

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
S103.031	McNabb Management Ltd	Whole Plan	Support in part	I support all provisions in the proposed plan as notified except for the amendments requested to the above provisions	Support in part except requested amendments	Accept in part	Pg. 17, para. 63; Pg. 24, para. 101; Pg. 26, para. 115; Pg. 29, para. 132; Pg. 32, para. 148; Pg. 35, para. 167.
S101.103	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Whole Plan	Support in part	The specific provisions of the proposal that transpowers submission relates to are: The PDP in its entirety insofar as it relates to the National Grid, and particularly the extent to which the provisions of the PDP give effect to the NPS on Electricity Transmission 2008. The specific details of Transpowers submission, and decisions sought in relation to the PDP are set out in Appendix A of the submission.	Support in part the PDP as it relates to the National Grid	Accept in part	Pg. 17, para. 63
S139.093	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	Whole Plan	Support in part	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc supports all the provisions in the	Retain provisions as notified, except for those	Accept in part	Pg. 17, para. 63;

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				proposed plan as notified except for the amendments requested to the stated provisions, as set out in the submissions table and schedule and form part of the submission.	which have been submitted against as part of Hokonui Runanga's submission		Pg. 26, para. 115; Pg. 29, para. 132; Pg. 32, para. 148; Pg. 35, para. 167
S136.001	Jenny Campbell	Whole plan	Not stated	No reasoning provided	All environmental decisions made in consultation with Tangata Whenua	Accept	Pg. 17, para. 63
S126.175	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Whole plan	Not stated	The Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori (SASM) chapter employs an approach whereby the entire District is considered a 'site.' The s 32 report refers to a trigger approach, with resource consent applications acting as 'triggers' for the Council to consider the impact on Ngāi Tahu cultural values. SFF acknowledges the Māori view of guardianship over land, areas of interest and landscapes. However, the rights of landowners are paramount and must be prioritised. The plan's approach to requiring	We have assessed the Plan and identified that there are 91 rules or standards which include a matter of discretion and/or control as assessment against Ngāi Tahu cultural values (Appendix 1). We assume that the Council considers all of these 91 rules/standards as 'high risk' and therefore requiring assessment against cultural values. In this respect, we feel that the s 32 report has not accurately captured the	Reject	Pg. 14-17, para. 51-62

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				assessment against cultural values for consents for normal farming operations will only drive conflict as it regulates and restricts even the most minor activities. See page 3/4 and Appendix A for complete submission	councils intent to not hinder farming operations by triggering cultural assessment for day-to-day primary production activities		
FS20.021	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc.	Whole plan	Oppose S126.175	The submitters reasoning the private property rights are paramount is erroneous in law; and will not achieve the purpose of the RMA or give effect to the Southland Regional Policy Statement	Disallow S126.175	Accept	Pg. 16, para. 61
S115.176	Kevin Robertson	Whole plan	Not stated	The Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori (SASM) chapter employs an approach whereby the entire District is considered a ‘site.’ The s 32 report refers to a trigger approach, with resource consent applications acting as ‘triggers’ for the Council to consider the impact on Ngāi Tahu cultural values. SFF acknowledges the Māori view of guardianship over	We have assessed the Plan and identified that there are 91 rules or standards which include a matter of discretion and/or control as assessment against Ngāi Tahu cultural values (Appendix 1). We assume that the Council considers all of these 91 rules/standards as ‘high risk’ and therefore	Reject	Pg. 14-17, para. 51-62

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				land, areas of interest and landscapes. However, the rights of landowners are paramount and must be prioritised. The plan’s approach to requiring assessment against cultural values for consents for normal farming operations will only drive conflict as it regulates and restricts even the most minor activities. See page 3/4 and Appendix A for complete submission	requiring assessment against cultural values. In this respect, we feel that the s 32 report has not accurately captured the councils intent to not hinder farming operations by triggering cultural assessment for day-to-day primary production activities		
S60.178	Ronald Crispin	Whole plan	Not stated	The Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori (SASM) chapter employs an approach whereby the entire District is considered a ‘site.’The s 32 report refers to a trigger approach, with resource consent applications acting as ‘triggers’ for the Council to consider the impact on Ngāi Tahu cultural values. SFF acknowledges the Māori view of guardianship over land, areas of interest and landscapes. However, the rights	We have assessed the Plan and identified that there are 91 rules or standards which include a matter of discretion and/or control as assessment against Ngāi Tahu cultural values (Appendix 1). We assume that the Council considers all of these 91 rules/standards as ‘high risk’ and therefore requiring assessment against cultural values. In	Reject	Pg. 14-17, para. 51-62

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				of landowners are paramount and must be prioritised. The plan’s approach to requiring assessment against cultural values for consents for normal farming operations will only drive conflict as it regulates and restricts even the most minor activities. See page 3/4 and Appendix A for complete submission	this respect, we feel that the s 32 report has not accurately captured the councils intent to not hinder farming operations by triggering cultural assessment for day-to-day primary production activities		
S143.187	Rural Ratepayers Group	Whole plan	Not stated	The Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori (SASM) chapter employs an approach whereby the entire District is considered a ‘site.’ The s 32 report refers to a trigger approach, with resource consent applications acting as ‘triggers’ for the Council to consider the impact on Ngāi Tahu cultural values. SFF acknowledges the Māori view of guardianship over land, areas of interest and landscapes. However, the rights of landowners are paramount and must be prioritised. The	We have assessed the Plan and identified that there are 91 rules or standards which include a matter of discretion and/or control as assessment against Ngāi Tahu cultural values (Appendix 1). We assume that the Council considers all of these 91 rules/standards as ‘high risk’ and therefore requiring assessment against cultural values. In this respect, we feel that the s 32 report has not	Reject	Pg. 14-17, para. 51-62

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				plan’s approach to requiring assessment against cultural values for consents for normal farming operations will only drive conflict as it regulates and restricts even the most minor activities. See page 3/4 and Appendix A for complete submission	accurately captured the councils intent to not hinder farming operations by triggering cultural assessment for day-to-day primary production activities		
S148.188	Alistair & Burnadette Hunt	Whole plan	Not stated	The Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori (SASM) chapter employs an approach whereby the entire District is considered a ‘site.’ The s 32 report refers to a trigger approach, with resource consent applications acting as ‘triggers’ for the Council to consider the impact on Ngāi Tahu cultural values. SFF acknowledges the Māori view of guardianship over land, areas of interest and landscapes. However, the rights of landowners are paramount and must be prioritised. The plan’s approach to requiring assessment against cultural	We have assessed the Plan and identified that there are 91 rules or standards which include a matter of discretion and/or control as assessment against Ngāi Tahu cultural values (Appendix 1). We assume that the Council considers all of these 91 rules/standards as ‘high risk’ and therefore requiring assessment against cultural values. In this respect, we feel that the s 32 report has not accurately captured the councils intent to not	Reject	Pg. 14-17, para. 51-62

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				values for consents for normal farming operations will only drive conflict as it regulates and restricts even the most minor activities. See page 3/4 and Appendix A for complete submission	hinder farming operations by triggering cultural assessment for day-to-day primary production activities		
S68.296	John Baynes	Whole plan	Not stated	The Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori (SASM) chapter employs an approach whereby the entire District is considered a ‘site.’ The s 32 report refers to a trigger approach, with resource consent applications acting as ‘triggers’ for the Council to consider the impact on Ngāi Tahu cultural values. SFF acknowledges the Māori view of guardianship over land, areas of interest and landscapes. However, the rights of landowners are paramount and must be prioritised. The plan’s approach to requiring assessment against cultural values for consents for normal farming operations will only drive	We have assessed the Plan and identified that there are 91 rules or standards which include a matter of discretion and/or control as assessment against Ngāi Tahu cultural values (Appendix 1). We assume that the Council considers all of these 91 rules/standards as ‘high risk’ and therefore requiring assessment against cultural values. In this respect, we feel that the s 32 report has not accurately captured the councils intent to not hinder farming operations by triggering cultural	Reject	Pg. 14-17, para. 51-62

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				conflict as it regulates and restricts even the most minor activities. See page 3/4 and Appendix A for complete submission	assessment for day-to-day primary production activities		
S148.003	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	SASM - General	Oppose	Does not support the entire district being SASM. This creates enormous uncertainty and bureaucracy. Landowners need to be able to make plans for development without the risk of being surprised at the consenting stage.	SASM to be identified and public knowledge before restrictions are applied to them.	Reject	Pg. 19-24, para. 80-98
FS17.003	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM - General	Support S148.003	Federated Farmers supports the submitter’s requests as this aligns with our submission points	Allow S148.003	Reject	Pg. 19-24, para. 80-98
FS30.002	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S148.003	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant	Allow S148.003	Reject	Pg. 19-24, para. 80-98

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
FS25.001	Stoney Creek Station Ltd	SASM - General	Support S148.003	Agrees with this submission that the SASM chapter as drafted creates uncertainty for landowners	Allow S148.003	Reject	Pg. 19-24, para. 80-98
S109.046	Department of Conservation	SASM - General	Support	The D-G supports the provisions provided in the plan to recognise the relationship of Māori with the natural environment and the practicing of Māori cultural activities and application of these objectives	Retain as notified	Support in part	Pg. 24, para. 101

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				and policies throughout the district.			
S59.003	Adrienne Crispin	SASM - General	Oppose	Does not agree with Councils approach that areas of significance to Māori be incorporated as it only causes division.	SASM should not be included in the plan	Reject	Pg. 19, para. 79
S60.003	Ronald Crispin	SASM - General	Oppose	Does not agree with inclusion of Areas of Significance to Māori in the plans. Concerned that it will cause more division.	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori should not be included in the plan.	Reject	Pg. 19, para. 79
S104.029	McLeod-Wantwood Trust/Wantwood Station	SASM - General	Amend	Creates uncertainty for plan users by classifying the entire district as SASM, instead of identifying specific sites and areas. The s32 report mentions resource consent triggers for high-risk activities, but these are not specified. All activities regardless of their risk level will, therefore, require an assessment of cultural effects.	Remove uncertainty for plan users by clarifying why the entire district has been identified as a SASM. Provide a list of high-risk activities for which an assessment of cultural effects is required.	Accept in part	Pg. 19-24, para 80-98
FS20.030	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	SASM - General	Support S104.029 in part	Hokonui Runanga Inc do not adhere to the concept of identifying specific sites as culturally significant; all the	Allow S104.029 in part	Accept in part	Pg. 21-22, para. 88-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				whenua and wai of its takiwā is important to them. However, we agree, the Gore District Plan should include a more explicit land classification approach whereby mana whenua identify the values associated with each part of the takiwā and can have more tailored policies and targeted rules.			
FS30.049	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S104.029	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of	Allow S104.029	Accept in part	Pg. 19-24, para 80-98

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
FS25.002	Stoney Creek Station Ltd	SASM - General	Support S104.029	Agrees with this submission that the SASM chapter as drafted creates uncertainty for landowners	Allow S104.029	Accept in part	Pg. 19-24, para 80-98
S146.034	Southland District Council	SASM - General	Support	None specified.	None specified.	Accept in part	Pg. 24, para. 101
S3.001	Stoney Creek Station Limited	SASM - General	Oppose	Identifying the whole district as SASM may unnecessarily require consent and consultation for day-to-day farm activities, increasing time, cost and workload. As objectives and policies regarding Ngāi Tahu values have been incorporated into the plan, there is no justification for the entire district to be a SASM. The SASM chapter should instead provide further clarity to	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				plan users by identifying and delineating those specific sites, areas and landscapes that are significant to Māori. Similar approaches have been undertaken in other recently reviewed district plans.			
FS5.033	Hamish Weir	SASM - General	Support S3.001	[None provided]	Allow S3.001	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92
FS20.031	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	SASM – General	Oppose S3.001	The submitters reasoning the private property rights are paramount is erroneous in law; and fails to achieve the purpose of the RMA or give effect to the Southland Regional Policy Statement.	Disallow S3.001	Accept	Pg. 21, para. 88
FS30.084	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S3.001	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that	Allow S3.001	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
			an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to 'specified areas' as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S23.007	Mataura Marae	SASM - General	Support	The submitter supports the approach taken to recognising Sites of Significance to Māori. This bespoke approach enables impacts on sites relevant mātāwaka to be considered alongside sites of significance to mana whenua, which better enables Council to meet its obligations to all Māori living within their district. Whilst Mataura Marae may not be directly involved in these assessments, through our	Retain as drafted.	

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				relationship with mana whenua and the flexibility of the approach in the PDP, we are confident that our values will be considered more often than they would be under the approach used in the operative Plan.			
S64.024	Jet Boating NZ	SASM - General	Neutral	JBNZ holds the perspective that waterways should be shared and enjoyed responsibly, with appropriate controls and management to avoid adverse effects. The GDP should facilitate, not restrict, waterway activities, and potential impacts should be mitigated. As per the submitters interpretation of SASM, the submitter is mindful that recreational jet boating will come under the above given waters are considered SASM, this consideration is not opposed in principle. However, it emphasises fair and reasonable evaluation of its	The submitter requests the SASM and Mana Whenua chapters be considered for the reasons set out in the submission relating to consultation requirements.	Accept in part	Pg. 24, para. 100-101

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				impact on specific Māori cultural and spiritual values relating to the specific waterway. The submitter has concern around engagement with mana whenua for all recreational jetboating activities, and in doing so being subject to the formality, effort and expense associated with any application for land use consent. Listing all land, water and natural features as SASM, this chapter and MW chapters appear to require full and formal involvement of mana whenua for all applications, which will remove any exercise of Council discretion. The submitter questions whether this is necessary and appropriate in all situations. If effective and streamlined procedures are established for initiating early consultations with hokonui runanga, followed by their subsequent			

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				involvement in applications for land use consents, and similar processes then concerns may be allayed. Furthermore, there is a proposal indicating that only activities deemed "hi-risk" might prompt comprehensive and formal consultation with and participation by hokonui runanga. If this criterion is adopted, it would be beneficial to establish a clear definition or qualification for what constitutes "hirisk." Additionally, it can be inferred that applications categorised as not "hi-risk" would not entail full participation in the process by Hokonui runanga.			
FS25.003	Stoney Creek Station Ltd	SASM – General	Support S64.024 in part	Agrees with this submission that the SASM chapter as drafted creates uncertainty for landowners.	Allow S64.024	Accept in part	Pg. 24, para. 100-101
S105.029	Farm Manager - Wantwood Station	SASM - General	Amend	Creates uncertainty for plan users by classifying the entire district as SASM, instead of identifying specific sites and	Remove uncertainty for plan users by clarifying why the entire district has been identified as a	Accept in part	Pg. 19-24, para 80-98

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				areas. The s32 report mentions resource consent triggers for high-risk activities, but these are not specified. All activities regardless of their risk level will, therefore, require an assessment of cultural effects.	SASM. Provide a list of high-risk activities for which an assessment of cultural effects is required.		
FS30.026	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S105.29	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be	Allow S105.29	Accept in part	Pg. 19-24, para 80-98

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S127.029	Peters Farm Ltd - Karen Peters	SASM - General	Amend	Creates uncertainty for plan users by classifying the entire district as SASM, instead of identifying specific sites and areas. The s32 report mentions resource consent triggers for high-risk activities, but these are not specified. All activities regardless of their risk level will, therefore, require an assessment of cultural effects.	Remove uncertainty for plan users by clarifying why the entire district has been identified as a SASM. Provide a list of high-risk activities for which an assessment of cultural effects is required.	Accept in part	Pg. 19-24, para 80-98
S113.001	Trudy Bokser	SASM - General	Oppose	Identifying the whole district as SASM may unnecessarily require consent and consultation for day-to-day farm activities, increasing time, cost and workload. As objectives and policies regarding Ngāi Tahu values have been incorporated into the plan, there is no justification for the entire district to be a SASM. The SASM chapter should	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				instead provide further clarity to plan users by identifying and delineating those specific sites, areas and landscapes that are significant to Māori. Similar approaches have been undertaken in other recently reviewed district plans.			
FS30.086	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S113.001	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of	Allow S113.001	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S116.001	Nathan Bokser	SASM - General	Oppose	Identifying the whole district as SASM may unnecessarily require consent and consultation for day-to-day farm activities, increasing time, cost and workload. As objectives and policies regarding Ngāi Tahu values have been incorporated into the plan, there is no justification for the entire district to be a SASM. The SASM chapter should instead provide further clarity to plan users by identifying and delineating those specific sites, areas and landscapes that are significant to Māori. Similar approaches have been undertaken in other recently reviewed district plans.	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
FS30.055	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S116.001	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to 'specified areas' as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission	Allow S116.001	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92
S118.001	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	SASM - General	Oppose	Identifying the whole district as SASM may unnecessarily require consent and	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				consultation for day-to-day farm activities, increasing time, cost and workload. As objectives and policies regarding Ngāi Tahu values have been incorporated into the plan, there is no justification for the entire district to be a SASM. The SASM chapter should instead provide further clarity to plan users by identifying and delineating those specific sites, areas and landscapes that are significant to Māori. Similar approaches have been undertaken in other recently reviewed district plans.	the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.		
FS30.009	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S118.001	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to	Allow S118.001	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S18.003	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	SASM - General	Oppose	Identifying the whole district as SASM may unnecessarily require consent and consultation for day-to-day farm activities, increasing time, cost and workload. As objectives and policies regarding Ngāi Tahu values have been incorporated into the plan, there is no justification for the entire district to be a SASM. The SASM chapter should instead provide further clarity to	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				plan users by identifying and delineating those specific sites, areas and landscapes that are significant to Māori. Similar approaches have been undertaken in other recently reviewed district plans.			
FS30.064	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S18.003	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be	Allow S18.003	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S19.003	Karen Temple	SASM - General	Oppose	Identifying the whole district as SASM may unnecessarily require consent and consultation for day-to-day farm activities, increasing time, cost and workload. As objectives and policies regarding Ngāi Tahu values have been incorporated into the plan, there is no justification for the entire district to be a SASM. The SASM chapter should instead provide further clarity to plan users by identifying and delineating those specific sites, areas and landscapes that are significant to Māori. Similar approaches have been undertaken in other recently reviewed district plans.	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92
FS30.044	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S19.003	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas	Allow S19.003	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S20.003	Sarah and Peter Barclay	SASM - General	Oppose	Identifying the whole district as SASM may unnecessarily require consent and consultation for day-to-day farm activities, increasing time, cost and workload. As	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				objectives and policies regarding Ngāi Tahu values have been incorporated into the plan, there is no justification for the entire district to be a SASM. The SASM chapter should instead provide further clarity to plan users by identifying and delineating those specific sites, areas and landscapes that are significant to Māori. Similar approaches have been undertaken in other recently reviewed district plans.			
FS30.074	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S20.003	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken	Allow S20.003	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S24.003	Helen McIntosh	SASM - General	Oppose	Identifying the whole district as SASM may unnecessarily require consent and consultation for day-to-day farm activities, increasing time, cost and workload. As objectives and policies regarding Ngāi Tahu values have been incorporated into the plan, there is no justification for the entire district to be a SASM. The SASM chapter should instead provide further clarity to plan users by identifying and delineating those specific sites, areas and landscapes that are	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				significant to Māori. Similar approaches have been undertaken in other recently reviewed district plans.			
FS30.034	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S24.003	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified	Allow S24.003	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S68.005	John Baynes	SASM - General	Oppose	Identifying the whole district as SASM may unnecessarily require consent and consultation for day-to-day farm activities, increasing time, cost and workload. As objectives and policies regarding Ngāi Tahu values have been incorporated into the plan, there is no justification for the entire district to be a SASM. The SASM chapter should instead provide further clarity to plan users by identifying and delineating those specific sites, areas and landscapes that are significant to Māori. Similar approaches have been undertaken in other recently reviewed district plans.	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92
FS30.039	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S68.005	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including	Allow S68.005	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S127.037	Peters Farm Ltd - Karen Peters	SASM - General	Oppose	Identifying the whole district as SASM may unnecessarily require consent and consultation for day-to-day farm activities, increasing time, cost and workload. As objectives and policies regarding Ngāi Tahu values	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				have been incorporated into the plan, there is no justification for the entire district to be a SASM. The SASM chapter should instead provide further clarity to plan users by identifying and delineating those specific sites, areas and landscapes that are significant to Māori. Similar approaches have been undertaken in other recently reviewed district plans.			
FS30.062	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S127.037	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is	Allow S127.037	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S117.001	Greg Bokser	SASM - General	Oppose	Identifying the whole district as SASM may unnecessarily require consent and consultation for day-to-day farm activities, increasing time, cost and workload. As objectives and policies regarding Ngāi Tahu values have been incorporated into the plan, there is no justification for the entire district to be a SASM. The SASM chapter should instead provide further clarity to plan users by identifying and delineating those specific sites, areas and landscapes that are significant to Māori. Similar approaches have been	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				undertaken in other recently reviewed district plans.			
FS30.031	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM - General	Support S117.001	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission	Allow S117.001	Reject	Pg. 19-22, para. 80-92

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
S128.020	Greenbriar Ltd.	SASM- Overview	Support	Overview identifies that all areas significant. Objectives and provisions in lines with that	No amendments required	Accept in part	Pg. 26, para. 115
S126.075	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM- Overview	Support in part	Typo to be amended.	Amend Overview: The Gore District Plan manages the impacts of high-risk activities on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, as opposed to restricting a wide range of activities at specific locations.	Accept	Pg 26, para. 115
S85.100	Environment Southland	SASM- Overview	Oppose in part	This section of the plan does not outline the narrative approaches (not just limited to Apiti [sic] Hono Tatai [sic] Hono) mana whenua can use to inform cultural significance.	Mention needed of Āpiti Hono Tātai Hono and other narrative approaches to identifying sites of cultural importance.	Reject	Pg 27, para. 116
FS20.009	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	SASM- Overview	Support S85.100 in part	The submitter appears to have misunderstood that Apiti [sic] Hono Tati [sic] Hono is not using narrative to identify culturally significant sites. All whenua and wai is culturally important. However, we agree, the Gore District Plan should include a more explicit land classification approach	Allow S85.100 in part	Accept	Pg 27, para. 116

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
			whereby mana whenua identify the values associated with each part of the takiwā and can have more tailored policies and targeted rules.			
S115.076	Kevin Robertson	SASM- Overview	Support in part	Typo to be amended.	Amend Overview: The Gore District Plan manages the impacts of high-risk activities on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, as opposed to restricting a wide range of activities at specific locations.	Accept Pg 26, para. 115
S60.078	Ronald Crispin	SASM- Overview	Support in part	Typo to be amended.	Amend Overview: The Gore District Plan manages the impacts of high-risk activities on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, as opposed to restricting a wide range of activities at specific locations.	Accept Pg 26, para. 115
S143.087	Rural Ratepayers Group	SASM- Overview	Support in part	Typo to be amended.	Amend Overview: The Gore District Plan manages the impacts of high-risk activities on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, as opposed to restricting	Accept Pg 26, para. 115

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				a wide range of activities at specific locations.		
S148.088	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	SASM-Overview	Support in part	Typo to be amended.	Amend Overview: The Gore District Plan manages the impacts of high-risk activities on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, as opposed to restricting a wide range of activities at specific locations.	Accept Pg 26, para. 115
S68.196	John Baynes	SASM-Overview	Support in part	Typo to be amended.	Amend Overview: The Gore District Plan manages the impacts of high-risk activities on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, as opposed to restricting a wide range of activities at specific locations.	Accept Pg 26, para. 115
S153.001	Oakland Farming Ltd	SASM-O1	Oppose	Concerned that a broad approach creates uncertainty as to what activities will require consultation with mana whenua. Need for iwi consulting in everyday farm tasks will hinder farming operations.	SASM should be identified and mapped in the planning maps. SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural	Reject Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use.		
FS30.057	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S153.001	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to	Allow S153.001	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S142.001	Alan Taylor	SASM-O1	Oppose	The submitter acknowledges the significance of land, waterbodies, natural features and marae within the Gore District to Mana Whenua/ Tangata Whenua but are concerned that a broad approach creates uncertainty for plan users when identifying what activities require consultation with mana whenua. With the entire district classified as SASM this will	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps. SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
			result in day-to-day farming operations such as fencing that may require resource consent, consulting with rūnanga. Significantly increasing time, cost and workload associated with consenting. It will hinder the ability to undertake essential activities required to manage a farming operation.	recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use. Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori are identified and mapped in the planning maps through a transparent, consistent process.		
FS30.001	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S142.001	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant	Allow S142.001	Reject Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S74.001	Hamish Weir	SASM-O1	Oppose in part	The objective would effectively place an overlay over the entire district, leading to increased costs and uncertainty in the RMA process. Identifying sites will remove uncertainty and provide clarity.	The objectives wording should be modified as follows; All Identified land, waterbodies, natural features, and marae within Gore District are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are afforded	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					appropriate considerations in relation to their management, development, and use.		
FS30.033	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S74.001	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified	Allow S74.001	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
FS25.004	Stoney Creek Station Ltd	SASM – O1	Support S74.001	Agrees with this submission that the SASM chapter as drafted creates uncertainty for landowners	Allow S74.001	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132
S138.006	Port Blakely Limited	SASM-O1	Support in part	Recognises that tangata whenua and Ngāi Tahu consider all elements of the environment are culturally significant to them. This approach is inherently uncertain and unclear for other landowners.	Port Blakely would like to be part of this conversation going forward	Accept in part	Pg. 29, para. 131-132
FS25.005	Stoney Creek Station Ltd	SASM – O1	Support S138.006	Agrees with this submission that the SASM chapter as drafted creates uncertainty for landowners	Allow S138.006	Accept in part	Pg. 29, para. 131-132
S3.002	Stoney Creek Station Limited	SASM-O1	Oppose	Stoney Creek is concerned that the broad-brush approach within the PGDP creates uncertainty for plan users as to what activities require consultation with mana whenua and could increase time, cost and workload of day-to-day farm activities. The	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				approach creates disproportionate onus on applicants and is ds also unclear what "appropriate considerations" (as referred to in Objective SASMO1) may be. The SASM chapter should provide further clarity by identifying and delineating those specific sites.	sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use.		
FS20.032	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	SASM-O1	Oppose S3.002 in part	The decision requested will not achieve the purpose of the RMA or give effect to the Southland Regional Policy Statement. It is not the role of a third party to tell mana whenua how to understand or express their relationship with the whenua and wai of their takiwā. However, we agree, the Gore District Plan should include a more explicit land classification approach whereby mana whenua identify the values associated with each part of the takiwā and can have more	Disallow S3.002 in part	Accept	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				tailored policies and targeted rules			
FS17.004	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-O1	Support S3.002	Federated Farmers supports the relief sought as the submitter seeks similar relief to that of Federated Farmers. The approach creates uncertainty for plan users as to when consultation with mana whenua is required	Allow S3.002	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132
FS30.085	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S3.002	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of	Allow S3.002	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S126.076	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-O1	Oppose	SFF does not agree with the approach to consider all 'land, waterbodies, natural features and marae within Gore District as site and areas of cultural significance. Farmers have a right to know what is on their land so they can plan for current and future land use. The approach proposed in the PDP creates a high level of uncertainty for all landowners in the district.	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~At Those~~ lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae within Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW- 2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
FS20.023 Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	SASM – O1	Oppose S126.076	The decision requested fails to achieve the purpose of the RMA or give effect to the Southland Regional Policy Statement. It is not the role of a third party to tell mana whenua what is of value to them or how to understand or express their relationship with the whenua and wai of their takiwā. However, we agree, the Gore District Plan should include a more explicit land classification approach whereby mana whenua identify the values associated with each part of the takiwā and can have more tailored policies and targeted rules.	Disallow S126.076	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132
FS30.079 Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S126.076	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant	Allow S126.076	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
FS25.006	Stoney Creek Station Ltd	SASM – O1	Support S126.076	Agrees with this submission that the SASM chapter as drafted creates uncertainty for landowners	Allow S126.076	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132
S113.002	Trudy Bokser	SASM-O1	Oppose	Stoney Creek is concerned that the broad-brush approach within the PGDP creates uncertainty for plan users as to what activities require consultation with mana whenua and could increase	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				time, cost and workload of day-to-day farm activities. The approach creates disproportionate onus on applicants and is also unclear what "appropriate considerations" (as referred to in Objective SASMO1) may be. The SASM chapter should provide further clarity by identifying and delineating those specific sites.	Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use		
FS30.087	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S113.002	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken	Allow S113.002	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
			to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to 'specified areas' as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S116.002	Nathan Bokser	SASM-O1	Oppose	Stoney Creek is concerned that the broad-brush approach within the PGDP creates uncertainty for plan users as to what activities require consultation with mana whenua and could increase time, cost and workload of day-to-day farm activities. The approach creates disproportionate onus on applicants and is also unclear what "appropriate considerations" (as referred to in Objective SASMO1) may be. The SASM chapter should Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				provide further clarity by identifying and delineating those specific sites.	regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use		
FS30.056	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S116.002	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to 'specified	Allow S116.002	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S118.002	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	SASM-O1	Oppose	Stoney Creek is concerned that the broad-brush approach within the PGDP creates uncertainty for plan users as to what activities require consultation with mana whenua and could increase time, cost and workload of day-to-day farm activities. The approach creates disproportionate onus on applicants and is ds also unclear what "appropriate considerations" (as referred to in Objective SASMO1) may be. The SASM chapter should provide further clarity by identifying and delineating those specific sites.	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132
FS30.010	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S118.002	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are	Allow S118.002	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A	S42A Assessment
Submitter name						Recommend	Reference
				subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S18.004	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	SASM-O1	Oppose	Stoney Creek is concerned that the broad-brush approach within the PGDP creates uncertainty for plan users as to what activities require consultation with mana whenua and could increase	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				time, cost and workload of day-to-day farm activities. The approach creates disproportionate onus on applicants and is also unclear what "appropriate considerations" (as referred to in Objective SASMO1) may be. The SASM chapter should provide further clarity by identifying and delineating those specific sites.	Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use		
FS30.065	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S18.004	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken	Allow S18.004	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
			to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to 'specified areas' as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S19.004	Karen Temple	SASM-O1	Oppose	Stoney Creek is concerned that the broad-brush approach within the PGDP creates uncertainty for plan users as to what activities require consultation with mana whenua and could increase time, cost and workload of day-to-day farm activities. The approach creates disproportionate onus on applicants and is also unclear what "appropriate considerations" (as referred to in Objective SASMO1) may be. The SASM chapter should Amend SASM- 01 to read: At Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				provide further clarity by identifying and delineating those specific sites.	regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use		
FS30.045	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S19.004	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to 'specified	Allow S19.004	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A	S42A Assessment
Submitter name						Recommend	Reference
				areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S20.004	Sarah and Peter Barclay	SASM-O1	Oppose	Stoney Creek is concerned that the broad-brush approach within the PGDP creates uncertainty for plan users as to what activities require consultation with mana whenua and could increase time, cost and workload of day-to-day farm activities. The approach creates disproportionate onus on applicants and is ds also unclear what "appropriate considerations" (as referred to in Objective SASMO1) may be. The SASM chapter should provide further clarity by identifying and delineating those specific sites.	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132
FS30.075	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S20.004	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are	Allow S20.004	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S24.004	Helen McIntosh	SASM-O1	Oppose	Stoney Creek is concerned that the broad-brush approach within the PGDP creates uncertainty for plan users as to what activities require consultation with mana whenua and could increase	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~All~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				time, cost and workload of day-to-day farm activities. The approach creates disproportionate onus on applicants and is also unclear what "appropriate considerations" (as referred to in Objective SASMO1) may be. The SASM chapter should provide further clarity by identifying and delineating those specific sites.	Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use		
FS30.035	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S24.004	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken	Allow S24.004	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
			to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to 'specified areas' as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S68.006	John Baynes	SASM-O1	Oppose	Stoney Creek is concerned that the broad-brush approach within the PGDP creates uncertainty for plan users as to what activities require consultation with mana whenua and could increase time, cost and workload of day-to-day farm activities. The approach creates disproportionate onus on applicants and is also unclear what "appropriate considerations" (as referred to in Objective SASMO1) may be. The SASM chapter should Amend SASM- 01 to read: At Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				provide further clarity by identifying and delineating those specific sites.	regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use		
FS30.040	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S68.006	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified	Allow S68.006	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S127.038	Peters Farm Ltd - Karen Peters	SASM-O1	Oppose	Stoney Creek is concerned that the broad-brush approach within the PGDP creates uncertainty for plan users as to what activities require consultation with mana whenua and could increase time, cost and workload of day-to-day farm activities. The approach creates disproportionate onus on applicants and is ds also unclear what "appropriate considerations" (as referred to in Objective SASMO1) may be. The SASM chapter should provide further clarity by identifying and delineating those specific sites.	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~All~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132
FS30.063	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S127.038	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are	Allow S127.038	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S115.077	Kevin Robertson	SASM-O1	Oppose	SFF does not agree with the approach to consider all 'land, waterbodies, natural features and marae within Gore District as site and areas of cultural significance. Farmers have a right to know what is on their	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~All~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				land so they can plan for current and future land use. The approach proposed in the PDP creates a high level of uncertainty for all landowners in the district.	Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use		
FS30.048	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S115.077	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken	Allow S115.077	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S60.079	Ronald Crispin	SASM-O1	Oppose	SFF does not agree with the approach to consider all 'land, waterbodies, natural features and marae within Gore District as site and areas of cultural significance. Farmers have a right to know what is on their land so they can plan for current and future land use. The approach proposed in the PDP creates a high level of uncertainty for all landowners in the district.	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use		
FS30.068	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S60.079	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to 'specified	Allow S60.079	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S143.088	Rural Ratepayers Group	SASM-O1	Oppose	SFF does not agree with the approach to consider all 'land, waterbodies, natural features and marae within Gore District as site and areas of cultural significance. Farmers have a right to know what is on their land so they can plan for current and future land use. The approach proposed in the PDP creates a high level of uncertainty for all landowners in the district.	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW- 2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132
FS30.073	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S143.088	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are	Allow S143.008	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S148.089	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	SASM-O1	Oppose	SFF does not agree with the approach to consider all 'land, waterbodies, natural features and marae within Gore District as site and areas of cultural significance. Farmers have a right to know what is on their	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~All~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				land so they can plan for current and future land use. The approach proposed in the PDP creates a high level of uncertainty for all landowners in the district.	Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use		
FS30.006	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S148.089	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken	Allow S148.089	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
			to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to 'specified areas' as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
S68.197	John Baynes	SASM-O1	Oppose	SFF does not agree with the approach to consider all 'land, waterbodies, natural features and marae within Gore District as site and areas of cultural significance. Farmers have a right to know what is on their land so they can plan for current and future land use. The approach proposed in the PDP creates a high level of uncertainty for all landowners in the district. Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use		
S117.002	Greg Bokser	SASM-O1	Oppose	Stoney Creek is concerned that the broad-brush approach within the PGDP creates uncertainty for plan users as to what activities require consultation with mana whenua and could increase time, cost and workload of day-to-day farm activities. The approach creates disproportionate onus on applicants and is ds also unclear what "appropriate considerations" (as referred to in Objective SASMO1) may be. The SASM chapter should provide further clarity by identifying and delineating those specific sites.	Amend SASM- 01 to read: ~~At~~ Those lands, waterbodies, natural features, and marae withing Gore District identified as Sites of Significance to Māori in the Planning Maps are recognised as sites and areas of cultural significance to mana whenua and/or tangata whenua, and are offered appropriate considerations with regard to the cultural values identified in MW-2.3 in relation to their management, development, and use	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
FS30.032	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM – O1	Support S117.002	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission	Allow S117.002	Reject	Pg. 29, para. 131-132
S3.003	Stoney Creek Station Limited	SASM-P1	Oppose	Stoney Creek requests that existing activities are provided	Amend SASM-P1 to read: New use , subdivision,	Reject in part	Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
			for in accordance with s10 of the RMA.	and development is enabled where adverse effects on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Council shall have regard to any other benefits (e.g. pest control) provided by the proposed activity.		
FS17.006	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-P1	Support S3.003	Federated Farmers supports the relief sought as the submitter seeks similar relief to that of Federated Farmers	Allow S3.003	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152
S126.077	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-P1	Oppose	It is important to protect existing use rights therefore this policy requires an amendment. S 10 of the RMA discusses existing uses in relation to land protected and SFF request the policy represents this. The policy also implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as	Amend SASM-P1: New use, subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Accept in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
			the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural values. The wording should be amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address.			
FS20.024	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	SASM-P1	Oppose S126.077	The submitters concerns and the changes they want are unclear on this point. The plan does not need to provide for matters covered by s10 of the RMA; that is the purpose of s10.	Disallow S126.077	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152
FS25.007	Stoney Creek Station Ltd	SASM-P1	Support S126.077	Aligns with Stoney Creek's submission	Allow S126.077	Accept in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152
S113.003	Trudy Bokser	SASM-P1	Oppose	Stoney Creek requests that existing activities are provided for in accordance with s10 of the RMA.	Amend SASM-P1 to read: New use , subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Council shall have regard to any other benefits (e.g. pest	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				control) provided by the proposed activity.		
S116.003	Nathan Bokser	SASM-P1	Oppose	Stoney Creek requests that existing activities are provided for in accordance with s10 of the RMA.	Amend SASM-P1 to read: New use , subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Council shall have regard to any other benefits (e.g. pest control) provided by the proposed activity.	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152
S118.003	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	SASM-P1	Oppose	Stoney Creek requests that existing activities are provided for in accordance with s10 of the RMA.	Amend SASM-P1 to read: New use , subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Council shall have regard to any other benefits (e.g. pest	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				control) provided by the proposed activity.		
S18.005	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	SASM-P1	Oppose	Stoney Creek requests that existing activities are provided for in accordance with s10 of the RMA.	Amend SASM-P1 to read: New use , subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Council shall have regard to any other benefits (e.g. pest control) provided by the proposed activity.	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152
S19.005	Karen Temple	SASM-P1	Oppose	Stoney Creek requests that existing activities are provided for in accordance with s10 of the RMA.	Amend SASM-P1 to read: New use , subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Council shall have regard to any other benefits (e.g. pest	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				control) provided by the proposed activity.		
S20.005	Sarah and Peter Barclay	SASM-P1	Oppose	Stoney Creek requests that existing activities are provided for in accordance with s10 of the RMA.	Amend SASM-P1 to read: New use , subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Council shall have regard to any other benefits (e.g. pest control) provided by the proposed activity.	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152
S24.005	Helen McIntosh	SASM-P1	Oppose	Stoney Creek requests that existing activities are provided for in accordance with s10 of the RMA.	Amend SASM-P1 to read: New use , subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Council shall have regard to any other benefits (e.g. pest	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				control) provided by the proposed activity.		
S68.007	John Baynes	SASM-P1	Oppose	Stoney Creek requests that existing activities are provided for in accordance with s10 of the RMA.	Amend SASM-P1 to read: New use , subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Council shall have regard to any other benefits (e.g. pest control) provided by the proposed activity.	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152
S127.039	Peters Farm Ltd - Karen Peters	SASM-P1	Oppose	Stoney Creek requests that existing activities are provided for in accordance with s10 of the RMA.	Amend SASM-P1 to read: New use , subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Council shall have regard to any other benefits (e.g. pest	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					control) provided by the proposed activity.		
S115.078	Kevin Robertson	SASM-P1	Oppose	It is important to protect existing use rights therefore this policy requires an amendment. S 10 of the RMA discusses existing uses in relation to land protected and SFF request the policy represents this. The policy also implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural values. The wording should be amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address.	Amend SASM-P1: New use, subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Accept in part	Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152
S60.080	Ronald Crispin	SASM-P1	Oppose	It is important to protect existing use rights therefore this policy requires an amendment. S 10 of the RMA discusses existing uses in relation to land protected and SFF request the	Amend SASM-P1: New use, subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in	Accept in part	Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				policy represents this. The policy also implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural values. The wording should be amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address.	MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated.		
S143.089	Rural Ratepayers Group	SASM-P1	Oppose	It is important to protect existing use rights therefore this policy requires an amendment. S 10 of the RMA discusses existing uses in relation to land protected and SFF request the policy represents this. The policy also implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural	Amend SASM-P1: New use, subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Accept in part	Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				values. The wording should be amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address.			
S148.090	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	SASM-P1	Oppose	It is important to protect existing use rights therefore this policy requires an amendment. S 10 of the RMA discusses existing uses in relation to land protected and SFF request the policy represents this. The policy also implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural values. The wording should be amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address.	Amend SASM-P1: New use, subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, including those listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Accept in part	Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152
S68.198	John Baynes	SASM-P1	Oppose	It is important to protect existing use rights therefore this policy requires an amendment.	Amend SASM-P1: New use, subdivision, and development is enabled	Accept in part	Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
			S 10 of the RMA discusses existing uses in relation to land protected and SFF request the policy represents this. The policy also implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural values. The wording should be amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address.	where adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated.		
S117.003	Greg Bokser	SASM-P1	Oppose	Stoney Creek requests that existing activities are provided for in accordance with s10 of the RMA.	Amend SASM-P1 to read: New use , subdivision, and development is enabled where adverse effects on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values, ~~including those~~ listed in MW-2.3, are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Council shall have regard to any other benefits (e.g. pest	Reject in part Pg. 32-33, para. 146-152

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					control) provided by the proposed activity.		
S3.004	Stoney Creek Station Limited	SASM-P2	Oppose	Amendments are suggested to align with the concerns raised with regard to SASM-O1	Amend SASM-P2 to read: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Māori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values identified in MW-2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga and takes into account any benefits of the proposal.	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170
FS17.007	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-P2	Support S3.004	Federated Farmers supports the relief sought. A similar point was made in our submission. The proposed wording supports the FFNZ position that Significant Natural Areas (SNAs) must be identified,	Allow S3.004	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				mapped and included in the Plan			
S126.078	Southland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-P2	Oppose	The policy implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural values. The wording should be amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address	Amend SASM-P2: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Maori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values listed in MW2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga.	Accept in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170
FS20.025	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	SASM-P2	Oppose S126.078	The submitters concerns and the changes they want are unclear on this point. The plan does not need to provide for matters covered by s10 of the RMA; that is the purpose of s10.	Disallow S126.078	Accept in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170
FS25.008	Stoney Creek Station Ltd	SASM-P2	Support S126.078	Aligns with Stoney Creek’s submission	Allow S126.078	Accept in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170
S113.004	Trudy Bokser	SASM-P2	Oppose	Amendments are suggested to align with the concerns raised with regard to SASM-O1	Amend SASM-P2 to read: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					Significance to Māori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values identified in MW-2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga and takes into account any benefits of the proposal.		
S116.004	Nathan Bokser	SASM-P2	Oppose	Amendments are suggested to align with the concerns raised with regard to SASM-O1	Amend SASM-P2 to read: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Māori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values identified in MW-2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga and takes into account	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					any benefits of the proposal.		
S118.004	AW+ JA Johnston Family Trust	SASM-P2	Oppose	Amendments are suggested to align with the concerns raised with regard to SASM-O1	Amend SASM-P2 to read: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Māori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values identified in MW-2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga and takes into account any benefits of the proposal.	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170
S18.006	Reaby Downs Farm Ltd	SASM-P2	Oppose	Amendments are suggested to align with the concerns raised with regard to SASM-O1	Amend SASM-P2 to read: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Māori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values identified in MW-2.3, and their underlying	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					~~relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga~~ and takes into account any benefits of the proposal.		
S19.006	Karen Temple	SASM-P2	Oppose	Amendments are suggested to align with the concerns raised with regard to SASM-O1	Amend SASM-P2 to read: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Māori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values identified in MW-2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga and takes into account any benefits of the proposal.	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170
S20.006	Sarah and Peter Barclay	SASM-P2	Oppose	Amendments are suggested to align with the concerns raised with regard to SASM-O1	Amend SASM-P2 to read: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Māori as	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values identified in MW-2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga and takes into account any benefits of the proposal.		
S24.006	Helen McIntosh	SASM-P2	Oppose	Amendments are suggested to align with the concerns raised with regard to SASM-O1	Amend SASM-P2 to read: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Māori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values identified in MW-2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga and takes into account	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					any benefits of the proposal.		
S68.008	John Baynes	SASM-P2	Oppose	Amendments are suggested to align with the concerns raised with regard to SASM-O1	Amend SASM-P2 to read: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Māori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values identified in MW-2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga and takes into account any benefits of the proposal.	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170
S127.040	Peters Farm Ltd - Karen Peters	SASM-P2	Oppose	Amendments are suggested to align with the concerns raised with regard to SASM-O1	Amend SASM-P2 to read: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Māori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values identified in MW-2.3, and their underlying	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga and takes into account any benefits of the proposal.		
S115.079	Kevin Robertson	SASM-P2	Oppose	The policy implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural values. The wording should be amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address	Amend SASM-P2: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Maori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values listed in MW2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga.	Accept in part Pg. 35, para. 165-170
S60.081	Ronald Crispin	SASM-P2	Oppose	The policy implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural	Amend SASM-P2: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Maori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values	Accept in part Pg. 35, para. 165-170

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				values. The wording should be amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address	~~listed in MW2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment~~ is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga.		
S143.090	Rural Ratepayers Group	SASM-P2	Oppose	The policy implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural values. The wording should be amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address	Amend SASM-P2: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Maori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values ~~listed in MW2.3, and their underlying relationship with the natural environment~~ is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga.	Accept in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170
S148.091	Alistair & Bernadette Hunt	SASM-P2	Oppose	The policy implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural values. The wording should be	Amend SASM-P2: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Maori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values ~~listed in MW2.3, and~~	Accept in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address	~~their underlying relationship with the natural environment~~ is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga.		
S68.199	John Baynes	SASM-P2	Oppose	The policy implies that there may be other cultural values other than what is listed in MW-2.3. However, this does not appear to be the intention as the MW chapter specifically lists and defines the cultural values. The wording should be amended to provide clarity that it is only the values listed in MW-2.3 which the policy seeks to address	Amend SASM-P2: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Maori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values listed in MW2.3, and ~~their underlying relationship with the natural environment~~ is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga.	Accept in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170
S117.004	Greg Bokser	SASM-P2	Oppose	Amendments are suggested to align with the concerns raised with regard to SASM-O1	Amend SASM-P2 to read: The impact of proposed activities on Sites of Significance to Māori as identified in the Planning Maps on the Ngāi Tahu cultural values identified in MW-2.3, and ~~their underlying~~	Reject in part	Pg. 35, para. 165-170

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
					relationship with the natural environment is determined in partnership with Hokonui Rūnanga and takes into account any benefits of the proposal.		
S123.027	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	SASM- Additional provisions sought	Support in part	HNZPT notes that, in consultation with Hokonui Rūnanga, Gore District Council have elected not to schedule specific Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori, but rather, where an activity (or approach to undertaking an activity) does not impact placebased Ngāi Tahu cultural values, then it will be enabled in the GDP, regardless of the location. HNZPT advocates for the identification and scheduling of wāhi tupuna. Protection of taonga, including wāhi tapū, wāhi tūpuna and whakapapa, is a key principle of Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Cultural	Add Identification, scheduling and rules for Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Reject	Pg. 37, para. 180-183

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
			landscapes, maunga and awa, are the heart of iwi, hapū and hapori identity, mātauranga Māori, and wellbeing and must be protected as part of the fulfilment of the principles of Te Tiriti. The proposed approach involves control of activities that are likely to have adverse effects on the district's landscapes and natural environment in general, however, HNZPT recommends that additional layers of protection are afforded to areas of significant cultural value through their identification and targeted provisions. Should the current approach remain, an increased level of processing time and resource can be anticipated at the consenting stage. HNZPT recommends that the criteria and process for consultation with Hokonui Rūnanga is clearly stated and agreed to by both parties, to			

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				ensure all involved understand the circumstances under which they will be consulted with and how this will factor into any decision making.			
FS30.037	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM-Additional provisions sought	Support S123.027	Transpower supports the submission and similarly considers that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are subject to provisions, including rules, in the Proposed Plan are clearly identified so that the locations where the relevant provisions apply is clear in order to provide certainty to plan users. It is considered that an appropriate evaluation of such sites must be undertaken to confirm that the extent of regulation applied to the sites is tested in terms of necessity and appropriateness. By way of example, Transpower is of the view that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori must be identified in order for Rule INFR-R9 to be confined to ‘specified	Allow S123.027	Reject	Pg. 37, para. 180-183

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				areas’ as opposed to the whole District. Allow the submission			
FS25.009	Stoney Creek Station Ltd	SASM- Additional provisions sought	Support S123.027 in part	Agrees with this submission that sites of significance should be identified and scheduled	Allow S123.027 in part	Reject	Pg. 37, para. 180-183
S85.101	Environment Southland	SASM- Additional provisions sought	Support	Objectives and policies need to set up a framework for mana whenua to identify matters of cultural importance, either through Apiti Hono Tatai Hono or other narrative or matauranga [sic] approaches.	Mana whenua need objectives and policies to support the identification of cultural areas of significance.	Reject	Pg. 37, para. 184
FS20.010	Hokonui Rūnanga Inc	SASM- Additional provisions sought	Support S85.101 in part	The submitter appears to have misunderstood that Apiti [sic] Hono Tati [sic] Hono is not using narrative to identify culturally significant sites. All whenua and wai is culturally important. However, we agree, the Gore District Plan should include a more explicit land classification approach whereby mana whenua identify the values associated with each part of the takiwā and can have	Allow S85.101 in part	Accept	Pg. 37, para. 184

Appendix B - Recommended Response to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submission Point Submitter name		Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	S42A Recommend	S42A Assessment Reference
				more tailored policies and targeted rules.			