or enhancing ONFL landscapes would be appropriate for the Gore District Council. Additionally, incorporating an objective for identifying ONFLs, akin to	Work on cross-boundary issues with SDC, particularly adverse effects on the Hokonui Hills ONFL area. Lack of objective for maintaining or enhancing their ONFL landscapes in addition to inappropriate direct-use objectives. The Proposed Plan does not have an objective for identifying ONFLs and alignment with Objective LNF.2 of the Southland Regional Policy Statement. Consideration of geological sites of national or higher importance, that may need ONFL level protections. Integrating geoheritage sites into the Natural Features and Landscapes section. There is a strip of land between the Hokonui Hills ONFL and Southland District that we think may be best zoned as Visual Amenity Landscape (VAL) or equivalent, and another area further south that adjoins Southland District along native vegetation that should also be zoned as VAL.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					Objective LNF.2 in the Southland Regional Policy Statement, would align with higher-level documents and provide flexibility for protecting new areas and would also address potential future additions, like geoheritage sites of national or higher importance, ensuring a comprehensive strategy for natural landscape preservation.	
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.098	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-O1	Support	This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing or limiting any subdivision, use or development.	Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Kevin Robertson	S115.099	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-O1	Support	This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing or limiting any subdivision, use or development.	Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Ronald Crispin	S60.101	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-O1	Support	This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing or limiting any subdivision, use or development.	Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.110	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-O1	Support	This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing or limiting any subdivision, use or development.	Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.111	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-O1	Support	This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core	Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing or limiting any subdivision, use or development.	
John Baynes	S68.219	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-O1	Support	This objective aligns with s 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. SFF supports the need for provisions within the District Plan to protect ONF/Ls from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It is considered that the plan should seek to ensure any subdivision, use and development in ONF/Ls maintains the core values which make the landscape or feature outstanding, rather than preventing or limiting any subdivision, use or development.	Amend NFL-O1: To protect the values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Gore District from inappropriate subdivision, use and development by maintaining the core values of the Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.057	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Transpower opposes Policy NFL-P1 on the basis that, to the extent the Policy applies to the National Grid, the Policy is inconsistent with the direction for the management of the National Grid in and on natural features and landscapes in the NPSET. That is, Policy 8 of the NPSET does not direct absolute avoidance, but rather directs that development of the National Grid in rural environments “seeks to avoid adverse effects on outstanding natural landscapes, areas of high natural character and areas of high recreation value and amenity and existing sensitive activities”. Further, it is not clear how Policy NFL-P1 is intended to relate to Policy NFL-P3. It is considered that the two policies may give differing directions and give rise to the potential for tension between the two provisions. Transpower suggests that it may be more appropriate to make Policy NFLP3 an exception from Policy NFL-P1 to resolve the tension and provide a bespoke direction for critical infrastructure that responds to the particular characteristics and constraints of such infrastructure.	Amend Policy NFL-P1 as follows: “NFL-P1 Except where NFL-P3 applies, a Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by:
Department of Conservation	S109.072	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Support	Support this policy	Retain as notified
Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	S110.036	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Amend	As in the renewable energy section, the location of large-scale developments of this nature have the potential to cause adverse effects on natural landscapes/features, as well as to biodiversity and ecosystems.	Change: 4. Avoiding activities that are incompatible with the identified values, including plantation forestry, renewable energy and mineral extraction,
Environment Southland	S85.037	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Support in part	Ecology is an attribute that supports ONL criteria.	Acknowledge that indigenous biodiversity loss can have an impact on outstanding landscapes.
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.002	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Amend	NFL-P1.2 notes that the ONL contains working pastoral farms. The rules in the NFL and ECO section for SNA does not consider this aspect. br /br /The s32 report for the NFL Chapter states that the NFL policies acknowledge the importance of farming and maintenance of existing activities for the district, however the	Amend NFL-P1.2. as follows: 2. Recognising ~~the~~ that working pastoral farms exist within the ONL and as such contribute to existence of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					rules, objectives and policies in the District Plan do not acknowledge the importance of farming to the values of the ONL and the importance of maintaining existing farming activities in the district. It makes it difficult to interpret.	
Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	S139.058	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Support in part	While ONFs and ONLs are identified under s6(b) of the RMA, the values mana whenua associate with these areas are a matter considered in identifying them; and effects of proposed activities on those matters ought to be considered when assessing resource consent applications, not just visual effects using a ‘western landscape lens.br /	Amend to read: "Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... ~~5. Recognising and providing protection for Ngāi Tahu cultural values as they relate to natural features and landscapes.~~ Ensuring values mana whenua associate with areas classified as outstanding natural features or landscapes are recognised and provided for in both classifying these areas and assessing the effects of activities in these areas."
Alliance Group Limited	S121.053	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Amend	The policy’s’ blanket overarching statement to ‘avoid adverse effects’ is unnecessary and overly restrictive. Avoidance of all adverse effects on the values and characteristics of outstanding natural features and landscapes is not practicable or necessary to protect them from inappropriate development. The existence of weirs and waters races within the Mataura Falls ONF is recognised in Appendix 5. The weir and associated races are essential components of the renewable electricity scheme and water supply network for the Alliance Mataura Plant. The existence of these structures should be recognised in this policy.	Amend as follows: Avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: (...) 2. Recognising the existence of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes; 3. Recognising the existence of weirs, races and other structures which have modified the natural form of the Mataura Falls; 4 (...)
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.017	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.	Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... 2. Recognising the benefits provided by existing ~~existence of~~ working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.099	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Support	We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District’s natural and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed.	Retain NFL-P1 as notified.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.009	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Amend	Light stocking rates benefit tussocks, heavy stocking degrades and harms the resource.	In areas of significant natural tussock, i.e. high country, discourage heavy stocking rates.
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.051	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Not Stated	Effects on landscape values need to be assessed against functional needs of critical infrastructure.	~~Avoid~~ manage adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: 1. Avoiding development and land use that results in significant adverse effects on landscape values and avoiding or appropriately mitigating other adverse effects on landscape values.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						2. Recognising the existence of working structures within the rural environment, including pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes; 3. Demonstrating how development can be visually integrated into the landscape by: (a) remedying or mitigating the impacts on the visual continuity of the Hokonui Hills Skyline and visually prominent hillslopes; (b) ensuring buildings and structures are appropriately sited, designed, finished, and if required, mitigated by appropriate plantings, to have minimal impact on the landscape values. (c) ensuring that building density and form maintains the identified landscape values. (d) the functional needs of infrastructure. 4. Avoiding activities that are incompatible with the identified values, including plantation forestry and mineral extraction, 5. Recognising and providing protection for Ngāi Tahu cultural values as they relate to natural features and landscapes.
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.002	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Amend	NFL-P1.2 notes that the ONL contains working pastoral farms. The rules in the NFL and ECO section for SNA does not consider this aspect. The s32 report for the NFL Chapter states that the NFL policies acknowledge the importance of farming and maintenance of existing activities for the district, however the rules, objectives and policies in the District Plan do not acknowledge the importance of farming to the values of the ONL and the importance of maintaining existing farming activities in the district. It makes it difficult to interpret.	Amend NFL-P1.2. as follows: 2. Recognising ~~the~~ that working pastoral farms exist within the ONL and as such contribute to existence of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.002	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Amend	NFL-P1.2 notes that the ONL contains working pastoral farms. The rules in the NFL and ECO section for SNA does not consider this aspect. The s32 report for the NFL Chapter states that the NFL policies acknowledge the importance of farming and maintenance of existing activities for the district, however the rules, objectives and policies in the District Plan do not acknowledge the importance of farming to the values of the ONL and the importance of maintaining existing farming activities in the district. It makes it difficult to interpret.	Amend NFL-P1.2. as follows: 2. Recognising ~~the~~ that working pastoral farms exist within the ONL and as such contribute to existence of working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes
Trudy Bokser	S113.017	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.	Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... 2. Recognising the benefits provided by existing ~~existence of working~~ pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;
Nathan Bokser	S116.017	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.	Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... 2. Recognising the benefits provided by existing ~~existence of working~~ pastoral farms and their

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.017	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.	Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... 2. Recognising the benefits provided by existing ~~existence of~~ working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.019	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.	Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... 2. Recognising the benefits provided by existing ~~existence of~~ working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;
Karen Temple	S19.019	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.	Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... 2. Recognising the benefits provided by existing ~~existence of~~ working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.019	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.	Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... 2. Recognising the benefits provided by existing ~~existence of~~ working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;
Helen McIntosh	S24.019	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.	Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... 2. Recognising the benefits provided by existing ~~existence of~~ working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;
John Baynes	S68.021	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.	Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... 2. Recognising the benefits provided by existing ~~existence of~~ working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.053	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.	Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... 2. Recognising the benefits provided by existing ~~existence of~~ working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;
Kevin Robertson	S115.100	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Support	We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal	Retain NFL-P1 as notified.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District's natural and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed.	
Ronald Crispin	S60.102	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Support	We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District's natural and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed.	Retain NFL-P1 as notified.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.111	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Support	We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District's natural and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed.	Retain NFL-P1 as notified.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.112	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Support	We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District's natural and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed.	Retain NFL-P1 as notified.
John Baynes	S68.220	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Support	We support the practical approach adopted by this policy recognising and providing for the existing rural activities. SFF strongly supports a planning approach that recognises this principal role that landowners fulfil as managers, stewards and funders (both financially and in terms of time and effort committed) of the District's natural and physical resources with a long term view (often intergenerational) on how these need to be managed.	Retain NFL-P1 as notified.
Greg Bokser	S117.017	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P1	Oppose	Inclusion of policies that recognise pastoral farming within ONLs but considers NFL-P1 does not go far enough to recognise the benefits of pastoral farming.	Amend NFL-P1 to read: Avoid adverse effects on the values and characteristics of identified outstanding natural features and landscapes described within Appendix 5 by: ... 2. Recognising the benefits provided by existing ~~existence of~~ working pastoral farms and their contribution to the openness and landform legibility of outstanding natural features and landscapes;

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Department of Conservation	S109.073	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P2	Support	The D-G supports this policy, in particular point 5 and 6 which are in line with the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified
Royal Forest and Bird Society of NZ Inc	S110.037	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P2	Amend	The restoration or enhancement of angling fisheries species could adversely impact indigenous fish by increasing predation by exotic species.	Change: 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems ~~and angling fisheries species~~ ; and/or
Alliance Group Limited	S121.054	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P2	Support	Alliance agrees with the restoration and enhancement measures suggested.	Retain as notified
Southland District Council	S146.051	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P2	Oppose in part	This provision is unique compared to the rest as it does not have a larger Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape clause, which may require it to be located in the NFL chapter.	Move this Policy to the Natural Character chapter and should have a specific objective for restoration and/ or enhancement to fully support the policy.
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.100	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P2	Support in part	The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species.	Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems and angling and native fisheries species;
Kevin Robertson	S115.101	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P2	Support in part	The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species.	Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems and angling and native fisheries species;
Ronald Crispin	S60.103	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P2	Support in part	The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species.	Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems and angling and native fisheries species;
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.112	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P2	Support in part	The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species.	Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems and angling and native fisheries species;
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.113	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P2	Support in part	The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species.	Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems and angling and native fisheries species;
John Baynes	S68.221	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P2	Support in part	The purpose of NFL-P2 is supported however we have some concerns with the interpretation of angling fisheries species. It is requested that this be updated to refer to native fish species.	Amend NFL-P2: Provide for and encourage the restoration and/or enhancement of the natural character of the Te Au Nui Pihapiha Kanakana Mataura Falls where: 1. Restoration and/or enhancement will achieve long-term improvement in natural character, landscape and associated Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and/or 2. It will assist in the restoration of indigenous biodiversity or ecosystems and angling and native fisheries species;
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.058	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P3	Support in part	Policy 3 of the NPSET requires that: “When considering measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse environmental effects of transmission activities, decision-makers must consider the constraints imposed on achieving	Amend Policy NFL-P3 as follows: “NFL-P3 Avoid the placement of critical infrastructure within identified outstanding natural features and landscapes, unless, having regard to the operational needs or functional needs of the activity 1. There is no reasonable alternative ~~due to the operational or functional need~~ for the

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					those measures by the technical and operational requirements of the network.” It is considered that clause 1 of the Policy, subject to limited amendments mirrors the recognition of technical and operational requirements in respect of location. However, clause 2 of the Policy fails to give similar consideration to the extent to which it is practicable for the effects of the National Grid to be avoided or mitigated. The Preamble to the NPSET acknowledges this and states that: “Technical, operational and security requirements associated with the transmission network can limit the extent to which it is feasible to avoid or mitigate all adverse environmental effects.” Transpower therefore seeks an amendment to clause 2 to reflect the limitations on the able to avoid or mitigate adverse effects and to therefore give effect to the NPSET.	location; and 2. Adverse effects on landscape values can be avoided or appropriately mitigated to the extent feasible.”
Department of Conservation	S109.074	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P3	Oppose	The D-G considers that this policy should include reference to the effects management hierarchy in line with the NPS-FM and NPS-IB and consideration of the indigenous biodiversity values. There should also be consideration given to ‘all infrastructure’ instead of just ‘critical infrastructure’.	Amend P3 with the following amendments (or words to similar effect): NFL-P3: Avoid the placement of ~~critical~~ infrastructure within identified outstanding natural features and landscapes, unless 1. There is no reasonable alternative due to the operational or functional need for the location; and 2. Adverse effects on landscape and indigenous biodiversity values can be avoided or appropriately mitigated by applying the effects management hierarchy.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.072	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P3	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the general intent of this policy because it provides for new critical infrastructure to locate in ONF and ONL where there is an operational need or functional need to do so, and no alternative locations are available.	Retain as notified
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.052	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P3	Oppose	The submitter seeks to ensure that development within areas of significant landscape value are well justified in terms of functional need.	Avoid the placement of critical infrastructure within identified outstanding natural features and landscapes, unless 1. There is ~~a no reasonable alternative due to the operational or functional need for the~~ location; and 2. Adverse effects on landscape values can be avoided or appropriately mitigated.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.059	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Support in part	Transpower generally supports Policy NFL-P4 but seeks limited amendments to clause (3) to better refer to operational needs and to delete the superfluous reference to Outstanding Natural Features or Landscapes. In addition, Transpower seeks the inclusion of reference to the benefits of the proposed activity as a relevant consideration. Such an approach is consistent with the Objective and Policy 1 of the NPSET.	Amend Policy NFL-P4 as follows: NFL-P4: (...) 3. The operational needs or functional needs ~~of for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.~~ x. The benefits of the proposed activity to the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities.”
Department of Conservation	S109.075	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	The D-G opposes this policy as it should consider indigenous biodiversity values in addition to landscape values and manage effects through the application of the effects management hierarchy in line with the NPS-IB and NPS-FM.	Amend P4 with the following amendment (or words to similar effect): When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; 1. The extent to which adverse effects on landscape and indigenous biodiversity values can be ~~managed~~ , avoided or appropriately mitigated through the application of the effects management hierarchy ; and 2. The extent to which the proposed activity recognises and provides for Ngāi Tahu cultural

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						values, rights and interests; and 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Environment Southland	S85.038	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Support in part	Identifying and protecting cultural values is an important matter of sections 6,7 & 8 of the RMA. The Gore District Plan should include a mechanism for considering effects using the Apiti Hono Tatai Hono framework (or other approaches) which is appended to the Gore DP notification documents.	There should be some acknowledgement that cultural landscapes are not mapped and this work will occur at some stage during the life of the plan. An additional policy is needed to identify that the Apiti Hono Tatai Hono framework is an approach (but not the only approach) to identifying cultural values. An additional policy will provide a way to incorporate cultural landscape values into decision making on resource consents.
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.003	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	NFL-P4 should give weight to existing activities for example normal farming. practices.	Amend NFL-P4 to add: 4. In the Hokonui Hills ONL, whether the site is a working farm and the contribution this makes to the values of the Outstanding Natural Feature.
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.018	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.	Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... 4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.101	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Support in part	Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.	Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). The presence of existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.053	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Support	The submitter seeks to ensure that development within areas of significant landscapes are well justified in terms of functional need.	None specified.
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.003	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	NFL-P4 should give weight to existing activities for example normal farming. practices.	Amend NFL-P4 to add: 4. In the Hokonui Hills ONL, whether the site is a working farm and the contribution this makes to the values of the Outstanding Natural Feature.
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.003	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	NFL-P4 should give weight to existing activities for example normal farming. practices.	Amend NFL-P4 to add: 4. In the Hokonui Hills ONL, whether the site is a working farm and the contribution this makes to the values of the Outstanding Natural Feature.
Trudy Bokser	S113.018	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.	Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... 4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.
Nathan Bokser	S116.018	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.	Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... 4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.018	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.	Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... 4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.020	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.	Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... 4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.
Karen Temple	S19.020	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.	Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... 4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.020	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.	Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... 4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.
Helen McIntosh	S24.020	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.	Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... 4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.
John Baynes	S68.022	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.	Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... 4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.054	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.	Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... 4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.
Kevin Robertson	S115.102	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Support in part	Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.	Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). The presence of existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character
Ronald Crispin	S60.104	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Support in part	Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.	Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). The presence of existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.113	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Support in part	Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.	Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). The presence of existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.114	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Support in part	Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.	Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). The presence of existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character
John Baynes	S68.222	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Support in part	Existing activities within the ONF/L should be considered when assessing resource consents.	Amend NFL-P4: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters (...). The presence of

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						existing activities within the ONF/L and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character
Greg Bokser	S117.018	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P4	Oppose	When assessing resource consents within the ONL, it is important to recognise the presence of existing activities within these areas.	Amend NFL-P4 to read: When assessing resource consents within Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, consider the following matters; ... 4. The presence of existing activities within the ONL and whether the activity is changing in intensity, scale or character.
Andrew Welsh	S79.001	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	This section is specific to farming purposes- most farm buildings need to be higher than 3m, to contain tractors, equipment etc. Working with stock is not possible in an area less than 30m2. Unnecessary to pay for consent under this provision when it is already subject to building consent. Council is trying to double dip on fees and control the operations of people's farms and livelihoods. There is no need to restrict the size of farm buildings/structures.	Section to be removed
Department of Conservation	S109.076	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Support in part	The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.	Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect): Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values including indigenous biodiversity values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.004	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	Restrictions for buildings/ structures for farming purposes are not practical. For example, sheep/ cattle yards would be too small at 30m2 in area. Only few buildings and structures would meet the permitted size limits and farm buildings are generally Zinc Alum Corrugated Iron. This rule comes at a higher cost to landowners. Overtime corrugated iron dulls. Based on the s32 comments of the area being managed effectively. It seems extreme to propose these changes.	Amend NFL-R1 as follows: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed ~~30m2~~ 300m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed ~~6m 3m~~ 5m ; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed ~~2m~~ 5m ; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than ~~5m2~~ 30m2 . 3. All non-farming buildings and structures must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%.
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.020	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.	Amend NFL-R1 to read: Activity status: PermittedWhere: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, ~~excluding residential use~~ ; (a) Does not exceed ~~500 30m2~~ 500 30m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed ~~8 3m~~ 8 3m ; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m2 3. All buildings and structures (excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.103	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Support in part	SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m 2 limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to	Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed ~~30 500m2~~ 500m2 in area; (b) Height shall not exceed ~~8 3m~~ 8 3m ; 3. All buildings and structures (excluding those for farming purposes)

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations.	
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.004	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	Restrictions for buildings/ structures for farming purposes are not practical. For example, sheep/ cattle yards would be too small at 30m2 in area. Only few buildings and structures would meet the permitted size limits and farm buildings are generally Zinc Alum Corrugated Iron. This rule comes at a higher cost to landowners. Overtime corrugated iron dulls. Based on the s32 comments of the area being managed effectively. It seems extreme to propose these changes.	Amend NFL-R1 as follows: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed ~~30m2~~ 300m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed ~~6m~~ 3m ; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed ~~2m~~ 5m ; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than ~~5m2~~ 30m2 . 3. All non-farming buildings and structures must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%.
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.004	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	Restrictions for buildings/ structures for farming purposes are not practical. For example, sheep/ cattle yards would be too small at 30m2 in area. Only few buildings and structures would meet the permitted size limits and farm buildings are generally Zinc Alum Corrugated Iron. This rule comes at a higher cost to landowners. Overtime corrugated iron dulls. Based on the s32 comments of the area being managed effectively. It seems extreme to propose these changes.	Amend NFL-R1 as follows: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed ~~30m2~~ 300m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed ~~6m~~ 3m ; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed ~~2m~~ 5m ; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than ~~5m2~~ 30m2 . 3. All non-farming buildings and structures must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%.
Trudy Bokser	S113.020	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.	Amend NFL-R1 to read: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes; ~~excluding residential use~~ ; (a) Does not exceed 500 ~~30~~ m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed 8 ~~3~~ m; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m2 3. All buildings and structures (excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%
Nathan Bokser	S116.020	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule	Amend NFL-R1 to read: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes; ~~excluding residential use~~ ; (a) Does not exceed 500 ~~30~~ m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed 8 ~~3~~ m; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.	not exceed 2m; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m2 3. All buildings and structures (excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.020	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.	Amend NFL-R1 to read: Activity status: PermittedWhere: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes; ~~excluding residential use;~~ (a) Does not exceed 500 30 m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed 8 3 m; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m2 3. All buildings and structures (excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.022	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.	Amend NFL-R1 to read: Activity status: PermittedWhere: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes; ~~excluding residential use;~~ (a) Does not exceed 500 30 m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed 8 3 m; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m2 3. All buildings and structures (excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%
Karen Temple	S19.022	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.	Amend NFL-R1 to read: Activity status: PermittedWhere: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes; ~~excluding residential use;~~ (a) Does not exceed 500 30 m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed 8 3 m; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m2 3. All buildings and structures (excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.022	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.	Amend NFL-R1 to read: Activity status: PermittedWhere: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes; ~~excluding residential use;~~ (a) Does not exceed 500 30 m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed 8 3 m; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m2 3. All buildings and structures (excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Helen McIntosh	S24.022	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.	Amend NFL-R1 to read: Activity status: PermittedWhere: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes; ~~excluding residential use;~~ (a) Does not exceed 500 30 m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed 8 3 m; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m2 3. All buildings and structures (excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%
John Baynes	S68.024	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.	Amend NFL-R1 to read: Activity status: PermittedWhere: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes; ~~excluding residential use;~~ (a) Does not exceed 500 30 m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed 8 3 m; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m2 3. All buildings and structures (excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.056	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.	Amend NFL-R1 to read: Activity status: PermittedWhere: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes; ~~excluding residential use;~~ (a) Does not exceed 500 30 m2 in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed 8 3 m; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m2 3. All buildings and structures (excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%
Katherine Welsh	S53.005	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	Most farm buildings need to be higher than 3m to contain tractors, equipment etc and larger than 30m2 to work with stock. Building consent already required, council trying to double dip on fees. No need to restrict the size of farm buildings and structures.	Provision to be removed.
Kevin Robertson	S115.104	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Support in part	SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m 2 limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations.	Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed ~~30~~ 500m2 in area; (b) Height shall not exceed 83 m; 3. All buildings and structures (excluding those for farming purposes)

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Ronald Crispin	S60.106	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Support in part	SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m ² limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations.	Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed ~~30~~ 500m² in area; (b) Height shall not exceed ~~8~~ 3 m; 3. All buildings and structures (excluding those for farming purposes)
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.115	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Support in part	SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m ² limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations.	Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed ~~30~~ 500m² in area; (b) Height shall not exceed ~~8~~ 3 m; 3. All buildings and structures (excluding those for farming purposes)
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.116	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Support in part	SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m ² limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations.	Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed ~~30~~ 500m² in area; (b) Height shall not exceed ~~8~~ 3 m; 3. All buildings and structures (excluding those for farming purposes)
John Baynes	S68.224	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Support in part	SFF supports the distinction in this rule between buildings and structures for farming purposes and non-farming purposes. There should be a greater allowance permitted for farming purposes, as contained in the draft Plan. However, the total area of 30m ² limits the size significantly and it is requested this is increased. Some of the proposed ONF/L's incorporate farmland, therefore, it is important to enable structures and buildings required to support farming activities, such as mustering huts or pump stations.	Amend NFL-R1: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes, excluding residential use; (a) Does not exceed ~~30~~ 500m² in area; (b) Height shall not exceed ~~8~~ 3 m; 3. All buildings and structures (excluding those for farming purposes)
Greg Bokser	S117.020	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R1	Oppose	The increased number of activities controlled in the Hokonui Hills ONL contradicts the comments made in the S32 report and there is seemingly no justification for the increase. The findings of the report are disputed, including the cost benefit analysis. Considers the rule framework overly restrictive and that it	Amend NFL-R1 to read: Activity status: PermittedWhere: 1. The building or structure is for farming purposes; ~~excluding residential use;~~ (a) Does not exceed 500 ~~30~~ m ² in area; and (b) Height shall not exceed ~~8~~ 3 m; 2. Buildings or structures for non-farming purposes; (a) The maximum height does not exceed 2m; and (b) The maximum gross floor area is no more than 5m ² 3. All

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					contradicts the policy framework which acknowledges the presence and benefits of pastoral farming, especially as the existing provision has not resulted in significant adverse effects.	buildings and structures (excluding fences and buildings and structures for farming purposes) must be finished in materials with a maximum reflectance value of 30%
Department of Conservation	S109.077	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Support in part	The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.	Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect): Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values including indigenous biodiversity values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.021	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Amend	Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.	Amend NFL-R2 to read:Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.104	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Support in part	This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this permitted rule. As above, it is recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity.	Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures. 2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...) Matters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Trudy Bokser	S113.021	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Amend	Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.	Amend NFL-R2 to read:Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Nathan Bokser	S116.021	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Amend	Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species	Amend NFL-R2 to read:Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.	prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.021	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Amend	Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.	Amend NFL-R2 to read:Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.023	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Amend	Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.	Amend NFL-R2 to read:Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Karen Temple	S19.023	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Amend	Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.	Amend NFL-R2 to read:Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.023	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Amend	Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.	Amend NFL-R2 to read:Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Helen McIntosh	S24.023	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Amend	Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.	Amend NFL-R2 to read:Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
John Baynes	S68.025	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Amend	Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.	Amend NFL-R2 to read:Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.057	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Amend	Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.	Amend NFL-R2 to read:Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Kevin Robertson	S115.105	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Support in part	This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this permitted rule. As above, it is recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting	Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures. 2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...) Matters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity.	
Ronald Crispin	S60.107	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Support in part	This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this permitted rule. As above, it is recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity.	Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures. 2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...) Matters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.116	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Support in part	This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this permitted rule. As above, it is recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity.	Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures. 2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...) Matters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.117	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Support in part	This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this	Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures. 2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...) Matters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					permitted rule. As above, it is recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity.	
John Baynes	S68.225	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Support in part	This rule should provide for the planting of nonindigenous trees under specific conditions such as for shelterbelts. The planting of shelter belts and horticultural planting are essential components of farming and should be a permitted activity. Shelterbelts are an important part of pastoral farming for livestock sheltering purposes, and also reduce the effects of wind erosion. It is considered that there would be no increased visual effects from planting exotic trees instead of indigenous trees. Shelterbelts are often planted in non-indigenous vegetation therefore we are requesting that provisions be provided for non-indigenous planting to be included in this permitted rule. As above, it is recommended to include a new policy which avoids planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity.	Amend NFL-R2: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures. 2. The tree planting is for the purposes of planting a shelterbelt or windbreak. (...) Matters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Greg Bokser	S117.021	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R2	Amend	Supportive of permitted status for tree planting but it is not appropriate to limit this for certain purposes as this may discourage further planting e.g. where non-indigenous species may be required for wind breaks, shelter belts etc.	Amend NFL-R2 to read:Tree planting, other than plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The tree planting is of indigenous species or is required and for restoration, conservation, or hazard prevention measures, shelter belts, wind breaks, or to address land instability or erosion. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. Any benefits provided by the proposed tree planting.
Andrew Welsh	S79.002	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	Specific to farmland: Provision points and why they are not acceptable for farming practice. 1) If drainage is required- may need to be deeper than 1m. This cannot be known prior to digger arriving- based on fall and where water is coming. Unnecessary cost to get consent. 2) May be necessary to build up the track for safety. Also need to be built up to create sediment traps. These are a great way to reduce nutrient run-off and help the environment. 3) If drain is blocked/needs replacing- 100m is not enough area and size of property should be considered. Earthworks for fencing need also be considered- would allow for only 20m of fencing per year. It appears from Section 32 4.1 that farmers are already doing a good job of caring for their land. 4) ECO-R1 allows 1.5m width of land disturbance for fencing and fencing requires the use of trackers which are wider than 1m. NFL-R5	Section to be removed

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					states that Post and wire fences are a permitted activity and therefore not restricted, but the land disturbance provision limits it so substantially 5) Returning ground 48hrs after fencing completed is too restrictive. Consider "the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels as soon as possible and within 1 month of work being completed."	
Department of Conservation	S109.078	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Support in part	The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.	Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect): Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values including indigenous biodiversity values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.005	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	This rule is overly restrictive when you look at the references in the NFL Chapter s32 report as it says that activities are effectively managed under the Operative District Plan and should not apply to normal farm fencing activities and other typical farming activities in the rural zone such as cultivation. br /br /Restrictions of a meter wide and only 100 meters in length and ground to be reinstated within 48 hours are impossible to achieve and not practical for hill country farming. I have fences that need replacing which on one side alone are over a kilometre long.br /br /Clarification is required to determine whether the references in R3 are relating to a farm post and wire fence. Fences are already controlled under NFL-R5, and this is a duplication. br /	Ideally, the whole clause should be removed especially points 3,4 and 5. As they are unachievable when looked at from a fencing perspective. Where it is needed for the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 meters in width or requiring more than 2 meters of cut or fill. 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 3m above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12-month period; and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.013	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Amend	The definition for earthworks excludes fencing, therefore the reference to fencing in NFL-R3 should be removed.	Remove reference from NFL- R3 with regards to fencing based on the earthworks definition.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.073	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this rule as it permits minor scale land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF and ONL, and provides a consenting pathway for such activities that do not comply with this Rule.	Retain as notified
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.022	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.	Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read: Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 3m above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						~~reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.105	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Support in part	SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. To ensure that	Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L (excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion) Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~12m~~ below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~13m~~ above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; and/or ~~4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job.	
Katherine Welsh	S53.001	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	1. If drainage is required- may need to be deeper than 1m. This cannot be known prior to digger arriving- based on fall and where water is coming. Unnecessary cost to get consent.br /2. May be necessary to build up the track for safety and to create sediment traps. These are a great way to reduce nutrient run-off and help the environment.br /3. If drain is blocked/needs replacing- 100m is not enough area and size of property should be considered. Earthworks for fencing need also be considered- would allow for only 20m of fencing per year. It appears from Section 32 4.1 that farmers are already doing a good job of caring for their land.br /4. Fencing requires tractors for health and safety, limiting the width to 1m is therefore impractical. ECO-R1 allows 1.5m width of land disturbance for fencing and fencing requires the use of trackers which are wider than 1m. NFL-R5 states that Post and wire fences are a permitted activity and therefore not restricted, but the land disturbance provision limits it so substantially.br /5. Returning ground 48hrs after fencing completed is too restrictive. Consider "the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels as soon as possible and within 1 month of work being completed." br /	Remove this provision.
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.005	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	This rule is overly restrictive when you look at the references in the NFL Chapter s32 report as it says that activities are effectively managed under the Operative District Plan and should not apply to normal farm fencing activities and other typical farming activities in the rural zone such as cultivation. Restrictions of a meter wide and only 100 meters in length and ground to be reinstated within 48 hours are impossible to achieve and not practical for hill country farming. I have fences that need replacing which on one side alone are over a kilometre long. Clarification is required to determine whether the references in R3 are relating to a	Ideally, the whole clause should be removed especially points 3,4 and 5. As they are unachievable when looked at from a fencing perspective. Where it is needed for the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 meters in width or requiring more than 2 meters of cut or fill. 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 3m above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12-month period; and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					farm post and wire fence. Fences are already controlled under NFL-R5, and this is a duplication.	~~5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed~~
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.013	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Amend	The definition for earthworks excludes fencing, therefore the reference to fencing in NFL-R3 should be removed.	Remove reference from NFL- R3 with regards to fencing based on the earthworks definition.
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.005	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	This rule is overly restrictive when you look at the references in the NFL Chapter s32 report as it says that activities are effectively managed under the Operative District Plan and should not apply to normal farm fencing activities and other typical farming activities in the rural zone such as cultivation. Restrictions of a meter wide and only 100 meters in length and ground to be reinstated within 48 hours are impossible to achieve and not practical for hill country farming. I have fences that need replacing which on one side alone are over a kilometre long. Clarification is required to determine whether the references in R3 are relating to a farm post and wire fence. Fences are already controlled under NFL-R5, and this is a duplication.	Ideally, the whole clause should be removed especially points 3,4 and 5. As they are unachievable when looked at from a fencing perspective. Where it is needed for the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 meters in width or requiring more than 2 meters of cut or fill. 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 3m above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12-month period; ~~and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and~~ ~~5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed~~
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.013	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Amend	The definition for earthworks excludes fencing, therefore the reference to fencing in NFL-R3 should be removed.	Remove reference from NFL- R3 with regards to fencing based on the earthworks definition.
Trudy Bokser	S113.022	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.	Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read: Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 3m above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; ~~and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and~~ ~~5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~
Nathan Bokser	S116.022	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.	Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read: Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						~~1m-3m~~ above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; ~~and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.022	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.	Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read: Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m-2m~~ below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ -height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m-3m~~ above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; ~~and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.024	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.	Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read: Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m-2m~~ below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ -height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m-3m~~ above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; ~~and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~
Karen Temple	S19.024	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.	Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read: Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m-2m~~ below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ -height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m-3m~~ above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; ~~and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not~~

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						~~exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.024	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.	Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read: Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 3m above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; ~~and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~
Helen McIntosh	S24.024	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.	Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read: Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 3m above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; ~~and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~
John Baynes	S68.026	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.	Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read: Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 3m above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; ~~and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.058	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.	Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read: Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 3m above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; ~~and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~
Kevin Robertson	S115.106	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Support in part	SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month periodSFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out	Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L (excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion) Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~12m~~ below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~13m~~ above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; and/or ~~4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month periodSFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. To ensure that farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job.	
Ronald Crispin	S60.108	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Support in part	SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month periodSFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the	Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L (excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion) Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~12m~~ below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~13m~~ above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; and/or ~~4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed~~ 4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month periodSFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. To ensure that farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job.	
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.117	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Support in part	SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month periodSFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale	Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L (excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion) Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~12m~~ below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~13m~~ above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; and/or ~~4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~ 4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month periodSFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. To ensure that farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job.	
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.118	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Support in part	SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule,	Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L (excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion) Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ±2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ±3m above the original surface of the ground;

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month periodSFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month periodSFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. To ensure that farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water	and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; and/or ~~4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed~~ 4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job.	
John Baynes	S68.226	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Support in part	SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed. Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period SFF supports the need for some controls over the scale, location, and form of earthworks within ONF/Ls. Small-scale earthworks associated with most activities likely to occur in rural areas are provided for in this rule, however we suggest the inclusion of some additional activities to ensure rural activities can occur. We also suggest that unreasonable restrictions, such as the width for land disturbance for fencing, are removed.	Amend NFL-R3: Land disturbance and Earthworks within an identified ONF/L (excluding for the laying of stockwater, drainage or other utility pipes, or stock exclusion) Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~12m~~ below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~13m~~ above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; and/or ~~4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed~~ 4. The land disturbance and earthworks are for the removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					Regional council stock exclusion requirements determine that farmers will need to carry out earthworks which may exceed the proposed 100m2 in a 12-month period. To ensure that farmers can meet these regional council requirements, land disturbance for stock exclusion (including stock water installation / upgrade to enable stock exclusion) should be excluded from this rule. Beyond stock exclusion requirements: earthworks may be required for drainage, upgrades / repairs to stock water schemes. There should not be an annual limit to this work as it is not practical to stop the work once 100m of drain / pipe has been laid. If drains / water pipe are being laid, they need to be laid to the extent of that required to complete the job.	
Greg Bokser	S117.022	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R3	Oppose	S32 report states the operative plan controls were effective at managing activities within ONLs. As such, additional controls in the PGDP are not supported and should align more with the controls that exist currently.	Amend Rule NFL-R3 to read: Land disturbance and earthworks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: X. Required for cultivation, fencing or pest plant management; or X. Associated with the construction of any road or track no greater than 7 metres in width or requiring more than 2 metres of cut or fill for a total distance greater than 100 metres; or X. The earthworks do not exceed 100 linear metres in any direction; and 1. The depth of the earthworks shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 2m below the original surface of the ground; and 2. the ~~depth~~ height of fill or stockpiles shall not exceed ~~1m~~ 3m above the original surface of the ground; and 3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 100m2 in any 12 month period; ~~and 4. the width of land disturbance for fencing shall not exceed 1m; and 5. the ground for fencing related land disturbance is reinstated to original levels within 48 hours of work being completed.~~
Andrew Welsh	S79.003	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Machinery and equipment are getting bigger- important that safety comes first and if tracks, lanes, etc need to be widened for machinery, then this should be a permitted activity. World is currently looking for ways to reduce net carbon emissions- this may be through forestry, which in time will need to be harvested and replanted. Infrastructure must be able to accommodate the necessary equipment. Remove the limit to the scale of critical infrastructure.	Maintenance of critical infrastructure to be a permitted activity even if it does increase the scale of the critical infrastructure
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S101.060	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	In Transpower’s view there are opportunities to set out the provisions more clearly by relocating Rule NFL-R4 to the Infrastructure Sub-Chapter and including further direction in the Note.	Delete Rule NFL-R4 As a consequence, amend Note 1. (Amendments listed under NFL- general)
Department of Conservation	S109.079	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Support in part	The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.	Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect): Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values including indigenous biodiversity values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.074	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this rule as it permits maintenance of critical infrastructure, such as the state highway network, within an ONL and ONF, and provides a consenting pathway for those situations where maintenance works would increase the scale of the critical infrastructure.	Retain as notified
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.023	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.	Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:Maintenance repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences) , critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The scale of the activity ~~critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail~~ is not increased.Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.106	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Support in part	The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted.	Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences) critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L.
Katherine Welsh	S53.002	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Machinery and equipment are getting bigger- important that safety comes first and if tracks, lanes, etc need to be widened for machinery, then this should be a permitted activity. World is currently looking for ways to reduce net carbon emissions- this may be through forestry, which in time will need to be harvested and replanted. Infrastructure must be able to accommodate the necessary equipment. Remove the limit to the scale of critical infrastructure.	Maintenance of critical infrastructure to be a permitted activity even if it does increase the scale of the critical infrastructure
Mercury NZ Limited	S130.054	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Support in part	Scope of critical infrastructure needs to encompass all critical infrastructure. The submitter aims to ensure that a permissive framework for critical infrastructure is not unintentionally impacted by rule wording. The justification for including a farm track is not clear unless it is serving critical infrastructure like a wind farm site or other lifeline utilities.	Activity status: Permitted Where: Maintenance of critical infrastructure, including existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails within an identified ONF/L 1. The scale of the critical infrastructure, or road, farm or trail is not increased.
Trudy Bokser	S113.023	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.	Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:Maintenance repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences) , critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The scale of the activity ~~critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail~~ is not increased.Activity status where compliance is not achieved:

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Nathan Bokser	S116.023	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.	Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:Maintenance repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences), critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The scale of the ~~activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail~~ is not increased.Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.023	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.	Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:Maintenance repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences), critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The scale of the ~~activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail~~ is not increased.Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.025	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.	Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:Maintenance repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences), critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The scale of the ~~activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail~~ is not increased.Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Karen Temple	S19.025	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.	Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:Maintenance repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences) , critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The scale of the activity ~~critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail~~ is not increased.Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.025	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.	Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:Maintenance repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences) , critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The scale of the activity ~~critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail~~ is not increased.Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Helen McIntosh	S24.025	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.	Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:Maintenance repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences) , critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The scale of the activity ~~critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail~~ is not increased.Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
John Baynes	S68.027	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.	Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:Maintenance repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences) , critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The scale of the

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						~~activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail~~ is not increased.Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.059	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.	Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:Maintenance repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences) , critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The scale of the ~~activity critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail~~ is not increased.Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Kevin Robertson	S115.107	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Support in part	The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted.	Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences) critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L.
Ronald Crispin	S60.109	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Support in part	The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted.	Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences) critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.118	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Support in part	The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted.	Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences) critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.119	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Support in part	The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted.	Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences) critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L.
John Baynes	S68.227	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Support in part	The proposed permitted rule provides for the ongoing maintenance of existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycle trails within an ONF/L, however amendments are proposed to provide greater clarity on what is permitted.	Amend NFL-R4: NFL-R4 Maintenance, repair, replace or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding farm fences) critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L.
Greg Bokser	S117.023	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R4	Oppose	Supportive of permitted activity status that allows earthworks to maintain existing facilities	Amend Rule NFL-R4 to read:Maintenance repair, replacement or upgrade of buildings and structures (excluding fences) , critical infrastructure, existing roads, farm tracks, walking and

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					within an ONL, however considers the scope of NFL-R4 to be too narrow.	cycling trails, waterway crossings, or improved pasture within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The scale of the activity ~~critical infrastructure, road, farm or trail~~ is not increased.Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5 resulting from an increase in the scale of the activity. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3. 3. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape.
Department of Conservation	S109.080	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Support in part	The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.	Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect): Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values including indigenous biodiversity values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.006	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Farm fencing is required for health and safety and stock management. Unless native bush is being removed, normal farm fencing including earthworks and land disturbance (if required) should be permitted.	Amend as follows: Fences within an identified ONF/LActivity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to mark property boundaries. ~~a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.024	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted.br /Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.	Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where:1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries. ~~a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rulesActivity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.107	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Support in part	Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on farmers’ ability to carry out day-to-to farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included We have proposed a new definition for ‘farm fences’ and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose.	Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence ~~Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules.~~ Activity Status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted discretionaryMatters of discretion:1. Effects on the values listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW – 2.3.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.006	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Farm fencing is required for health and safety and stock management. Unless native bush is being removed, normal farm fencing including earthworks and land disturbance (if required) should be permitted.	Amend as follows: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to mark property boundaries ~~.a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.006	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Farm fencing is required for health and safety and stock management. Unless native bush is being removed, normal farm fencing including earthworks and land disturbance (if required) should be permitted.	Amend as follows: Fences within an identified ONF/LActivity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to mark property boundaries . ~~a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules
Trudy Bokser	S113.024	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.	Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where:1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries . ~~a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rulesActivity status where compliance is not achieved: ~~Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.~~
Nathan Bokser	S116.024	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.	Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where:1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries . ~~a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rulesActivity status where compliance is not achieved: ~~Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.~~
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.024	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal	Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where:1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries . ~~a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan section	Plan provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.	river setback rules ~~Activity status where compliance is not achieved:~~ ~~Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.~~ ~~2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.~~
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.026	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.	Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where:1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries. ~~a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules ~~Activity status where compliance is not achieved:~~ ~~Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.~~ ~~2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.~~
Karen Temple	S19.026	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.	Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where:1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries. ~~a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules ~~Activity status where compliance is not achieved:~~ ~~Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.~~ ~~2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.~~
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.026	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.	Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where:1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries. ~~a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules ~~Activity status where compliance is not achieved:~~ ~~Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.~~ ~~2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.~~
Helen McIntosh	S24.026	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.	Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries. ~~a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules ~~Activity status where compliance is not achieved:~~ ~~Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.~~ ~~2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.~~
John Baynes	S68.028	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and	Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property

					safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.	~~boundaries. a post and wire fence;~~ Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rulesActivity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.
Peters Farm Ltd Karen Peters	S127.060	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.	Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries. a post and wire fence; Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rulesActivity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW2.3.
Kevin Robertson	S115.108	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Support in part	Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on farmers' ability to carry out day-to-to farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fences' and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose.	Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence ~~Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules.~~ Activity Status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted discretionaryMatters of discretion:1. Effects on the values listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW— 2.3.
Ronald Crispin	S60.110	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Support in part	Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on farmers' ability to carry out day-to-to farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fences' and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose.	Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence ~~Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules.~~ Activity Status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted discretionaryMatters of discretion:1. Effects on the values listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW— 2.3.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.119	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Support in part	Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such	Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence ~~Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules.~~ Activity Status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted discretionaryMatters of discretion:1. Effects on the values listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including

					as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on farmers' ability to carry out day-to-to farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fences' and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose.	~~those listed in MW – 2.3.~~
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.120	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Support in part	Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on farmers' ability to carry out day-to-to farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fences' and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose.	Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence ~~Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules.~~ Activity Status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted discretionaryMatters of discretion:1. Effects on the values listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including ~~those listed in MW – 2.3.~~
John Baynes	S68.228	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Support in part	Fencing is important for keeping out stock or pests from native bush and waterways, therefore provides a positive effect. SFF is unsure why the Council would limit the fencing type to only post and wire as permitted. Other types of fencing, such as post and rail or waratah fencing, require a resource consent as currently drafted. It is our view that farmers should not have to apply for a resource consent where fencing is for the purposes of keeping out stock or pests. Such a requirement will impact on farmers' ability to carry out day-to-to farming operations. We have made earlier comments on the definition of fencing, to ensure that all types of fences are included We have proposed a new definition for 'farm fences' and it is crucial this is adopted to ensure NFL-R5 achieves the intended purpose.	Amend NFL-R5: Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is a farm fence ~~Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rules.~~ Activity Status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted discretionaryMatters of discretion:1. Effects on the values listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including ~~those listed in MW – 2.3.~~
Greg Bokser	S117.024	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R5	Oppose	Supportive of the inclusion of a permitted activity status for fences within the ONL, but questions why only post and wire fences are permitted. Fences are not visually dominant structures and are required for good animal husbandry, health and safety and stock management and are overly restricted within ONLs.	Amend Rule NFL-R5 to read: Fences within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. The fence is required for the exclusion or control of stock or to delineate property boundaries. a post and wire fence; Note: fences are a structure and therefore subject to the river setback rulesActivity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5.2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values,

						including those listed in MW2.3.
Andrew Welsh	S79.004	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose in part	Seems now that councils are so tied up with protecting indigenous vegetation that they are allowing gorse to take over. Protecting indigenous vegetation is important, I believe in order to control gorse in the region a small amount of collateral should be allowed. Gorse will grow faster and smother out the native plant eventually. Also there are some indigenous plants which if they take over can cause harm to people and animals. Farmers should have the right to maintain these plants to ensure the safety of their staff and their stock. Please ensure control of noxious weeds is still a permitted activity.	Allowance for control of gorse, broom and noxious weeds, irrespective of whether there are indigenous plants nearby.
Department of Conservation	S109.081	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Support in part	The D-G considers that amendments are necessary to consider indigenous biodiversity values as part of the matters of discretion.	Amend all of the matters of discretion within all of the rules with the following amendment (or words to similar effect): Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values including indigenous biodiversity values as listed in NFL-APP Appendix 5.
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.007	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Fences are required in many situations. Not only in relation to national regulations or regional rules relating to stock exclusion. This rule duplicates rule ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion.	Delete rule completely.
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.008	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	New farm tracks are required for a variety of reasons, for example health and safety and the protection of vulnerable areas. Applying for resource consent as a Restricted Discretionary activity is likely to be time consuming and impractical in situations where there is urgent fixing required.	Amend NFL-R6 as follows: New Roads, ~~farm tracks~~ , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L . All zones Activity status: Restricted Discretionary New farm tracks within the Rural Zone. Activity Status: Permitted
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.071	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Not Stated	We note that two rules, relating to "Indigenous Vegetation Clearance within an identified ONF/L" and "New Roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L" respectively have been erroneously given the rule reference 'NFL-R6'	Correct the rule numbering as noted and make any consequential changes to other provisions as necessary to ensure the correct rule is referenced within them.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.075	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Support in part	In respect to rule NFL-R6 titled "New Roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L", Waka Kotahi support this rule in part as it provides for the construction of new roads and walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF and ONL. However, for clarity and consistency with the activities listed in NFL-R4, we recommend that the term 'critical infrastructure' should also be added to the rule heading. This would give more certainty that state highways and other critical infrastructure are provided for in ONF and ONL.	Amend rule heading as follows, or wording of the same intent: New critical infrastructure , New Roads, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L
Nigel Cowburn	S152.009	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Support in part	This represents major canopy loss and attendant effects on catchment hydrology and visibility changes	Requests provision for removal of trees with a large DBH of 200mm non-complying, unless covered by NFL R6.2
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.025	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.	Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.

Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.027	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks greater than 7 metres in width , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. ~~3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.~~ 4. Mitigation measures proposed. 5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.108	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.	Delete NFL-R6
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.109	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted.	Amend NFL-R67: New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Restricted Discretionary~~ Permitted Matters of discretion: ~~1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.~~
Katherine Welsh	S53.003	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose in part	Protection of indigenous vegetation is importation. However small amounts of collateral should be allowed to control gorse, gorse will grow faster and smother native plants eventually. Some indigenous plants can cause harm to people and animals if they take over. Farmers shall have the right to maintain these plants to ensure the safety of their staff and stock.	Requests caution with provision, allow control of gorse, broom and noxious weeds, irrespective of whether there are indigenous plants nearby and ensure that control of noxious weeds are still a permitted activity.
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.007	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Fences are required in many situations. Not only in relation to national regulations or regional rules relating to stock exclusion. This rule duplicates rule ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion.	Delete rule completely.
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.008	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	New farm tracks are required for a variety of reasons, for example health and safety and the protection of vulnerable areas. Applying for resource consent as a Restricted Discretionary activity is likely to be time consuming and impractical in situations where there is urgent fixing required.	Amend NFL-R6 as follows: New Roads, ~~farm tracks~~ , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L . All zones Activity status: Restricted Discretionary New farm tracks within the Rural Zone. Activity Status: Permitted
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.007	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Fences are required in many situations. Not only in relation to national regulations or regional rules relating to stock exclusion. This rule duplicates rule ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion.	Delete rule completely.
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.008	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	New farm tracks are required for a variety of reasons, for example health and safety and the protection of vulnerable areas. Applying for resource consent as a Restricted Discretionary activity is likely to be time consuming and impractical in situations where there is urgent fixing required.	Amend NFL-R6 as follows: New Roads, ~~farm tracks~~ , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L . All zones Activity status: Restricted Discretionary New farm tracks within the Rural Zone. Activity Status: Permitted

Trudy Bokser	S113.025	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.	Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.
Trudy Bokser	S113.027	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks greater than 7 metres in width , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. ~~3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.~~ 4. Mitigation measures proposed. 5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity
Nathan Bokser	S116.025	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.	Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.
Nathan Bokser	S116.027	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks greater than 7 metres in width , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. ~~3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.~~ 4. Mitigation measures proposed. 5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.025	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.	Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.027	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks greater than 7 metres in width , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. ~~3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.~~ 4. Mitigation measures proposed. 5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.027	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.	Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.029	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks greater than 7 metres in width , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. ~~3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.~~ 4. Mitigation measures proposed. 5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity
Karen Temple	S19.027	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.	Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.

Karen Temple	S19.029	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks greater than 7 metres in width , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. ~~3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.~~ 4. Mitigation measures proposed. 5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.027	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.	Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.029	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks greater than 7 metres in width , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. ~~3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.~~ 4. Mitigation measures proposed. 5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity
Helen McIntosh	S24.027	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.	Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.
Helen McIntosh	S24.029	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks greater than 7 metres in width , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. ~~3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.~~ 4. Mitigation measures proposed. 5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity
John Baynes	S68.029	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.	Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.
John Baynes	S68.031	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks greater than 7 metres in width , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. ~~3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.~~ 4. Mitigation measures proposed. 5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.061	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.	Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.063	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any	Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks greater than 7 metres in width , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5.

					new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. ~~3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.~~ 4. Mitigation measures proposed. 5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity
Kevin Robertson	S115.109	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.	Delete NFL-R6
Kevin Robertson	S115.110	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted.	Amend NFL-R6 7 : New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Restricted Discretionary~~ Permitted ~~Matters of discretion:~~ ~~1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.~~
Ronald Crispin	S60.111	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.	Delete NFL-R6
Ronald Crispin	S60.112	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted.	Amend NFL-R6 7 : New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Restricted Discretionary~~ Permitted ~~Matters of discretion:~~ ~~1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.~~
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.120	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.	Delete NFL-R6
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.121	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted.	Amend NFL-R6 7 : New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Restricted Discretionary~~ Permitted ~~Matters of discretion:~~ ~~1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.~~
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.121	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.	Delete NFL-R6
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.122	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a	Amend NFL-R6 7 : New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Restricted Discretionary~~ Permitted ~~Matters of discretion:~~ ~~1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.~~

					restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted.	
John Baynes	S68.229	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF request this rule is deleted as it duplicates ECO-R1.	Delete NFL-R6
John Baynes	S68.230	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	SFF assume this rule should be NFL-R7. However, if NFL-R6 (above) is deleted then this rule will remain NFL-R6. It is important for farmers to be able to develop/erect farm fences, new roads/farm tracks when needed. Such reasons could include supporting the safe transfer of animals, changes to landscape caused by extreme weather and health and safety. We do not agree with this being a restricted discretionary activity and request this is changed to permitted.	Amend NFL-R67: New Roads, farm fences, farm tracks, walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Restricted Discretionary~~ Permitted Matters of discretion: ~~1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW 2.3. 3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 4. Mitigation measures proposed.~~
Greg Bokser	S117.025	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	NFL-R6 duplicates ECO-R1(1) and creates confusion as to which standard applies.	Delete Rule NFL-R6 in its entirety.
Greg Bokser	S117.027	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R6	Oppose	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Amend Rule NFL-R6 to read: New Roads, farm tracks greater than 7 metres in width , walking and cycling tracks within an identified ONF/L Activity status: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of Discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. Impacts on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3. ~~3. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives.~~ 4. Mitigation measures proposed. 5. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 6. The benefits of the proposed activity
Department of Conservation	S109.082	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Support	The D-G supports the non complying status for mining, quarrying and plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L.	Retain as notified
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.009	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	The difference between the extraction for commercial use or use outside the farm as opposed to on farm use (which should be allowed in any farming situation) needs to be stated in the rule. The definition of farm quarrying in the interpretation section needs to be allowed under an ONL as well.	Amend NFL- R7 as follows: Mining and quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Activity Status: Non complying.
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.028	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Amend NFL-R7 as follows:NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.110	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values.	Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Non-complying Discretionary~~ Activity status where compliance is not achieved: ~~N/A~~
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.009	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	The difference between the extraction for commercial use or use outside the farm as opposed to on farm use (which should be allowed in any farming situation) needs to be stated in the rule. The definition of farm quarrying in the interpretation section needs to be allowed under an ONL as well.	Amend NFL- R7 as follows: Mining and quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Activity Status: Non complying.

Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.009	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	The difference between the extraction for commercial use or use outside the farm as opposed to on farm use (which should be allowed in any farming situation) needs to be stated in the rule. The definition of farm quarrying in the interpretation section needs to be allowed under an ONL as well.	Amend NFL- R7 as follows: Mining and quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Activity Status: Non complying.
Trudy Bokser	S113.028	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Amend NFL-R7 as follows:NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying
Nathan Bokser	S116.028	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Amend NFL-R7 as follows:NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.028	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Amend NFL-R7 as follows:NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.030	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Amend NFL-R7 as follows:NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying
Karen Temple	S19.030	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Amend NFL-R7 as follows:NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.030	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Amend NFL-R7 as follows:NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying
Helen McIntosh	S24.030	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Amend NFL-R7 as follows:NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying
John Baynes	S68.032	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Amend NFL-R7 as follows:NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.064	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Amend NFL-R7 as follows:NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying
Kevin Robertson	S115.111	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values.	Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Non-complying~~ Discretionary Activity status where compliance is not achieved: ~~N/A~~
Ronald Crispin	S60.113	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values.	Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Non-complying~~ Discretionary Activity status where compliance is not achieved: ~~N/A~~

Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.122	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values.	Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Non-complying~~ Discretionary Activity status where compliance is not achieved: N/A
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.123	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values.	Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Non-complying~~ Discretionary Activity status where compliance is not achieved: N/A
John Baynes	S68.231	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	Mineral extraction activities, other than farm quarries, should be a discretionary activity except in specific ONLs where mineral extraction is identified as not compatible with maintaining outstanding landscape values.	Change activity status: Mining and Quarrying within an identified ONF/L Activity status: ~~Non-complying~~ Discretionary Activity status where compliance is not achieved: N/A
Greg Bokser	S117.028	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R7	Oppose	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Amend NFL-R7 as follows:NFL-R7 Mining and Quarrying (excluding farm quarries) within an identified ONF/L Non-Complying
Andrew Welsh	S79.005	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R8	Oppose	Those who already have forestry in place need the right to replant it after harvest. Those who already had a rolling plantation plan in place should not be forced to stop by the council. The prohibition of forestry planting will negatively affect land prices.	Section removed
Department of Conservation	S109.083	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R8	Support	The D-G supports the non complying status for mining, quarrying and plantation forestry within an identified ONF/L.	Retain as notified
Environment Southland	S85.039	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R8	Support		Retain non-complying status for plantation forestry.
Katherine Welsh	S53.004	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R8	Oppose	Those with forestry planted, need the right to replant it after harvest. The prohibition of forestry planting will negatively affect land prices.	Section to removed.
Environment Southland	S85.040	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Support	Meeting treaty obligations. It will enable mana whenua to identify and protect these important areas.	Establish an objective and policy about the process for mana whenua to identify areas of cultural significance through narrative methodologies (such as (but not limited to) Apiti Hono Tatai Hono).
Southland District Council	S146.052	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Support in part	Appendix 5 identifies the Mataura River and its river margins and an active flood plain as a High Natural Character Feature. If these are the values of the Mataura River, then provisions related to this area within the Gore District should be addressed in a Natural Character section.	Provisions related to this Mataura River area within the Gore District should be addressed in a Natural Character section.
Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.026	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.

Stoney Creek Station Limited	S3.029	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted
Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S126.102	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Support	A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F.	If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.
McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	S104.036	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	I support this list of exemptions under the earthworks section however it needs to be included in the NFL section as a permitted activity.	Include the eartworks exemptions as a permitted activity rule in the Natural Features and Landscapes (ONL) along with farm fencing (as previously mentioned, proposed rule NFL-R5)
Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	S105.036	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	I support this list of exemptions under the earthworks section however it needs to be included in the NFL section as a permitted activity.	Include the eartworks exemptions as a permitted activity rule in the Natural Features and Landscapes (ONL) along with farm fencing (as previously mentioned, proposed rule NFL-R5)
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.036	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	I support this list of exemptions under the earthworks section however it needs to be included in the NFL section as a permitted activity.	Include the eartworks exemptions as a permitted activity rule in the Natural Features and Landscapes (ONL) along with farm fencing (as previously mentioned, proposed rule NFL-R5)
Trudy Bokser	S113.026	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Not Stated	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.
Trudy Bokser	S113.029	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted
Nathan Bokser	S116.026	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.

Nathan Bokser	S116.029	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.026	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.
AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	S118.029	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.028	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.
Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	S18.031	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted
Karen Temple	S19.028	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.

Karen Temple	S19.031	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.028	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.
Sarah and Peter Barclay	S20.031	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted
Helen McIntosh	S24.028	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.
Helen McIntosh	S24.031	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted
John Baynes	S68.030	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.

John Baynes	S68.033	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.062	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.
Peters Farm LtdKaren Peters	S127.065	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted
Kevin Robertson	S115.103	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Support	A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F.	If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.
Ronald Crispin	S60.105	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Support	A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F.	If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.
Rural Ratepayers Group	S143.114	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Support	A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F.	If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.
Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	S148.115	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Support	A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F.	If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.
John Baynes	S68.223	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Support	A new policy could be included to ensure that exotic plants do not adversely affect the ONL/F.	If the amendments to NFL-R2 are accepted then a new policy is recommended: Avoid planting exotic tree species that would affect indigenous biodiversity values and/or are prone to wilding spread in hill and high country area.
Greg Bokser	S117.026	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	Farm tracks are essential to pastoral farming and new tracks are sometimes required to avoid sensitive environments. Requiring consent for any new farm track is too restrictive to farming operations.	Add a new rule NFL-R6A: New farm tracks within an identified ONF/L. Activity status: Permitted. Where: 1. Any new farm track is no greater than 7 metres in width. 2. Unretained cut and fill batters shall not:(a) be steeper than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical; (b) be constructed using moisture content susceptible soils; (c) have a bench with a width of more than 1.8m. 3. Excess material from the construction of the farm track is reinstated to match the natural contour of the surrounding land. Activity status where compliance is not achieved:Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion: 1. Effects on the values as listed within NFL-APP Appendix 5. 2. The necessity of what is proposed and any alternatives. 3. Mitigation measures proposed. 4. The operational or functional need for the activity to

						be undertaken within an Outstanding Natural Feature or Landscape 5. The benefits of the proposed activity.
Greg Bokser	S117.029	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-Additional provisions sought	Amend	NFL-R7 does not distinguish between extraction of material for use outside of the property from where it was taken and farm quarries as defined in the PGDP.	Insert a new rule NFL-R7A: NFL-R7A Farm quarries that comply with GRUZ-R3. Activity status: Permitted