

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table - Designations

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S10.001	Courtney Horrell	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	To drop the buffer zone for the water treatment plant in east Gore	Concerned that the buffer zone will reduce value on the house/land. There has been a lack of consultation with regard to the proposed buffer zone. Concerned that environmental, noise and lights from the plant will also be an issue.	Accept in part Buffer – HS4
S11.001	Jenna Potter	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	To drop the buffer zone for the water treatment plant in east Gore	Concerned that the buffer zone will reduce value on the house/land. There has been a lack of consultation with regard to the proposed buffer zone. Concerned that environmental, noise and lights from the plant will also be an issue.	Accept in part Buffer – HS4
S25.003	peter kempthorne	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose in part	Build the treatment plant so that it complies with limits on noise and visual rules	Water treatment plant is where the second reservoir was to be built and a substandard aerator was put close the boundary.	Accept
S48.001	Isobel Agnew	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	To drop the buffer zone around East Gore Water Treatment Plant	There will be financial impact on current landowners Restrictions on what landowners can do with their property Cost's associated with complying with requirements Council's position for the buffer zone to act as a mechanism to inform potential buyers of the water treatment plant- this is an excessive and blunt tool Financial compensation sought if buffer zone goes ahead Significant Mental Health impacts on current landowners	Buffer – HS4
S56.001	Richard Agnew	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	Remove Buffer Zone around East Gore Water Treatment Plant	Lack of consultation with effected residents Council has plenty of land around water treatment plant, buffer of 50m not necessary. It reaches 340m from the East Gore Water Tank. Reduced flexibility for landowners on their property Buffer Zone exists in key growth area- Residential A and in future will not be able to subdivide and will have negative financial outcomes Rate payers since 1980	Buffer – HS4
S6.001	BL & KM ShieldsBarry Shields	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	We would prefer the Council's decision to be not to extend the Buffer Zone beyond the existing boundary for the water treatment plant in east Gore, ie no 50m Buffer Zone.	We feel this would devalue our property as the Buffer Zone extends to only a few meters from our dwelling (house). This would be on any LIM report required by prospective buyers for the property in future making sale difficult at present valuation. Thers has been no consultation with landowners for the proposed Buffer Zone. Noise would be an issue as well.	Accept in part Buffer – HS4
S7.001	Damiyen Crosbie	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	To drop the buffer zone for the water treatment plan in east Gore	Concerned that the buffer zone will reduce value on the houses and land in the area. There has been a lack of consultation with regard to the proposed buffer zone. Concerned that environmental, noise and lights from the plant will also be an issue.	Accept in part Buffer – HS4
S8.001	Anton Hoffman	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	To drop the buffer zone for the water treatment plan in east Gore	Concerned that the buffer zone will reduce value on the house/land. Thers has been no consultation with landowners for the proposed Buffer Zone. Noise and lights from the plant will also be an issue.	Accept in part Buffer – HS4

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table - Designations

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S9.001	Laura-Lee Hoffman	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	To drop the buffer zone for the water treatment plan in east Gore	Concerned that the buffer zone will reduce value on the house/land. There has been no consultation with landowners for the proposed Buffer Zone. Noise and lights from the plant will also be an issue.	Accept in part Buffer – HS4
S134.011	Andrea McMillan & Tony Harpur	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	That all matters in the PDP relating to the WTP and buffer (and any ancillary matters) are withdrawn from the PDP	In recognition of the significant adverse noise and vibration effects, there is clear and direct conflict with the objective and policies (NOISE-O1, O2, P1, P2) in the Noise chapter of the PDP. No technical supporting documentation was included with the NOR to support the designation and its effects, particularly acoustic advice. The NOR includes no conditions, meaning no controls on built form, location, scale, nature and intensity of effects from the WTP and any future expansions.	Accept in part Buffer – HS4
S25.004	peter kempthorne	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-11	Oppose in part	Place a buffer zone around Hilbre Ave treatment plant	Inconsistent protection of Hilbre Avenue Water Treatment Plans when compared with East Gore and Mataura	Accept in part Buffer – HS4
S132.237	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	Designations map layers	KRH-1-MAP	Amend	Amend the designation to include the entire rail corridor as shown in submission point 175 of full submission.	KiwiRail generally supports the designation layer in the Proposed Gore District Plan but identify two locations as being missed from the designation shapefile. KiwiRail seeks amendment to the GIS layer to include the entire rail corridor at both locations. Pukerau - Landslip Valley Road	Accept
S132.238	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	Designations map layers	KRH-1-MAP	Amend	Amend the designation to include the entire rail corridor as shown in submission point 176 of full submission.	KiwiRail generally supports the designation layer in the Proposed Gore District Plan but identify two locations as being missed from the designation shapefile. KiwiRail seeks amendment to the GIS layer to include the entire rail corridor at both locations. McNab - Macgibbon Road	Reject
S125.099	Ministry of Education	MEDU - Minister of Education	MEDU - General	Support	Retain as proposed.	The submitter supports all of the MoE designations.	Accept
S125.100	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU Map - general	Support	Retain as proposed.	The submitter supports all of the MoE designations.	Accept
S125.101	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-1-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.102	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-2-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.103	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-3-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.104	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-4-MAP	Oppose in part	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.105	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-5-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table - Designations

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S125.106	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-6-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.107	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-7-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.108	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-8-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.109	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-9-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.110	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-10-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.111	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-11-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.112	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-12-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed,	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.113	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-13-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.114	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-14-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The rollover designation matches the designation confirmation letter dated 29 September 2022.	Accept
S125.115	Ministry of Education	Designations map layers	MEDU-15-MAP	Support	Retain as proposed.	The designation is sought to be retained as proposed.	Accept
S112.175	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	NZTA - New Zealand Transport Agency	NZTA-1	Support in part	Amend wording of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4 to read: - 'State Highway 1 from the Clutha District Council boundary in the east to the Southland District Council boundary in the south' - 'State Highway 90 from the Clutha District Council boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south' - 'State Highway 93 from the Clutha District Council boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the west' - 'State Highway 94 from the Southland District Council boundary in the north to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south'	The inclusion of all the New Zealand Transport Agency state highway designations in the designation schedule is generally supported, subject to the correction of minor errors. Waka Kotahi generally supports the inclusion of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4, however for clarity and consistency it is recommended to make a minor amendment to the wording of the Site Identifier for each designation to remove the word 'Council' to be consistent with other state highway designations around the country	Accept
S112.176	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	NZTA - New Zealand Transport Agency	NZTA-2	Support in part	Amend wording of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4 to read: - 'State Highway 1 from the Clutha District Council boundary in the east to the Southland District Council boundary in the south' - 'State Highway 90 from the Clutha District Council boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south' - 'State Highway 93 from the Clutha District Council boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the west' - 'State Highway 94 from the Southland District Council boundary in the north to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south'	The inclusion of all the New Zealand Transport Agency state highway designations in the designation schedule is generally supported, subject to the correction of minor errors. Waka Kotahi generally supports the inclusion of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4, however for clarity and consistency it is recommended to make a minor amendment to the wording of the Site Identifier for each designation to remove the word 'Council' to be consistent with other state highway designations around the country	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table - Designations

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S112.177	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	NZTA - New Zealand Transport Agency	NZTA-3	Support in part	Amend wording of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4 to read: - 'State Highway 1 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the Southland District ~~Council~~ boundary in the south' - 'State Highway 90 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south' - 'State Highway 93 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the west' - 'State Highway 94 from the Southland District ~~Council~~ boundary in the north to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south'	The inclusion of all the New Zealand Transport Agency state highway designations in the designation schedule is generally supported, subject to the correction of minor errors. Waka Kotahi generally supports the inclusion of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4, however for clarity and consistency it is recommended to make a minor amendment to the wording of the Site Identifier for each designation to remove the word 'Council' to be consistent with other state highway designations around the country	Accept
S112.178	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	NZTA - New Zealand Transport Agency	NZTA-4	Support in part	Amend wording of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4 to read: - 'State Highway 1 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the Southland District ~~Council~~ boundary in the south' - 'State Highway 90 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south' - 'State Highway 93 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the west' - 'State Highway 94 from the Southland District ~~Council~~ boundary in the north to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south'	The inclusion of all the New Zealand Transport Agency state highway designations in the designation schedule is generally supported, subject to the correction of minor errors. Waka Kotahi generally supports the inclusion of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4, however for clarity and consistency it is recommended to make a minor amendment to the wording of the Site Identifier for each designation to remove the word 'Council' to be consistent with other state highway designations around the country	Accept
S112.179	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	NZTA - New Zealand Transport Agency	NZTA-5	Support in part	Amend wording to read: ~~'State Highway 96 from the Clutha District Council boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the west'~~ 'State Highway 96 from the intersection with State Highway 1 in the east to the Southland District boundary in the west'	Waka Kotahi generally supports the inclusion of NZTA-5, however notes that the Site Identifier needs to be corrected to reflect the actual extent of State Highway 96. In addition, for clarity and consistency, it is recommended to amend the wording of the Site Identifier to remove the word 'Council' to be consistent with other state highway designations around the country.	Accept
S112.180	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Designations map layers	NZTA Map - general	Support in part	Waka Kotahi requests that: - the state highway designation geospatial shapefiles be widened in discrete places to cover the formed and operational road; and - that the state highway designation geospatial shapefiles be corrected to align with the most up to date legal road boundaries. Refer Appendix 4 of the submission for examples of where the state highway designation boundaries will need to be corrected to align with the most current legal road parcel boundaries. Waka Kotahi will provide Council with the corrected geospatial files in due course.	Waka Kotahi supports the inclusion of state highway designations on the planning maps; however, several sections of the notified state highway designations need to be spatially represented accurately on the planning maps. Refer to Appendix 4 for examples of where the state highway designation boundaries will need to be corrected to align with the most current legal road boundaries and where the proposed designation will need to be widened to cover the formed and operational road. Full rationale in submission on page 71-73	Accept in part
S95.026	PowerNet Limited	PWN - PowerNet	PWN-2	Support	Include the legal description within the designation schedule for PWN.2 South Gore Substation: Site identifier: 7A Oreti Street, Gore, Lot 1, DP 14629	PowerNet has sought the rollover of this existing designation. PowerNet considers that the inclusion of the legal description of the designation site will assist with identifying the site.	Accept
S95.027	PowerNet Limited	PWN - PowerNet	PWN-3	Support	Include the legal description within the designation schedule for PWN.3 Mataura Substation: Site identifier: 52 Old Coach Road, Mataura, Sec 1 SO 7222	PowerNet has sought the rollover of this existing designation. PowerNet considers that the inclusion of the legal description of the designation will assist with identifying the site.	Accept

Proposed Gore District Plan – s42A Report Table - Designations

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation
S95.028	PowerNet Limited	PWN - PowerNet	PWN-5	Support	Correct the spelling of Lmax in condition 4 of Designation PWN-5.	PowerNet has sought the rollover of this existing designation without change. PowerNet notes that a minor correction is required to the spelling of Lmax in condition 4.	Accept