

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
BL & KM ShieldsBarry Shields	S6.001	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	We feel this would devalue our property as the Buffer Zone extends to only a few meters from our dwelling (house). This would be on any LIM report required by prospective buyers for the property in future making sale difficult at present valuation. Thers has been no consultation with landowners for the proposed Buffer Zone. Noise would be an issue as well.	We would prefer the Council's decision to be not to extend the Buffer Zone beyond the existing boundary for the water treatment plant in east Gore, ie no 50m Buffer Zone.
Damiyen Crosbie	S7.001	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	Concerned that the buffer zone will reduce value on the houses and land in the area. There has been a lack of consultation with regard to the proposed buffer zone. Concerned that environmental, noise and lights from the plant will also be an issue.	To drop the buffer zone for the water treatment plan in east Gore
Anton Hoffman	S8.001	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	Concerned that the buffer zone will reduce value on the house/land. Thers has been no consultation with landowners for the proposed Buffer Zone. Noise and lights from the plant will also be an issue.	To drop the buffer zone for the water treatment plan in east Gore
Laura-Lee Hoffman	S9.001	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	Concerned that the buffer zone will reduce value on the house/land. Thers has been no consultation with landowners for the proposed Buffer Zone. Noise and lights from the plant will also be an issue.	To drop the buffer zone for the water treatment plan in east Gore
Courtney Horrell	S10.001	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	Concerned that the buffer zone will reduce value on the house/land. There has been a lack of consultation with regard to the proposed buffer zone. Concerned that environmental, noise and lights from the plant will also be an issue.	To drop the buffer zone for the water treatment plant in east Gore
Jenna Potter	S11.001	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	Concerned that the buffer zone will reduce value on the house/land. There has been a lack of consultation with regard to the proposed buffer zone. Concerned that environmental, noise and lights from the plant will also be an issue.	To drop the buffer zone for the water treatment plant in east Gore
peter kempthorne	S25.003	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose in part	Water treatment plant is where the second reservoir was to be built and a substandard aerator was put close the boundary.	Build the treatment plant so that it complies with limits on noise and visual rules
Isobel Agnew	S48.001	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	There will be financial impact on current landowners Restrictions on what landowners can do with their property Cost's associated with complying with requirements Council's position for the buffer zone to act as a mechanism to inform potential buyers of the water treatment plant- this is an excessive and blunt tool Financial compensation sought if buffer zone goes ahead Significant Mental Health impacts on current landowners	To drop the buffer zone around East Gore Water Treatment Plant
Richard Agnew	S56.001	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	Lack of consultation with effected residents Council has plenty of land around water treatment plant, buffer of 50m not necessary. It reaches 340m from the East Gore Water Tank. Reduced flexibility for landowners on their	Remove Buffer Zone around East Gore Water Treatment Plant

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					property Buffer Zone exists in key growth area- Residential A and in future will not be able to subdivide and will have negative financial outcomes Rate payers since 1980	
Andrea McMillan & Tony Harpur	S134.011	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-10	Oppose	In recognition of the significant adverse noise and vibration effects, there is clear and direct conflict with the objective and policies (NOISE-O1, O2, P1, P2) in the Noise chapter of the PDP. No technical supporting documentation was included with the NOR to support the designation and its effects, particularly acoustic advice. The NOR includes no conditions, meaning no controls on built form, location, scale, nature and intensity of effects from the WTP and any future expansions.	That all matters in the PDP relating to the WTP and buffer (and any ancillary matters) are withdrawn from the PDP
peter kempthorne	S25.004	GDC - Gore District Council	GDC-11	Oppose in part	Inconsistent protection of Hilbre Avenue Water Treatment Plans when compared with East Gore and Maitaia	Place a buffer zone around Hilbre Ave treatment plant
Ministry of Education	S125.099	MEDU - Minister of Education	MEDU - General	Support	The submitter supports all of the MoE designations.	Retain as proposed.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.175	NZTA - New Zealand Transport Agency	NZTA-1	Support in part	The inclusion of all the New Zealand Transport Agency state highway designations in the designation schedule is generally supported, subject to the correction of minor errors. Waka Kotahi generally supports the inclusion of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4, however for clarity and consistency it is recommended to make a minor amendment to the wording of the Site Identifier for each designation to remove the word 'Council' to be consistent with other state highway designations around the country	Amend wording of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4 to read: • 'State Highway 1 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the Southland District ~~Council~~ boundary in the south' • 'State Highway 90 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south' • 'State Highway 93 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the west' • 'State Highway 94 from the Southland District ~~Council~~ boundary in the north to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south'
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.176	NZTA - New Zealand Transport Agency	NZTA-2	Support in part	The inclusion of all the New Zealand Transport Agency state highway designations in the designation schedule is generally supported, subject to the correction of minor errors. Waka Kotahi generally supports the inclusion of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4, however for clarity and consistency it is recommended to make a minor amendment to the wording of the Site Identifier for each designation to remove the word 'Council' to be consistent with other state highway designations around the country	Amend wording of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4 to read: • 'State Highway 1 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the Southland District ~~Council~~ boundary in the south' • 'State Highway 90 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south' • 'State Highway 93 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the west' • 'State Highway 94 from the Southland District ~~Council~~ boundary in the north to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south'
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.177	NZTA - New Zealand Transport Agency	NZTA-3	Support in part	The inclusion of all the New Zealand Transport Agency state highway designations in the designation schedule is generally supported, subject to the correction of minor errors. Waka Kotahi generally supports the inclusion of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4, however for clarity and consistency it is recommended to make a minor amendment to the wording of the Site Identifier for each designation to remove the word 'Council' to be consistent with	Amend wording of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4 to read: • 'State Highway 1 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the Southland District ~~Council~~ boundary in the south' • 'State Highway 90 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south' • 'State Highway 93 from the Clutha District ~~Council~~ boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the west' • 'State Highway 94 from the Southland District ~~Council~~ boundary in the north to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south'

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					other state highway designations around the country	
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.178	NZTA - New Zealand Transport Agency	NZTA-4	Support in part	The inclusion of all the New Zealand Transport Agency state highway designations in the designation schedule is generally supported, subject to the correction of minor errors. Waka Kotahi generally supports the inclusion of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4, however for clarity and consistency it is recommended to make a minor amendment to the wording of the Site Identifier for each designation to remove the word 'Council' to be consistent with other state highway designations around the country	Amend wording of NZTA-1 - NZTA-4 to read: • 'State Highway 1 from the Clutha District Council boundary in the east to the Southland District Council boundary in the south' • 'State Highway 90 from the Clutha District Council boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south' • 'State Highway 93 from the Clutha District Council boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the west' • 'State Highway 94 from the Southland District Council boundary in the north to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the south'
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S112.179	NZTA - New Zealand Transport Agency	NZTA-5	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally supports the inclusion of NZTA-5, however notes that the Site Identifier needs to be corrected to reflect the actual extent of State Highway 96. In addition, for clarity and consistency, it is recommended to amend the wording of the Site Identifier to remove the word 'Council' to be consistent with other state highway designations around the country.	Amend wording to read: ~~'State Highway 96 from the Clutha District Council boundary in the east to the intersection with State Highway 1 in the west'~~ 'State Highway 96 from the intersection with State Highway 1 in the east to the Southland District boundary in the west'
PowerNet Limited	S95.026	PWN - PowerNet	PWN-2	Support	PowerNet has sought the rollover of this existing designation. PowerNet considers that the inclusion of the legal description of the designation site will assist with identifying the site.	Include the legal description within the designation schedule for PWN.2 South Gore Substation: Site identifier: 7A Oreti Street, Gore, Lot 1, DP 14629
PowerNet Limited	S95.027	PWN - PowerNet	PWN-3	Support	PowerNet has sought the rollover of this existing designation. PowerNet considers that the inclusion of the legal description of the designation will assist with identifying the site.	Include the legal description within the designation schedule for PWN.3 Mataura Substation: Site identifier: 52 Old Coach Road, Mataura, Sec 1 SO 7222
PowerNet Limited	S95.028	PWN - PowerNet	PWN-5	Support	PowerNet has sought the rollover of this existing designation without change. PowerNet notes that a minor correction is required to the spelling of Lmax in condition 4.	Correct the spelling of Lmax in condition 4 of Designation PWN-5.